In the matter	of the Resource Management Act 1991
And	
In the matter	a resource consent application by Queenstown Lakes District Council to discharge treated wastewater to land for the purpose of disposing of wastewater from Kingston Township

Errata to the evidence of Timothy Court-Patience

24 January 2022



Solicitors:

J Beresford PO Box 90750, Victoria Street West, Auckland 1142 DX CP24063 T: +64 9 336 7500 Joanna.beresford@mc.co.nz

Barrister: Janette Campbell PO Box 141, Shortland Street, Auckland 1140 T: +64 9 802 1430 janette@campbell.legal

Errata to the evidence of Timothy Court-Patience

1 Errata

1.1 The following points are made to correct minor errors in my evidence.

Paragraph 6.1

1.2 Paragraph 6.1 of my evidence should refer to Figure 3, instead of Figure 2.

Paragraph 11.4

1.3 Paragraph 11.4 of my evidence referred to Appendix One (a copy of the correspondence clarifying PHS's position in relation to the connection to the existing township). However, this was not attached to my evidence. This correspondence is now attached in **Appendix One**.

Tim Court-Patience

24 January 2022

Appendix One: Correspondence with PHS



Public Health South Dunedin: Private Bag 1921, Dunedin 9054 Ph: 03 476 9800 Fax: 03 476 9838 Invercargill: PO Box 1601, Invercargil 9840 Ph: 03 211 8500 Fax: 03 214 9070 Queenstown: PO Box 2180, Frankton, Queenstown 9349 Ph: 03 450 9156 Fax: 03 450 9169

3 December 2021

Louise Maxwell Hearings Co-ordinator Otago Regional Council Private Bag 1954 Dunedin

Dear Louise

RE: Kingston Wastewater Treatment Plant Discharge Consent RM20.164.01

On behalf of Public Health South (SDHB) I was a submitter to the notified application above (our submission was dated 24/09/2021). In that submission I indicated that we were in support of the proposal/activity as advertised with the following conditions:

- The provision of further information on the remaining unreticulated sections in Kingston that are not part of the plan (to reticulate) and
- (ii) Monitoring conditions that include lake monitoring at Kingston.

Since then, I have had a meeting with Tim Court-Patience (Project Manager Property and Infrastructure, Queenstown Lakes District Council) to discuss these conditions of support.

The information that has been provided by him to me both during our brief teleconference and more recently an email dated 2 December 2021 has now provided sufficient assurance to me that our concerns are no longer valid and in particular:

- (A) The disparity between the quoted number of sections to be connected/serviced was based on the information in the supporting AEE (total number of sections was from previous unvalidated data). We have been reassured that all existing sections will be reticulated.
- (B) Draft conditions 16 and 19 of the consent application do have robust monitoring requirements that include lake monitoring. For whatever reason, this was not clear to us at the time of reviewing the accompanying documentation.

In short, we now wish for our submission to be accepted/considered as unconditional in support of this application for wastewater reticulation at Kingston. As we are now providing our full support to the application we also no longer wish to be heard. We would appreciate it if this letter is tabled at the hearing and considered in the decisionmaking process.

2 2

Andrew Shand Health Protection Officer For and on behalf of Public Health South/Southern District Health Board