

Appendices

Appendix 1: Assessment of SODR Part B submission points	2
Appendix 2: FMU and rohe descriptions	3
Appendix 3: State and trends of lake and river water quality in the Otago region 2000-2020.....	4
Appendix 4: State of the environment: Groundwater quality in Otago	5
Appendix 5: Material from the non-FPI part of the pORPS	6
Appendix 6: Advice on FMU and rohe boundaries	7

Appendix 1: Assessment of SODR Part B submission points

Key:

Orange: not on the FPI

Yellow: unclear whether on the FPI or not and requires case-by-case assessment

Green: on the FPI and incorrectly included in Part B

Submitter Number	Submitter Name	Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested
FPI035	Wise Response Society	FPI035.027	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-AER12	Amend	The life-supporting capacity of <u>all</u> soil is <u>being managed for maintained or improvement</u> ed throughout Otago.
FPI035	Wise Response Society	FPI035.028	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-AER13	Support	The availability and capability of Otago's highly productive land is maintained or improved.
FPI035	Wise Response Society	FPI035.025	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-M12	Amend	(b) prohibiting <u>minimising</u> the removal of tall tussock grasslands, and (2) provide for and <u>promote</u> encourage the creation and enhancement of vegetated riparian margins and constructed wetlands, and maintain these where they already exist, and ... (4) <u>Actively promote changes in land use that improve the sustainable and effective use of fresh water, reduce the need for chemical inputs and that are consistent with national net zero carbon goals and</u> (5) <u>implementation of policies LF-LS-P16 to LF-LF-P22.</u>
FPI036	Otago forestry companies	FPI036.002	SRMR - Significant	SRMR-16	Amend	Amend p 78 (paragraph 4):

			resource management issues for the region			Activities such as arable, horticultural and pastoral farming, farm systems subject to agricultural intensification, mining, and forestry also contribute.
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.001	Foreword and mihi	General	Amend	Reword and correct the focus of the Foreword
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.028	DEF - Definitions	Degraded	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument in relation to freshwater
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.029	DEF - Definitions	Effects management hierarchy	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument in relation to natural wetlands and rivers
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.030	DEF - Definitions	Freshwater	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.031	DEF - Definitions	Freshwater management unit/FMU	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.032	DEF - Definitions	Lake	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.033	DEF - Definitions	Natural wetland	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.034	DEF - Definitions	Outstanding water body	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.035	DEF - Definitions	River	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument

FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.036	DEF - Definitions	Te Mana o te Wai	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.037	DEF - Definitions	Water body	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.038	DEF - Definitions	Wetland	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.039	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-WAI-P4	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.040	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-O8	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.041	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P8	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.042	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P11	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.043	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P12	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.044	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-M5	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.045	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P13	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.046	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P14	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument

FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.047	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P13A	Amend	Should be included in the Freshwater Planning Instrument in relation to natural wetlands and rivers
FPI038	NZSki Ltd	FPI038.006	DEF - Definitions	Resilient or resilience	Amend	Means the capacity and ability to withstand or recover quickly from adverse conditions
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.002	DEF - Definitions	Natural environment	Amend	<p><u>Natural environment means:</u></p> <p><u>(a) land, water, air, soil, minerals, energy, and all forms of plants, animals and other living organisms, whether native to New Zealand or introduced, and their habitats,</u></p> <p><u>(b) ecosystems, their constituent parts and the natural processes that sustain these,</u></p> <p><u>(c) the natural landscape and landforms that are formed by the interactions between (a) and (b), and</u></p> <p><u>(d) excludes pests and domestic and farmed animals.</u></p>
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.003	DEF - Definitions	Minimise	Amend	<u>Minimise means to reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning.</u>
FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.004	DEF - Definitions	Precautionary approach	Amend	<p><u>Precautionary approach means an approach that:</u></p> <p><u>(a) avoids not acting due to uncertainty about the quality of quantity of the information available, and</u></p> <p><u>(b) interprets uncertain information in a way that best supports the health, well-being and resilience of the natural environment</u></p>

FPI037	Fish & Game	FPI037.005	DEF - Definitions	Restore	Amend	<u>Restore means to return to a state of good health, well-being and resilience.</u>
FPI038	NZSki Ltd	FPI038.004	DEF - Definitions	Minimise	Amend	Insert definition for "minimise", as below: <u>Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning.</u>
FPI038	NZSki Ltd	FPI038.005	DEF - Definitions	Natural environment	Amend	Insert definition of "Natural Environment", as follows: <u>Means (a) land, water, air, soil, minerals, energy, and all forms of plants, animals, and other living organisms (whether native to New Zealand or introduced) and their habitats; and (b) ecosystems and their constituent parts.</u>
FPI038	NZSki Ltd	FPI038.016	GEN - General submission	ECO-P3	Amend	(a) any <u>discernible</u> reduction of the area or values (even if those values are not themselves significant) identified under ECO-P2(1), or
FPI038	NZSki Ltd	FPI038.019	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I1	Amend	The Otago region is exposed to a wide variety of natural hazards that impact on people, property, infrastructure, historic heritage and the wider environment. When a <u>major</u> natural hazard event occurs, it is usually difficult and costly for a community to recover...
FPI038	NZSki Ltd	FPI038.022	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I7	Amend	Insert statement/discussion in this section about the need to restore biodiversity, not just maintain or protect what's left.

FPI039	Realnz	FPI039.001	GEN - General submission	New provision	Amend	Insert new provisions which explicitly promote the benefits of and provide for people's well-being, including the use of and access to the natural environment for transport, the visitor industry inclusive of commercial recreation, and ancillary commercial and industry services
FPI039	Realnz	FPI039.006	DEF - Definitions	Minimise	Amend	Insert definition for "minimise", as below: <u>Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning.</u>
FPI039	Realnz	FPI039.007	DEF - Definitions	Natural environment	Amend	Insert definition of "Natural Environment", as follows: <u>Means (a) land, water, air, soil, minerals, energy, and all forms of plants, animals, and other living organisms (whether native to New Zealand or introduced) and their habitats; and (b) ecosystems and their constituent parts.</u>
FPI039	Realnz	FPI039.008	DEF - Definitions	Resilient or resilience	Amend	Means the capacity and ability to withstand or recover quickly from adverse conditions.
FPI039	Realnz	FPI039.018	GEN - General submission	ECO-P3	Amend	(a) any <u>discernible</u> reduction of the area or values (even if those values are not themselves significant) identified under ECO-P2(1), or
FPI039	Realnz	FPI039.021	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I1	Amend	The Otago region is exposed to a wide variety of natural hazards that impact on people, property, infrastructure, historic heritage and the wider environment. When a <u>major</u> natural hazard event occurs, it is usually difficult and costly for a community to recover...

FPI039	Realnz	FPI039.024	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-17	Amend	Insert statement/discussion in this section about the need to restore biodiversity, not just maintain or protect what's left.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.004	GEN - General submission	Description of the Region	Amend	Amend Description of the Region to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriately record the significant role of the Food and Fibre Sector • Identify that the region is nationally recognised for its unique productive capacity and place in the national food and fibre supply network. • Identify that the unique climatic conditions create unique opportunities for the food and fibre sector, particularly horticulture and fine wool production. • Recognise the importance of efficient irrigation and water storage to the production of food and fibre
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.005	GEN - General submission	Cooperation and partnerships with stakeholders	Amend	Translate statement into a method that commits to the formation of a rural advisory panel.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.006	GEN - General submission	New provision	Amend	Insert a new provision for the Food and Fibre Sector: <u>includes the primary sector production industries (excluding mining), the related processing industries and services industries along the value chain from producer to final consumer including transporters, storage, distribution marketing and sales.</u>

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.007	GEN - General submission	New provision	Amend	Include a definition for highly productive land as follows: <u>a) Land that has been identified as highly productive land using LF-LS-P19; OR</u> <u>b) where identification has not occurred as in a), land in the rural area that is classified as LUC 1,2 3 or 4 as mapped by the NZ Land Resource Inventory or by more detailed site mapping.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.008	GEN - General submission	Abbreviations table	Support	Retain as notified
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.009	GEN - General submission	National directions instruments	Support	Retain as notified
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.010	GEN - General submission	MW-O1	Amend	Amend MW-01 Principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi as follows; The principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are given effect <u>taken into account by Local Authorities</u> in resource management processes and decisions, utilising a partnership approach between councils and <u>with</u> Papatipu Rūnaka to ensure that what is valued by mana whenua is actively protected in the region <u>support</u> Kai Tahu Values and Resources of significance.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.011	GEN - General submission	MW-P3	Amend	Amend MW-P3 Supporting Kai Tahu Wellbeing as follows; Natural and <u>Physical resources</u> are managed to support Kāi Tahu well-being by: 1. protecting customary uses, Kāi Tahu values and relationships of Kāi Tahu to resources and areas of significance, and restoring <u>enhancing</u> these uses and

						<p>values where they have been degraded by human activities,</p> <p>2. safeguarding the mauri and life supporting capacity <u>health and well-being</u> of natural resources <u>so as to provide for the mauri of these resources</u>, and;</p> <p>...</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.012	GEN - General submission	MW-E1	Amend	<p>Amend MW-E1 Explanation as follows;</p> <p>The policies in this section are designed to achieve MW–O1 by setting out the actions that must be undertaken by local authorities to ensure the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi <u>are taken into account</u> in resource management processes and decisions. The policies also require the development and implementation of planning tools which recognise the role of Kāi Tahu in resource management and ensure their engagement with and participation in resource management <u>that arises from a partnership approach with Local authorities.</u></p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.013	GEN - General submission	MW-PR1	Amend	<p>Amend MW-PR1 Principal Reasons as follows;</p> <p>...</p> <p>Local authorities need to incorporate Treaty principles into their decision making and ensure they are properly applied, to account for the effects of resource management decisions on Kāi Tahu values, including those described in iwi resource management plans. Deliberate measures need to be taken <u>by Local Authorities</u> to ensure the principles are well understood. The principles are broadly expressed <u>which can make it difficult for people to understand their implications and</u> a measure of flexibility is needed in applying them. <u>Local authorities have an important role in facilitating and</u></p>

						<u>providing clarity about the implementation of the principles at a practical level.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.014	GEN - General submission	MW-AER1	Amend	MW–AER1 Resource management processes and decisions <u>take into account</u> the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.015	GEN - General submission	Interpretation	Amend	Overall clarity and certainty of the pRPS would be enhanced by including te reo terms in the interpretation section.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.016	GEN - General submission	SRMR introduction	Amend	Amend SRMR Introduction as follows; Otago’s people and communities rely on the natural resources that Otago’s environment provides to enable their social, economic, and cultural well-being. Natural resources include freshwater (i.e. surface and groundwater, wetlands, estuaries), <u>land and soil</u> , terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems, coastal and marine ecosystems, and air, landscapes, vegetation and natural landforms. From an economic perspective natural resources support, and are impacted by, <u>food and fibre production</u> , urban development, industrial development, infrastructure, energy generation, transport, marine industries (fishing and aquaculture), tourism and mineral extraction. From a social and cultural perspective natural resources support and are impacted by <u>food and fibre sector production</u> , recreation, housing, and cultural activities (Refer Figure 2). <u>Food and fibre sector production, in particular secure food supply and security are essential to human health needs which are to be provided for through sustainable resource management.</u>

						<p>This RPS identifies the eleven most significant issues impacting the Otago region. Issues firstly considered include natural hazards, climate change, <u>food and fibre sector production</u>, pest species, water quantity and quality, and biodiversity loss, collectively the “natural asset based issues”.</p> <p>Figure 2 should also be amended to refer to food and fibre production</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.017	GEN - General submission	SRMR-I1	Amend	<p>Amend SRMR Statement as follows: An earthquake on the Alpine Fault would cause potentially catastrophic impacts on the entire region. Particular areas in Otago are prone to flooding. A major hazard event could isolate all or parts of Otago for an extended time. <u>Natural hazards pose a risk to the food and fibre sector, both in terms of short term provision of food, but also longer term productivity. The role of local food production will be essential in the event of a significant natural hazard. The resilience of the sector is reliant in part on the infrastructure that serves it, such as transport, electricity and communications networks.</u></p> <p>Amend SRMR Context as follows: The Otago region is exposed to a wide variety of natural hazards that impact on people <u>including housing, food and water</u>, property, infrastructure, historic heritage and the wider environment...</p> <p>Frequent heavy rainstorms, the steep gradients of many river catchments and human occupation of floodplains combine to make flooding the most frequently occurring natural hazard event in the Otago region. For example, flooding can affect Otago's main urban centres causing damage to housing and business disruption. <u>It can</u></p>

					<p><u>disrupt food and fibre production which can compromise food supply chains. It also creates animal welfare issues and damages productive land resulting in the likes of crop and infrastructure damage which takes considerable time and effort to reinstate. Recovering from these events can take a number of years.</u></p> <p>Amend Impact Snapshot as follows:</p> <p>Economic ...</p> <p>For industry, hazards can damage production assets and infrastructure with associated costs, disrupt service delivery and limit availability and access to goods and services, and cause decline in sales and increased costs. Loss or changes in production flows can be either temporary or permanent depending on financial resilience of businesses, which is a function of their existing loan commitments, credit worthiness insurance cover <u>and/or the speed at which normal service can resume (if at all).</u> This will often depend on the ability for <u>lifeline utilities to maintain or re-establish normal levels of service quickly.</u> Food security and fibre sector <u>production systems</u> can also be affected <u>impacting on the regional economy with immediate effect on jobs and longer-term effects on production value and domestic and export returns.</u></p> <p>Social ...</p> <p>... <u>There can be short and long terms impacts on the regional and nationally significant Otago food production system. The food supply chain can be disrupted, the extent of which will be influenced by the nature of the event and the ability of lifeline utilities and essential service providers to maintain or re-establish normal levels of service quickly.</u></p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.018	GEN - General submission	SRMR-I2	Amend	<p>Amend SRMR-I2 as follows: SRMR-I2 – Climate change is likely to <u>will</u> impact our economy and environment Statement...</p> <p>Otago’s climate is changing, and these changes will continue for the foreseeable future. Central Otago is likely to see more varied precipitation, leading to increased flooding and reduced water reliability. This will be compounded by stronger winds, increased temperatures and longer dry periods, which may affect the number and types of crops and animals that the land can sustain. <u>Food and fibre production systems will need to change in response and to maintain food supply and important fibre sources for the community.</u></p> <p>The impact of other climate change threats is unpredictable. <u>It is important to not create barriers to climate change adaptation and/or mitigation and for long-term climate change adaptation and/or mitigation to be actively facilitated to speed up the transition to a lower emissions economy.</u></p> <p>Context...</p> <p>The rate of future climate change depends on how fast greenhouse gas concentrations increase. <u>The region has an important role to play to reduce emissions including through land use production system adaptation and change.</u></p> <p>Impact Statement...</p> <p>... <u>Diversification to different farm systems and transition to lower emission production systems presents an opportunity to reduce emissions and support the transition to a low emissions economy. It is important that decision makers can assess the benefits of land use</u></p>
--------	-------	------------	--------------------------------	---------	-------	---

						<p><u>change and that the resource management framework facilitates these transitions by providing certainty to enable investment. This includes the utilisation of water to support low emission production systems.</u></p> <p>However, these benefits may be limited by negative effects of climate change such as prolonged drought and increased flood risk. Some of these impacts can be mitigated by adaptation, for example, planting new crops that are better suited to new climatic conditions or through changes in crop intensification, or water harvesting <u>and storage practices.</u></p> <p>Recognise the risk on water resources due to afforestation of plantation forests for carbon sequestration</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.019	GEN - General submission	SRMR-13	Amend	<p>Amend SRMR- 13 as follows:</p> <p>Include reference to Wallabies throughout SRMR-13</p> <p>SRMR–13 – Pest species pose an ongoing threat to indigenous biodiversity, <u>food and fibre production and food security</u>, economic activities and landscapes.</p> <p>Statement...</p> <p>Pest species can be found throughout Otago, from alpine to marine environments. Rabbits are changing Central Otago’s landscape, eroding soils and affecting agriculture. <u>Wallabies are an increasing risk with incursion beyond their containment zone and illegal liberations resulting in an expanding range within Otago, particularly Waitaki, Central Otago and Queenstown Lakes.</u> Wilding conifers threaten high country and tussock grassland, changing the landscape and impacting on recreational, hydrological and conservation values.</p>

						<p>Aquatic pests and weeds such as didymo, lake snow and lagarosiphon affect our lakes and rivers. Invasive marine species affect our marine waters. Native aquatic plants are displaced, impacting ecosystem and indigenous biodiversity health and recreation activities.</p> <p><u>Climate change will compound the impacts of existing pests and provide opportunities for new pests to establish themselves. This will potentially threaten food and fibre production systems and food supply and undermine community wellbeing.</u></p> <p>Impact snapshot/social...</p> <p>Recreation values can be impacted through loss of amenity, access or landscape values. Pests can also cause human health problems. For example, some weed pollens can induce asthma and cause allergies (e.g. hay fever). Zoonoses (bacterium, viruses, parasites, prions) can result in diseases being transferred from animals to humans and include, for example, leptospirosis and campylobacter. <u>Pests and biosecurity incursions can affect food and fibre production, food supply and food security matters.</u></p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.020	GEN - General submission	General submission	Amend	<p>Refer to Food and Fibre Sector instead of agriculture. This should be picked up throughout the plan.</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.021	GEN - General submission	SRMR-I4	Amend	<p>Include the loss of productive land (either directly though building on it, or indirectly though reverse sensitivity effects) as a social impact on food production and food security.</p> <p>Identify that water is another resource that can be adversely affected by poorly managed urban growth and development.</p>

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.024	GEN - General submission	SRMR-17	Amend	Environmental ... Add the following paragraph <u>Despite the above, in some cases land management or water use practices are enabling indigenous species to persist. It is therefore important to carefully manage significant changes in such practices where they might give rise to unintended consequences.</u> Economic ... Amend 'agriculture' to 'the food and fibre sector'.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.025	GEN - General submission	SRMR-18	Amend	Otago's coast is a rich natural, cultural and economic resource that is under threat from a range of terrestrial and marine activities, the context identifies that the activities occurring within or affecting the coastal environment include land and marine based (e.g. aquaculture) <u>food and fibre production...</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.027	GEN - General submission	SRMR-110	Amend	Delete the issue statement and replace with the following: <u>SRMR-110 – The planning framework in Otago has at times and in some locations failed to manage and protect Otago's natural and physical resources, resulting in environmental stresses and unknown future impacts.</u> Change reference to 'economic activities' to refer to 'activities' Amend Context paragraph 2 as follows: Delete first sentence and replace with: <u>Activities that rely on natural and physical resources can adversely impact those resources if not appropriately managed or controlled. If these impacts are not managed or controlled the sustainability of the regions natural resources can be threatened. Equally long-term</u>

						<p><u>economic, social and cultural values can be compromised or threatened.</u> Amend 'tipping point' to 'thresholds' Delete 'Business' from Impact Snapshot Social.</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.028	GEN - General submission	SRMR-I11	Amend	<p>Amend SRMR- I11 Statement as follows: How and/or where we currently live is likely to change significantly over the long-term (2050). To respond to all the issues identified in this RPS, it is essential to consider changes to how we travel, the industries our economy relies on, the use we currently make of the natural and physical resources of the region, and how we provide for <u>human health needs</u>, personal and community well-being, all while protecting our natural environment. Replace 'tipping point' with 'threshold' throughout the SRMR The Impact Snapshot – Social and Economic be redrafted so that's intent is clear and consistent language is used so as to avoid uncertainty.</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.029	GEN - General submission	SRMR-IX - new	Amend	<p><u>The Food and Fibre Sector is facing a significant period of change due to climate change and the need to improve environmental outcomes.</u> Statement: <u>The Food and Fibre sector is essential to the New Zealand and Otago economy. New Zealand is renowned for producing high quality products capable of achieving a premium price and providing food and materials for domestic consumption. This generates significant benefits for our economy and communities. However, the sector faces some significant challenges in the short, medium and long-term driven by climate change, requirements to improve environmental outcomes and global consumer trends. Otago is uniquely</u></p>

					<p><u>exposed to these challenges because of the profile of its economy. As such, particular attention needs to be paid to how change is managed.</u></p> <p><u>Context: The food and fibre sector accounts for a significant proportion of the Otago economy. For example, in Central Otago District 14.7% of GDP, compared to the national average of 6.2%⁵ . In the Clutha District, Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing make up 32.1% of GDP, and in the Waitaki District Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing make up 32.5% of GDP⁶ . This presents risks and opportunities for the region. It means that if change is managed poorly the region will feel the impacts of this disproportionately, but the reverse is also true. Regardless, careful management of change within the sector is important so that communities are not unnecessarily impacted in a negative way. This includes the potential downstream impacts of a successful transition that have the potential to create other challenges such as pressure for urban growth and associated infrastructure.</u></p> <p><u>Impact snapshot/environmental: The Food and Fibre sector cannot operate on a business as usual basis. Even in locations where water availability and water quality issues are not of concern adaptations will be necessary so that the sector is contributing to New Zealand's carbon zero 2050 commitments. However, demand for the products produced by the Food and Fibre Sector are likely to continue to increase and the ability of the sector to meet this demand will be critical to the wellbeing of the community, particularly with respect to the provision of nutrient dense food. It will be necessary for the sector to innovate to ensure it can meet the demands of the</u></p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

					<p><u>community while working within environmental limits. There needs to be a considered and integrated approach to land-use change so that it does not give rise to unintended consequences. For example, widespread establishment of carbon forestry may adversely affect water availability, and irreversibly remove land from food production.</u></p> <p><u>Impact snapshot/economic: As highlighted above the Food and Fibre sector has a critical role in the national and regional economy. Ultimately a well-managed transition to more efficient production methods is likely to result in higher wages through demand for more highly skilled staff etc. In the interim though change has the potential to come at significant cost to the sector. Individual producers will have varying capacity to implement changes due to their current capital structure etc. Regulatory changes that significantly compromise productivity are likely to impact on land value which will affect the equity position of some businesses and hamper their ability to implement further changes. These issues reinforce the need for a well-managed transition that allows a degree of flexibility, particularly in the medium term.</u></p> <p><u>Impact snapshot/social: It is not just the economic benefits associated with primary production that are important. A thriving Food and Fibre Sector supports thriving rural communities ensuring these communities continue to have viable populations that support wider community activities including schools, recreational clubs, businesses etc. In recent years the rural community has faced significant pressure due to widespread concern about the effects of rural activities</u></p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p><u>on the natural environment. These issues combined with the significant regulatory uncertainty arising as a result is having an adverse effect on the health and wellbeing of people within the rural sector. At its worst these pressures can result in suicide with suicide rates in rural communities significantly higher than in urban areas. This is another reason for ensuring that the transition required within sector is carefully managed with consideration given to the capacity of the community to sustain change.</u></p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.030	GEN - General submission	IM-01	Amend	<p>The management of natural and physical resources in Otago, by and for the people of Otago, including Kāi Tahu, and as expressed in all resource management plans and decision making, achieves healthy, resilient, and safeguarded natural systems, and the ecosystem services they offer and supports the <u>social, cultural and economic</u> well-being of present and future generations, (mō tātou, ā, mō kā uri ā muri ake nei).</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.031	GEN - General submission	IM-03	Oppose	<p>Delete IM-03 in its entirety</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.032	GEN - General submission	IM-04	Support	<p>Support</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.033	GEN - General submission	IM-P1	Amend	<p>(1) all activities are carried out within the environmental constraints limits and framework of this RPS,</p>

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.034	GEN - General submission	IM-P2	Oppose	Delete provision
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.035	GEN - General submission	IM-P4	Amend	(1) protects <u>has regard to</u> their intrinsic values,
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.036	GEN - General submission	IM-P6	Amend	Avoid unreasonable delays in decision-making processes Decision making is informed by complete and scientifically robust data or, where obtaining such data is not practicable, by consideration of best available information including modelling, by using the best information available at the time, including but not limited to mātauraka Māori, local knowledge, and reliable partial data.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.037	GEN - General submission	IM-P9	Amend	By 2030 Otago's communities have <u>shall</u> established responses for adapting to the impacts of climate change, <u>including provision for how they are</u> adjusting their lifestyles to follow them , and are reducing their greenhouse gas emissions to achieve net-zero carbon emissions by 2050.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.038	GEN - General submission	IM-P10	Amend	<u>(4) provide short, medium and long term measures that enable rural communities to adapt and provides certainty to support the investment required to implement change.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.039	GEN - General submission	IM-P11	Amend	Enhance environmental resilience to the adverse effects of climate change by facilitating activities that reduce human impacts on the environment <u>support this.</u>

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.040	GEN - General submission	IM-P12	Oppose	Delete or amend to be consistent with the purpose of the Resource Management Act
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.041	GEN - General submission	IM-P13	Oppose	Delete
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.042	GEN - General submission	IM-P14	Oppose	Delete or amend as follows: Preserve opportunities for future generations by <u>when preparing Regional and District Plans:</u> (1) identifying limits to both growth and adverse effects of human activities beyond which the environment will be degraded, (2) requiring that activities occur within those limits, are established in places, and carried out in ways, that are within those limits and are compatible with the natural capabilities and capacities of the resources they rely on, and ...
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.043	GEN - General submission	IM-P15	Oppose	Delete
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.044	GEN - General submission	IM-M5	Amend	<u>5) Enable appropriate water storage solutions to mitigate the effects of climate change</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.045	GEN - General submission	IM-E1	Amend	Consequential amendments to give effect to relief sought

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.046	GEN - General submission	IM-PR1	Amend	The provisions seek to enshrine an explicit recognition and implementation of these facets into plan making and resource consenting processes. They set an expectation of integrated resource management that flows through to all other provisions of the RPS, and informs the limits and thresholds we set on human activities for protecting environmental health...
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.047	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	LF-WAI-P2	Amend	Consequential amendments to give effect to relief sought in LF-WAI-P1
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.048	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	LF-WAI-P3	Amend	Manage the use of fresh water and land in accordance with tikaka and kawa , using an integrated approach that: ... <u>(3A) sustains food and fibre production to provide for the social, cultural, economic and health needs of the community</u> ... <u>(6A) has regard to the need to reduce emissions that contribute to climate change including enabling changes to activities that will contribute to emission reductions.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.049	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	LF-WAI-P4	Oppose	Delete policy
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.053	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	LF-WAI-E1	Amend	Amend paragraph 3 as follows: The mauri expresses mana and connection for which can only be defined by mana whenua. Amend paragraph 5 as follows: Kawa and tikaka have been developed over the generations, based on customs and values associated

						<p>with the Māori world view that span the generations- recognising and honouring Implementing Te Mana o te Wai and upholding to protect the health of freshwater upholds the mauri of the wai and is consistent with this value base.</p> <p>Add the following paragraph: <u>Water is valued by the community for a wide variety of reasons. Including productive and recreational values. The ability to utilise water for productive purposes supports a significant proportion of the Otago economy with associated downstream economic and social activity. Water also provides the food and fibre sector with an important resource to build resilience against adverse events including flooding and drought. Access to water, within appropriate environmental limits is an important contributor achieving social, cultural and economic wellbeing within Otago.</u></p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.054	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	New AER	Amend	<p><u>There is balance achieved between water, the wider environment and the community that allows the community to be healthy and provide for its social economic and cultural wellbeing.</u></p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.055	LF-VM - Visions and management	LF-VM-M3	Amend	<p>(1) engaging <u>and partnering</u> with communities to identify environmental outcomes for Otago’s FMUs and rohe and the methods to achieve those outcomes, (2) encouraging community stewardship, <u>including through catchment groups</u> of water resources and programmes to address freshwater issues at a local catchment level, (3) supporting community initiatives, <u>including water storage</u>, that contribute to maintaining or improving the health and well-being of water bodies, and ...</p>

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.056	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P12	Amend	(3) recognising that for infrastructure, EIT-INF- P13 applies instead of LF-FW-P12.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.059	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P13	Amend	(3) recognising that for infrastructure, EIT-INF- P13 applies instead of LF-FW-P13. Delete Clause (3) of LF-FW-P13 and add a new flow setting policy as below: NEW: Policy LF-FW-FS1: <u>Set environmental flow regimes within Otago lakes and rivers in accordance with the FMU objectives and the NOF limit setting process in Appendix 1 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.060	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P14	Amend	(1) restore a form and function that reflect the natural behaviours of the water body, <u>recognising where applicable the effect that infrastructure may have had on the form and function of the water body,</u> And Delete Clause (5) of LF-FW-P14
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.062	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-O11	Amend	The life-supporting capacity of Otago’s soil resources is safeguarded and the availability and productive capacity of <u>highly productive</u> land for primary <u>Food and Fibre Sector</u> production is maintained now and for future generations.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.063	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-O12	Support	Retain as notified
FPI041	McArthur Ridge Vineyard Ltd	FPI041.011	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-O12	Support	Retain as notified

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.066	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-P16	Support	Retain as notified
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.067	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-P17	Amend	Maintain the maori health, <u>well-being</u> and productive potential of soils by managing the use and development of land in a way that is suited to the natural soil characteristics and that sustains healthy:
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.068	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-O5	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.071	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-O6	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.072	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-P10	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.073	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-P11	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.074	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-P12	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.078	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-E2	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.079	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-13	Amend	<p>(2) If it is not possible to avoid locating in the areas list in (1) above because of the functional or operational needs of the infrastructure manage effects as follows:</p> <p>(a) in significant natural areas in accordance with ECO-P46,</p> <p>...</p> <p>(c) In other areas listed in EIT-INF-p13(1) above minimise the adverse effects of the infrastructure on the values that contribute to the area's importance in accordance with the effects management hierarchy (other matters)</p>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.080	DEF - Definitions	Effects management hierarchy (other matters)	Amend	<p>Add new definition of “Effects Management Hierarchy (other matters) <u>means an approach to managing the adverse effects (including cumulative effects and loss of potential value) of an activity on the extent or values of a, outstanding natural feature or landscape outstanding water bodies (excluding natural wetlands), area of high or outstanding natural character, area or place of significant or outstanding historic heritage, wahi tapu, wahi taoka, areas with protected customary rights, and areas of high recreational and high amenity value that requires that:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Adverse effects are avoided where practicable,</u></p> <p><u>(b) Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised where practicable,</u></p> <p><u>(c) Where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied where practicable,</u></p> <p><u>(d) Where adverse effects cannot be remedied, they are mitigated to the extent practicable,</u></p>

						<u>(e) Where more than minor adverse effects cannot be avoided, minimised, remedied or mitigated offsetting and/or environmental compensation must be considered, where appropriate.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.081	GEN - General submission	ECO-P4(1)	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.083	GEN - General submission	ECO-P6	Amend	Amend to refer to infrastructure generally.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.084	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-M4	Amend	Amend the provisions to take into account the functional and operational needs of infrastructure.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.085	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-M5	Amend	Amend the provisions to take into account the functional and operational needs of infrastructure.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.086	GEN - General submission	EIT-INF-AER8	Amend	The adverse effects associated with nationally and regionally significant infrastructure are <u>avoided and minimised to the extent practicable in accordance with the effects management hierarchy.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.087	GEN - General submission	HAZ-NH-P11	Amend	Amend to apply to all owners of freehold land that is susceptible to natural hazards
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.088	GEN - General submission	HAZ-NH-M2	Amend	Amend clause (1) to require the development of the Risk Table(s) to be undertaken by December 2023.

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.089	GEN - General submission	HAZ-NH-M3	Amend	Amend clause (7)(a) to add <u>commensurate with the level of risk from the proposed activity</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.090	GEN - General submission	HAZ-NH-M4	Amend	Amend clause (7)(a) to add <u>commensurate with the level of risk from the proposed activity</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.091	GEN - General submission	HCV-HH-P5	Amend	If the amendments sought to EIT-INF-P13 are accepted, then retain clause (6) of HCV-HH-P5. Alternatively, amend clause (6) of Policy HCV-HH-P5 to manage adverse effects on historic heritage for infrastructure that is not nationally or regionally significant in accordance with clauses (3) to (5) of Policy HCV-HH-P5
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.092	GEN - General submission	NFL-P1	Amend	In order to manage outstanding and highly valued natural features and landscapes, identify <u>across Otago</u> :...
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.093	GEN - General submission	NFL-P2	Amend	(3) recognising that for infrastructure, EIT-INF- P13 applies instead of NFL-P2.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.094	GEN - General submission	NFL-P3	Amend	(2) recognising that for infrastructure, EIT- INF-P13 applies instead of NFL-P3. Alternatively, OWRUG seek that clause (6) of Policy HCV-HH-P5 is amended to manage adverse effects on historic heritage for infrastructure that is not nationally or regionally significant in accordance with clauses (3) to (5).

FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.095	GEN - General submission	NFL-M1	Amend	Introduce maps of the identified 'outstanding and highly valued natural features and landscapes' into the RPS.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.096	GEN - General submission	UFD-O2	Amend	Minimises conflict between incompatible activities <u>within the urban area and at the urban-rural interface.</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.097	GEN - General submission	UFD-O3	Amend	...regionally significant features and values identified by this RPS <u>including highly productive land, and recognises the importance of rural land for productive capacity, rural character, and long-term viability of the food and fibre sector and rural communities</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.098	GEN - General submission	UFD-O4	Amend	(3) ... or zoned within district plans as suitable for such development, <u>and recognises the importance of rural land for productive capacity, rural character, and long-term viability of the rural sector and rural communities</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.099	GEN - General submission	UFD-P1	Amend	(8) ...important features and values identified by this RPS <u>including highly productive land,</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.100	GEN - General submission	UFD-P4	Amend	(7) locates the new urban/rural zone boundary interface by considering : (a) <u>avoiding or minimising</u> adverse effects, particularly reverse sensitivity, on rural areas and existing or potential productive rural activities beyond the new boundary, and ...
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.101	GEN - General submission	UFD-P7	Amend	Amend Clause (1) to specify the important features and values that are provided for.

						Amend Clause (2) to recognise that productive capacity can occur within such areas and should not be precluded.
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.102	GEN - General submission	UFD-P8	Amend	<u>Avoids, and where avoidance is not possible, minimises impacts on rural production potential, rural character and potential for reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities in adjoining rural zones</u>
FPI043	OWRUG	FPI043.103	GEN - General submission	UFD-M2		Minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity effects to arise by managing the location of incompatible activities <u>within the urban area and at the rural-urban interface</u> Amend Clause (4) to refer to UPD-P3: Urban intensification. Amend Clause (5) to refer to UPD-P4: Urban expansion.
FPI046	QLDC	FPI046.009	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-O10	Support	Retain as notified
FPI046	QLDC	FPI046.028	DEF - Definitions	Other	Amend	Add definition of restoration as follows: <u>Restoration means the active intervention and management of modified or degraded habitats, ecosystems, landforms, and landscapes in order to maintain or reinstate indigenous natural character, ecological and physical processes, and cultural and visual qualities, and may include enhancement activities.</u>
FPI008	Green Peace Aotearoa + 1259 supporters	FPI008.009	IM – Integrated Management	IM-P2	Support	We support the statement that all decisions shall 1. secure the long term life supporting capacity and mauri of the natural environment, 2. promote the health needs of people and 3. safeguard the ability of people and communities to provide for social, economic and cultural wellbeing now and into the future.

FPI008	Green Peace Aotearoa + 1259 supporters	FPI008.012	IM – Integrated Management	IM–P4	Support	We support the protection of intrinsic values through a long term strategic approach (which) recognises and provides for ecosystem complexity and connection and anticipates and responds swiftly to changes, pressures and trends.
FPI008	Green Peace Aotearoa + 1259 supporters	FPI008.013	IM – Integrated Management	IM–P5	Support	IMP5 - We support the objective to achieve ‘Coordinated management and integration of natural and physical resources beyond immediate boundaries, and effects of activities’, beyond the freshwater management subunits, and on other values and environments. Consideration of subunits should sit within catchments and within broader ecosystems, including the impacts on and of freshwater management (or mismanagement) also impacting marine (ref SRMR 16, 17 & 18) and terrestrial ecology and broader systems such as the climate.
FPI008	Green Peace Aotearoa + 1259 supporters	FPI008.016	IM – Integrated Management	IM–P14	Amend	We support the preservation of opportunities for future generations... this RPS must acknowledge, and seek to limit, reduce and phase out the resource inputs and uses that drive this overstretch (specifically synthetic nitrogen fertiliser and intensive dairy farming).
FPI008	Green Peace Aotearoa + 1259 supporters	FPI008.017	IM – Integrated Management	IM–P15	Support	We support the adoption of the precautionary approach. That’s why the RPS needs to go hard, and phase out synthetic nitrogen fertiliser, improve river flows, to reclaim wild river margins from farming and support regenerative agriculture.

FPI008	Green Peace Aotearoa + 1259 supporters	FPI008.021	IM – Integrated Management	IM–AER1	Support	We support monitoring to show limits and thresholds set for human activities are adhered to and result in environmental wellbeing and resilience.
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.001	DEF - Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Allow NES-PF definition for earthworks to prevail in situations where plantation forestry activities are being carried out
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.002	RMIA - Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	RMIA-WAI-15	Amend	Change wording of the final bullet point to remove the reference to exotic afforestation as other changes to land use can also impact water flows and retention patterns.
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.003	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-M3	Amend	Remove “including the impacts of harvesting plantation forestry” from 4.d.ii
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.004	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-M4	Amend	Amend the current wording. Allow NES-PF definition for earthworks to prevail in situations where plantation forestry activities are being carried out
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.005	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-M12	Amend	Either include controls for other land use changes (e.g. conversion to indigenous forest, or conversion to sheep & beef farming etc) OR remove 1A in regards to only controlling plantation forestry activities
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.006	ECO - Ecosystems	ECO-P9	Support	Retain as notified

			and Biodiversity			
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.007	ECO - Ecosystems and Biodiversity	ECO-E1	Amend	Include a footnote explaining Section 32 analysis in paragraph 3
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.008	HAZ - Hazards and Risks	HCV-HH-M5	Amend	Delete the need to obtain a resource consent. Point plan users to Heritage New Zealand and the need to obtain an Archaeological Authority.
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.011	HAZ - Hazards and Risks	HCV-HH-M4	Amend	Delete the need to obtain a resource consent. Point plan users to Heritage New Zealand and the need to obtain an Archaeological Authority.
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.009	NFL - Natural Features and Landscapes	NFL-P5	Support	Retain as notified
FPI015	PF Olsen	FPI015.010	Appendices	APP5	Amend	Remove generic pine and larch species from the list. Remove Radiata pine from the list
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.020	MW - Mana Whenua	MW-M1	Support	I support close collaboration with Ngāi Tahu on environmental matters.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.021	MW - Mana Whenua	MW-M1	Amend	Amend to provide for the substantial recognition and environmental improvement for sites identified in MW – M1.

FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.022	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	Introduction	Amend	At the top of page 66, add ongoing loss of wetlands and tussock uplands as additional significant resource issues for the region.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.023	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I2	Amend	Specify reduced river flows as reduced water reliability is too vague.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.024	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I2	Amend	Include increased algal growth and algal blooms as recognised hazards
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.025	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I2	Amend	Include altered chemical composition of lakes due to the melting of glaciers and permanent snow as an effect of climate change in this pre-amble
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.026	SRMR - Significant resource management	SRMR-I4	Amend	Emphasis on the value of urban waterways throughout the document is required. The protection and enhancement of urban waterways should feature more strongly in the RPS

			issues for the region			
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.027	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I10	Amend	Amend so that Hydro-electricity generation have tightened requirements and power companies have to increase mitigation of their effects.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.028	SRMR - Significant resource management issues for the region	SRMR-I11	Support	Much improved monitoring and testing. A pro-active approach rather than the current passive approach. Listening and responding to input from concerned members of the public
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.029	RMIA - Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	RMIA-WAI-I2	Amend	Amend to include separate recognition that in many cases that current management does not meet the cultural expectations of the rest of the community as well
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.030	RMIA - Resource management issues of significance to iwi	RMIA-WAI-I4	Support	Retain as notified

			authorities in the region			
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.031	RMIA - Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	RMIA-WAI-I5	Amend	Amend to greatly improve coordination of planning and communication with other agencies such as DOC, the Access Commission, LINZ and others
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.032	RMIA - Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	RMIA-MKB-I1	Amend	Amend to include that together with other agencies carefully regulate commercial exploitation of important native species including whitebait, eels, freshwater crayfish. Together with other agencies investigate and monitor runs of smelt into Otago estuaries and take steps to ensure that they have a healthy environment for breeding.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.033	IM- Integrated Management	IM-P2	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.034	IM- Integrated Management	IM-P3	Amend	Amend to include recognition of valued introduced species.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.035	IM- Integrated Management	IM-P6	Amend	This should be combined with taking a precautionary approach when adequate data is lacking.

FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.036	IM-Integrated Management	IM-P7	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.037	IM-Integrated Management	IM-P14	Amend	Amend IMP – 14(3) to include more support for active monitoring of the environment.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.038	IM-Integrated Management	IM-P15	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.039	IM-Integrated Management	IM-AER1	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.040	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-O1	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.041	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-O2	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.042	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-O3	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.043	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-O4	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.044	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-O5	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.045	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-P5	Amend	Amend CE – P5(2)(d) to include the protection and remediation of the

						estuaries, lagoons and coastal wetlands associated with both mouths of the Clutha.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.046	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-P8	Amend	The RPS should note that when planting is being planned that consideration should be given to maintaining access to and along the margin of water bodies.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.047	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-P11	Amend	Add provision for consideration given to pollution/environmental degradation caused by aquaculture such as salmon farming
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.048	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-M2	Amend	Include both mouths of the Clutha/Mata-au in Table 2
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.049	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-M3	Amend	Amend CE-M3 so that the wording is “ <u>discover and map</u> areas of deteriorated water quality...”. To get an accurate map more testing will need to be done
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.050	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-M3	Amend	Amend to include specific mention of agricultural discharges into estuaries and coastal lagoons
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.051	CE - Coastal Environment	CE-M3	Amend	Amend CE – M3(11) to allow for controlled grazing of stock where this is necessary and appropriate.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.052	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	LF-WAI-P2	Support	Retain as notified
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.053	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	LF-WAI-P3	Amend	With other regulatory bodies ensure protection of eels, freshwater crayfish, whitebait and migratory smelt.

FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.054	LF-WAI - Te Mana o te Wai	LF-WAI-P3	Amend	A new section of the RPS needs to be generated that recognises the cultural significance of valued introduced species and provides for protecting the environment for these species such as game fish and birds. This is separate from Mahika Kai but follows the same principles relating to gathering healthy food from a healthy environment
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.055	LF-VM - Visions and management	LF-VM-M3	Amend	Interact with and encourage development of Catchment Groups and Catchment Plans. Provide coordination to ensure that there are not too many different organisations working to separate plans in the same catchment.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.056	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P13	Amend	Work with other relevant bodies, of which there are many, to develop a plan for maintenance of the Waitaki riverbed that includes maintenance of natural character and braiding
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.057	LF-FW - Freshwater	LF-FW-P14	Amend	Amend LF-FW-P14(4) to ensure that when plans are made for native planting of water margins that provision is made for access to the water margin and along the water margin. Avoid putting large obstructive plants immediately adjacent to water margins.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.062	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-P22	Amend	Amend LF-LS-P22 to consider public access when planting water margins
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.058	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-M11	Amend	Amend LF-LS-M11(a) so that individual farm plans should be informed by a related catchment plan.
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.059	LF-LS - Land and soil	LF-LS-M12	Support	Retain as notified

FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.060	ECO - Ecosystems and Biodiversity	ECO-M6	Support	ORC to ensure that it has good systems for engagement with the public and response to those engagements
FPI007	John Highton	FPI007.061	EMON – Monitoring the efficiency and effectiveness of the policy statement	General submission	Support	Ensure a very active monitoring programme is put into effect

Appendix 2: FMU and rohe descriptions

Appendix 2: FMU and rohe descriptions

The information in this appendix has been drawn from section 2 of Ozanne, R. (2021). State and trends of river and lake quality in the Otago region 2000-2020. Otago Regional Council, Dunedin.

Clutha/Mata-au FMU: Upper Lakes rohe




Figure 1: Upper Lakes rohe boundaries

The Upper Lakes Rohe encompasses Whakatipu Waimāori/Lake Wakatipu, Lake Wānaka, and Lake Hāwea and all the tributaries that flow into them, making this a pristine, high value area. The headwaters of the catchment are predominantly in rugged, steep terrain with the highest point, Mt. Aspiring, reaching 3027m. Numerous headwater streams such as the Dart River and Mātakitaki (Matukituki) River originate along the eastern boundary of the Southern Alps and are fed by permanent glaciers.

The rivers and streams of the Upper Lakes rohe cover a broad range of river types, however based on the River Environment Classification (REC), cool/wet and cool/extremely wet rivers are a significant contributor to total river length throughout the rohe. The REC classes 'wet' rivers as having a mean annual rainfall of 500 mm to 1500 mm; 'extremely wet' rivers are classed as having a mean annual rainfall greater than 1500 mm. Water yields from these streams and rivers is high.

Also of significance is the proportion of glacial rivers. The high proportion of native cover in the upper catchments of the large lakes falls in areas of high to very high rain and snowfall. This provides large volumes flowing from pristine catchments of exceptional quality that feeds the Southern Great Lakes.

Clutha/Mata-au FMU: Dunstan rohe




Figure 2: Dunstan rohe boundaries

The Dunstan Rohe is essentially the mid-section of the Clutha FMU and encompasses the Kawarau River and the Clutha River/Mata-au from the outflows of Whakatipu Waimāori/Lake Wakatipu, Lake Wānaka, and Lake Hāwea. The rohe boundary is at the Clyde Dam. The rohe encompasses dryer catchments where water use is high and where there are water quality pressures and high growth. The major tributaries of the Dunstan rohe include the Cardrona River (347km²), Luggate Creek (123km²), Lindis River (1039km²) and the Shotover River (1091km²).

Manuherekia rohe




Figure 3: Manuherekia rohe boundaries

The Manuherekia catchment is located north-east of Alexandra, Central Otago, and is the largest sub-catchment of the Clutha/Mata-au catchment. It has a distinct community, high water use and highly modified hydrology. The Manuherekia has a catchment area of approximately 3035km².

The Manuherekia catchment can be divided into two major sub-catchments. The eastern Ida Valley drains the eastern and south-eastern Otago uplands ('Rough Ridge'). The western Manuherekia Valley is separated from the Ida Valley by the central Raggedy Range, where the Idaburn River drains through a single gorge into the Manuherekia River. The river's headwaters are in the Hawkdun Range, and the catchment is surrounded by mountainous terrain, except to the south-west, where it joins the Clutha River/Mata-au at Alexandra (Kiensle, 2008).

Low rainfall in the valley bottoms led to the early development of extensive water storage and irrigation schemes. Consequently, three reservoirs were established in the Manuherekia catchment to provide water for irrigation. Falls Dam was built in 1935 to capture the high rainfall water supply in the northern high-altitude part of the catchment and has a capacity of 11 Mm³. Poolburn Reservoir was constructed in 1931, with a capacity of 26 Mm³, while Manorburn Reservoir was built in 1935 and has a capacity of 51 Mm³ (Kiensle, 2008).

There are several major irrigation schemes. Flow of the Manuherekia River is partly controlled by releases from Falls Dam. Blackstone Hill, Omakau, Manuherekia, and Galloway irrigation schemes take water out of the Manuherekia River and distribute the water through a network of open water channels to irrigate the Manuherekia Valley. The Poolburn Reservoir is used to store water to irrigate Ida Valley. Water from the Manorburn Reservoir is partly diverted into the Manuherekia Valley over an open water race to irrigate the upper Galloway Irrigation Scheme. The rest of the Manorburn water is used for irrigation in the Ida Valley (Kiensle, 2008).

The contribution of water from the Ida Valley to the mainstem Manuherekia is minimal during the summer months. For example, during the 2008-2009 irrigation season the Pool Burn at Cobb Cottage (after the confluence of the Idaburn and Poolburn, prior to entering the gorge) had a median flow of 50 l/s, compared to a median flow of 4433 l/s in the Manuherekia at Ophir.¹ This is important to note when considering the contribution of water quality contaminants in the lower Manuherekia River during the irrigation season.

Roxburgh rohe




Figure 11: Roxburgh rohe

The Roxburgh rohe is bounded to the north by the Clyde Dam and to the South at Beaumont. The Rohe covers just over 1,000 square kilometres and encompasses catchments of the Fraser River (327km²), Lake Onslow and the Teviot River (332km²) and the Bencher Burn (131km²) as well as small tributaries entering the Clutha between Clyde and Beaumont. Lake Onslow is a man-made 830ha lake at the head of the Teviot River, 700m above sea level.

Lower Clutha rohe




Figure 12: Lower Clutha rohe

The Lower Clutha rohe runs from Beaumont to the Pacific Ocean where the Clutha River/Mata-au discharges to the sea near Balclutha. The Lower Clutha Rohe includes the catchments of the Tuapeka River (249km²), Pōumāhaka (Pomahaka) River (2,060km²), Waipahī River (339km²), Te Waiwhero (Waiwera) River (208km²) and the Waitāhuna River (406km²).

The Pōumāhaka River is the largest catchment of the Lower Clutha Rohe. The upper reaches of the Pōumāhaka catchment are steep and dominated by tussock, while the lower reaches are primarily pastoral rolling hill country and intensively managed land. Soils are generally poorly drained requiring artificial drainage predominantly in the form of tile drains. If inappropriately managed, these tile and mole drains accelerate water and associated contaminant flows of nitrogen, phosphorous and bacteria to local watercourses.

ORC monitors 14 river sites and one lake in the Lower Clutha rohe.

Taiari FMU




Figure 13: Taiari rohe

The Taiari River rises in the Lammerlaw and Lammermoor Ranges at 1,150m above sea level. The river flows through the dry Mānīatoto (Maniototo) Plain, Strath Taiari Plain and the low-lying Taiari Plain before reaching the Pacific Ocean about 30km south-west of Dunedin. The main tributaries of the Taiari River are the Kyeburn, Sutton Stream, Deep Stream, Lee Stream, Silverstream and the Waipōuri River. The upper Taiari headwaters drain a relatively undeveloped area of native tussock country on the northern side of the Lammerlaw Range. The river then flows through the dry, 660km² area of the Mānīatoto Plain, west of the Rock and Pillar Range. The river follows an extremely meandering course through large Scroll Plains in the Mānīatoto. These are unique and scientifically important features of the upper catchment. Beyond the northern end of the Rock and Pillar Range, the Kye Burn flows into the Taiari and contributes high levels of sediment to the river. These high sediment loads may be in part due to historic gold mining activities in the Kye Burn Catchment.

The midreaches of the Taiari River flow through the smaller Strath Taiari Plain (occupying an area of 85km²), past Middlemarch, and through the Taiari Gorge. Many small tributaries join the main stem of the river along this sub-region.

Most of the human settlement is in the lower Taiari Catchment on the Taiari Plain (occupying an area of 180km²), where the town of Mosgiel is located. The floodplain area is intensively farmed (mostly dairying). The lower Taiari River is joined by the Silverstream, which provides high quality trout spawning and nursery habitats for the river fishery. A large floodplain and the associated Lake Waipōuri/Waihola wetland complex are the dominant features of the lower catchment. Part of the lower Taiari plain lies below sea level, and the potential for flooding has resulted in extensive flood protection works, including floodbank construction and channel straightening (e.g., the lower Silverstream) which has significantly altered the physical habitat quality of some river reaches.

North Otago FMU



Figure 15: North Otago rohe

The North Otago FMU contains parts of the lower Waitaki Plains; the Kākaunui (Kakanui) catchment that includes the Kākaunui River, Kauru River and Waiareka Creek; the Waianakarua Stream and Trotters Creek along with river catchments that drain independently to the sea; and to the south, the Shag River and Waikōuaiti River.

From its source in the Kākaunui Mountains, the Kākaunui River flows north-east for about 40km, through gorges incised in rolling or downland country, before emerging onto plains at Clifton. The Kākaunui River's water resource is heavily used for irrigation. In recent times concern has been expressed about agricultural intensification and subsequent degradation of water quality. The lower Kākaunui River and Waiareka Creek are dominated by a mixture of beef, sheep, deer, cropping and particularly since the introduction of irrigation water into the Waiareka Creek catchment by the NOIC irrigation scheme dairy farming. In contrast, land use in the Kauru and upper Kākaunui are typified by red tussock, native forest, plantation forestry or pasture for red deer, sheep and beef. The water quality in the alluvial gravels of the Kauru River and the main-stem Kākaunui River, particularly upstream of Gemmels Crossing, is influenced by groundwater surface water interaction. There is very little groundwater surface water interaction in Waiareka Creek.

The Waianakarua River is a small river with a catchment area of 262km² which rises in the Horse Range and Kākaunui Mountains in North Otago. Much of the catchment consists of extensively grazed grasslands and scrub, native forest, and plantation forestry but intensification of land use in the lower catchment has occurred in recent years.

The Shag River catchment covers an area of 550km². The Shag River is a medium sized river with its headwaters originating on the south-western slopes of Kākaunui Peak in the Kākaunui Mountains. From here it flows 90km in a south-easterly direction past the township of Palmerston before entering the Pacific Ocean to the south of Shag Point. The Shag catchment is dominated by extensive agriculture and forestry with some short-rotation cropping in the lower catchment. There is currently no dairy farming in the Shag catchment, although some farms are used for dairy support (Olsen, 2014).

The Waikōuaiti catchment area covers 421km², the river has two main branches, the North Branch and South Branch. The North Branch has a catchment area of 283km² and the South Branch a catchment area of 86km². The remaining 52km² includes the area downstream of the confluence of the two main branches along with the Waikōuaiti Estuary. The headwaters of the north branch originate in the Macraes Flat area, whereas the south branch drains the northern slopes of the Silver Peaks.

Catlins FMU



Figure 16: Catlins rohe

In the South of Otago, the Catlins FMU contains a collection of smaller catchments that feed into the sea south of the Clutha / Mata-au catchment. This FMU contains Otago's portion of the Catlins Conservation Park. The coast is dominated by sandy bays and cliffs and from there, the land rises steadily from the south-east to north-west, reaching its maximum altitude (720m) at Mt Pye, in the headwaters of the Tahakopa River and Pounawea (Catlins River), and then it falls again, through rolling country, towards the Matura River (in Southland) and the Clinton lowlands. The forested ridges provide a contrast to the cleared valleys, where more intensive agricultural activities are present. Headwaters of all major rivers rising from within the Catlins have their vegetation intact.

ORC monitors four rivers in the Catlins FMU: Pounawea (Catlins River), Ōwaka River, Maclennan River and the Tahakopa. Pounawea flows south-eastward. Its total length is 42km and it shares its estuary with the Ōwaka River, which flows into the Pacific Ocean at Pounawea, 28km south of Balclutha. The Ōwaka River is 30km long and flows south-east. Its source is on the slopes of Mt Rosebery. The Tahakopa River flows south-east through the Catlins. Its total length is 32km, and it flows into the Pacific Ocean 30km east of Waikawa, close to the settlement of Papatowai. The Maclennan River is 17.5km long and enters the Tahakopa River near Maclennan.

Appendix 3: State and trends of lake and river water quality in the Otago region 2000-2020

**State and Trends of River and Lake
Water Quality in the
Otago Region
2000-2020**

Otago Regional Council
Private Bag 1954, Dunedin 9054
70 Stafford Street, Dunedin 9016
Phone 03 474 0827
www.orc.govt.nz

© Copyright for this publication is held by the Otago Regional Council. This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, provided the source is fully and clearly acknowledged.

ISBN

Report writer: Rachel Ozanne, Freshwater Science Team, Otago Regional Council

Data and statistical analyses performed by: Landwaterpeople (LWP Ltd)

Reviewed by: LWP Ltd

Published

Contents

Executive Summary	14
1 Introduction	15
2 Otago Region	16
2.1 Region Description	16
2.2 Freshwater management units	16
2.3 Upper Lakes Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)	18
2.4 Dunstan Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)	18
2.5 Manuherekia Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)	18
2.6 Roxburgh Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)	19
2.7 Lower Clutha Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)	19
2.8 Taieri FMU	19
2.9 Dunedin Coast FMU	20
2.10 North Otago FMU	20
2.11 Catlins FMU	21
3 ORC monitoring programme	22
3.1 Water Quality Sites	22
3.2 Water quality variables	22
3.2.1 Phytoplankton, Periphyton and Nutrients	22
3.2.2 Toxicants	23
3.2.3 Suspended sediment	23
3.2.4 Aquatic Life	24
3.2.5 Escherichia coli (E. coli)	25
4 Methods	26
4.1 Water Quality State Analysis	26
4.1.1 Grading of monitoring sites	26
4.1.2 Handling censored values	28
4.1.3 Time-period for assessments	28
4.1.4 Calculation of water clarity	29
4.1.5 pH Adjustment of Ammonia	29
4.1.6 Evaluation of compliance statistics	29
4.2 Water Quality Trend Analysis	30
4.2.1 River water quality data	30
4.2.2 Lake quality data	30
4.2.3 Flow data	30
4.2.4 Sampling dates, seasons, and time-periods for analyses	30

4.2.5	Handling censored values	32
4.2.6	Flow adjustment	33
4.2.7	Seasonality assessment.....	34
4.2.8	Analysis of trends.....	34
4.2.9	Trend direction assessment.....	34
4.2.10	Assessment of trend rate.....	35
4.2.11	Evaluating changes in discontinuous data.....	36
4.2.12	Interpretation of trends.....	37
4.2.13	River data availability.....	38
5	Results Overview.....	39
6	Upper Lakes Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)	40
6.1	State Analysis Results.....	41
6.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	44
6.1.2	Toxicants	44
6.1.3	Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)	44
6.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	44
6.1.5	Human health for recreation	45
6.2	Trend Analysis.....	46
6.3	Water quality summary and discussion: Upper lakes Rohe	47
7	Dunstan Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU).....	50
7.1	State Analysis Results.....	51
7.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	54
7.1.2	Toxicants	54
7.1.3	Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)	55
7.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	55
7.1.5	Human health for recreation (Rivers).....	55
7.2	Trend Analysis.....	56
7.3	Water quality summary and discussion: Dunstan Rohe	57
8	Manuherekia Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU).....	61
8.1	State Analysis Results.....	62
8.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	64
8.1.2	Toxicants	64
8.1.3	Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)	64
8.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	64
8.1.5	Human health for recreation (Rivers).....	65
8.2	Trend Analysis.....	66
8.3	Water quality summary and discussion: Manuherekia Rohe	68
9	Roxburgh Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU).....	70

9.1	State Analysis Results.....	71
9.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	72
9.1.2	Toxicants (Rivers)	73
9.1.3	Suspended fine sediment.....	73
9.1.4	Human health for recreation	73
9.2	Trend Analysis	74
9.3	Water quality summary Roxburgh Rohe.....	75
10	Lower Clutha Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)	77
10.1	State Analysis Results.....	78
10.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	80
10.1.2	Toxicants	80
10.1.3	Suspended fine sediment.....	81
10.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	81
10.1.5	Human health for recreation (Rivers)	81
10.2	Trend Analysis	82
10.3	Water quality summary and discussion: Lower Clutha Rohe	84
11	Taieri FMU	88
11.1	State Analysis Results.....	89
11.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	92
11.1.2	Toxicants (Rivers)	92
11.1.3	Suspended fine sediment.....	92
11.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	93
11.1.5	Human health for recreation (Rivers)	93
11.2	Trend Analysis	94
11.3	Water quality summary Taieri FMU.....	96
12	Dunedin Coast FMU	100
12.1	State Analysis Results.....	101
12.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	103
12.1.2	Toxicants (Rivers)	103
12.1.3	Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)	103
12.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	103
12.1.5	Human health for recreation (Rivers)	104
12.2	Trend Analysis	105
12.3	Water quality summary Dunedin Coast FMU	106
13	North Otago FMU.....	108
13.1	State Analysis Results.....	109
13.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	111
13.1.2	Toxicants (Rivers)	111

13.1.3	Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)	111
13.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	111
13.1.5	Human health for recreation	112
13.2	Trend Analysis	112
13.3	Water quality summary North Otago FMU	114
14	Catlins FMU	117
14.1	State Analysis Results.....	118
14.1.1	Periphyton and Nutrients	120
14.1.2	Toxicants (Rivers)	120
14.1.3	Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)	120
14.1.4	Aquatic Life (Rivers)	120
14.1.5	Human health for recreation (Rivers).....	120
14.2	Trend Analysis	121
14.3	Water quality summary Catlins FMU	121
15	Otago Summary.....	123
15.1	Otago Overview: Water Quality State	123
15.2	Otago Overview: Water Quality Trends.....	125
	References	133

List of Figures

Figure 1 Map showing the FMU and Rohe boundaries, State of Environment monitoring site locations are also shown.	17
Figure 2. The Kendall S statistic is calculated by first evaluating the difference between all pairs of water quality observations (Figure 1, A and B).	35
Figure 3 Pictogram of the calculation of the Sen slope, which is used to characterise trend rate.	36
Figure 4 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Upper Lakes Rohe	40
Figure 5 Grading of the river sites of the Upper Clutha Rohe based on the NOF criteria.	41
Figure 6 Grading of the lake sites of the Upper Clutha Rohe based on the NOF criteria.	42
Figure 7 Maps showing Upper Clutha Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.	43
Figure 8 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	46
Figure 9 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Dunstan Rohe	50
Figure 10 Grading of the river sites of the Dunstan Rohe based on the NOF criteria.	51
Figure 11 Grading of the lake sites of the Dunstan Rohe based on the NOF criteria.	52
Figure 12 Maps showing Dunstan Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.	53
Figure 13 Summary of Dunstan Rohe sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	56
Figure 14 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Manuherekia Rohe.	61
Figure 15 Grading of the river sites of the Manuherekia Rohe based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline.	62
Figure 16 Maps showing Manuherekia Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.	63
Figure 17 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	66
Figure 18 Dunstan Creek, <i>E.coli</i> is 'exceptionally unlikely' to be improving (over 10-years)	67
Figure 19 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Roxburgh Rohe	70
Figure 20 Grading of the river and lake sites in the Roxburgh based on the NOF criteria.	71

Figure 21 Maps showing Roxburgh Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.....	72
Figure 22 Summary of Roxburgh Rohe sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	74
Figure 23 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Lower Clutha Rohe	77
Figure 24 Grading of River and Lake sites in the Lower Clutha Rohe, based on the NOF criteria.	78
Figure 25 Maps showing Lower Clutha Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.....	79
Figure 26 Summary of Lower Clutha Rohe sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	82
Figure 27 Summary of Lake Tuakitoto trends, categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	83
Figure 28 Heriot Burn. <i>E.coli</i> is ‘virtually certain’ to be improving (over 10-years)	83
Figure 29 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Taieri FMU.....	88
Figure 30 Grading of the river sites of the Taieri FMU based on the NOF criteria.....	89
Figure 31 Grading of the lake sites of the Taieri FMU based on the NOF criteria.....	90
Figure 32 Maps showing Taieri FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.....	91
Figure 33 Summary of Taieri FMU river sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	94
Figure 34 Summary of Taieri FMU lake sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	95
Figure 35 Summary of river state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band ‘D’.....	96
Figure 36 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than ‘likely’ or ‘unlikely’. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.	97
Figure 37 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than ‘likely’ or ‘unlikely’. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.....	98
Figure 38 Overall summary of trends for the Taieri FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.	98
Figure 39 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Dunedin Coast FMU.....	100
Figure 40 Grading of the river sites of the Dunedin Coast FMU based on the NOF criteria. ...	101

Figure 41 Maps showing Dunedin Coast FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.....	102
Figure 42 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.....	105
Figure 43 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the North Otago FMU.....	108
Figure 44 Grading of the river sites of the North Otago FMU based on the NOF criteria.....	109
Figure 45 Maps showing North Otago FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.....	110
Figure 46 Summary of North Otago FMU sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.	112
Figure 47 Waianakarua River, 10-year trend in Nitrite/Nitrate Nitrogen	113
Figure 48 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Catlins FMU	117
Figure 49 Grading of the river sites of the Catlins FMU based on the NOF criteria.	118
Figure 50 Maps showing Catlins FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.....	119
Figure 51 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.....	121
Figure 53 Summary plot representing the proportion of river sites with improving 10-year time-period trends at each categorical level of confidence.....	125
Figure 54 Summary plot representing the proportion of river sites with improving 20-year time-period trends at each categorical level of confidence.....	126
Figure 55 Map of river sites classified by confidence that their 10-year raw water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality.	127
Figure 56 Map of river sites classified by confidence that their 20-year raw water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality.	128
Figure 57 Map of lake sites classified by confidence that their 10-year water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality.	129
Figure 58 Map of lake sites classified by confidence that their 18-year water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2. LWP (2020b)	130

List of Tables

Table 1 River water quality variables included in this report, including NPS-FM reference and water body type.....	27
Table 2. Level of confidence categories used to convey the confidence that the trend (or step change) indicated improving water quality	37
Table 3 River water quality variables, measurement units and site numbers for which 10- and 20-year trends (Raw, and Flow Adjusted FA) were analysed by this study.....	38
Table 4 Summary of river state, where state does not meet the national bottom line cells are coloured red. No lake variables were below the national bottom line.....	47
Table 5 Summary of river sites (raw data) where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	48
Table 6 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.....	48
Table 7 Summary of trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	48
Table 8 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable	57
Table 9 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely' (raw data). Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	58
Table 10 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.....	59
Table 11 Overall summary of trends for the Dunstan Rohe using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.	59
Table 12 Summary of river, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band 'D'.....	68
Table 13 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	68
Table 14 Overall summary of trends for the Manuherekia Rohe using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	69
Table 15 Summary of river state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable.	75
Table 16 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely' (raw data). Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	75
Table 17 Summary of Lake Onslow trends when they are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	75

Table 18 Overall summary of trends for Lake Onslow (continuous data). Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.....	75
Table 19 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve band 'D'.....	84
Table 20 Summary of Lake Tuakitoto State where attributes are graded D or below	84
Table 21 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	85
Table 22 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.....	86
Table 23 Overall summary of trends for the Lower Clutha Rohe using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	86
Table 24 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band 'D'.	106
Table 25 Summary of river sites (not flow adjusted) where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.....	106
Table 26 Overall summary of trends for the Dunedin Coast FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	107
Table 27 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable . There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band 'D'.	114
Table 28 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined Table 2	115
Table 29 Overall summary of trends for the North Otago FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	116
Table 30 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable	121
Table 31 Summary of river sites where trends (raw data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	122
Table 32 Overall summary of trends for the Catlins FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2	122
Table 33 Summary of lake sites where attributes fall below the NPSFM national bottom line	123
Table 34 Summary of river sites where attributes fall below the NPSFM national bottom line. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve band 'D'.	124

Table 35 REC classification levels, classes and criteria used to assign river segments to REC classes (from Snelder, 2004)..... 135

Executive Summary

This study analysed the available water quality data in the Otago Region. The state of water quality in the region is reported, on a site by site basis, relative to targets specified in the National Objectives Framework (NOF) of the National Policy Statement-Freshwater Management (NPSFM, 2020). In addition, the study assessed water quality trends site by site, and across Otago as a whole. ORC engaged Landwaterpeople (LWP) to evaluate water quality state (LWP, 2020a) and undertake trend analysis (LWP, 2020b).

State analysis was based on water quality samples collected over a five-year period from 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2020 and compared to the five-year period 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2017, which is defined as the baseline state (NPSFM, 2020).

Water quality analysed represented 10 physico-chemical and microbiological variables and biological indicators for 124 monitoring sites in the region; the sites included ORC monitored river sites (110), NIWA monitored National River Water Quality Network (NRWQN) sites (5) and ORC monitored lake sites (9 lakes, 22 sites/depths). All variables were evaluated for state and trends at all sites (when sufficient data was available), this report describes only river state and trends for the variables that specifically relate to the NPSFM 2020; chlorophyll-a, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, ammoniacal-nitrogen, nitrate, suspended fine sediment, macroinvertebrate community index (MCI), macroinvertebrate average score per metric (ASPM), dissolved reactive phosphorus and E. coli. The state and trend outputs for all sites and variables are provided in supplementary files (a full list of these files is provided in Appendix A). Sites were graded as a NOF Band (A, B, C, D, and for E. coli) (for NOF Criteria) for each variable based on a comparison of the assessed state with the relevant criteria.

Trend analysis was carried out for 10-year and 20-year periods ending on 1 September 2020 for all site and water quality variable combinations that met a minimum requirement for numbers of observations. The methods used for statistical trend analyses were Kendall's test of rank correlation and the Sen slope estimator (SSE), which have both been used for trend analysis of water quality for several decades (Hirsch et al., 1982). LWP (2020b) considered flow adjustment as part of the trend assessment.

Individual site trend estimates were aggregated, to provide an overall picture of trends for the region. This was done graphically using stacked bar charts showing proportions of sites for each variable that fall into different trend direction confidence categories. For the 10-year trend period the predominant trend direction was variable by water quality analyte but that the 20-year trends were predominantly degrading for all variables apart from ammoniacal nitrogen.

The most obvious pattern associated with the assessment of water quality state was that almost all sites passed the NOF criteria for ammoniacal-N toxicity and nitrate toxicity. There are obvious spatial patterns associated with the variation in grades, water quality is best at river and stream reaches located at high or mountainous elevations under predominantly native cover. These sites tend to be associated with the upper catchments of larger rivers (e.g. Clutha River/Matau-Au) and the outlets from large lakes (e.g. Hawea, Wakatipu and Wanaka). Water quality is generally poorer at sites located on smaller, low-elevation streams that drain pastoral or urban catchments.

There is a lack of detailed information held by Otago Regional Council on local or catchment scale land use change or land management practice changes. This severely limits Council's ability to comment on drivers of trends evident across Otago. This is likely to be addressed by requirements in the NPSFM 2020, which requires that freshwater is managed in an integrated way that considers the effects of the use and development of land on a whole-of-catchment basis, including the effects on receiving environments.

1 Introduction

Otago Regional Council (ORC) operates a State of Environment (SoE) water quality monitoring network in lakes and rivers throughout the region for monitoring the state and trends in water quality and reporting on policy effectiveness. Prior to mid-2018, there were fewer monitoring sites in the Region, following a review (NIWA, 2017), a more extensive monitoring programme commenced in mid-2018 to better represent environmental classes in the Otago region, based largely on the River Environment Classification (REC), (MfE, 2004).

This study analysed the available water quality data for rivers in the Otago Region. The state of water quality in the region is reported, on a site by site basis, relative to the attribute states specified in the National Objectives Framework (NOF) of the National Policy Statement-Freshwater Management (NPSFM, 2020). In addition, the study assessed water quality trends site by site and across the Otago region as a whole. All results are presented in Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) chapters.

The aims of this report are to:

- Report on the state of water quality and ecology indicators in rivers and lakes relative to attribute states in the NPSFM 2020 and to each FMU/Rohe;
- Identify significant trends in water quality and apply level of confidence categories to convey the confidence that the trend (or step change) indicates improving water quality.
- Meet Council's RMA obligations reporting the State of the Environment of Otago's rivers and lakes.

2 Otago Region

2.1 Region Description

The Otago region covers a land area of 32,000 km², from the Waitaki River in the north to Brothers Point in the south, and inland to Lake Wakatipu, Queenstown, Hawea, Haast Pass and Lindis Pass. The distinctive and characteristic landscape of Otago includes the Southern Alps and alpine lakes; large high- country stations; dry central areas, with tussock grassland and tors; and dramatic coastlines around the Otago Peninsula and the Catlins. Lowland pasture country is common in the west. The character of the region's water bodies is diverse, reflecting the variation in environmental conditions throughout the region.

The Clutha River/Mata-Au drains much of the Otago region. Its catchment area totals 21,000 km², and 75% of the total flow of the river at Balclutha comes from the outflows of Lakes Hawea, Wanaka and Wakatipu. Larger rivers feeding into the Clutha catchment include the Cardrona, Lindis, Shotover, Nevis, Fraser, Manuherekiā, Teviot, Pomahaka, Waitahuna and Waiwera rivers. The Clutha and its principal tributary, the Kawarau River, pass through gorges, two of which are dammed for hydro-electricity generation.

The second largest catchment in Otago is the Taieri River (5,060 km²). It rises in the uplands of Central Otago and meanders between mountain ranges before passing through an incised gorge and crossing the Taieri Plain, where it joins the waters of the Lake Waipori and Waihola catchments and becomes tidal before making its way through another gorge to the sea at Taieri Mouth.

Other significant Otago rivers drain the coastal hills in catchments of varying character. In the north, the Kakanui, Waianakarua, Shag and Waikouaiti rivers rise in high country and pass through mainly dry downlands. The Tokomairiro River, which flows through Milton, south of Dunedin, drains rolling country between the Taieri and Clutha catchments. Rivers to the south of Otago, particularly the Catlins area, emerge from wetter, often forested hills.

The environmental context in which Otago's water bodies exist is characterised by high rainfall in the Southern Alps and occasional very low rainfall in the semi-arid central Otago valleys. Despite the large water volumes in the region, parts of Otago are among the driest areas in New Zealand. Several rivers are characterised as 'water-short', including the Lindis, Manuherekiā, Taieri, Shag and Kakanui rivers and their tributaries (Regional Plan: Water 2004) (ORC, 2017)

2.2 Freshwater management units

To give effect to the NPSFM 2020 and take a more localised approach to water and land management, ORC developed Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) boundaries incorporating the concept of ki uta ki tai (from the mountains to the sea).

In Otago five FMUs have been recognised, Figure 1 Map showing the FMU and Rohe boundaries, State of Environment monitoring site locations are also shown. Figure 1; Clutha/Mata-Au, Taieri, North Otago, Dunedin Coastal and Catlins. The Clutha/Mata-Au FMU has been further divided in to five sub-areas, or 'Rohe', for a more tailored water management approach in these areas. These include the Upper Lakes Rohe, Dunstan Rohe, Manuherekiā Rohe, Roxburgh Rohe and Lower Clutha Rohe.




Figure 1 Map showing the FMU and Rohe boundaries, State of Environment monitoring site locations are also shown.

2.3 Upper Lakes Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)

The Upper Lakes Rohe encompasses Lake Wakatipu, Lake Wanaka, and Lake Hawea and all the tributaries that flow into them, effectively acknowledging this is a pristine, high value area. The headwaters of the catchment are predominantly in rugged, steep terrain with the highest point, Mt. Aspiring, reaching 3027 m. Numerous headwater streams such as the Dart River and Matukituki River originate along the eastern boundary of the Southern Alps and are fed by permanent glaciers.

The rivers and streams of the Upper Lakes FMU cover a broad range of river types, however based on the River Environment Classification (Appendix 1), cool/wet and cool/extremely wet rivers are a significant contributor to total river length throughout the Rohe. The REC classes 'wet' rivers as having a mean annual rainfall of 500 mm to 1500 mm; 'extremely wet' rivers are classed as having a mean annual rainfall greater than 1500 mm. Water yields from these streams and rivers is high. Also of significance is the proportion of glacial rivers. The high proportion of native cover in the upper catchments of the large lakes falls in areas of high to very high rain and snowfall. This provides large volumes flowing from pristine catchments of exceptional quality that feeds the Southern Great Lakes.

ORC monitors 23 river sites and three lakes in the Upper Lakes Rohe, many of the river sites were established in 2018.

2.4 Dunstan Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)

The Dunstan Rohe is essentially the mid-section of the Clutha FMU and encompasses the Kawarau River and the Clutha River from the outflows of Lakes Wanaka, Wakatipu and Hawea, the Rohe's boundary is at the Clyde Dam. The Rohe encompasses dryer catchments where water use is high and where there are water quality pressures and high growth. The major tributaries of the Dunstan Rohe include the Cardrona River (347 km²), Luggate Creek (123 km²), Lindis River (1039 km²) and the Shotover River (1091 km²). ORC monitors 16 river sites and three lakes in the Dunstan Rohe.

2.5 Manuherekia Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)

The Manuherekia catchment is located north-east of Alexandra, Central Otago, and is the largest sub-catchment of the Clutha / Mata-au catchment. It has a distinct community, high water use and highly modified hydrology. The Manuherekia has a catchment area of approximately 3035 km².

The Manuherekia catchment can be divided into two major sub-catchments. The eastern Ida Valley drains the eastern and south-eastern Otago uplands ('Rough Ridge'). The western Manuherekia Valley is separated from the Ida Valley by the central Raggedy Range, where the Idaburn River drains through a single gorge into the Manuherekia River. The river's headwaters are in the Hawkdun Range, and the catchment is surrounded by mountainous terrain, except to the south-west, where it joins the Clutha River/Mata-Au at Alexandra (Kiensle, 2008).

Low rainfall in the valley bottoms led to the early development of extensive water storage and irrigation schemes. Consequently, three reservoirs were established in the Manuherekia catchment to provide water for irrigation. Falls Dam was built in 1935 to capture the high rainfall water supply in the northern high-altitude part of the catchment and has a capacity of 11 Mm³. Poolburn Reservoir was constructed in 1931, with a capacity of 26 Mm³, while Manorburn Reservoir was built in 1935 and has a capacity of 51 Mm³ (Kiensle, 2008).

There are several major irrigation schemes. Flow of the Manuherekia River is partly controlled by releases from Falls Dam. Blackstone Hill, Omakau, Manuherekia, and Galloway irrigation schemes take water out of the Manuherekia River and distribute the water through a network of open water channels to irrigate the Manuherekia Valley. The Poolburn Reservoir is used to store water to irrigate Ida Valley. Water from the Manorburn Reservoir is partly diverted into the Manuherekia Valley over

an open water race to irrigate the upper Galloway Irrigation Scheme. The rest of the Manorburn water is used for irrigation in the Ida Valley (Kiensle, 2008).

The contribution of water from the Ida Valley to the mainstem Manuherekia is minimal during the summer months. For example, during the 2008-2009 irrigation season the Pool Burn at Cobb Cottage (after the confluence of the Idaburn and Poolburn, prior to entering the gorge) had a median flow of 50 l/s, compared to a median flow of 4433 l/s in the Manuherekia at Ophir.¹ This is important to note when considering the contribution of water quality contaminants in the lower Manuherekia River during the irrigation season. ORC monitors eight river sites in the Manuherekia Rohe.

2.6 Roxburgh Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)

The Roxburgh Rohe is bounded to the north by the Clyde Dam and to the South at Beaumont. The Rohe covers just over 1,000 square kilometres and encompasses catchments of the Fraser River (327 km²), Lake Onslow and the Teviot River (332 km²) and the Bengier Burn (131 km²) as well as small tributaries entering the Clutha between Clyde and Beaumont. Lake Onslow is a man-made 830ha lake at the head of the Teviot River, 700m above sea level. ORC monitors four river sites and one lake in the Roxburgh Rohe.

2.7 Lower Clutha Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)

The Lower Clutha Rohe runs from Beaumont to the Pacific Ocean where the Clutha River/Mata-Au discharges to the sea near Balclutha. The Lower Clutha Rohe includes the catchments of the Tuapeka River (249 km²), Pomahaka River (2060 km²), Waipahi River (339 km²), Waiwera River (208 km²) and the Waitahuna River (406 km²).

The Pomahaka River is the largest catchment of the Lower Clutha Rohe. The upper reaches of the Pomahaka catchment are steep and dominated by tussock, while the lower reaches are primarily pastoral rolling hill country and intensively managed land. Soils are generally poorly drained requiring artificial drainage predominantly in the form of tile drains. If inappropriately managed, these tile and mole drains accelerate water and associated contaminant flows of nitrogen, phosphorous and bacteria to local watercourses. ORC monitors 14 river sites and one lake in the Lower Clutha Rohe.

2.8 Taieri FMU

The Taieri River rises in the Lammerlaw and Lammermoor Ranges at 1,150m above sea level. The river flows through the dry Maniototo Plain, Strath Taieri Plain and the low-lying Taieri Plain before reaching the Pacific Ocean about 30km south-west of Dunedin. The main tributaries of the Taieri River are the Kyeburn, Sutton Stream, Deep Stream, Lee Stream, Silverstream and the Waipori River.

The upper Taieri headwaters drain a relatively undeveloped area of native tussock country on the northern side of the Lammerlaw Range. The river then flows through the dry, 660km² area of the Maniototo Plain, west of the Rock and Pillar Range. The river follows an extremely meandering course through large Scroll Plains in the Maniototo. These are unique and scientifically important features of the upper catchment. Beyond the northern end of the Rock and Pillar Range, the Kye Burn flows into the Taieri and contributes high levels of sediment to the river. These high sediment loads may be in part due to historic gold mining activities in the Kye Burn Catchment.

¹ <https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/2437/web-version-manuherkiaa2-report2.pdf>.

The midreaches of the Taieri River flow through the smaller Strath Taieri Plain (occupying an area of 85km²), past Middlemarch, and through the Taieri Gorge. Many small tributaries join the main stem of the river along this sub-region.

Most of the human settlement is in the lower Taieri Catchment on the Taieri Plain (occupying an area of 180km²), where the town of Mosgiel is located. The floodplain area is intensively farmed (mostly dairying). The lower Taieri River is joined by the Silverstream, which provides high quality trout spawning and nursery habitats for the river fishery. A large floodplain and the associated Lake Waipori/Waihola wetland complex are the dominant features of the lower catchment. Part of the lower Taieri plain lies below sea level, and the potential for flooding has resulted in extensive flood protection works, including floodbank construction and channel straightening (e.g., the lower Silverstream) which has significantly altered the physical habitat quality of some river reaches. ORC monitors 19 river sites and one lake in the Taieri FMU.

2.9 Dunedin Coast FMU

The Dunedin Coast FMU recognises the middle coast of Otago, starting south of Karitane, encompassing Dunedin city and Otago Peninsula and the coastal catchments to Clutha/Mata-Au mouth. All of these areas have similar water quality issues and water quantity demands. ORC monitors the catchments of Lindsay's Creek, the Leith Stream and the Kaikorai Stream in the Dunedin City area and the Tokomairiro River and Akatore Creek to the South.

The Leith Stream catchment covers an area of 42 km². The headwaters of the Leith Stream originate at the saddle between Mount Cargill and Swampy Hill and flow for 12 km in a south-easterly direction to discharge direct to the Otago Harbour, Dunedin. There are numerous tributary streams to the Leith, the principal of which is Lindsays Creek which flows for 7 km to its junction with the Leith Stream at the Dunedin Botanical Gardens. Significant areas of the lower catchment of the Leith Stream and Lindsays Creek flow through urban areas of the Dunedin City.

The Kaikorai Stream has a total catchment area of 55 km² and flows in a south westerly direction for approximately 15 km down the Kaikorai Valley into Kaikorai Estuary. The headwaters originate in the Kaikorai Hills to the north. The catchment includes the western flanks of Dunedin city and all of Green Island. The remaining area includes the communities of Fairfield and Waldronville (ORC, 2008). Fraser's Stream is a major tributary of the Kaikorai Stream and the Dunedin City Council discharges up to 560 litres per second of high-quality water from the Mt Grand Water Treatment Plant to MacLeod's Creek (a tributary of Fraser's Stream). This flow significantly improves the water quality and instream values of the Kaikorai Stream downstream of the discharge point (ORC, 2008).

The Tokomairiro River is located about 48 km south-west of Dunedin and has a catchment area of 403 km². The catchment has indistinct boundaries, with no dividing mountain ranges between it and neighbouring catchments. It is bordered to the east by tributaries of the Waihola-Waipori wetland complex (including Meggat Burn and Boundary Creek) and a number of coastal tributaries including Akatore Creek. ORC monitors eight river sites in the Dunedin Coast FMU.

2.10 North Otago FMU

The North Otago FMU contains parts of the lower Waitaki Plains; the Kakanui catchment that includes the Kakanui River, Kauru River and Waiareka Creek; the Waianakarua Stream and Trotters Creek along with river catchments that drain independently to the sea; and to the south, the Shag River and Waikouaiti River.

From its source in the Kakanui Mountains, the Kakanui River flows north-east for about 40 km, through gorges incised in rolling or downland country, before emerging onto plains at Clifton. The Kakanui River's water resource is heavily used for irrigation. In recent times concern has been expressed about

agricultural intensification and subsequent degradation of water quality. The lower Kakanui River and Waiareka Creek are dominated by a mixture of beef, sheep, deer, cropping and particularly since the introduction of irrigation water into the Waiareka Creek catchment by the NOIC irrigation scheme dairy farming. In contrast, land use in the Kauru and upper Kakanui are typified by red tussock, native forest, plantation forestry or pasture for red deer, sheep and beef. The water quality in the alluvial gravels of the Kauru River and the main-stem Kakanui River, particularly upstream of Gemmels Crossing, is influenced by groundwater surface water interaction. There is very little groundwater surface water interaction in Waiareka Creek (Ozanne and Wilson, 2013).

The Waiakarua River is a small river with a catchment area of 262 km² which rises in the Horse Range and Kakanui Mountains in North Otago. Much of the catchment consists of extensively grazed grasslands and scrub, native forest, and plantation forestry but intensification of land use in the lower catchment has occurred in recent years.

The Shag River catchment covers an area of 550 km². The Shag River is a medium sized river with its headwaters originating on the south-western slopes of Kakanui Peak in the Kakanui Mountains. From here it flows 90km in a south-easterly direction past the township of Palmerston before entering the Pacific Ocean to the south of Shag Point. The Shag catchment is dominated by extensive agriculture and forestry with some short-rotation cropping in the lower catchment. There is currently no dairy farming in the Shag catchment, although some farms are used for dairy support (Olsen, 2014).

The Waikouaiti catchment area covers 421 km², the river has two main branches, the North Branch and South Branch. The North Branch has a catchment area of 283 km² and the South Branch a catchment area of 86 km². The remaining 52 km² includes the area downstream of the confluence of the two main branches along with the Waikouaiti Estuary. The headwaters of the north branch originate in the Macraes Flat area, whereas the south branch drains the northern slopes of the Silver Peaks. ORC monitors 15 river sites the North Otago FMU.

2.11 Catlins FMU

In the South of Otago, the Catlins FMU contains a collection of smaller catchments that feed into the sea south of the Clutha / Mata-au catchment. This FMU contains Otago's portion of the Catlins Conservation Park. The coast is dominated by sandy bays and cliffs and from there, the land rises steadily from the south-east to north-west, reaching its maximum altitude (720 m) at Mt Pye, in the headwaters of the Tahakopa and Catlins Rivers, and then it falls again, through rolling country, towards the Mataura River (in Southland) and the Clinton lowlands. The forested ridges provide a contrast to the cleared valleys, where more intensive agricultural activities are present. Headwaters of all major rivers rising from within the Catlins have their vegetation intact.

ORC monitors four rivers in the Catlins FMU, the Catlins River, Owaka River, Maclennan River and the Tahakopa. The Catlins flows south-eastward. Its total length is 42 km and it shares its estuary with the Owaka River, which flows into the Pacific Ocean at Pounaweia, 28 km south of Balclutha. The Owaka River is 30 km long and flows south-east. Its source is on the slopes of Mt Rosebery. The Tahakopa River flows south-east through the Catlins. Its total length is 32 km, and it flows into the Pacific Ocean 30 km east of Waikawa, close to the settlement of Papatowai. The Maclennan River is 17.5 km long and enters the Tahakopa River near Maclennan. ORC monitors four river sites Catlins FMU.

3 ORC monitoring programme

3.1 Water Quality Sites

SoE monitoring sites covered in this report include 110 river sites² and 22 monitoring sites/depths for lakes. NIWA monitors an additional five sites in the Otago region as part of the National River Water Quality Network (NRWQN). Figure 1 shows the location of the river and lake monitoring sites. Significant changes to the SoE monitoring programme have occurred over the years, the main changes are:

- Up to June 2013, ORC collected surface water quality samples on a bi-monthly basis. From July 2013, sampling frequency increased to monthly sampling.
- Prior to mid-2018, there were fewer monitoring sites in Otago, following a review (NIWA, 2017), a more extensive monitoring programme commenced in mid-2018 to better represent environmental classes in the Otago region, based largely on the River Environment Classification (REC). The number of river sites monitored expanded from 65 to 106.
- Prior to mid-2018 SoE lake monitoring sites consisted of a mix of lake-outlet (lakes Wanaka, Wakatipu and Hawea) and lake-shore (lakes Dunstan, Hayes, Johnson, Onslow, Waiholo and Tuakitoto) sampling sites. Following NIWA's review, all lake sites other than Tuakitoto and Onslow now are mid-lake sampled with full vertical water column profiled on every sampling occasion.
- A review of the biomonitoring programme (NIWA, 2017) to better represent environmental classes in Otago, meant the cessation of several macroinvertebrate sites in 2018 and the adoption of several new sites.

3.2 Water quality variables

River and lake water quality was assessed using variables that characterise physical, chemical and microbiological conditions, and macroinvertebrate community composition. All variables included are attributes described in Appendix 2A or 2B of the NPS-FM

3.2.1 Phytoplankton, Periphyton and Nutrients

Healthy freshwater ecosystems have low (oligotrophic) to intermediate (mesotrophic) levels of living material and primary production (growth of plants or algae). High levels of nutrients, primarily nitrogen (nitrate) and phosphorus (phosphate), can cause water bodies to become eutrophic. Eutrophic states are associated with periodic high biomass (blooms) of plants or algae, including suspended algae (phytoplankton) in lakes and algae on the beds of streams and rivers (periphyton).

Chlorophyll-a is a common method for estimating stream periphyton biomass (Ministry for the Environment, 2000) because all types of algae contain chlorophyll-a, this metric reflects the total amount of live algae in a sample. The trophic state of a water body is the amount of living material (biomass) that it supports. The NPSFM 2020 specifies attributes for trophic state based on phytoplankton biomass in lakes (NPSFM 2020, Appendix 2A, Table 1) and periphyton biomass in rivers (NPSFM 2020, Appendix 2A, Table 2), chlorophyll *a* is the measure of biomass that the NOF phytoplankton and periphyton attributes are based on.

Nitrate (NO₃N), ammoniacal-N (NH₄N), dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP), total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) influence the growth of benthic river algae (periphyton), lake planktonic algae

² SoE monitoring currently covers 106 river sites. Some sites were discontinued in 2018, but are reported.

(phytoplankton) and vascular plants (macrophytes). The NPSFM specifies additional attributes for TN and TP in lakes (NPSFM 2020, Appendix 2A, Table 3 and Table 4).

The NPSFM 2020 does not specify nutrient concentration criteria to manage the trophic state of rivers, because the relationship between trophic state and nutrient concentrations varies between rivers even at the regional scale. The nutrient criteria to achieve periphyton biomass objectives in rivers are river specific and should be derived at the local level (MfE, 2018).

The Ministry for the Environment has produced guidance (MfE, 2020) for defining nutrient concentrations to manage the NPSFM 2020 periphyton attribute states in rivers. The guidance is centered around spatial exceedances for TN and DRP. Spatial exceedance is used because deriving nutrient targets to achieve a target periphyton growth cannot be 100% certain due to natural variability, complex interactions in the environment, and the complexity of the relationship between nutrients and periphyton abundance (MfE, 2020). Given the short record of chlorophyll-*a* observations in the region, these nutrient concentration criteria provide a useful alternative for estimating trophic state in the region's rivers.

In this report TN and DRP median concentrations are compared to the spatial exceedance criteria of 20% (as opposed to 10% or 30%). At this level there is some risk (i.e., 20%) that the chlorophyll *a* response at some sites will exceed the desired chlorophyll *a* threshold, even if DRP or TN concentration targets are achieved.

In addition to the MfE guidance, the NPSFM 2020 provides an attribute table for DRP in rivers to protect ecosystem health. In combination with other conditions favouring eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in macroinvertebrate and fish communities, as taxa sensitive to hypoxia are lost. The NPSFM 2020 (Appendix 2B, Table 20) describes that at concentrations below the national bottom line, it is expected that ecological communities are impacted by substantial DRP elevated above natural reference conditions.

3.2.2 Toxicants

When ammonia is present in water at high enough concentrations, it is difficult for aquatic organisms to sufficiently excrete the toxicant, leading to toxic build-up in internal tissues and blood, potentially leading to death. Environmental factors, such as pH and temperature, can affect ammonia toxicity to aquatic animals. The NPSFM 2020 has developed an ammonia toxicity risk framework (NPSFM 2020, Appendix 2A, Table 5) when toxicity concentrations are below the national bottom line, toxicity starts impacting regularly on the 20% of the most sensitive species.

Nitrate generally impacts on trophic state at much lower concentrations than those that are toxic. Because of this, nitrate will generally be managed well within toxic levels by the requirement to manage trophic state (e.g., periphyton). The NPSFM has developed a nitrate toxicity risk framework (NPSFM 2020, Appendix A, Table 6) when toxicity concentrations are below the national bottom line, toxicity has growth effects on up to 20% of species monitored.

3.2.3 Suspended sediment

Suspended fine sediment can severely affect values around water, particularly around ecosystem health. High concentrations of suspended sediment have a '*high impact on instream biota and ecological communities are significantly altered and sensitive fish and macroinvertebrate species are lost or at high risk of being lost*' (NPSFM, 2020). Suspended fine sediment can be monitored by clarity or turbidity measurements.

Clarity is a measure of light attenuation due to absorption and scattering of dissolved and particulate material in the water column. Clarity is monitored because it affects primary production, plant

distributions, animal behaviour, aesthetic quality and recreational values. Clarity is correlated with suspended solids, which can impede fish feeding and cause riverbed sedimentation. Clarity is the metric used in the NPSFM 2020 suspended fine sediment attribute table (NPSFM 2020, Appendix A, Table 8).

Turbidity refers to light scattering by suspended particles. Nephelometric turbidity is generally inversely correlated with visual water clarity (Davies-Colley and Smith, 2001), but unlike visual clarity, turbidity measurements do not account for optical effects (i.e., absorption) of dissolved materials. The NPSFM 2020 allows for the conversion of turbidity to visual clarity. ORC does not measure visual clarity and applies this conversion.

3.2.4 Aquatic Life

Macroinvertebrates are an important component of streams and rivers because they aid ecosystem processes and provide food for fish and some birds. As macroinvertebrates have a relatively long-life span, they are good indicators of environmental conditions over a prolonged period. Macroinvertebrates are included in the NPSFM 2020 as attributes requiring an action plan (NPSFM 2020, Appendix 2B, Tables 14-15).

The main measure of macroinvertebrate communities, the MCI index, is designed specifically for stony-riffle substrates in flowing water. The MCI is responsive to multiple stressors, but not all stressors, and as such provides a good indicator of the overall condition of the macroinvertebrate component of stream ecosystem health.

MCI values can be affected by factors other than water quality, so it is more informative to consider changes in MCI values at the same site over a period, rather than among sites throughout the catchment. For example, a change in MCI value at a site may be due to human activities causing increased nitrogen or sedimentation with resulting ecological consequences (Clapcott et al. 2018). Sites with an MCI score of less than 80 are classified as poor, those scoring 80-100 as fair, those scoring 100-120 as good, and those scoring higher than 120 as excellent (Stark and Maxted, 2007).

The NPSFM has attribute states for Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) score; Quantitative Macroinvertebrate Community Index (QMCI) score and Macroinvertebrate Average Score Per Metric (ASPM). Historical monitoring by ORC has included the Semi-Quantitative Macroinvertebrate Community Index (SQMCI) score, rather than QMCI. As the two are not directly comparable the QMCI metric is not shown.

The Average Score Per Metric (ASPM) was introduced by Collier (2008), it is an aggregation method for assessing wadeable stream ecosystem health considering the relative responses of core metrics and is composed of three individual metrics, the MCI, EPT richness to the total taxa found and % EPT abundance. EPT Richness Index estimates water quality by the relative abundance of three major orders of stream insects that have low tolerance to water pollution. EPT can be expressed as a percentage of the sensitive orders (E= Ephemeroptera, P= Plecoptera, T= Tricoptera) and % EPT is the total number of EPT individuals divided by the total number of individuals in the sample).

3.2.5 Escherichia coli (E. coli)

The concentration of the bacterium *E.coli* is used as an indicator of human or animal faecal contamination, from which the risk to humans arising from infection or illness from waterborne pathogens during contact-recreation may be estimated.

‘Water contaminated by human or animal faeces may contain a range of pathogenic (disease-causing) micro-organisms. Viruses, bacteria, protozoa, or intestinal worms can pose a health hazard when the water is used for drinking or recreational activities. It is difficult and impractical to routinely measure the level of all pathogens that may be present in fresh water. Instead, indicator bacteria are used to indicate the likely presence of untreated sewage and effluent contamination.

E. coli is commonly found in the gut of warm blooded organisms and is relatively easy to measure which makes it a useful indicator of faecal presence and therefore of disease-causing organisms that may be present. *E. coli* is the attribute for specifying human health for recreation objectives for fresh water because it is moderately well correlated with Campylobacter bacteria and numeric health risk levels can be calculated. Campylobacteriosis has the highest reporting rate of all New Zealand’s ‘notifiable’ diseases’ (MfE, 2018).

The NPSFM 2020 assesses river swimmability and the attribute states uses four statistical measures of *E. coli* concentrations, the overall state is determined by satisfying all numeric attribute states (NPSFM 2020, Appendix 2A, Table 9). Analysis in this report does not cover primary contact sites (NPSFM 2020, Appendix 2B, Table 22)

4 Methods

4.1 Water Quality State Analysis

LWP evaluated state at ORC's river and lake monitoring sites for nutrients and bacteria, this section details the methods LWP used for state analysis and is taken directly from LWP 2020a.

4.1.1 Grading of monitoring sites

The water quality state for river and lake monitoring sites is graded based on attributes and associated attribute state bands defined by the National Objectives Framework (NOF) of the NPSFM 2020 detailed in Table 1.

Each table of Appendix 2 of the NPSFM 2020 represents an attribute that must be used to define an objective that provides for a particular environmental value. For example, Appendix 2A, Table 6 defines the nitrate toxicity attribute, which is defined by nitrate-nitrogen concentrations that will ensure an acceptable level of support for 'Ecosystem health (water quality)' value. Objectives are defined by one or more numeric attribute states associated with each attribute. For example, the nitrate-nitrogen attribute has two numeric attribute states defined by the annual median and the 95th percentile concentrations.

For each numeric attribute, the NOF defines categorical numeric attribute states as four (or five) attribute bands, which are designated A to D (or A to E, in the case of the *E. coli* attribute). The attribute bands represent a graduated range of support for environmental values from high (A band) to low (D or E band). The ranges for numeric attribute states that define each attribute band are defined in Appendix 2 of the NPS-FM (2020). For most attributes, the D band represents a condition that is unacceptable (with the threshold between the C and the D band being referred to as 'bottom line') in any waterbody nationally. In the case of the NO₃N (toxicity) and NH₄N (toxicity) attributes in the 2020 NPS-FM, the C band is unacceptable, and for the DRP attribute, no bottom line is specified.

The primary aim of the attribute bands designated in the NPSFM 2020 is as a basis for objective setting as part of the NOF process. The attribute bands are intended to be simple shorthand for communities and decision makers to discuss options and aspirations for acceptable water quality and to define objectives. Attribute bands avoid the need to discuss objectives in terms of technically complicated numeric attribute states and associated numeric ranges. Each band is associated with a narrative description of the outcomes for values that can be expected if that attribute band is chosen as the objective. However, it is also logical to use attribute bands to provide a grading of the current state of water quality; either as a starting point for objective setting or to track progress toward objectives.

Table 1 River water quality variables included in this report, including NPS-FM reference and water body type

NPS-FM Reference - NOF Attribute	Water body type	Minimum Sample Requirements	Numeric attribute state description	Units
A2A; Table 1 - Phytoplankton	Lakes		Median of phytoplankton chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	mg chl- <i>a</i> m ⁻³
			Annual maximum of phytoplankton chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	mg chl- <i>a</i> m ⁻³
A2A; Table 2 – Periphyton	Rivers	Minimum of 3 years of data	92nd percentile of periphyton chlorophyll- <i>a</i> for default river class ²	mg chl- <i>a</i> m ⁻³
			83rd percentile of periphyton chlorophyll- <i>a</i> for productive river class ¹	mg chl- <i>a</i> m ⁻³
A2A; Table 3 – Total Nitrogen	Lakes		Median concentration of total nitrogen	mg m ⁻³
A2A; Table 4 – Total Phosphorus	Lakes		Median concentration of total phosphorus	mg m ⁻³
A2A; Table 5 - Ammonia	Lakes and		Median concentration of Ammoniacal-N	mg l ⁻¹
			Maximum concentration of Ammoniacal-N	mg l ⁻¹
A2A; Table 6 - Nitrate	Rivers		Median concentration of Nitrate	mg l ⁻¹
			95th percentile concentration of Nitrate	mg l ⁻¹
A2A.; Table 8 - Suspended fine sediment	Rivers	Median of 5-years of at least monthly samples (at least 60 samples)	Median visual clarity	m
A2A; Table 9 - <i>Escherichia coli</i>	Rivers and Lakes	minimum of 60 samples over a maximum of 5-years	% exceedances over 260 cfu 100 mL ⁻¹	%
			% exceedances over 540 cfu 100 mL ⁻¹	%
			Median concentration of <i>E. coli</i>	cfu 100 ml ⁻¹
			95th percentile concentration of <i>E. coli</i>	cfu 100 ml ⁻¹
A2B; Table 14 - Macroinvertebrates	Rivers	State calculated as 5-year median	Median MCI score	-
A2B; Table 15 - Macroinvertebrates	Rivers		Median ASPM score	-
A2B; Table 20 - DRP	Rivers		Median concentration of DRP	mg l ⁻¹
			95th percentile concentration of DRP	mg l ⁻¹

A site can be graded for each attribute by assigning it to attribute bands (e.g., a site can be assigned to the A band for the NO₃N toxicity attribute). A site grading is done by using the numeric attribute state (e.g., annual median nitrate-nitrogen) as a compliance statistic. The value of the compliance statistic for a site is calculated from a record of the relevant water quality variable (e.g., the median value is calculated from the observed monthly NO₃N concentrations). The site's compliance statistic is then compared against the numeric ranges associated with each attribute band and a grade assigned for the site (e.g., an annual median NO₃N concentration of 1.3 mg/l would be graded as 'B -band, because it lies in the range >1.0 to ≤2.4 mg/l). Note that for attributes with more than one numeric attribute state, a grade for each numeric attribute state has been provided (e.g., for the NO₃N (toxicity) attribute, grades are defined for both the median and 95th percentile concentrations).

4.1.2 Handling censored values

Censored values were replaced by imputation for the purposes of calculating the compliance statistics. Left censored values (values below the detection limit(s)) were replaced with imputed values generated using ROS (Regression on Order Statistics; Helsel, 2012), following the procedure described in Larned *et al.* (2015). The ROS procedure produces estimated values for the censored data that are consistent with the distribution of the uncensored values and can accommodate multiple censoring limits. When there are insufficient non-censored data to evaluate a distribution from which to estimate values for the censored observations, censored values are replaced with half of their reported value.

Censored values above the detection limit were replaced with values estimated using a procedure based on survival analysis (Helsel, 2012). A parametric distribution is fitted to the uncensored observations and then values for the censored observations are estimated by randomly sampling values larger than the censored values from the distribution. The survival analysis requires a minimum number of observations for the distribution to be fitted; hence in the case that there were fewer than 24 observations, censored values above the detection limit were replaced with 1.1* the detection limit.

4.1.3 Time-period for assessments

When grading sites based on NPS-FM attributes, it is general practice to define consistent time-periods for all sites and to define the acceptable proportion of missing observations (i.e., data gaps) and how these are distributed across sample intervals so that site grades are assessed from comparable data. The time-period, acceptable proportion of gaps and representation of sample intervals by observations within the time-period are commonly referred to as site inclusion or filtering rules (e.g.,(Larned *et al.*, 2018).

The grading assessments were made for the 5-year time-period to end of June 2020. The start and end dates for this period were determined by the availability of quality assured data, reporting time-periods and consideration of statistical precision of the compliance statistics used in the grading of sites. The statistical precision of the compliance statistics depends on the variability in the water quality observations and the number of observations. For a given level of variability, the precision of a compliance statistic increases with the number of observations. This is particularly important for sites that are close to a threshold defined by an attribute band because the confidence that the assessment of state is 'correct' (i.e., that the site has been correctly graded) increases with the precision of the compliance statistics (and therefore with the number of observations). As a general rule, the rate of increase in the precision of compliance statistics slows for sample sizes greater than 30 (i.e., there are diminishing returns on increasing sample size with respect to precision (and therefore confidence in the assigned grade) above this number of observations; McBride, 2005).

In this study, a period of five years represented a reasonable trade-off for most of the attributes because it yielded a sample size of 30 or more observations for many sites and attribute combinations. The five-year period for the state analyses is also consistent with national water-quality state analyses

(e.g., Larned *et al.*, 2015, 2018), as well as guidance for a number of specific attributes within the NPSFM (2020) Where no guidance was provided, a default filtering rule that required at least 30 observations in the 5-year time-period was used. For annually sampled macroinvertebrate variables, which are generally less variable than physical or chemical water quality variables, the nominated minimum sample size requirement was reduced to 5.

For grading the suspended fine sediment and *E. coli* attributes, the NPS-FM requires 60 observations over 5-years. For monthly monitoring, this requires collection of all monthly observations (i.e., no missing data). All ORC records have at least one missing observation associated with the national COVID-19 lockdown in April 2020, and so no sites met this requirement for the selected time-periods. For this study, the rule to require observations for 90% of months over the 5-year period (54 observations) was relaxed. Both this relaxation and default sample number are subjective choices.

4.1.4 Calculation of water clarity

The NPSFM suspended fine sediment attribute is based on observations of visual clarity. ORC river monitoring programme does not include visual clarity but does routinely collect turbidity observations. Franklin *et al.* (2020) define a relationship between median clarity and median turbidity, based on a regression of 582 sites across New Zealand as:

$$\ln(\text{CLAR}) = 1.21 - 0.72 \ln(\text{TURB})$$

where CLAR is site median visual clarity (m) and TURB is site median turbidity (NTU). In this study, median turbidity values over the 5-year time-period was calculated first, and then calculated median clarity using the above relationship in order to grade the sites against the NPS-FM suspended fine sediment attribute.

Sites operated by NIWA as part of the national monitoring network include observations of clarity, and therefore for these sites performance against the NPS-FM suspended fine sediment attribute has been evaluated with the observed (rather than modelled) clarity values.

4.1.5 pH Adjustment of Ammonia

Ammonia is toxic to aquatic animals and is directly bioavailable. When in solution, ammonia occurs in two forms: the ammonium cation (NH_4^+) and unionised ammonia (NH_3); the relative proportions of the forms are strongly dependent on pH (and temperature). Unionised ammonia is significantly more toxic to fish than ammonium, hence the total ammonia toxicity increases with increasing pH (and/or temperature) (ANZECC, 2000). Standards related to ammoniacal-N concentrations in freshwater typically require a correction to account for pH and temperature. A pH correction to NH_4N was applied to adjust values to equivalent pH 8 values, following the methodology outlined in Hickey (2014). For pH values outside the range of the correction relationship (pH 6-9), the maximum (pH<6) and minimum (pH>9) correction ratios were applied.

4.1.6 Evaluation of compliance statistics

Compliance statistics specified as annual (maximum, median, 95th percentile) in the NPSFM, have been calculated over the entire 5-year state period.

4.2 Water Quality Trend Analysis

LWP evaluated 10 and 20-year trends at ORC's river and lake monitoring sites for each measured variable (primarily nutrients and bacteria), this section details the methods LWP used for trend analysis and is taken directly from LWP 2020b.

4.2.1 River water quality data

The river water quality data used in this study were supplied by ORC (110 sites) and NIWA (8 sites) and comprised 114,600 observations at 115 monitoring sites (3 sites overlapped between the ORC and NIWA data).

4.2.2 Lake quality data

The lake water quality data used in this study comprised 18,612 observations at 22 monitoring sites/depths of the 13 variables. Some sites had two depths associated with their water quality sampling. The different depths were treated as independent sampling sites.

The ORC lake monitoring programme underwent major changes following the NIWA review in 2018. Several new sites were introduced, and older sites were phased out. Many of these older sites had long term records (starting in approximately 2000) but were ceased by mid-2018. Many of the water quality variables at the new sites were also monitored at these locations during an intensive investigation period between 2006-2009. These data were extracted from physical records for use in this study. The extracted data was not associated with censoring information. Observations were reinstated as censored values as part of the pre-processing based on the detection limits in operation for the same variables in other lakes over the same time-period.

4.2.3 Flow data

Many of the river water quality monitoring sites were associated with flow records, which were also obtained from the ORC database. Flows associated with the NIWA sites were a combination of measured and modelled flows. Water quality observations can be strongly associated with flow, and the effect of flow on water quality can be accounted for in analysis of trends. Mean daily flows were associated with 51 of the 115 monitoring sites (and, of these sites, approximately 87% of all sample occasions had an associated flow).

4.2.4 Sampling dates, seasons, and time-periods for analyses

In trend assessments, there are several reasons why it is generally important to define the trend period and seasons and to assess whether the observations are adequately distributed over time. First, because variation in many water quality variables is associated with the time of the year or season, the robustness of trend assessment is likely to be diminished if the observations are biased to certain times of the year. Second, a trend assessment will always represent a time-period; essentially that defined by the first and last observations. The assessment's characterisation of the change in the observations over the time-period is likely to be diminished if the observations are not reasonably evenly distributed across the time-period. For these reasons, important steps in the data compilation process include specifying the seasons, the time-period, and ensuring adequately distributed data.

Monitoring programs are generally designed to sample with a set frequency, (e.g., monthly, quarterly). The trend analysis 'season' is generally specified to match this sampling frequency (e.g., seasons are months, bi-months, or quarters). There is therefore generally an observation for each sample interval (i.e., each season, such as month or quarter, within each year). Sampling frequency for some variables

is annually. For example, annual sampling is common for biological sampling such as macro-invertebrates. In this case the 'season' is specified by the year.

Two common deviations from the prescribed sampling regime are (1) the collection of more than one observation in a sample interval (e.g., two observations within a month) and (2) a change in sampling interval within the time-period. Both of these deviations occurred in the ORC datasets, particularly type (2), as there was a network wide change in sampling frequency in 2013, largely moving from bi-monthly to monthly monitoring for rivers, and from biannual to quarterly for groundwater in 2011. For type (1) deviations, the median within each sample interval was taken. For type (2) deviations, the coarser sampling interval to define seasons was used. For the part of the record with a higher frequency, the observations in each season were defined by taking the observation closest to the midpoint of the coarser season. The reason for not using the median value in this case is that it will induce a trend in variance, which will invalidate the null distribution of the test statistic (Helsel *et al.*, 2020).

The trend at all sites was characterised by the rate of change of the central tendency of the observations of each variable through time. Because water quality is constantly varying through time, the evaluated rate of change depends on the time-period over which it is assessed (e.g., Ballantine *et al.*, 2010; Larned *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, trend assessments are specific for a given period of analysis. Trend periods of 10 and 20-years were evaluated.

For a regional study that aims to allow robust comparison of trends between sites and to provide a synoptic assessment of trends across a whole region, such as the present study, it is important that trends are commensurate in terms of their statistical power and representativeness of the time-period. In these types of studies, it is general practice to define consistent time-periods (i.e., trend duration and start date) so that all sites are subjected to the same conditions (i.e., equivalent political, climate, economic conditions). It is also general practice to define the acceptable proportion of gaps and how these are distributed across sample intervals so that the reported trends are assessed from comparable data. The acceptable proportion of gaps and representation of sample intervals by observations within the time-period are commonly referred to as site inclusion or filtering rules (e.g., Larned *et al.*, 2018) but this is also termed 'site screening criteria' and 'completeness criteria'.

There are no specific data requirements or filtering rules for trend assessments performed over many sites and variables such as the present study. The definition of filtering rules is complicated by a trade-off: more restrictive rules increase the robustness of the individual trend analyses but will generally exclude a larger number of sites thereby reducing spatial coverage. In general, this trade-off is also affected by the duration of trend period. Steadily increasing monitoring effort in New Zealand over the last two decades means that shorter and more recent trend periods will generally have a larger number of eligible sites.

The application of filtering rules for variables that are measured at quarterly intervals or more frequently requires two steps. First, retain sites for which observations are available for at least $X\%$ of the years in the time-period. Second, retain sites for which observations are available for at least $Y\%$ of the sample intervals. For variables that are measured annually such as MCI, the filtering rules are applied by retaining sites for which values are available for at least $X\%$ of the years in the trend period.

In this study, filtering rules applied by Larned *et al.* (2019) were used, which set X and Y to 80%. Further, the definition of seasons was flexible in order to maximise the number of sites that were included. If the site failed to comply with filter rule (2) when seasons were set as months, a coarsening of the data to quarterly seasons was applied and the filter rule (2) was reassessed. If the data then complied with filter rule (2), the trend results based on the coarser (i.e., quarterly) seasons were retained for reporting. Bi-months were also included as an intermediate coarseness between months and quarters, as this sampling interval was historically used.

Using these filter rules, the number of site/variable combinations that would be included in the analysis under varying trend period end dates was explored. While the intention was to provide the most recent possible trend assessments (up to the end of the observations dataset, August 2020), the possibility of having an earlier end date was also considered, if that would significantly increase the number of sites that would comply with the filtering rules. End dates were considered at the end of months from December 2019 through to August 2020. The results of this analysis are not included in this report as generally, there was little variation in the number of sites that complied with the filtering rules for end dates between February 2020 and August 2020. The exception was for the macroinvertebrate metrics, which had a large reduction in the number of sites that complied with the filtering rules from the December 2019 cut-off point to all end dates in 2020 (generally a reduction from 26 to 13 sites). This arises due the cessation of several macroinvertebrate sites in 2018. In the interest of providing the most up to date trend assessments, the trends for rivers presented in this study were for 10 and 20-year periods ending at 31 August 2020.

A slightly different approach has been applied to the lake monitoring data in order to maximise the assessment of trends for these sites due the irregularity of the monitoring and changes in monitoring sites. The most recent end date to examine long term, fixed period, trends across all sites was identified. This date coincided with the termination of monitoring at a number of long term sites at the end of June 2018. We evaluated trends for 10- and 18-year periods up to the end of June 2018. The 18- year period was selected as there were no lake data available prior to 2000. For these fixed period trend assessments, the data were subjected to the same filtering rules as used for the river and groundwater sites.

Another deviation for the trend analysis at lake sites was for a group of sites that were monitored for a period between 2006-2009 after which there was no monitoring until the program was re-established in 2018. These sites have been analysed using alternative trend assessment procedures that evaluate the change between the two time-periods (see Section 4.2.11). However, it was important that the data still complied with the time-period requirements relating to representativeness of the time-periods, and that there was no bias toward any particular season in the records. Consequently, the two analysis time-periods for these site/variable combinations to be three complete years: 1 May 2006 to 30 April 2009, and 1 June 2017 to 31 May 2020 were set. It was also required that at least 80% of observations were available in each time-period.

4.2.5 Handling censored values

For several water-quality variables, true values are occasionally too low or too high to be measured with precision. These measurements are called censored values. The detection limit is the lowest value that can be measured by an analytical method (either a laboratory measurement or a measurement made in the field) and the reporting limit is the greatest value of a variable that can be measured. Water-quality datasets from New Zealand rivers and lakes often include DRP, TP and NH₄N measurements that are censored because they are below detection limits, and ECOLI and CLAR measurements that are censored because they are above reporting limits.

Censored values are managed in a special way by the non-parametric trend assessment methods. It is therefore important that censored values are correctly identified in the data. Detection limits or reporting limits that have changed through the trend time-period (often due to analytical changes) can induce trends that are associated with the changing precision of the measurements rather than actual changes in the variable. This possibility needs to be accounted for in the trend analysis and this is another reason that it is important that censored values are correctly identified in the data.

A 'hi-censor' filter was applied in the trend assessments to minimise biases that might be introduced due to changes in detection limits through the trend assessment period. The hi-censor filter identifies the highest detection limit for each water quality variable in the trend assessment period and replaces all observations below this level with the highest detection limit and identifies these as censored

values. This procedure generally had limited impact on the trend assessment, with the exception of ammoniacal nitrogen, as there was a significant shift in the detection limit, and most of the observations were generally very small (of similar magnitude to the detection limit).

4.2.6 Flow adjustment

Where water quality observations are made in a river and are associated with a solute or particulate matter (e.g., a concentration or an optical measure such as clarity or turbidity) some of the variation can be associated with the river flow (i.e., discharge) at the time the observation was made. The observed values can vary systematically with flow rate due to two kinds of physical processes. The water quality observations may decrease systematically with increasing flow due to the effect of dilution of the contaminant, or increase with increasing flow due to wash-off of the contaminant (Smith *et al.*, 1996). Different mechanisms may dominate at different sites so that the same water quality variable can exhibit positive or negative relationships with flow. Some water quality variables can be associated with a combination of dilution and wash off with increasing flow. For example, a portion of the *E. coli* load may come from point sources discharges such as sewage treatment plants (dilution effect), but another portion may be derived from surface wash-off. Increasing flow in this situation may result in an initial dilution at the low end of the discharge range, followed by an increase with discharge at higher values of discharge.

Trend analysis seeks to quantify the relationship between the water quality observations and time. In this context, flow can be considered as a covariate; a variable that is also related to the water quality observations but whose influence is confounding the water quality – time relationship of interest. Statistical analysis can be used to remove the influence of the covariate on the water quality observations. For river data, this statistical analysis is called flow adjustment. The same principle can be applied to other types of environment (e.g., lakes, groundwater) and other covariates (e.g., wind, precipitation) and so the more general term is covariate adjustment.

Covariate adjustment has two purposes. First, it can increase the statistical power of the trend assessment (i.e., increase the confidence in the estimate of direction and rate of the trend) by removing some of the variability that is associated with the covariate. Second, it removes any component of the trend that can be attributed to a trend in the covariate (e.g., a trend in the flow on sample occasions such as increasing or decreasing flow with time).

Covariate adjustment involves fitting a model that describes the relationship between the water quality observation and the covariate, and then using the residuals of the model instead of the original water quality observations in the subsequent trend assessment step. In the description of the covariate adjustment method below, flow adjustment was the focus (i.e., removing the influence of flow at from water quality observations made in a river). However, in principle, the method is the same for any other type of covariate adjustment.

Four alternative regression models were considered to describe the relationship between the water quality observations and flow: log-log regression, locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (LOESS, with spans of 0.7 and 0.9) and generalised additive models (GAM). Censored values were represented during model fitting by raw values (i.e., the numeric component of the censored values) multiplied by a 0.5 for detection limit censoring and 1.1 for reporting limit censoring.

The next step was to select the best model from the alternatives. Expert judgement was used to choose the most suitable model based at least three considerations: (1) the homoscedasticity (constant variance) of the regression residuals, (2) model goodness of fit measures and (3) plausibility of the shape of the fitted model. The model of goodness of fit measure alone should not be relied on because they can indicate good model performance but describe unrealistic relationships. This is particularly likely when more flexible models are used such as LOESS and GAM models and therefore these models should be used with caution.

When the relationship between flow and the water quality variable was poor, it was concluded that there was not a systematic relationship between the observations and flow. In this case, no model was selected, no flow adjustment was performed and the trend assessment was performed on the raw data. Choosing not to flow adjust took into consideration the balance between the potential to reduce variance in the observations, and the risk of selecting an implausible/inappropriate model of the relationship between the observations and flow.

4.2.7 Seasonality assessment

For many site/variable combinations, observations vary systematically by season (e.g., by month or quarter). In cases where seasons are a major source in variability, accounting for the systematic seasonal variation should increase the statistical power of the trend assessment (i.e., increase the confidence in the estimate of direction and rate of the trend). The purpose of a seasonality assessment is to identify whether seasons explain variation in the water quality variable. If this is true, then it is appropriate to use the seasonal versions of the trend assessment procedures at the trend assessment step.

Seasonality was evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis multi-sample test for identical populations. This is a non-parametric ANOVA that determines the extent to which season explains variation in the water quality observations. Following Hirsch *et al.* (1982), site/variable combinations were identified as being seasonal based on the p -value from the Kruskal-Wallis test with $\alpha=0.05$. For these sites/variable combinations, subsequent trend assessments followed the seasonal variants.

The choice of α is subjective and a value of 0.05 is associated with a very high level of certainty (95%) that the data exhibit a seasonal pattern. In our experience there are generally diminishing differences between the seasonal and non-seasonal trend assessments for p -values values larger than 0.05 (Helsel *et al.*, 2020).

4.2.8 Analysis of trends

The purpose of trend assessment is to evaluate the direction (i.e., increasing or decreasing) and rate of the change in the central tendency of the observed water quality values over the period of analysis (i.e., the trend). Because the observations represent samples of the water quality over the period of analysis, there is uncertainty about the conclusions drawn from their analysis. Therefore, statistical models are used to determine the direction and rate of the trend and to evaluate the uncertainty of these determinations.

Trends were evaluated using the LWPTrends functions in the R statistical computing software. A brief description of the theoretical basis for these functions is described below.

4.2.9 Trend direction assessment

The trend direction and the confidence in the trend direction were evaluated using either the Mann Kendall assessment or the Seasonal Kendall assessment. Although the non-parametric Sen slope regression also provides information about trend direction and its confidence, the Mann Kendall assessment is recommended, rather than Sen slope regression, because the former more robustly handles censored values.

The Mann Kendall assessment requires no *a priori* assumptions about the distribution of the data but does require that the observations are randomly sampled and independent (no serial correlation) and that there is a sample size of ≥ 8 . Both the Mann Kendall and Seasonal Kendall assessments are based on calculating the Kendall S statistic, which is explained diagrammatically in Figure 2.




Figure 2. The Kendall S statistic is calculated by first evaluating the difference between all pairs of water quality observations (Figure 2, A and B). Positive differences are termed ‘concordant’ (i.e., the observations increased with increasing time) and negative differences are termed discordant (i.e., the observations decreased with increasing time). The Kendall S statistic is the number of concordant pairs minus the number of discordant pairs (Figure 2, C1). The sign of S indicates the water quality trend direction with a positive or negative sign indicating that observations increased or decreased through time respectively (Figure 2, C2). In the special case that the z score is equal to zero, the trend would be pronounced indeterminate, or equally likely to be increasing as decreasing.

4.2.10 Assessment of trend rate

The method used to assess trend rate is based on non-parametric Sen slope regressions of water quality observations against time. The Sen slope estimator (SSE; Hirsch *et al.*, 1982) is the slope parameter of a non-parametric regression. SSE is calculated as the median of all possible inter-observation slopes (i.e., the difference in the measured observations divided by the time between sample dates).




Figure 3 Pictogram of the calculation of the Sen slope, which is used to characterise trend rate.

The seasonal Sen slope estimator (SSSE) is calculated in two steps. First, for each season, the median of all possible inter-observation slopes is calculated in same manner as shown in Figure 3 but for data pertaining to observations in each individual season. Second, SSSE is the median of the seasonal values.

Uncertainty in the assessed trend rate is evaluated following a methodology outlined in Helsel and Hirsch (2002). To calculate the 100(1- α)% two-sided symmetrical confidence interval about the fitted slope parameter, the ranks of the upper and lower confidence limits are determined, and the slopes associated with these observations are applied as the confidence intervals.

The inter-observation slope cannot be definitively calculated between any combination of observations in which either one or both observations comprise censored values. Therefore, it is usual to remove the censor sign from the reported laboratory value and use just the 'raw' numeric component (i.e., <1 becomes 1) multiplied by a factor (such as 0.5 for left-censored and 1.1 for right-censored values). This ensures that in the Sen slope calculations, any left-censored observations are always treated as values that are less than their 'raw' values and right censored observations are always treated as values that are greater than their 'raw' values. As the proportion of censored values increase, the probability that the Sen slope is affected by censoring increases. The outputs from the trend assessment provide an 'analysis note' to identify Sen Slopes where one or both of the observations associated with the median interobservation slope is censored.

4.2.11 Evaluating changes in discontinuous data

Some of the monitoring data for lake sites is broken into two distinct time-periods, with a moderate gap (~ 4 years) between these periods. Following the USGS guidelines (Helsel et al. 2020), these types of datasets have been analysed using a step change approach. The analysis procedure uses a rank-sum test (and seasonal variant where appropriate) to test whether there is a change in the observations between the two periods, and the Hodges-Lehman (H-L) estimator to evaluate the magnitude, and direction of the change.

The rank-sum test is implemented in R using the functions: `twoSampleLinearRankTest` and `twoSampleLinearRankTestCensored` (both part of the `EnvStats` package). The second function

explicitly accounts for censored data in either or both of the time periods. A seasonal rank sum test is applied when the observations are determined to be seasonal. For the seasonal case, the rank sum statistic and variance are evaluated for each season and these are then individually summed to determine the overall test statistic. As for the Mann Kendall test, the p -value from the rank sum test was converted to a measure of the confidence in direction of change in water quality between the two time-periods.

The H-L estimator is evaluated in a similar manner as the Sen Slope, with the exception that rather than evaluating the rate of change between all pairs of observations, only the differences are evaluated, and only between pairs from different periods. The H-L estimator is the median of all possible differences between the data in the before and after periods. A seasonal H-L estimator is evaluated when the observations are determined to be seasonal.

We also provide an estimate of the rate of change that the difference represents, by dividing the H-L estimator by the difference between the mid times of each time period. This measure is indicative only and should only be used as an approximation of the relative magnitude of the rate of change at these sites only be used as an approximation of the relative magnitude of the rate of change at these sites.

4.2.12 Interpretation of trends

The trend assessment procedure used here facilitates a more nuanced inference than the ‘yes/no’ output corresponding to the chosen acceptable misclassification error rate. The confidence in direction (C) can be transformed into a continuous scale of confidence the trend was decreasing (C_d). For all trends with $S < 0$, $C_d = C$, and for all $S > 0$ a transformation is applied so that $C_d = 1 - C$. C_d ranges from 0 to 1.0. When C_d is very small, a decreasing trend is highly unlikely, which because the outcomes are binary, is the same as an increasing trend is highly likely.

The trend for each site/variable combination was assigned a categorical level of confidence that the trend was improving according to its evaluated confidence, direction and the categories shown in Table 2. Improvement is indicated by decreasing trends for all the water quality variables in this study except for MCI, SQMCI, ASPM and dissolved oxygen (for which increasing trends indicate improvement).

Table 2. Level of confidence categories used to convey the confidence that the trend (or step change) indicated improving water quality. The confidence categories are used by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC; Stocker et al., 2014).

<i>Categorical level of confidence trend was decreasing</i>	<i>Descriptor used in report</i>	<i>Value of C_d (%)</i>
Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑	0.99–1.00
Extremely likely	↑↑↑	0.95–0.99
Very likely	↑↑	0.90–0.95
Likely	↑	0.67–0.90
About as likely as not	↔	0.33–0.67
Unlikely	↓	0.10–0.33
Very unlikely	↓↓	0.05–0.10
Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓	0.01–0.05
Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓	0.0–0.01

Outputs from the trend analyses were also classified into four direction categories: improving, degrading, indeterminate, and not analysed. An increasing or decreasing trend category was assigned based on the sign of the S statistic from the Mann Kendall test. An indeterminate trend category was assigned when the Z score equalled zeros. Trends were classified as not analysed for two reasons:

- 1) When a large proportion of the values were censored (data has <5 non-censored values and/or <3 unique non-censored values). This arises because trend analysis is based on examining differences in the value of the variable under consideration between all pairs of sample occasions. When a value is censored, it cannot be compared with any other value and the comparison is treated as a tie (i.e., there is no change in the variable between the two sample occasions). When there are many ties there is little information content in the data and a meaningful statistic cannot be calculated.
- 2) When there is no, or very little, variation in the data because this also results in ties. This can occur because laboratory analysis of some variables has low precision (i.e., values have few or no significant figures). In this case, many samples have the same value, and this then results in ties.

Changes for discontinuous data were classified as not analysed when there were less than 3 unique observations in the entire record, or if seasonal, within any season.

4.2.13 River data availability

Following the application of the filtering rules, the total number of sites that were included in the analyses was reduced, a summary of the site numbers that were included in the final trend assessment is presented in Table 3. Confidence that the trend direction indicated improving water quality, was mapped for the raw (with high censor filter) for the 10 and 20-year trend periods in Figure 54 and Figure 55.

Table 3 River water quality variables, measurement units and site numbers for which 10- and 20-year trends (Raw, and Flow Adjusted FA) were analysed by this study.

Variable	Number of sites	Number of sites that complied with filtering rules (10-years)		Number of sites that complied with filtering rules (20-years)	
		Raw	FA	Raw	FA
Ammoniacal Nitrogen	114	50	32	34	18
ASPM	51	10	6	0	0
Chlorophyll a	44	0	0	0	0
Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen	108	0	0	0	0
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus	108	50	32	33	18
<i>E.coli</i>	114	50	27	28	13
MCI	54	13	7	0	0
Nitrite/Nitrate Nitrogen	114	50	32	34	18
SQMCI Score	53	13	7	0	0
Total Nitrogen	114	50	32	33	18
Total Phosphorus	114	50	32	32	18
Turbidity	114	50	32	32	18

5 Results Overview

In Otago five FMUs have been recognised: Clutha/Mata-Au, Taieri, North Otago, Dunedin Coastal and Catlins. The Clutha/Mata-Au FMU has been divided in to five sub-areas, or Rohe comprising the Upper Lakes Rohe, Dunstan Rohe, Manuherekia Rohe, Roxburgh Rohe and Lower Clutha Rohe. The results section is organised in FMU or Rohe chapters, each chapter follows the same format:

- Figure of the FMU/Rohe showing location of water quality monitoring sites
- State analysis results of grading of river/lake sites based on the NOF criteria. Including a comparison with the baseline state (2012-2017)
- State analysis maps showing results of river/lake sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands.
- Trend analysis summary of sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement.
- At the end of each FMU/Rohe section a summary table is presented showing which sites and attributes fall below the national bottom line.

6 Upper Lakes Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)




Figure 4 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Upper Lakes Rohe

6.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Upper Lakes Rohe according to the NPSFM 2020 NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 5 and Figure 6 and mapped in Figure 7. Many sites in the Upper Lakes Rohe did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 5 Grading of the river sites of the Upper Clutha Rohe based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 6 Grading of the lake sites of the Upper Clutha Rohe based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 7 Maps showing Upper Clutha Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.

6.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Results for the river periphyton trophic state are shown in Figure 5 (periphyton). Most sites in the Upper Clutha are in attribute band 'A' for periphyton as few results exceed 50 chl-*a*/m² reflecting negligible nutrient enrichment. Bullock Creek, a spring fed stream that runs through Wanaka township has an interim result of 'D' which places it below the national bottom line, this reflects a higher nutrient enrichment and the possibility of regular nuisance blooms.

Figure 5 shows the MfE (2020) DRP and TN concentrations to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (periphyton DRP and periphyton TN). All sites have median DRP concentrations that place them in the 'A' or 'B' bands, however 12 Mile Creek and the Matukituki have median DRP concentrations that place them in the 'C' band. For TN median concentrations in the Upper Lakes Rohe are generally in the T50 mg chl-*a*/m² band (or band 'A'), as would be expected in a low nutrient environment. The outliers are the urban stream Horn Creek, which has a median TN concentration of 0.27 mg/l placing it in band 'C' and 12 Mile Creek and Bullock Creek that have median TN concentrations placing them in band 'B'. Figure 5 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the Upper Lakes Rohe show that every site achieves a band 'A', other than Horn Creek which achieves a band 'B' (median DRP). The NPSFM 2020 describes Band 'A' as *'Ecological communities and ecosystem processes are similar to those of natural reference conditions'*.

Results for the lakes are also shown in Figure 6. Trophic status is a common method for describing the health of lakes and an indicator of how much growth or productivity occurs in the lake, productivity being directly related to the availability of nutrients (ORC, 2017). Lakes in pristine condition typically have very low nutrient and algal biomass levels. As lakes become more enriched due to changes in land-use and land management practices, lake nutrient levels and algal productivity increases. The NPSFM 2020 describes how phytoplankton affects lake ecological communities. If phytoplankton is in the 'A' band, then *'Lake ecological communities are healthy and resilient, similar to natural reference conditions'*. Figure 5 shows that this is the case for all the lake sites in the Upper Clutha Rohe. The results for total nitrogen and total phosphorus are also shown in Figure 5, all results are in the 'A' band reflecting low levels of total nutrients indicating that associated ecological communities are healthy and resilient.

6.1.2 Toxicants

NOF attribute bands for NH₄N and nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity (Figure 5) show excellent protection levels against toxicity risk for all Upper Lakes Rohe river and lake SoE monitoring sites, with all sites returning an 'A' band (highest level of protection) for NH₄N; and all sites returning an 'A' band for NNN.

6.1.3 Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)

The clarity results for the Upper Lakes Rohe are shown in Figure 5. Sites that have a high degree of glacial flour present in the river, such as the Dart and Rees rivers return some very high turbidity (and suspended sediment) levels despite the rivers being close to natural state. The Buckler Burn (Glenorchy) Invincible Creek (Rees Valley), Ox Burn (Rees Valley) and Timaru Creek (Hawea) are not glacial fed, but have very steep catchments which would be prone to erosion. Horn Creek is the other stream to have turbidity below the national bottom line, this is an urban stream in the heart of Queenstown and is likely affected by stormwater as well as avian wildlife stirring up the creek bed.

6.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 5 summarises MCI scores for sites monitored for aquatic macroinvertebrates throughout the Upper Lakes Rohe.

The MCI interim scores are somewhat comparable across sites with seven of the nine monitored sites returning comparable MCI scores above 120; reflecting a macroinvertebrate community in 'good' condition. The exceptions are Bullock Creek and Motatapu which return MCI scores between 100 and 110; reflecting moderate nutrient enrichment. In a regional context the Upper Clutha Rohe generally show overall water and habitat quality supports the existence of healthy invertebrate communities. The ASPM interim scores shown in Figure 5 reflect those of the MCI scores for Bullock Creek, but Precipice Creek, despite having a B grade for MCI, obtains a 'C' grade for ASPM, which the NPSFM describes as '*a community with moderate to severe loss of ecological integrity*'.

6.1.5 Human health for recreation

Figure 5 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band.

Compliance for rivers is generally excellent across in the Upper Lakes Rohe, with all sites other than Bullock Creek, Makoroa, Quartz Creek and the Rees returning bacterial water quality above the national bottom line. Bullock Creek has high background bacteria concentrations which indicates an *E. coli* source that is affecting water quality even under low flow conditions, such as water fowl often being present in the stream above the sampling location.

For the lakes, compliance is excellent across in the Upper Lakes Rohe, with all sites at all statistical tests obtaining an 'A' band.

6.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the Upper Lakes Rohe is shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the prevision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend.

Results show that over a 10-year period the Dart at the Hillocks returned a ‘virtually certain’ (improving) trend for TP, but over the same period the Matukituki returned an ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trend for NNN.

Trend analysis for the Upper Lakes Rohe lakes is shown in Figure 8. NNN is ‘virtually certain’ to be improving from the outlets at Wakatipu, Wanaka and Hawea (over 18 years) and Wakatipu (over 10-years), turbidity is ‘exceptionally unlikely’ or ‘extremely unlikely’ to be improving at all three outflow sites (over 18 years).

For discontinuous data sets the two time-periods analysed were three complete years: 1 May 2006 to 30 April 2009, and 1 June 2017 to 31 May 2020. Most of the trends analysed were influenced by censored values (where true values are too low to be measured with precision) and have not been included. All sites had ‘virtually certain’ improving trends for TN as shown in Figure 8

6.3 Water quality summary and discussion: Upper lakes Rohe

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake attribute states where the national bottom line is not met (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than ‘likely’ or ‘unlikely’
- 3) all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Table 4 Summary of river state, where state does not meet the national bottom line cells are coloured red. No lake variables were below the national bottom line.

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP – Q95	<i>E.coli</i>	MCI	NNN - median	NNN – Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Timaru Creek													
Rees River													
Quartz Creek													
Ox Burn													
Makarora River													
Invincible Creek													
Horn Creek													
Dart River													
Bullock Creek													
Buckler Burn													

Table 5 Summary of river sites (raw data) where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Dart at The Hillocks						
Total Nitrogen	57	BiMonth	10	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	57	BiMonth	10	-0.0037	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Matukituki at West Wanaka						
Ammoniacal Nitrogen	101	Month	10	0	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	98	Month	10	0.0015	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	101	Month	10	-0.0008	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑

Table 6 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	Annual SenSlope	Trend Direction	Confidence	Descriptor
Lake Wakatipu at Outflow 10-year dataset							
Ammoniacal N	105	BiMonth	18	0	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	58	BiMonth	10	0.0008	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	105	BiMonth	18	0.0003	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	104	BiMonth	18	0.0106	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Lake Wanaka at Outlet 10-year dataset							
Ammoniacal N	60	BiMonth	10	0	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	105	BiMonth	18	0.0008	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	105	BiMonth	18	0.0065	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Ammoniacal N	60	BiMonth	10	0	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Lake Hawea Outflow at Dam 10-year dataset							
Nitrite/Nitrate N	106	BiMonth	18	0	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	104	BiMonth	18	0.0120	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Lake Wakatipu at Outflow 18-year dataset							
Ammoniacal N	105	BiMonth	18	0	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	58	BiMonth	10	0.0008	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	105	BiMonth	18	0.0003	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	104	BiMonth	18	0.0106	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Lake Wanaka at Outlet 18-year dataset							
Ammoniacal N	60	BiMonth	10	0	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	105	BiMonth	18	0.0008	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	105	BiMonth	18	0.0065	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓

Table 7 Summary of trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend	3	1	2	1					1
Rivers - 20-year trend									
Lakes – 10-year trend	1		1	1		3	1		1
Lakes – 18 year trend	4	1		3		2		2	1

Water quality is best at river and stream reaches located at high or mountainous elevations under predominantly native cover. These sites tend to be associated with the Upper Lakes Rohe.

In the Upper Lakes Rohe water quality is generally very good, as it should be. Of the NOF attribute state, the Rees and Dart are below the national bottom line for turbidity, but this is a natural state due to glacial melt-water. Despite very good compliance with the *E.coli* attribute, four sites are below the national bottom line; Bullock Creek is an urban stream running through the Wanaka township with a large population of wildfowl, these and stormwater are likely to be the reason behind the poor grade. The Makarora and Rees are large rivers, with catchment areas largely in national parks. It is likely that the poor water quality is very localised, and possibly reflects the quality of tributaries running over the flats. The same can be said for Quartz Creek that runs over the flats before entering Lake Wanaka. The only other 'D' band was for periphyton in Bullock Creek. The lakes achieved attribute 'A' bands for all parameters assessed.

For trends, only the Dart and Matukituki have been monitored for a sufficiently long time-period for trend analysis to be undertaken. Perhaps the most worrying trend is NNN has shown an increase over the last 10-years in the Matukituki. Trend analysis in the lakes shows that TN has 'virtually certain' improving trends in the outlets of both Wakatipu and Wanaka, however turbidity is almost certainly degrading in Hawea and Wanaka.

Table 6 and Table 7 only show sites with 99%, 95% ,1% and 5% confidence levels. These equate to the 'virtually certain', 'extremely likely', 'exceptionally unlikely' and 'extremely unlikely' categories. It is important to note when sites have a zero sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction, at these sites the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the Upper Lakes Rohe, there are a lot of sites with a zero sen slope, highlighting how low the concentrations of most analytes are in this region.

As stated previously, having accurate information on changes in land use and land management practice would help in identifying drivers of change evident with some water quality variables. The reasons for this are unclear as ORC do not collect any information on changes in land use or land management practices that would allow for confident assessment of drivers of turbidity or NNN in our waterways.

In summary; for the majority of sites across the Upper Clutha reporting region, water quality is excellent and the best in Otago;

- All sites return an 'A' band for the toxicity attribute states of ammonia and nitrate
- Nutrient concentrations stimulating algae growth low, other than in Bullock Creek where periphyton (chlorophyll *a*) is below the national bottom line.
- Bacterial water quality is excellent across all sites, with the exception of Bullock Creek, the Rees, the Makarora and Quartz Creek; which are below the national bottom line.
- Clarity (suspended sediment) is only elevated in rivers fed by glaciers.
- Macroinvertebrate scores reflect the low nutrient and scarce food source (periphyton) content of rivers with scores in the 'B' or 'C' band.
- Trend analysis for rivers showed an 'exceptionally unlikely' improving for NNN in the Matukituki River and 'virtually certain' improving trend for TP in the Dart and Matukituki
- Trend analysis for lakes showed the outlets of Lakes Hawea, Wanaka and Wakatipu had 'exceptionally unlikely' or 'extremely unlikely' improving trends for turbidity, but 'virtually certain' improving trends for NNN.

7 Dunstan Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)




Figure 9 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Dunstan Rohe

7.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Dunstan Rohe according to the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 10 and Figure 11 and mapped in Figure 12. Many sites in the Dunstan Rohe did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 10 Grading of the river sites of the Dunstan Rohe based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 11 Grading of the lake sites of the Dunstan Rohe based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 12 Maps showing Dunstan Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.

7.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Results for the river periphyton trophic state results are shown in Figure 12 (periphyton). The Dunstan Rohe returns a mixed bag of attribute bands for periphyton, the Arrow and Cardrona have an interim 'A' band as few results exceed 50 chl-*a*/m² meaning that blooms would be rare, reflecting negligible nutrient enrichment. The Lindis at Lindis Peak and Luggate Creek record interim 'B' bands and the Lindis at Ardgour Road, towards the bottom of the Lindis catchment has an interim result of 'D', below the national bottom line, this reflects a higher nutrient enrichment and the possibility of regular nuisance blooms

Figure 12 shows the MfE (2020) DRP and TN concentrations to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (periphyton DRP and periphyton TN). Using the 20% exceedance criteria (mid-range), the TN median concentrations in the Dunstan Rohe are generally in the T120 mg chl-*a*/m² band (or band 'B'), as would be expected in a low nutrient environment. The outliers are Hayes Creek, which is influenced by high nutrient concentrations in Lake Hayes, the Kawarau River and Mill Creek all of which are placed in band 'C'. The Hawea River, Nevis and Luggate Creek have low median TN concentrations and are graded as band 'B'. Median concentrations of DRP place all sites in the 'B' or 'C' band.

Figure 12 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the Dunstan Rohe show that every site achieves a band 'A', other than Luggate Creek and the Clutha at Luggate Bride which achieve a band 'B' and the noticeable outlier, Hayes Creek which achieves band 'C', due to receiving nutrient rich water from Lake Hayes. The NPSFM 2020 describes Band 'C' as *'Ecological communities are impacted by moderate DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. If other conditions also favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment may cause increased algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macro-invertebrate and fish taxa, and high rates of respiration and decay'*.

Results for the lakes are also shown in Figure 12. Trophic status is a common method for describing the health of lakes and an indicator of how much growth or productivity occurs in the lake, productivity being directly related to the availability of nutrients (ORC, 2017). Lakes in pristine condition typically have very low nutrient and algal biomass levels. As lakes become more enriched due to changes in land-use and land management practices, lake nutrient levels and algal productivity increases. The NPSFM 2020 describes how phytoplankton affects lake ecological communities. If the chlorophyll *a* concentration are in the 'A' band, then *'Lake ecological communities are healthy and resilient, similar to natural reference conditions'*. Figure 12 shows that this is the case for Lake Dunstan, but Lake Hayes (10m) and Lake Johnson achieve a 'D' band and Lake Hayes (Bendemeer Bay) achieves a 'C' band. The results for total nitrogen and total phosphorus are also shown in Figure 12, Lake Dunstan achieves 'A' bands reflecting low levels of total nutrients indicating that associated ecological communities are healthy and resilient, but Lake Johnson and Lake Hayes have higher concentrations of TN and TP. Lake Johnson falls below the national bottom line for TN achieving a 'D' band.

7.1.2 Toxicants

NOF attribute bands for NH₄N and nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity are shown in Figure 12, the results for rivers show excellent protection levels against toxicity risk for nearly all Dunstan Rohe SoE monitoring sites, with all sites bar one (Nevis) returning an 'A' band for NH₄N and all sites returning an 'A' band (highest level of protection) for NNN. For lakes, Lake Johnson and Lake Hayes return 'C' bands and Lake Dunstan an 'A' band.

7.1.3 Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)

The clarity results for the Dunstan Rohe are shown in Figure 12. Six sites return an 'A' band which the NPSFM describes as having '*minimal impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Ecological communities are similar to those observed in natural reference conditions*' (NPSFM, 2020). Five sites return a 'D' band; the Upper Cardrona, Quartz Creek, Mill Creek, Lindis at Lindis Peak and the Clutha at Luggate.

7.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 12 summarises MCI scores for sites monitored for aquatic macroinvertebrates throughout the Dunstan Rohe.

The MCI interim scores are somewhat comparable across sites with three of the five monitored sites returning MCI scores between 100 and 110; reflecting a macroinvertebrate community indicative of moderate organic pollution or nutrient enrichment. The exceptions are the Arrow River which returns a MCI score of 119 reflecting 'good' water quality and Mill Creek which returns an MCI score of 85, which is below the national bottom line. The poor score at Mill Creek would be indicative of severe organic pollution or nutrient enrichment as well as poor habitat quality.

The ASPM interim scores shown in Figure 12 reflect those of the MCI scores for the Arrow River and Mill Creek, but the other sites despite having a 'C' grade for MCI, obtain a 'B' grade for ASPM, which the NPSFM describes as 'a community with mild to moderate loss of ecological integrity'.

7.1.5 Human health for recreation (Rivers)

Figure 12 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band.

Compliance is generally excellent across in the Dunstan Rohe, with all sites other than the Kawarau and the Upper Cardrona having bacterial water quality above the national bottom line.

Figure 10 shows that many of the sites have fewer than the required 60 samples over a maximum of five years, so the grades are interim. For example, the Upper Cardrona returns 'A' grades for all statistical tests bar the 95th percentile, as it only has 21 samples over 3 years. It is unknown what this upper catchment site would return as a 95th percentile over required the time-period. The Kawarau also does not meet minimum sample requirements, but does returns of the four statistical tests, two return a 'C' grade, one a 'B' grade and one a 'D' grade.

For lakes, Figure 11 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. Compliance is excellent across all lakes in the Dunstan Rohe, only Lake Hayes at Bendermeer Bay achieves a 'B' band, all other sites at all statistical tests obtain an 'A' grade.

7.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the Dunstan Rohe is shown in Figure 13



Figure 13 Summary of Dunstan Rohe sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend.

Trend analysis for both rivers and lakes show that most of the trends analysed were influenced by censored values, where true values are too low to be measured with precision, this is shown by the black dot in Figure 13. Over a 10-year time-period the Cardrona has the four variables (*E.coli*, NNN, TN and SQMCI) that shows ‘exceptionally unlikely’ or ‘extremely unlikely’ improving trends. Over the same time-period, Luggate Creek has five ‘very unlikely’ or ‘extremely unlikely’ improving trends and the Lindis at Ardgor Road has three ‘unlikely’ or ‘very unlikely’ improving trends. Over a 20-year time-period, the Cardrona shows the same ‘exceptionally unlikely’ or ‘extremely unlikely’ improving trends for TN and NNN, and Mill Creek and the Kawarau have an ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trend for turbidity.

Trends for the lake data ranged across the board from ‘virtually certain’ to ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improvements in most variables. The most notable trends are that over 10-years the lake data shows one ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trend for NH₄N at Lake Dunstan and that Lake Hayes has ‘virtually certain’ improving trends for DRP and TP. The step dataset shows that secchi depth was ‘exceptionally unlikely’ to be improving in Lake Hayes.

7.3 Water quality summary and discussion: Dunstan Rohe

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake sites where attributes where the national bottom line is not met (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than ‘likely’ or ‘unlikely’
- 3) all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Table 8 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP – Q95	<i>E.coli</i>	MCI	NNN - median	NNN – Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Upper Cardrona													
Quartz Reef Creek													
Mill Creek													
Lindis at Peak													
Kawarau at Chards													
Clutha at Luggate													

	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> -max	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> median	<i>E.coli</i> Overall	TN	TP
Lake Johnson at South Beach huts							
Lake Hayes at Mid Lake 10m							

Table 9 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely' (raw data).
Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	Annual SenSlope	Trend Direction	Confidence	Descriptor
Cardrona at Mt Barker							
Ammoniacal Nitrogen	119	BiMonth	20	0	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	99	Month	10	0	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	115	BiMonth	20	0	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
E. coli	100	Month	10	3.1637	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	100	Month	10	0.0050	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	118	BiMonth	20	0.0016	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
SQMCI Score	9	Year	10	-0.4844	Decreasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	99	Month	10	0.0019	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	118	BiMonth	20	0	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Hawea at Camphill Bridge							
Nitrite/Nitrate N	100	Month	10	0.0002	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	99	Month	10	0	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Turbidity	100	Month	10	-0.0122	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Kawarau @ Chards Rd							
Nitrite/Nitrate N	98	Month	10	-0.0009	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	218	Month	20	-0.0004	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	218	Month	20	0.04331	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Lindis at Ardgour Road							
Nitrite/Nitrate N	105	Month	10	-0.0055	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Nitrogen	104	Month	10	-0.0108	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	103	Month	10	-0.0005	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Lindis at Lindis Peak							
Dissolved Reactive P	98	BiMonth	20	0	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
E. coli	102	BiMonth	20	0.5339	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	58	BiMonth	10	-0.0006	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Luggate Creek at SH6 Bridge							
Dissolved Reactive P	58	BiMonth	10	-0.0002	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
E. coli	100	Month	10	1.5020	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	41	Qtr	10	0	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	100	Month	10	0.0429	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Mill Creek at Fish Trap							
Ammoniacal Nitrogen	79	Qtr	20	0	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	98	Month	10	-0.0004	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
E. coli	98	Month	10	-3.7270	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	116	BiMonth	20	-0.0028	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Total Nitrogen	98	Month	10	-0.0034	Decreasing	Very likely	↑↑
Total Nitrogen	116	BiMonth	20	-0.0066	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	99	Month	10	-0.0006	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Turbidity	99	Month	10	0.1171	Increasing	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	115	BiMonth	20	0.0671	Increasing	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nevis at Wentworth Station							
Dissolved Reactive P	35	Qtr	10	-0.0005	Decreasing	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	35	Qtr	10	-0.0004	Decreasing	Extremely likely	↑↑↑

Table 10 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequen cy	Period	Annual SenSlope	Trend Direction	Confidence	Descriptor
Lake Dunstan at Dead Mans Point							
Ammoniacal N	60	BiMonth	10	0	Increasin	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	107	BiMonth	18	-0.0006	Decreasin	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Lake Hayes at Bendemeer Bay							
Dissolved Reactive P	48	BiMonth	10	-0.0010	Decreasin	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	48	BiMonth	10	-0.0018	Decreasin	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑

Table 11 Overall summary of trends for the Dunstan Rohe using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2.

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend	6	8	3	12	7	7	4	5	4
Rivers - 20-year trend	5	2		4	6	3		1	4
Lakes – 10-year trend	1		3	2	3	2	1	3	
Lakes – 20-year trend	1		1		5				

In the Dunstan Rohe compliance with NPSFM NOF attribute states is generally very good. Table 8 shows that few sites have variables with NOF bands below the national bottom line.

In Table 9 and Table 10 only sites with 99%, 95%, 1% and 5% confidence levels are shown. These equate to the 'virtually certain', 'extremely likely', 'exceptionally unlikely' and 'extremely unlikely' categories. It is important to note when sites have a zero sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction, at these sites the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the Dunstan Rohe, these sites include NH₄-N at Lake Dunstan, Cardrona and Mill Creek; DRP at Cardrona and Lindis at Peak; TN at Cardrona; TP at Hawea and NNN at Luggate Creek.

The Cardrona River had the most disturbing amount of 'exceptionally unlikely' or 'extremely unlikely' improving trends, including *E.coli*, TN, NNN and SQMCI. The NNN may be linked to slightly enriched groundwater contributing to surface flows in the lower reaches, possibly due to increasingly intensive land-use associated with irrigation in the lower Cardrona. The SQMCI trend is based on fewer (i.e., only annual) observations, however confidence the Sen slope is quite large (-0.4 /yr). The other 'exceptionally unlikely' or 'extremely unlikely' improving trends include turbidity (Mill Creek, Luggate Creek and Kawarau) and NNN (Luggate Creek).

Mill Creek has improving trends in DRP, *E.coli*, NNN, TN and TP. This is good news for a catchment with increasing development pressure, however the degrading trend in turbidity suggests that land disturbance, either naturally from the Crown Range or from localised development is impacting on the Creek. The good news is that despite increasing turbidity in Mill Creek, TP (which is often bound to sediment) is 'virtually certain' to be improving in Lake Hayes.

It is difficult to assess why these trends have occurred, particularly as there is no accurate information on changes in land use and land management practice would help in identifying drivers of change evident with some water quality variables.

In summary; for the majority of sites across the Dunstan Rohe, water quality is excellent;

- All sites, other than the Nevis return an 'A' band for the toxicity attribute state of ammonia. All sites return an 'A' band for the toxicity attribute state of nitrate
- Periphyton (chlorophyll *a*) at the lower Lindis site achieves a 'D' band, despite achieving 'A' bands for NNN and DRP nutrient concentrations.
- Bacterial water quality is excellent across most sites, other than the Upper Cardrona and Kawarau which both achieve a 'D' band .
- ASPM scores were generally 'B' band, with Mill Creek a 'D' band. MCI scores were generally 'C' bands with Mill Creek a 'D' band.
- Trend analysis showed 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trends for the Cardrona River (*E.coli*, NNN, SQMCI) and Luggate Creek (*E.coli*, NNN, turbidity)
- 'Virtually certain' improving trends were seen in Mill Creek (DRP, TP)

8 Manuherekia Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)




Figure 14 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Manuherekia Rohe

8.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Manuherekia Rohe according to the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 15 and mapped in Figure 16. Many sites in the Manuherekia Rohe did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 15 Grading of the river sites of the Manuherekia Rohe based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 16 Maps showing Manuherekia Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.

8.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Results for the river periphyton trophic state results are shown in Figure 15 (periphyton). Dunstan Creek and Manuherehia at Blackstone Hill are likely to be in attribute band 'B' as few results exceed 120 chl-*a*/m². Results from the Manuherehia at Ophir and Galloway show that no results are >200 mg chl-*a*/m², but many individual results have Chl_a > 120 chl-*a*/m². It is likely that these sites will fall into attribute band 'C'. There is an increase in algae in the lower Manuherehia, compared to the two sites in the upper Manuherehia (Dunstan Creek and Blackstone Hill). Figure 15 shows the MfE (2020) DRP and TN concentrations to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (periphyton DRP and periphyton TN). The upper catchment has lower median concentrations of TN placing Manuherehia d/s Forks in the 'A' band and Dunstan Creek, Hills Creek and Blackstone in the 'B' band. Ophir and Galloway have higher TN concentrations and fall into the 'C' band. The tributaries (Poolburn and Thomsons Creek) show a much higher median concentration, accordingly their TN band is 'D'.

The median concentration of DRP in Thomsons Creek and Poolburn is high, they have been allocated a 'D' band status. The Manuherehia d/s Fork and Hills Creek have the lowest DRP median concentration and fall in the 'B' band at 20% exceedance, all the other sites are allocated a 'C' band status for DRP at 20% exceedance.

Figure 16 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the Dunstan Rohe show that Thomsons Creek and the Poolburn achieve a 'D' band which is below the national bottom line, the NPSFM 2020 describes this as *'ecological communities are impacted by substantial DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. In combination with other conditions favouring eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in macroinvertebrate and fish communities, as taxa sensitive to hypoxia are lost'*.

All other sites other than the lower Manuherehia main-stem (Ophir and Galloway) achieve a band 'A'. The NPSFM 2020 describes Band 'A' as *'Ecological communities and ecosystem processes are similar to those of natural reference conditions. No adverse effects attributable to dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) enrichment are expected'*

8.1.2 Toxicants

NOF attribute bands for N NH₄-N and nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity are shown in Figure 16, the results show excellent protection levels against toxicity risk. All sites other than Hills Creek and Thomsons Creek return an 'A' band for NH₄-N and all sites returning an 'A' band (highest level of protection) for NNN.

8.1.3 Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)

The clarity results for the Manuherehia Rohe are shown in Figure 16. Five sites return a NOF band of 'D' which the NPSFM 2020 describes as *'High impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Ecological communities are significantly altered and sensitive fish and macroinvertebrate species are lost or at high risk of being lost'*. Only Dunstan Creek and Manuherehia downstream of Fork return a NOF band of 'A' for sediment. Historical gold mining tailings in the area below Falls Dam are likely to elevate suspended solid concentrations in the main-stem Manuherehia during higher flows.

8.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 16 summarises MCI scores for the Manuherehia. Dunstan Creek and Manuherehia at Ophir achieve a band 'B' for MCI whilst the Manuherehia at Blackstone and the Manuherehia at Galloway achieve a 'C' band, returning MCI scores between 100 and 110; this reflects a macroinvertebrate community indicative of moderate organic pollution or nutrient enrichment.

The ASPM interim NOF bands shown in Figure 15 reflect those of the MCI scores, Dunstan Creek and the Manuherekia at Blackstone obtain band 'B' for ASPM, which the NPSFM describes as 'a community with mild to moderate loss of ecological integrity' and the two other mainstem Manuherekia sites achieve a 'C' band.

8.1.5 Human health for recreation (Rivers)




Figure 15 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band.

The *E. coli* grades are calculated using all data regardless of flow, it is acknowledged that the actual risk will generally be less if a person does not swim during high flows (NPSFM, 2020). Thomsons Creek, Hills Creek, the Poolburn and Ophir and Galloway in the lower Manuherekia fall below the national bottom line with the attribute band either a 'D' or 'E'. Only the upper catchment site, the Manuherekia d/s of Fork (above Falls Dam) achieves 'A' bands for all four statistical tests.

8.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the Manuherekia Rohe is shown in Figure 17




Figure 17 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend.

Dunstan Creek has the largest number of trends showing ‘exceptionally unlikely’ or ‘extremely unlikely’ improvement. These include *E.coli* (Figure 18), NNN and turbidity over a 10-year period and *E.coli*, NNN and TN over a 20-year period. Other trends include an ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trend for *E.coli* at Ophir (over 10-years).

There are three sites with ‘virtually certain’ or ‘extremely likely’ improving trends, NH₄-N at Dunstan Creek and Galloway and DRP at Ophir.




Figure 18 Dunstan Creek, *E.coli* is ‘exceptionally unlikely’ to be improving (over 10-years)

8.3 Water quality summary and discussion: Manuherekia Rohe

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river sites where the national bottom line is not met (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'
- 3) all trends using raw data for rivers

Table 12 Summary of river, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band 'D'.

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP - Q95	E. coli	MCI	NNN - median	NNN - Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Thomsons Creek at SH85													
Poolburn at Cob Cottage													
Manuherekia d/s Fork													
Manuherekia at Ophir													
Manuherekia at Galloway													
Manuherekia at													
Hills Creek													
Dunstan Creek													

Table 13 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Dunstan Creek at Beattie Road						
Ammoniacal N	67	Qtr	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
E. coli	57	BiMonth	10	3.875332	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	67	Qtr	20	0.002833	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	67	Qtr	20	0.003444	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	56	BiMonth	10	0.036977	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Manuherekia at Galloway						
Ammoniacal N	118	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	118	BiMonth	20	0.059861	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Manuherekia at Ophir						
Dissolved Reactive P	101	Month	10	-0.00072	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
E. coli	101	Month	10	8.899505	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓

Table 14 Overall summary of trends for the Manuherekia Rohe using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend	1			10	4	4	1	1	2
Rivers - 20-year trend	2			1	4	1		3	

In the Manuherekia Rohe state analysis identified that upstream of Falls Dam water quality was generally very good and achieved the NPSFM attribute band 'A' for all attributes measured. For periphyton the mainstem upper Manuherekia (Blackstone Hill) and Dunstan Creek achieved attribute band 'B', but in the lower Manuherekia (Galloway and Ophir) this dropped to attribute band 'C'. For *E.coli* the upper Manuherekia achieved attribute band 'B'/'C' but the lower Manuherekia main-stem and all tributaries achieved an attribute band 'D', placing them below the national bottom line.

In the Manuherekia catchment soils with poorer drainage characteristics are found on the true right of the Manuherekia River, particularly around the Thomsons Creek and Lauder Creek catchments. The implication of poor soil drainage is run-off (from rainfall/irrigation) can transport soil and associated bacteria and nutrients to the nearest watercourse, contributing to poor water quality. The poor water quality in Thomsons Creek is likely replicated across all Creeks originating in the Dunstan Mountains, water quality becomes poorer as these tributaries flow over productive farmland towards the Manuherekia.

Across the Manuherekia Rohe there are a number of sites with degrading water quality trends, as shown in Table 13. Tributary sites which have 'state' below the national bottom line are likely contributing to the degrading trends in the main-stem. At Ophir an 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trend for *E.coli* was identified, but trends in Dunstan Creek were degrading for *E.coli*, NNN and turbidity (10-years) and *E.coli*, NNN and TN (over 20-years).

In Table 13 only sites with 99%, 95% ,1% and 5% confidence levels are shown. These equate to the 'virtually certain', 'extremely likely', 'exceptionally unlikely' and 'extremely unlikely' categories. It is important to note when sites have a zero sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction, at these sites the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the Manuherekia Rohe, these sites include NH₄-N at Dunstan Creek and Manuherekia at Galloway.

In summary:

- Bacterial water quality is excellent in the Manuherekia above Falls Dam, concentrations increase downstream with both Ophir and Galloway achieving band 'D', below the national bottom line.
- Bacterial water quality is below the national bottom line at all tributary sites (Hills Creek, Thomsons Creek and the Poolburn)
- Nutrients increase in the main-stem between Blackstone and Ophir, then DRP improves downstream to achieve band 'B' at Galloway.
- The tributaries, Poolburn and Thomsons Creek, have poor water quality across all attribute states other than toxicity mainly achieving band 'D', below the NPSFM bottom line.
- Dunstan Creek has degrading trends for *E.coli*, NNN and turbidity (over 10-years)
- Ophir has an 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trend for *E.coli* (over 10-years).

9 Roxburgh Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)




Figure 19 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Roxburgh Rohe

9.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Roxburgh Rohe according to the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 20 and mapped Figure 21. Many sites in the Roxburgh Rohe did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 20 Grading of the river and lake sites in the Roxburgh based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 21 Maps showing Roxburgh Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.

9.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Results for the river periphyton trophic state results are shown in Figure 20 (periphyton). The Roxburgh Rohe does not have any sites that are monitored for chlorophyll a, but MfE (2020) TN concentrations to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute states show that the Fraser River achieves a band 'A' as few results exceed 50 chl-a/m² meaning that blooms would be rare, reflecting negligible nutrient

enrichment, the Clutha at Millers Flat a band 'B', the Teviot River a band 'C' and the Bengier Burn achieves a band 'D'.

The MfE (2020) DRP concentrations to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute states show the same pattern as the TN bands, other than the Fraser at Old Man Range which achieves a 'B' for DRP, when it achieved an 'A' band for TN.

Figure 21 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the Roxburgh Rohe show that every site achieves a band 'A', other than the Bengier burn which achieves band 'C'. The NPSFM 2020 describes band 'C' as *'Ecological communities are impacted by moderate DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. If other conditions also favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment may cause increased algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macro-invertebrate and fish taxa, and high rates of respiration and decay'*

The NPSFM 2020 describes how phytoplankton affects lake ecological communities. If the chlorophyll a concentration is in the 'A' band, then *'Lake ecological communities are healthy and resilient, similar to natural reference conditions'*. Results for Lake Onslow are shown in Figure 21, the lake achieves an 'A' band for maximum chlorophyll a, but drops to a 'B' band for median chlorophyll a. Lake Onslow achieves a 'B' band for TN and a 'C' band for TP, which indicates that ecological communities are slightly-moderately impacted by additional algal and plant growth arising from nutrient levels above natural reference conditions.

9.1.2 Toxicants (Rivers)

In the Roxburgh Rohe the NOF attribute bands for NH₄-N and nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity) show excellent protection levels against toxicity risk as all monitoring sites return an 'A' band for NH₄-N and NNN.

9.1.3 Suspended fine sediment

The clarity results for the Roxburgh Rohe are shown in Figure 20. The Fraser River returns a NOF band of 'A' which denotes *'minimal impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Ecological communities are similar to those observed in natural reference conditions'* (NPSFM, 2020). The Clutha at Millers Flat returns a NOF band of 'B' and the Bengier burn and Teviot return a NOF band of 'D' for suspended fine sediment, which is below the national bottom line.

9.1.4 Human health for recreation

Figure 20 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band.

Lake Onslow, the Fraser River and the Clutha at Millers Flat return 'A' bands across all four statistical tests, but the Teviot and Bengier Burn achieve a 'D' band because their interim 95th percentile is >1200 *E.coli*/100ml.

9.2 Trend Analysis

Results from trend analysis for the Roxburgh Rohe is shown in Figure 22.




Figure 22 Summary of Roxburgh Rohe sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend.

Trend analysis for both rivers and lakes are given in Figure 22. In the 10-year time frame, Lake Onslow shows 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trends for NH₄-N and chlorophyll a, where most of the other variables show 'likely' to 'virtually certain' improving trends. For the Clutha River at Millers Flat, trend analysis shows a 20-year 'exceptionally unlikely' improvement in turbidity and an 'unlikely' improvement in NH₄-N, however nutrient concentrations have improving trends, NNN is 'virtually certain' to have improved over 20-years and TP is 'extremely likely' to have improved.

9.3 Water quality summary Roxburgh Rohe

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake sites where attributes where the national bottom line is not met (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'
- 3) all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Table 15 Summary of river state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable.

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP - Q95	E.coli	MCI	NNN - median	NNN - Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Teviot at Bridge Huts													
Fraser at Old Mans													
Clutha at Millers Flat													
Benger burn at SH8													

Table 16 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely' (raw data). Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Clutha at Millers Flat						
Nitrite/Nitrate N	237	Month	20	-0.00034	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	235	Month	20	-5.52417	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Turbidity	237	Month	20	0.03662	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓

Table 17 Summary of Lake Onslow trends when they are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	Confidence	AnnualSenSlope	Descriptor
Lake Onslow at Boat Ramp						
Ammoniacal N	49	BiMonth	10	Exceptionally unlikely	0	↓↓↓↓
Chlorophyll a	49	BiMonth	10	Exceptionally unlikely	0	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	49	BiMonth	10	Extremely likely	0	↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	49	BiMonth	10	Extremely likely	-0.00096	↑↑↑
Turbidity	36	Qtr	10	Virtually certain	-0.36326	↑↑↑↑

Table 18 Overall summary of trends for Lake Onslow (continuous data). Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
River – 10-year trend				4	1				
River – 20-year trend	2	2	1						2
Lakes – 10-year trend									

The State analysis identified water quality in the Roxburgh Rohe rivers is generally good and the NPSFM band 'A' was achieved for most attributes. The only exceptions were for suspended fine sediment which was below the national bottom line in the Teviot and the Bengier Burn. The suspended fine sediment in the Teviot is likely due to Lake Onslow, the main input to the river, as the lake is shallow and susceptible to sediment resuspension from wind-driven waves. *E.coli* was also below the national bottom line at these two sites.

In Table 16 and Table 17 only sites with 99%, 95% ,1% and 5% confidence levels are shown. These equate to the 'virtually certain', 'extremely likely', 'exceptionally unlikely' and 'extremely unlikely' categories. When sites have a zero Sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the Roxburgh Rohe, Lake Onslow had three parameters with a zero Sen slope; NH₄-N, chlorophyll a and NNN. Lake Onslow, over 20-years had a 'virtually certain' improving trend for NNN and an 'extremely likely' improving trend for TP. In the same timeframe, turbidity showed an 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trend.

In summary:

- Water quality in the Clutha at Millers Flat and Fraser River generally achieve 'A' bands.
- The Teviot River does not meet the national bottom line for *E.coli* or suspended fine sediment.
- The Bengier Burn does not meet the national bottom line for suspended fine sediment or *E.coli* and 'D' bands are achieved for periphyton DRP and periphyton TN
- The Clutha at Millers Flat has an 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trend for turbidity (over 20-years)

10 Lower Clutha Rohe (Clutha Matu/Au FMU)




Figure 23 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Lower Clutha Rohe

10.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Lower Clutha Rohe according to the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 24 and mapped in Figure 25. Many sites in the Lower Clutha Rohe did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicates the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 24 Grading of River and Lake sites in the Lower Clutha Rohe, based on the NOF criteria.

Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 25 Maps showing Lower Clutha Rohe sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.

10.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Periphyton trophic state results to date are given in Figure 24 and show that the Lower Clutha Rohe returns either band 'A' or band 'B' for periphyton. The Blackcleugh Burn, Upper Pomahaka and Lower Waipahi have an interim 'A' band as few results exceed 50 chl-*a*/m², reflecting negligible nutrient enrichment. The Waitahuna records an interim band 'B'.

Figure 25 shows the MfE (2020) DRP and TN concentrations to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (periphyton DRP and periphyton TN). Using the 20% exceedance criteria (mid-range), the DRP and TN median concentrations in the Lower Clutha Rohe generally exceed the T200 mg chl-*a*/m² band and most sites achieve a band 'D', as would be expected in a high nutrient environment. The outliers are the Clutha at Balclutha, Upper Pomahaka and Blackcleugh Burn which achieve a band 'B'.

Figure 24 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the Lower Clutha Rohe are varied, the sites with low nutrients achieve band 'A', the NPSFM 2020 describes this attribute state as *'ecological communities and ecosystem processes are similar to those of natural reference conditions. No adverse effects attributable to dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) enrichment are expected'*.

The Pomahaka catchment has eight sites, the upper two sites (Upper Pomahaka and Pomahaka at Glenken) achieve 'A' bands. The tributaries entering the Pomahaka tend to have very high DRP, for example the Crookston Burn, Heriot Burn and Wairuna achieve band 'D'. The effect of the high DRP inputs is that the lower mainstem Pomahaka (Burkes Ford) achieves a 'B' band.

The NPSFM 2020 describes how phytoplankton (measured as chlorophyll *a*) affects lake ecological communities. If phytoplankton is in the 'A' band, then *'Lake ecological communities are healthy and resilient, similar to natural reference conditions'*. Figure 24 shows that Lake Tuakitoto is in the 'D' band, which is described as *'ecological communities have undergone or are at high risk of a regime shift to a persistent, degraded state (without native macrophyte/seagrass cover), due to impacts of elevated nutrients'*. Lake Tuakitoto achieves 'D' bands for both total nitrogen and total phosphorus, a 'D' band reflects high nutrient enrichment, which is consistent for a shallow (normal lake levels of about one metre) freshwater wetland (ORC, 2004).

10.1.2 Toxicants

NOF attribute bands for NH₄-N are given in Figure 24. The national bottom line for NH₄-N is below band 'B'. In the Lower Clutha all sites achieve band 'A' band other than four sites in the Pomahaka catchment (Crookston Burn, Heriot Burn and Wairuna and Waipahi) which achieve a band 'B', which affords a 95% species protection level.

NOF attribute bands for nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity are given in Figure 24, again the national bottom line is below band 'B'. In the Lower Clutha Rohe most sites achieve either an 'A' or 'B' band, other than Wairuna which achieves a 'C' band (annual 95th percentile). The NPSFM describes the 'C' band as NNN having *'growth effects on up to 20% of species (mainly sensitive species such as fish). No acute effects.'*

Lake Tuakitoto returns a 'B' band (95% species protection level) for NH₄-N toxicity, showing good protection levels against toxicity risk.

10.1.3 Suspended fine sediment

The clarity results for Lower Clutha Rohe are shown in Figure 24. Most of the sites return a NOF band of 'D', which the NPSFM describes as *'high impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Ecological communities are significantly altered and sensitive fish and macroinvertebrate species are lost or at high risk of being lost'*. Four sites; Waiwera, Waipahi at Cairns Peak, Upper Pomahaka and Blackcleugh Burn, return an 'A' band.

10.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 24 summarises MCI scores for sites monitored for aquatic macroinvertebrates throughout the Lower Clutha Rohe.

Three of the monitored sites; Waipahi at Waipahi, Wairuna and Waiwera achieve an interim MCI score below the national bottom line (MCI 90). The NPSFM describes this state as *'reflecting a macroinvertebrate community indicative of severe organic pollution or nutrient enrichment. Communities are largely composed of taxa insensitive to inorganic pollution/nutrient enrichment'*. The Upper Pomahaka and Blackcleugh Burn achieve the highest MCI scores, achieving a 'B' band.

The ASPM interim scores shown in Figure 25 generally reflect those of the MCI scores, only the Waiwera falls below the national bottom line with a median score of 0.3 to achieve a 'D' grade. Four sites achieve a 'B' grade.

10.1.5 Human health for recreation (Rivers)

Figure 25 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band.

Compliance is generally poor across the Lower Rohe, with 12 of 15 sites returning bacterial water quality below the national bottom line. The NPSFM 2020 describes band 'D' as *'30% of the time the estimated risk is ≥ 50 in 1,000 (>5% risk). The predicted average infection >3%'*. Only the Blackcleugh Burn achieved an 'A' band.

In the Pomahaka catchment, of the eight sites monitored three sites; the Crookston Burn, Heriot Burn and Wairuna achieved an 'E' band, three sites; Waipahi at Cairns Peak, Upper Pomahaka, Pomahaka at Burkes Ford and Pomahaka at Glenken achieved a 'D' band and one site; Waipahi at Waipahi achieved a 'B' band.

10.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the Lower Clutha Rohe are shown in Figure 26 and Figure 27




Figure 26 Summary of Lower Clutha Rohe sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2Table 1. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend.




Figure 27 Summary of Lake Tuakitoto trends, categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring).

Trend analysis for the Lower Clutha Rohe rivers is shown in Figure 26. The Waitahuna returns ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trends over 20-years for DRP, *E.coli*, TN, TP and turbidity.

Over the 20-year period DRP and NNN were most likely to show ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improvement, and NH₄-N most likely to show ‘virtually certain’ improvement. Over the 10-year period most sites and most analytes are showing ‘likely’ to ‘virtually certain’ improvement, for example *E.coli* in the Heriot Burn (Figure 28)

Trend analysis for Lake Tuakitoto is shown in Figure 27, DRP over both 10-year and 18-year periods is ‘exceptionally unlikely’ to be improving.




Figure 28 Heriot Burn. *E.coli* is ‘virtually certain’ to be improving (over 10-years)

10.3 Water quality summary and discussion: Lower Clutha Rohe

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake sites where attributes where the national bottom line is not met (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'
- 3) all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Table 19 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve band 'D'.

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP - Q95	E.coli	MCI	NNN - median	NNN - Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Waiwera at Maws Farm													
Waiwera at Confluence													
Waitahuna at Tweeds													
Wairuna at Millar Road													
Waipahi at Waipahi													
Waipahi at Cairns Peak													
Upper Pomahaka at													
Tuapeka at 700m u/s													
Pomahaka at Glenken													
Pomahaka at Burkes													
Lovells Creek at Station													
Heriot Burn at Park Hill													
Crookston Burn at Kelso													
Clutha at Balclutha													
Blackcleugh Burn at													

Table 20 Summary of Lake Tuakitoto State where attributes are graded D or below

	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	Chlorophyll a -max	Chlorophyll a median	E.coli Overall	TN	TP
Lake Tuakitoto at Outlet							

Table 21 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Clutha at Balclutha						
Dissolved Reactive P	119	Month	10	-9.6E-05	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	239	Month	20	-1.8E-05	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Total Nitrogen	239	Month	20	-0.00101	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	119	Month	10	-0.00019	Very likely	↑↑
Total Phosphorus	239	Month	20	-9.4E-05	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Turbidity	239	Month	20	0.050084	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Crookston Burn at Kelso Road						
Ammoniacal N	99	BiMonth	20	-0.00117	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	99	BiMonth	20	0.000914	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	99	BiMonth	20	0.000942	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Heriot Burn at Park Hill Road						
Ammoniacal N	116	BiMonth	20	-0.00031	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	103	Month	10	-0.00099	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	116	BiMonth	20	0.000996	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	103	Month	10	-39.9303	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	116	BiMonth	20	0.018769	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	104	Month	10	-0.03997	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Nitrogen	116	BiMonth	20	0.015408	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	104	Month	10	-0.25686	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Pomahaka at Burkes Ford						
Ammoniacal N	115	BiMonth	20	-0.00025	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	102	Month	10	-0.0004	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	115	BiMonth	20	0.000198	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Pomahaka at Glenken						
Ammoniacal N	116	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	57	BiMonth	10	-0.10541	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Waipahi at Cairns Peak						
Nitrite/Nitrate N	68	Qtr	20	0.015656	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	57	BiMonth	10	-0.02694	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Total Nitrogen	68	Qtr	20	0.023244	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	57	BiMonth	10	-0.39658	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	66	Qtr	20	-0.1245	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Waipahi at Waipahi						
Ammoniacal N	104	Month	10	0	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Ammoniacal N	116	BiMonth	20	-0.00042	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	104	Month	10	-0.00051	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	116	BiMonth	20	0.000248	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
MCI	10	Year	10	-0.78438	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	104	Month	10	-0.1321	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Turbidity	115	BiMonth	20	-0.05558	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Wairuna at Millar Road						
Ammoniacal N	100	Month	10	-0.00233	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Ammoniacal N	68	Qtr	20	-0.002	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	100	Month	10	-0.001	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	68	Qtr	20	0.000541	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	68	Qtr	20	0.031128	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	100	Month	10	-0.37005	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Waitahuna at Tweeds Bridge						
Dissolved Reactive P	105	Month	10	-0.00027	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	117	BiMonth	20	0.000503	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	117	BiMonth	20	8.810425	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
MCI	10	Year	10	-4.04643	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	117	BiMonth	20	0.002618	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	116	BiMonth	20	0.006733	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	116	BiMonth	20	0.000817	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	117	BiMonth	20	0.072094	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Waiwera at Maws Farm						
Turbidity	106	Month	10	-0.12939	Extremely likely	↑↑↑

Table 22 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Ob	Frequenc	Period	TrendDirection	AnnualSenSlope	Descriptor
Lake Tuakitoto at Outlet						
Dissolved Reactive P	59	BiMonth	10	Increasing	0.001987755	↓↓↓↓
Dissolved Reactive P	104	BiMonth	18	Increasing	0.001845163	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	59	BiMonth	10	Decreasing	-5.947082768	↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	104	BiMonth	18	Increasing	0.002423052	↓↓↓↓

Table 23 Overall summary of trends for the Lower Clutha Rohe using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend	8	9	12	21	16	8		1	1
Rivers - 20-year trend	5	6	3	7	9	8	2	5	13
Lakes – 10-year trend		2		1	3	1			1
Lakes – 18 year trend		1	1	1			2		2

In the Lower Clutha Rohe water quality generally has poor water clarity and high bacteria and nutrient concentrations. Of the NOF attribute states, *E.coli* was below the bottom line in 12 of the 15 sites monitored, suspended solids below the national bottom line in seven of the 15 sites and DRP in four of the monitored sites.

Lake Tuakitoto is a large freshwater wetland situated in the lower Clutha River catchment, Lovells Creek is the main inflow into the Lake. Lovells Creek scores poorly across all attribute states and is a reflection of the catchment, which largely consists of intensively grazed pasture with some scrub, and plantation forestry. Lake Tuakitoto scores 'D' bands for TP, TN and chlorophyll a (phytoplankton), this situation is unlikely to change, due to the shallow nature of the lake and poor flushing flows.

Alongside the poor state, trend analysis shows that water quality continues to degrade at some sites. The Waitahuna has degrading trends for DRP, *E.coli*, TN, TP and turbidity. The reason for this is unknown, as stated previously, having accurate information on changes in land use and land management practice will help in identifying drivers of change evident with some water quality variables.

In the case of the Pomahaka catchment, of the six monitoring sites which achieve a band 'D' or 'E' for *E.coli* most score poorly across all statistical tests with four of the six sites returning 'D' grades for the median *E. coli* statistic. It is thought that insufficient effluent storage and a prevalence of mole and tile drains through areas of the lower Pomahaka catchment result in these high *E. coli* concentrations. This is being addressed through Plan change 6AA, one of the aims of which is to strengthen provisions on farm effluent management. ORC is working throughout the Pomahaka catchment with groups such as the Pomahaka Watercare Group, the Landcare Trust and the Clutha Development Trust to address water quality issues. A large part of this effort is focused on improving bacterial water quality.

The Pomahaka catchment shows some positives, there are far fewer degrading trends over the last 10-years than in the 20-year time-period. The Heriot Burn shows a 'virtually certain' improving trend for *E.coli* and TN, equally the Wairuna shows an 'virtually certain' improvement in NH₄-N and DRP. The lower Pomahaka site at Burkes Ford also shows encouraging results, with DRP showing 'virtually certain' improvement

In Table 21 and Table 22 only sites with 99%, 95%, 1% and 5% confidence levels are shown. These equate to the 'virtually certain', 'extremely likely', 'exceptionally unlikely' and 'extremely unlikely' categories. When sites have a zero Sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction, at these sites the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the Lower Clutha Rohe only NH₄-N at Waipahi was in this category.

In summary:

- Every site achieves 'A' or 'B' band for ammonia and nitrate toxicity
- Nutrient concentrations are generally high, other than in the main-stem Clutha, Blackcleugh Burn and Upper Pomahaka.
- No sites achieve 'A' bands in every NOF attribute state monitored. All sites have varying degrees of degraded water quality;
- In the Pomahaka catchment, bacterial water quality is severely degraded at all monitoring sites other than the lower Waipahi.
- The Heriot Burn, Crookston Burn, Waiwera River and Waipahi at Cairns Peak are the worst performing sites of the Lower Clutha/Pomahaka reporting region failing to meet the national bottom line for many attributes. The mainstem Pomahaka becomes degraded with distance downstream due to poor water quality inputs from these tributaries.
- The Waitahuna has degrading trends for DRP, *E.coli*, TN, TP and turbidity.

11 Taieri FMU




Figure 29 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Taieri FMU

11.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Taieri FMU according to the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 30 and Figure 31 and mapped in Figure 32. Many sites in the Taieri FMU did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 30 Grading of the river sites of the Taieri FMU based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 31 Grading of the lake sites of the Taieri FMU based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 32 Maps showing Taieri FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines

11.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Results for the river periphyton trophic state results are shown in Figure 32 (periphyton). Periphyton trophic state results to date show that the Taieri FMU achieves either 'A' or 'B' attribute bands for periphyton. The Kye Burn, Taieri at Outram and Taieri at Sutton achieve an interim 'A' band as few results exceed 50 chl-*a*/m², reflecting negligible nutrient enrichment. The Silverstream and Taieri at Waipiata record interim 'B' bands this reflects a low nutrient enrichment but the possibility of occasional blooms (NPSFM, 2020)

Figure 31 shows the MfE (2020) DRP and TN attribute bands to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (periphyton DRP and periphyton TN). Using the 20% exceedance criteria (mid-range), the TN median concentrations in the Taieri FMU are generally in the T120 mg chl-*a*/m² or T200 mg chl-*a*/m² band ('B' or 'C'). The only outliers are the Owhiro Stream and the Silverstream which achieve band 'D', both are located on the Taieri Plain.

Using the 20% exceedance criteria (mid-range), the DRP median concentrations in the Taieri FMU are generally in the T200 mg chl-*a*/m² band (or band 'C'), reflecting moderate nutrient enrichment. The Silverstream at Three Mile Road has a lower DRP median concentration and achieves band 'B'. Five sites achieve a band 'D', three mainstem sites (Waipiata, Patearoa Maniototo Road Bridge, Creamery Road Bridge), Owhiro Stream and the Contour Channel.

Figure 32 shows median DRP for an attribute state around wider ecological health, rather than just chlorophyll *a*. The results in the Taieri FMU show that most sites achieve either a band 'A' or band 'B', the NPSFM 2020 describes this as *'having ecological communities and ecosystem processes similar or slightly impacted by minor DRP elevation above natural reference condition'*. Three sites achieved a 'C' band, including two mainstem Taieri sites (Patearoa Road Bridge and Waipiata). Two sites achieved a band 'D' for the DRP median statistic, the Owhiro Stream and the Taieri at Creamery Road Bridge.

The NPSFM 2020 describes how phytoplankton affects lake ecological communities. If phytoplankton is in the 'A' band, then *'Lake ecological communities are healthy and resilient, similar to natural reference conditions'*. Figure 31 shows that Lake Waihola is in the 'C' band, which the NPSFM 2020 describes as *'ecological communities have undergone or are at high risk of a regime shift to a persistent, degraded state, due to impacts of elevated nutrients'*. Lake Waihola achieves 'C' bands for both TN and TP, a 'C' band reflecting nutrient enrichment well above natural reference conditions, which is consistent for a shallow freshwater wetland (ORC, 2004).

11.1.2 Toxicants (Rivers)

The NOF attribute bands for NH₄-N are shown in Figure 32 and show excellent protection levels against toxicity risk. All sites return an 'A' band other than the Contour Channel, Silver Stream, Taieri at Allanton and Taieri at Waipiata which achieve a 'B' band. Lake Waihola returns an 'A' band for NH₄-N toxicity.

The NOF attribute band for nitrate toxicity (measured as NNN) are shown in Figure 32. All sites return an 'A' band. The NPSFM 2020 describes this state as *'high conservation value system. Unlikely to be effects even on sensitive species'*.

11.1.3 Suspended fine sediment

The suspended fine sediment results for the Taieri FMU are shown in Figure 32. Ten sites return a NOF band of 'D' which the NPSFM 2020 describes as *'high impact of suspended sediment on instream biota'*. five of which are mainstem Taieri sites; Taieri at Waipiata, Taieri at Tiroiti, Taieri at Sutton, Taieri at Outram and Taieri at Allanton. *At the other end of the scale, nine sites returned 'A' band*, they are all tributary sites and include Whare Creek, Waipori, Sutton Stream, Silverstream, Nenthorn, Kyeburn, Deepstream and 3 O'Clock Stream. It should be noted that Sutton Stream, Taieri at Outram and the Taieri at Tiroiti were monitored by NIWA as part of the NRQWN, the results are not modelled.

11.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 32 summarises MCI scores for the Taieri FMU. All sites achieve a 'C' band, returning MCI scores between 90 and 110; this reflects a macroinvertebrate community indicative of moderate organic pollution or nutrient enrichment. The ASPM interim scores shown in Figure 32 show that all sites achieve a 'B' band other than the Silverstream that obtains a band 'D' grade for ASPM, which the NPSFM describes as '*macroinvertebrate communities have severe loss of ecological integrity*'. It is likely that the substrate in the Silverstream is largely to blame for poorer macroinvertebrate scores.

11.1.5 Human health for recreation (Rivers)

Figure 31 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band. Compliance is generally good across the Taieri FMU, of the 22 sites, 12 achieve an 'A' band, three a 'B' band (Taieri main-stem sites at Linnburn, Sutton and Waipiata), the other sites returned bacterial water quality below the national bottom line (four 'D' bands and one an 'E' band). Lake Waihola an 'A' band mid lake, and a 'B' band at the edge of lake site (jetty).

11.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the Taieri FMU is shown in Figure 33 and Figure 34




Figure 33 Summary of Taieri FMU river sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend




Figure 34 Summary of Taieri FMU lake sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2.. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend

Trend analysis for the Taieri FMU rivers is shown in Figure 33 and for Lake Waihola in Figure 34. Most sites have variables with ‘exceptionally unlikely’ or ‘extremely unlikely’ improving trends, these include:

- The Waipori River, TN (10-years)
- The Taieri at Waipiata, DRP (20-years)
- The Taieri at Tiroiti, DRP, *E.coli*, TN (10-years), DRP, TN (20-years)
- The Taieri at Stonehenge, DRP (20-years)
- The Taieri at Outram, DRP, *E.coli*, NNN, TN, TP, turbidity (10-years), DRP, TN, TP, turbidity (20-years)
- The Sutton Stream, DRP, *E.coli*, TN (10-years), DRP, TN TP (20-years)
- The Taieri at Linnburn, *E.coli* (20-years)
- The Taieri at Allanton, DRP, NNN, TN and turbidity (20-years)
- Sutton Stream, DRP, *E.coli*, TN (10-years), DRP, TN, TP (20-years)
- Silverstream at Taieri Depot, NNN, TN (20-years).
- Lake Waihola, DRP, *E.coli*, TP, turbidity (18 years)

Sites with ‘virtually certain’ or ‘extremely likely’ improving trends include:

- The Taieri at Waipiata, DRP, NNN, TP, turbidity (10-years), NH₄-N (20-years)
- Taieri at Sutton, DRP, NNN (10-years)
- Taieri at Stonehenge, DRP, turbidity (10-years), NH₄-N (20-years)
- Taieri at Linnburn and Allanton, NH₄-N (20-years)
- Sutton Stream, NH₄-N, NNN (10-years), NNN (20-years)
- Silverstream at Taieri and Deep Stream, turbidity (10-years)
- Kye Burn, DRP (10-years)
- Lake Waihola, NH₄-N (20-years)

11.3 Water quality summary Taieri FMU

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake sites where attributes where the national bottom line is not met (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'
- 3) all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Figure 35 Summary of river state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band 'D'.

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP - Q95	E.coli	MCI	NNN - median	NNN - Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Taieri at Waipiata													
Taieri at Tiroiti													
Taieri at Sutton													
Taieri at Puketoi													
Taieri at Patearoa													
Taieri at Outram													
Taieri at Creamery													
Taieri at Allanton Bridge													
Silverstream at Taieri													
Owhiro Stream													
Meggat Burn													
Contour Channel													

Figure 36 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'.

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Deep Stream at SH87						
Turbidity	35	Qtr	10	-0.05435	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Kye Burn at SH85 Bridge						
Dissolved Reactive P	107	Month	10	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Silverstream at Taieri Depot						
Nitrite/Nitrate N	101	Month	10	0.023347	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	106	BiMonth	20	0.016066	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	101	Month	10	0.025188	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	106	BiMonth	20	0.013899	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	101	Month	10	-0.08362	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Sutton Stream at SH87						
Ammoniacal N	116	Month	10	-0.00103	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	116	Month	10	0	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Dissolved Reactive P	236	Month	20	0	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	116	Month	10	5.941039	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	116	Month	10	-0.00103	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	236	Month	20	-0.00023	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Total Nitrogen	116	Month	10	0.007475	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	234	Month	20	0.00167	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	235	Month	20	0.000161	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Taieri at Allanton Bridge						
Ammoniacal N	113	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	113	BiMonth	20	0.00024	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	113	BiMonth	20	0.002008	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	113	BiMonth	20	0.00453	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	113	BiMonth	20	0.134904	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Taieri at Linnburn Runs Road						
Ammoniacal N	102	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
E. coli	102	BiMonth	20	0.980764	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Taieri at Outram						
Dissolved Reactive P	119	Month	10	0.000155	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Dissolved Reactive P	239	Month	20	0	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	119	Month	10	2.165185	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	119	Month	10	0.001067	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	119	Month	10	0.019004	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	239	Month	20	0.002825	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	119	Month	10	0.001663	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	238	Month	20	0.000205	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	119	Month	10	0.150039	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	238	Month	20	0.051847	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Taieri at Stonehenge						
Ammoniacal N	118	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	114	Month	10	-5.5E-05	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	118	BiMonth	20	0	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	102	Month	10	-0.05996	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Taieri at Sutton						
Dissolved Reactive P	113	Month	10	-0.00042	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	113	Month	10	-0.00225	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Taieri at Tiroiti						
Dissolved Reactive P	119	Month	10	0.000185	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Dissolved Reactive P	239	Month	20	0	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	119	Month	10	3.858275	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	119	Month	10	0.013466	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	239	Month	20	0.006387	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Taieri at Waipiata						
Ammoniacal N	113	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	114	Month	10	-0.0006	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	113	BiMonth	20	0.000249	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	114	Month	10	-0.00133	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Total Phosphorus	114	Month	10	-0.001	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Turbidity	102	Month	10	-0.20041	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Waipori at Waipori Falls Reserve						
Total Nitrogen	101	Month	10	0.005418	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓

Figure 37 Summary lake sites where trends (continuous data) are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	Trend Direction	AnnualSen Slope	Descriptor
Lake Waihola at End of jetty						
Ammoniacal N	105	BiMonth	18	Decreasing	0	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	105	BiMonth	18	Increasing	0	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	106	BiMonth	18	Increasing	1.000684932	↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	104	BiMonth	18	Increasing	0.001271858	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	104	BiMonth	18	Increasing	0.14570082	↓↓↓

Figure 38 Overall summary of trends for the Taieri FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend	10	3	9	17	12	13	2		15
Rivers - 20-year trend	4		1	4	4	18	2	6	12
Lakes – 10-year trend			2	3	1	3			
Lakes – 18 year trend						3		2	2

In the Taieri FMU water quality is generally good with the majority of sites and attributes achieving 'A' and 'B' bands, as seen in Figure 31. The exception is for the DRP and TN (periphyton) attributes which achieved mainly 'C' bands.

Of the tributaries, the lower Taieri plain has some of the poorest water quality in the region. Three streams are monitored in the plain, the Contour Channel, the Silverstream and the Owhiro Stream. Although the Upper Silverstream has good water quality and generally meets NOF attribute 'A' bands, the lower Silverstream has a poorer outcome. At this stage it has been straightened and has little riparian vegetation (flood banks) it is also influenced to some extent by storm-water from Mosgiel township. The Silverstream returned 'D' bands for ASPM, *E.coli* and TN (periphyton). Although the Silverstream achieves an 'A' band for NNN and a 'C' band for DRP, the levels are not low enough to prevent cyanobacteria (phormidium) growth. Factors facilitating this are likely to be the lack of shade and few flushing flows which have proven to be ideal conditions for phormidium as this species of cyanobacteria tends to bloom most years.

The Contour Channel achieves a 'D' band for *E.coli* and DRP (periphyton), a 'C' band for DRP, TN (periphyton) and suspended fine sediment. The other stream that flows across the Taieri plain is the Owhiro Stream, this is a very small stream with areas of intensive agriculture in its catchment, it returns attribute bands below the national bottom line for DRP, *E.coli*, Periphyton (TN and DRP) and suspended fine sediment. Despite relatively good bacterial water quality throughout the reporting region, *E. coli* is the worst performing variable with five of 22 sites failing to meet the national bottom line, all of these sites are in the lower Taieri.

Lake Waihola shows nutrient and phytoplankton concentrations generally in the NOF 'C' bands, this is typical of a productive lake with increased levels of nutrients and algae over those that would be expected under natural or near natural conditions. Lake Waihola has episodic algal blooms typical of an eutrophic lake,

Trend analysis shows many degrading trends for the Taieri FMU. The Silverstream although returning band 'A's for NNN and DRP shows degrading trends for NNN. Of the main-stem Taieri sites, over the

last 10-years, from the upper catchment to the lower catchment, Stonehenge a 'virtually certain' improving trend for turbidity, Waipiata has improving trends for DRP, NNN, TP and turbidity, Tiroiti has degrading trends for DRP, *E.coli* and TN, and Outram has degrading trends across most attribute states (*E.coli*, NN, TN, TP and turbidity).

In summary:

- Risk to ammonia and nitrate toxicity is negligible across the Taieri FMU
- The lower Taieri has high concentrations of *E. coli*. Five sites in the lower Taieri fail to meet the national bottom line for *E.coli* the attribute. The rest of the sites monitored had excellent bacterial compliance, achieving an 'A' or 'B' band.
- The Owhiro Stream in the lower Taieri catchment has the worst level of compliance against NOF attribute states of any site across the Taieri River FMU.
- TN is of moderate concentrations across the catchment, no sites returned an 'A' band, but 10 sites achieved a 'B' band, only the Silverstream and Owhiro achieved 'D' bands.
- DRP generally complies with the NOF 'A' or 'B' band.
- Where macroinvertebrate monitoring takes place, MCI is generally 'fair'.
- Lake Waihola returns attribute bands consistent with those expected of an eutrophic lake
- Trend analysis shows many degrading trends across the FMU, particularly in the lower Taieri at Outram.

12 Dunedin Coast FMU




Figure 39 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Dunedin Coast FMU

12.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Dunedin Coast FMU according to the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 40 and mapped in Figure 41. Many sites in the Dunedin Coast FMU did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements




Figure 40 Grading of the river sites of the Dunedin Coast FMU based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 41 Maps showing Dunedin Coast FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.

12.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Results for the river periphyton trophic state results are shown in Figure 40 (periphyton). Periphyton trophic state results to date show that Akatore Creek is likely to be in attribute band 'C' for periphyton as results tend to be between >120 and ≤ 200 chl-*a*/m² meaning moderate nutrient enrichment. The Kaikorai Stream is likely to be in attribute band 'D' for periphyton as results tend to be >200 chl-*a*/m² reflecting high nutrient enrichment and the possibility of regular nuisance blooms.

To manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (MfE, 2020) median concentrations of DRP and TN align to attribute bands (i.e., periphyton DRP and periphyton TN in Figure 41). Using the 20% exceedance criteria (mid-range), the TN median concentrations in the Dunedin Coast FMU are generally in or greater than the T200 mg chl-*a*/m² band ('C' or 'D').

The DRP median concentrations in the Dunedin Coast FMU are generally in the T200 mg chl-*a*/m² band or greater (band 'C' or 'D').

Figure 41 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the Dunedin Coast Rohe show that two sites achieve an 'A' band (Waitati and Akatore), one site a 'B' band (Kaikorai) and three sites a 'C' band (Leith at Dundas Street and Tokomairiro at Lisnatunny and Blackbridge). The NPSFM 2020 describes band 'C' as *'Ecological communities impacted by moderate DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. If other conditions also favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment may cause increased algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macro-invertebrate and fish taxa, and high rates of respiration and decay'*

The Leith achieves a 'D' band

12.1.2 Toxicants (Rivers)

NOF attribute bands for NH₄-N are shown in Figure 41, it should be noted that the national bottom line is below band 'B'. In the Dunedin Coast Rohe three sites have excellent protection levels against ammonia toxicity (Akatore Creek, Tokomairiro at Lisnatunny and Tokomairiro at West Branch Bridge) with all sites returning an 'A' band (highest level of protection) for NH₄-N. Of the remaining sites, the Kaikorai Stream returned a 'C' band for the annual maximum which is below the national bottom line. The NPSFM describes the 'C' band as *'ammonia starts impacting regularly on the 20% most sensitive species (reduced survival of most sensitive species)'*. The other three sites returned 'B' bands.

NOF attribute bands for nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity are shown in Figure 41, again the national bottom line is below band 'B'. In the Dunedin Coast Rohe all sites achieve an 'A' band

12.1.3 Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)

The clarity results for the Dunedin Coast Rohe are shown in Figure 41. Most of the sites return a NOF band of 'A' which denotes *'minimal impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Ecological communities are similar to those observed in natural reference conditions'* (NPSFM, 2020). The Tokomairiro at West Branch Bridge and Lindsay's Creek return a NOF band of 'B' and the Tokomairiro at Blackbridge achieves a 'D' band, which the NPSFM describes as *'moderate to high impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Sensitive fish species may be lost'*

12.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 40 summarises MCI scores for sites monitored for aquatic macroinvertebrates throughout the Dunedin Coast Rohe.

The MCI interim scores are somewhat comparable across sites with two of the four monitored sites returning MCI scores at or below the national bottom line (MCI 90). The NPSFM describes this state as *'reflecting a macroinvertebrate community indicative of severe organic pollution or nutrient enrichment.'*

Communities are largely composed of taxa insensitive to inorganic pollution/nutrient enrichment. Akatore Creek and the Tokomairiro at West Branch Bridge returned an MCI score above the national bottom line, achieving a 'C' grade.

The ASPM interim scores shown in Figure 40 generally reflect those of the MCI scores however Lindsay's Creek, despite having a 'D' grade for MCI, obtains an 'A' grade for ASPM, which the NPSFM describes as *'a community with mild to moderate loss of ecological integrity'*.

12.1.5 Human health for recreation (Rivers)

Figure 40 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band. Compliance is generally poor across the Dunedin Coast Rohe, with all sites other than the Waitati River returning bacterial water quality below the national bottom line.

All sites have high background bacteria concentrations which may indicate an *E. coli* source that is affecting water quality even under low flow conditions, such as the presence of water fowl or stock, the urban streams may receive *E.coli* from urban infrastructure (drains).

12.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the Dunedin Coast FMU is shown in Figure 42




Figure 42 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend

Trend analysis for the Dunedin Coast Rohe rivers is shown in Figure 42, over a 10-year period the Kaikorai has an ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trend for TP and over a 20-year period an ‘extremely unlikely’ improving trend for NNN and TN.

In the Leith, over a 20-year period, there is an ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trend for DRP and NNN and in the Tokomairiro at West Branch Bridge, over a 10-year period there is a ‘virtually certain’ (improving) trend for DRP, but over the 20-year period there is an ‘exceptionally unlikely’ improving trend for NNN and TN.

12.3 Water quality summary Dunedin Coast FMU

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake sites where attributes do not meet the national bottom line (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'
- 3) summary of all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Table 24 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band 'D'.

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP - Q95	E.coli	MCI	NNN - median	NNN - Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Tokomairiro at W Br Br													
Tokomairiro at Lisnatunny													
Tokomairio at Blackbridge													
Lindsay's Creek													
Leith at Dundas St													
Kaikorai at Brighton Rd													
Akatore Creek													

Table 25 Summary of river sites (not flow adjusted) where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Kaikorai Stream at Brighton Road						
Ammoniacal N	116	BiMonth	20	0	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	116	BiMonth	20	0.008079	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	116	BiMonth	20	0.007256	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	56	BiMonth	10	0.000904	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Leith at Dundas Street Bridge						
Ammoniacal N	115	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	114	BiMonth	20	0.000377	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	115	BiMonth	20	0.012718	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	115	BiMonth	20	0.006574	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	115	BiMonth	20	-0.04083	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Tokomairiro at West Branch Bridge						
Ammoniacal N	68	Qtr	20	-0.00038	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	102	Month	10	-0.00038	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	68	Qtr	20	0.00677	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	68	Qtr	20	0.011153	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	68	Qtr	20	0.046185	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓

Table 26 Overall summary of trends for the Dunedin Coast FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend	1		4	7	9	8	3		1
Rivers - 20-year trend	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	4	4

In the Dunedin Coast FMU water quality generally has high bacteria and nutrient concentrations. The Kaikorai has an ammonia toxicity band of 'C' placing it below the national bottom line, the only site in Otago with this grade. *E.coli* was below the bottom line in seven of the eight sites monitored and TN returned a band 'D' in four of the eight sites monitored. The Kaikorai and Leith fail to meet the national bottom line for MCI.

These Dunedin City sites having a high degree of urbanisation with associated hardstanding requiring a stormwater network with point source discharges into the watercourses, these are likely to be conduits for contaminants during both wet and dry weather. Alongside the poor state, trend analysis shows that water quality in these urban streams continues to degrade. Over 20-years, the Kaikorai, Leith and Tokomairiro have degrading trends for TN and NNN, in addition the Kaikorai has a degrading trend for TP (10-years), the Leith for DRP (20-years) and the Tokomairiro for turbidity (20-years)

There were three 'virtually certain' improving trends, two in the Tokomairiro at West Brach Bridge, and one in the Leith. When sites have a zero sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the Dunedin Coast FMU, these sites include NH₄-N in the Tokomairiro at West Branch Bridge (20-years) and NH₄-N in the Leith (10-years).

In summary:

- The Kaikorai has ammonia toxicity below the national bottom line
- Nutrient concentrations are generally high, four of eight sites achieve a 'D' band for total nitrogen, the other sites return a 'C' band
- Bacterial water quality is severely degraded at all monitoring sites other than the Waitati River.
- Trend analysis shows that in the Dunedin FMU there were more improving trends in the last 10-years than degrading trends.

13 North Otago FMU



Figure 43 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the North Otago FMU

13.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the North Dunedin FMU according to the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 44 and mapped in Figure 45. Many sites in the North Otago FMU did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements.




Figure 44 Grading of the river sites of the North Otago FMU based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 45 Maps showing North Otago FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines

13.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Results for the river periphyton trophic state results are shown in Figure 44 (periphyton). Periphyton trophic state results to date show that the North Otago FMU returns mainly interim 'C' and 'D' bands. Kakanui at McCones, Oamaru Creek, Shag at Craig Road and the Waianakarua South Branch have interim results of 'D', below the national bottom line, this reflects an elevated nutrient enrichment and the possibility of regular nuisance blooms.

To manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (MfE, 2020) median concentrations of DRP and TN align to attribute bands (i.e., periphyton DRP and periphyton TN in Figure 44). Using the 20% exceedance criteria (mid-range), the TN median concentrations in the Dunedin Coast FMU are generally in or greater than the T200 mg chl-a/m² band (band 'C' or 'D'). The DRP median concentrations in the Dunedin Coast FMU are also generally in the T200 mg chl-a/m² band (equivalent to band 'C' or 'D'). The sites in band 'D' are the Awamoko, Kakaho Creek, Oamaru Creek and Waiareka Creek.

Figure 44 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the North Otago FMU show that most sites achieves band 'A'. Four sites, Awamoko, Kakaho Creek, Oamaru Creek, Waiareka Creek and Welcome Creek achieve a band 'D', which the NPSFM 2020 describes as *'ecological communities impacted by substantial DRP elevation above natural reference conditions'*.

13.1.2 Toxicants (Rivers)

NOF attribute bands for NH₄-N are shown for the North Otago sites in Figure 44, it should be noted that the national bottom line is below band 'B'. In the North Otago FMU 10 sites have excellent protection levels against ammonia toxicity with all sites returning an 'A' band (highest level of protection) for NH₄-N. The remaining sites, returned 'B' bands for the annual maximum. The NPSFM describes the 'B' band as *'ammonia starts impacting occasionally on the 5% most sensitive species'*.

NOF attribute bands for nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity are given for North Otago sites in Figure 44, again the national bottom line is below band 'B'. In the North Otago FMU all sites achieve an 'A' band, other than Welcome Creek which achieves a 'B' band, the NPSFM describes 'B' band as NNN having *'some growth effect on up to 5% of species'*

13.1.3 Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)

The clarity results for the North Otago FMU are shown in Figure 44. All sites return a NOF band of 'A' which denotes *'minimal impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Ecological communities are similar to those observed in natural reference conditions'* (NPSFM, 2020).

13.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 45 summarises MCI scores for sites monitored for aquatic macroinvertebrates throughout the North Otago FMU.

The MCI interim scores are low across all sites, five sites achieve a band 'C' and five are below the national bottom line, achieving a band 'D' which with NPSFM 2020 describes as a *'macroinvertebrate community indicative of severe organic pollution or nutrient enrichment'*.

The ASPM interim scores shown in Figure 44; four of the sites obtains a 'B' band however another four sites have MCI scores below the national bottom line. The Waikouaiti despite obtaining a 'C' grade for MCI, obtains a 'D' grade for ASPM.

13.1.5 Human health for recreation

Figure 44 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band.

Compliance in the North Otago FMU is mixed, with eight of 16 sites returning bacterial water quality below the national bottom line. The NPSFM 2020 describes band 'D' as '30% of the time the estimated risk is ≥ 50 in 1,000 (>5% risk). The predicted average infection >3%'. Only the three Shag River sites achieved an 'A' band.

13.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the North Otago FMU is shown in Figure 46




Figure 46 Summary of North Otago FMU sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend

Trend analysis for the North Otago FMU rivers is shown in Figure 46. ‘Virtually certain’ or ‘exceptionally unlikely’ trends are indicated at most sites. Sites with ‘exceptionally unlikely’ or ‘extremely unlikely’ improving trends include:

- Waikouaiti at Confluence, turbidity (10-years)
- Waiareka Creek, *E.coli*, NNN, TN (10-years), *E.coli*, NNN, TN, turbidity (20-years)
- Waiakarua at Browns, NNN and over 20-years for *E.coli*, NNN (Figure 47), TN and turbidity Kakanui at Clifton over 10-years for *E.coli* and over 20-years for NNN.
- Trotters Creek, turbidity (10-years)
- Shag at Goodwood, DRP, turbidity (20-years), Shag at Craig Road, NNN, TN, turbidity (20-years)
- Kakanui at McCones, *E.coli*, NNN, TN (20-years)
- Kakanui at Clifton, *E.coli* (10-years), *E.coli*, NNN (20-years).

Sites with ‘virtually certain’ or ‘extremely likely’ improving trends include:

- Waikouaiti at Confluence, DRP (10-years)
- Kakanui at McCones, turbidity (10-years)
- NH₄-N (20-years) for Waiareka Creek, Waiakarua at Browns, Shag at Craig Road and Kakanui at Clifton Falls.




Figure 47 Waiakarua River, 10-year trend in Nitrite/Nitrate Nitrogen

13.3 Water quality summary North Otago FMU

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake sites where attributes do not meet the national bottom line (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'
- 3) summary of all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Table 27 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable . There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve a band 'D'.

Site Name	NH ₄ N - max	NH ₄ N - median	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP – Q95	E.coli	MCI	NNN - median	NNN – Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Welcome Creek													
Waikouaiti													
Waiareka Creek													
Waianakarua													
Trotters Creek													
Shag at Goodwood													
Shag at Craig Road													
Pleasant River													
Oamaru Creek													
Kakanui at McCones													
Kakanui at Clifton													
Kakaho Creek													
Awamoko													

Table 28 Summary of river sites where trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Kakanui at Clifton Falls Bridge						
Ammoniacal N	111	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	104	Month	10	0	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
E. coli	109	Month	10	5.728637	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	110	BiMonth	20	1.839	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	111	BiMonth	20	0.00064	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Kakanui at McCones						
E. coli	114	BiMonth	20	2.005766	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	114	BiMonth	20	0.013947	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
SQMCI Score	10	Year	10	-0.1021	Very unlikely	↓↓
Total Nitrogen	113	BiMonth	20	0.016878	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	102	Month	10	-0.03946	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Kauru at Ewings						
Dissolved Reactive P	57	BiMonth	10	0	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
E. coli	102	Month	10	2.461253	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Shag at Craig Road						
Ammoniacal N	110	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Nitrite/Nitrate N	110	BiMonth	20	0.005144	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	110	BiMonth	20	0.008768	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	110	BiMonth	20	0.020714	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Shag at Goodwood Pump						
Dissolved Reactive P	103	Month	10	-0.00024	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	111	BiMonth	20	0	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	114	BiMonth	20	0.012219	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Trotters Creek at Mathesons						
Turbidity	58	BiMonth	10	0.066027	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Waiakarua at Browns						
Ammoniacal N	114	BiMonth	20	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
E. coli	100	Month	10	8.860577	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	113	BiMonth	20	1.501027	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	102	Month	10	0.013059	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	111	BiMonth	20	0.007787	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	102	Month	10	0.013379	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	111	BiMonth	20	0.007521	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Turbidity	114	BiMonth	20	0.012135	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Waiareka Creek at Taipo Road						
Ammoniacal N	114	BiMonth	20	-0.00079	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	105	Month	10	0.008087	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
E. coli	114	BiMonth	20	6.725544	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	114	BiMonth	20	0.022186	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	114	BiMonth	20	0.03203	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	106	Month	10	0.00644	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	114	BiMonth	20	0.037376	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Waikouaiti at Confluence d/s						
Dissolved Reactive P	32	Qtr	10	0	Virtually certain	↑↑↑↑
Turbidity	48	BiMonth	10	0.04634	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓

Table 29 Overall summary of trends for the North Otago FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend	2	3	9	13	17	15	4	5	4
Rivers - 20-year trend	4		1	6	4	3	1	3	15

In the North Otago FMU all sites other than the Kauru and Upper Shag return at least one attribute band below the national bottom line. All sites return 'A' or 'B' bands for ammonia and nitrate toxicity, and all sites return 'A' bands for suspended fine sediment. 'D' bands are returned for DRP in four of the 16 sites, for *E.coli* in eight of the 16 sites and for periphyton in four of the eight sites monitored. Macroinvertebrate metrics were generally in the 'C' or 'D' bands.

The urban stream, Oamaru Creek returns the most 'D' bands, likely due to the influence of urban runoff on the Creek, Waiareka Creek and the Awamoko also return mostly 'D' bands but these sites are in a rural setting.

Trend analysis identifies many 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trends over both the 10 and 20-year periods. In the last 10-years, four sites continue to show 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trends, Clifton Falls (*E.coli*), Waianakarua (*E.coli*, NNN, TN) and Waiareka Creek (DRP, TP). The source of *E.coli* at Kakanui at Clifton has been identified as red billed gulls roosting in the gorge upstream of the monitoring site. When sites have a zero Sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the North Otago FMU, these sites include NH₄-N and DRP at the Kakanui at Clifton site, DRP at Ewings, NH₄-N at the Shag at Craig Road and the Waianakarua, and DRP at the Waikouaiti and the Shag at Goodwood.

Previous reports have identified land-use intensification as a driver of poor water quality however ORC do not collect detailed information on land-use, land management practices or changes in either of the two that allow for inference as to the drivers of degrading or improving trends in water quality.

In summary:

- All sites are in the 'A' or 'B' band for ammonia and nitrate toxicity
- TN concentrations are generally low enough to meet the 20% spatial exceedance criteria for periphyton growth. DRP does not meet this criteria at four sites (Awamoko, Kakaho, Oamaru Creek and Waiareka Creek).
- Bacterial water quality is severely degraded at eight of the 15 monitoring sites. The three Shag River sites attain an 'A' grade for *E. coli*.
- Trend analysis shows that in the North Otago there were fewer degrading trends over the last 10-years compared to the last 20-years. In the last 10-years there are also more improving trends than degrading trends.
- Over the last 10-years, the Waianakarua has three 'extremely likely' or 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trends, more than any of the other North Otago sites.

14 Catlins FMU




Figure 48 Location of water quality monitoring sites in the Catlins FMU

14.1 State Analysis Results

The results of grading the SoE sites in the Catlins FMU based on the NPSFM NOF criteria are summarised in Figure 49 and mapped in Figure 50. Many sites in the Catlins FMU did not meet the sample number requirements (shown in Table 1) and accordingly are shown as white cells with coloured circles. Most sites for some variables have white cells, this indicates that the variable was not monitored.

A small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline period (2012-2017) where the sample numbers for that period met the minimum sample number requirements




Figure 49 Grading of the river sites of the Catlins FMU based on the NOF criteria. Grades for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements in Table 1 are shown as white cells with coloured circles. The white cells indicate sites for which the variable was not monitored. Small square in the upper left quadrant of the cells indicate the site grade for the baseline




Figure 50 Maps showing Catlins FMU sites coloured according to their state grading as indicated by NOF attribute bands. Bands for sites that did not meet the sample number requirements specified in Table 1 are shown without black outlines.

14.1.1 Periphyton and Nutrients

Periphyton trophic state results to date are given in Figure 49 and show that of the two sites monitored in the Catlins FMU, the Tahakopa returns an interim 'A' band as few results exceed 50 chl-*a*/m² reflecting low nutrient enrichment and the Owaka returned a 'C' band reflecting a more nutrient rich environment.

To manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute state (MfE, 2020) median concentrations of DRP and TN align to attribute bands (i.e., periphyton DRP and periphyton TN in Figure 49). Using the 20% exceedance criteria (mid-range), the TN median concentrations in the Catlins FMU are generally in or greater than the T200 mg chl-*a*/m² band ('C' or 'D'). The DRP median concentrations in the Dunedin Coast FMU are generally in the T200 mg chl-*a*/m² band (band 'C').

Figure 49 also shows DRP attribute states for ecosystem health (DRP median and Q95). The results in the Catlins Rohe show that one site achieves an 'A' band (Tahakopa), one site a 'B' band (Maclennan) and two sites a 'C' band (Catlins and Owaka). The NPSFM 2020 describes band 'C' as *'Ecological communities impacted by moderate DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. If other conditions also favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment may cause increased algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macro-invertebrate and fish taxa, and high rates of respiration and decay'*

14.1.2 Toxicants (Rivers)

NOF attribute bands for NH₄-N are given in Figure 49, the national bottom line for toxicants is below band 'B'. The Catlins FMU has three sites returning an 'A' band (highest level of protection) for NH₄-N. The remaining site (the Catlins) returned a 'B' band for the annual maximum. The NPSFM describes the 'B' band as *'ammonia starts impacting occasionally on the 5% most sensitive species'*.

NOF attribute bands for nitrate (measured as NNN) toxicity are given in Figure 49. In the Catlins FMU all sites achieve an 'A' band, other than the Owaka which achieves a 'B' band across both statistical metrics, the NPSFM describes 'B' band as NNN having *'some growth effect on up to 5% of species'*

14.1.3 Suspended fine sediment (Rivers)

The suspended fine sediment results for the Catlins FMU are shown in Figure 49. Most sites return a NOF band of 'D' which denotes *'high impact of suspended sediment on instream biota. Ecological communities are significantly altered and sensitive fish and macroinvertebrate species are lost or at high risk of being lost'* (NPSFM, 2020). The Maclennan returns a band of 'B'.

14.1.4 Aquatic Life (Rivers)

Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) scores provide an integrated indicator of the general state of water quality and aquatic ecosystem health at a site. Figure 49 summarises MCI scores for sites monitored for aquatic macroinvertebrates throughout the Catlins FMU.

All sites return an MCI score between 90 and 110, or a band 'C' reflecting a macroinvertebrate community indicative of moderate organic pollution or nutrient enrichment. Figure 49 also summarises ASPM scores for sites monitored for aquatic macroinvertebrates throughout the Catlins FMU. All sites return an ASPM score between 0.4 and 0.6, or a band 'B' reflecting a macroinvertebrate community indicative of mild to moderate loss of ecological integrity.

14.1.5 Human health for recreation (Rivers)

Figure 49 summarises compliance for *E. coli* against the four statistical tests of the NOF *E. coli* attribute. The overall attribute state is based on the worst grading with the national bottom line being a 'D' band.

Compliance is quite poor across the Catlins FMU, with two sites; Owaka and Tahakopa, returning bacterial water quality below the national bottom line on all four statistical metrics. The other two sites return an overall 'C' band despite returning 'A' band median scores.

14.2 Trend Analysis

Trend analysis results for the Catlins River is shown in Figure 51. Over a 20-year period the Catlins has 'exceptionally unlikely' improving trends for *E.coli*, NNN and TN, with an 'extremely unlikely' improving trend for TP. In the shorter timefrme there are three 'extremely likely' or 'virtually certain' improving trends for NH₄-N, DRP and turbidity. There are no degrading trends in the last 10-years.




Figure 51 Summary of Upper Clutha sites categorised according to the level of confidence that their 10 and 20-year raw water quality trends indicate improvement. Confidence that the trend indicates improvement is expressed using the categorical levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Cells containing a black dot indicate site/variable combinations where the Sen Slope was evaluated as zero (i.e., a trend rate that cannot be quantified given the precision of the monitoring). White cells indicate site/variables where there were insufficient data to assess the trend

14.3 Water quality summary Catlins FMU

The tables in this section summarise:

- 1) river and lake sites where attributes where the national bottom line is not met (NPSFM, 2020)
- 2) trends in river and lake sites when the trends are greater than 'likely' or 'unlikely'
- 3) all trends using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes over the two time-periods

Table 30 Summary of river and lake state, red cells show where state does not meet the national bottom line in one or more variable

<i>sID</i>	NH ₄ N - <i>max</i>	NH ₄ N - <i>median</i>	ASPM	DRP - <i>median</i>	DRP – Q95	<i>E.coli</i>	MCI	NNN - <i>median</i>	NNN – Q95	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Tahakopa at Tahakopa													
Owaka at Katea Road													
Catlins at Houipapa													

Table 31 Summary of river sites where trends (raw data) are greater than ‘likely’ or ‘unlikely’. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

Analyte	#Obs	Frequency	Period	AnnualSenSlope	Confidence	Descriptor
Catlins at Houipapa						
Ammoniacal N	102	Month	10	0	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
Dissolved Reactive P	101	Month	10	-0.00032	Extremely likely	↑↑↑
<i>E. coli</i>	114	BiMonth	20	3.066082	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Nitrite/Nitrate N	114	BiMonth	20	0.007367	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Nitrogen	114	BiMonth	20	0.007508	Exceptionally unlikely	↓↓↓↓
Total Phosphorus	113	BiMonth	20	0.000292	Extremely unlikely	↓↓↓
Turbidity	101	Month	10	-0.14904	Extremely likely	↑↑↑

Table 32 Overall summary of trends for the Catlins FMU using raw data for rivers and continuous data for lakes. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2

	Virtually certain	Extremely likely	Very likely	Likely	As likely as not	Unlikely	Very unlikely	Extremely unlikely	Exceptionally unlikely
Descriptor	↑↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑	↑	↔	↓	↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓↓↓
Rivers - 10-year trend		3	2		2				
Rivers - 20-year trend					1	1	1		3

The Catlins FMU is expected to have good water quality, due to the intact nature of the headwaters and native vegetation, however cleared valleys allow intensive farming activities. When comparing to the NOF attribute states, water quality is variable. All sites return ‘A’ or ‘B’ bands for ammonia and nitrate toxicity. The Owaka and Tahakopa return ‘D’ bands for *E.coli* and ‘C’ bands are returned for MCI at all sites. Suspended fine sediment returns ‘D’ bands at all sites other than the Maclennan, which achieves an ‘A’ band.

There were three ‘extremely likely’ or ‘very likely’ improving trends in the Catlins in the last 10-years (NH₄N, DRP and turbidity). When sites have a zero sen slope alongside a reasonably high-level of confidence in trend direction the rate of the trend (i.e., the Sen slope) is at a level that is below the detection precision of the monitoring programme. In the Catlins River, this was true for NH₄N, (Table 31).

In summary:

- All sites are in the ‘A’ or ‘B’ band for ammonia and nitrate toxicity
- TN and DRP concentrations are low enough to meet the 20% spatial exceedance criteria for periphyton growth, other than TN at Owaka.
- Bacterial water quality is degraded in the Owaka and Tahakopa.
- Trend analysis for the Catlins shows four ‘extremely, or exceptionally unlikely’ improving trends over 20-years for *E.coli*, NNN, TP and TN.
- There were no degrading trends over the last 10-years.

15 Otago Summary

This section gives an overview of water quality state and water quality trends across Otago.

15.1 Otago Overview: Water Quality State

Table 33 shows a summary of lake sites where attributes fall below the national bottom line. All lakes do not meet the NPSFM national bottom line for chlorophyll *a* and Lake Tuakitoto does not meet the NPSFM national bottom line for TN and TP.

Table 33 Summary of lake sites where attributes fall below the NPSFM national bottom line

FMU/Rohe	Site Name	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> max	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> median	TN	TP
Dunstan Rohe	Lake Johnson at South Beach				
	Lake Hayes at Mid Lake 10m				
Dunedin Coast FMU	Lake Tuakitoto at Outlet				

Table 34 details all river sites where attributes do not meet the NPSFM bottom line. The table shows that 46 sites do not meet the NPSFM bottom line for *E.coli* and 40 sites did not meet the NPSFM bottom line for suspended fine sediment and for DRP 14 sites were in band 'D' (ecosystem health) attribute.

The Ministry for the Environment produced guidance (MfE, 2020) for defining nutrient concentrations to manage the NPSFM periphyton attribute states in rivers. The guidance is centered around spatial exceedances for TN and DRP. TN and DRP median concentrations are compared to the spatial exceedance criteria of 20%. At this level there is some risk (ie, 20%) that the chlorophyll *a* response at some sites will exceed the desired chlorophyll *a* threshold, even if the DRP or TN concentration targets are achieved. Results in Table 34 show that 25 sites for TN and 23 sites for DRP were elevated above the the 20% exceedance criteria.

Table 34 Summary of river sites where attributes fall below the NPSFM national bottom line. There is no national bottom line for DRP, but DRP (median and Q95) have been included in the table when sites achieve band 'D'.

FMU/Rohe	SID	ASPM	DRP - median	DRP - Q95	E.coli	MCI	Periphyton	Periphyton (DRP)	Periphyton (TN)	Suspended fine sediment
Upper Lakes Rohe	Timaru Creek									
	Rees River									
	Quartz Creek									
	Ox Burn									
	Makarora River									
	Invincible Creek									
	Horn Creek									
	Dart River									
	Bullock Creek									
	Buckler Burn									
Dunstan Rohe	Upper Cardrona									
	Quartz Reef Creek									
	Mill Creek									
	Lindis at Peak									
	Kawarau at Chards									
	Clutha at Luggate									
	Thomsons Creek at SH85									
Manuherekiia Rohe	Poolburn at Cob Cottage									
	Manuherekiia d/s Fork									
	Manuherekiia at Ophir									
	Manuherekiia at Galloway									
	Manuherekiia at Blackstone									
	Hills Creek									
	Dunstan Creek									
	Teviot at Bridge Huts									
Roxburgh	Fraser at Old Mans Range									
	Clutha at Millers Flat									
	Benger burn at SH8									
	Waiwera at Maws Farm									
	Waiwera at Confluence									
Lower Clutha Rohe	Waitahuna at Tweeds Bridge									
	Wairuna at Millar Road									
	Waipahi at Waipahi									
	Waipahi at Cairns Peak									
	Upper Pomahaka at Aitchison Runs Road									
	Tuapeka at 700m u/s bridge									
	Pomahaka at Glenken									
	Pomahaka at Burkes Ford									
	Lovells Creek at Station Road									
	Heriot Burn at Park Hill Road									
	Crookston Burn at Kelso Road									
	Clutha at Balclutha									
	Blackcleugh Burn at Rongahere Road									
Taieri FMU	Taieri at Waipiata									
	Taieri at Tiroiti									
	Taieri at Sutton									
	Taieri at Puketoi									
	Taieri at Patearoa									
	Taieri at Outram									
	Taieri at Creamery									
	Taieri at Allanton Bridge									
	Silverstream at Taieri Depot									
	Owhiro Stream									
	Meggat Burn									
Dunedin Coast	Contour Channel									
	Tokomairiro at W Br Br									
	Tokomairiro at Lisnatunny									
	Tokomairiro at Blackbridge									
	Lindsay's Creek									
	Leith at Dundas St									
North Otago FMU	Kaikorai at Brighton Rd									
	Akatore Creek									
	Welcome Creek									
	Waikouaiti									
	Waiareka Creek									
	Waianakarua									
	Trotters Creek									
	Shag at Goodwood									
	Shag at Craig Road									
	Pleasant River									
Catlins	Oamaru Creek									
	Kakanui at McCones									
	Kakanui at Clifton									
	Kakaho Creek									
	Awamoko									
	Tahakopa at Tahakopa									
	Owaka at Katea Road									
Catlins at Houipapa										

15.2 Otago Overview: Water Quality Trends

Figure 52 and Figure 53 show colour coded bar charts representing the proportions of sites with trends indicating improving and degrading water quality based on the categories defined in Table 2 for the 10- and 20-year trend periods, respectively. These plots show that 20-year trends were predominantly degrading for all variables apart from ammoniacal nitrogen and for the 10-year trend period the predominant trend direction was variable by water quality variable. Interpretation of these plots should also take into account that there were variable numbers of sites included in the different time-periods (Table 3)




Figure 52 Summary plot representing the proportion of river sites with improving 10-year time-period trends at each categorical level of confidence. The plot shows the proportion of sites for which the trend indicated improving water quality at levels of confidence defined in Table 2. Green colours indicate sites with improving trends, and red-orange colours indicate sites with degrading trends. Trends used in this graph are not flow-adjusted, and have a hi-censor filter applied.




Figure 53 Summary plot representing the proportion of river sites with improving 20-year time-period trends at each categorical level of confidence. The plot shows the proportion of sites for which the trend indicated improving water quality at levels of confidence defined in Table 2.. Green colours indicate sites with improving trends, and red-orange colours indicate sites with degrading trends. Trends used in this graph are not flow-adjusted, and have a hi-censor filter applied.

LWP (2020b) provides maps showing trend direction for rivers across Otago, the 10-year raw water quality trend direction is shown in Figure 54 and the 20-year raw water quality trend direction in Figure 55



Figure 54 Map of river sites classified by confidence that their 10-year raw water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2. LWP (2020b)




Figure 55 Map of river sites classified by confidence that their 20-year raw water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2 LWP. (2020b)

LWP (2020b) also provide maps for lake trend direction, these are shown in Figure 56 and Figure 57. Figure 56 Map of lake sites classified by confidence that their 10-year water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2. LWP (2020b)




Figure 56 Map of lake sites classified by confidence that their 10-year water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2. LWP (2020b)




Figure 57 Map of lake sites classified by confidence that their 18-year water quality trend direction indicated improving water quality. Confidence is expressed categorically based on the levels defined in Table 2. LWP (2020b)

Acknowledgements

Thanks to LWP Ltd for calculation of state and trend metrics, provision of the summary maps and matrices and for assistance and support. Thanks also to ORC's Environmental Monitoring team for their collection of surface water samples, there would be no report without them. Finally, thanks to Jason Augspurger and Hugo Borges for overall helpfulness.

References

- ANZECC, A., 2000. Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, Canberra:1–103.
- Biggs, B. (2000) New Zealand Periphyton Guideline: Detecting, Monitoring and Managing Enrichment of Streams. NIWA Client Report. Report no., 116 pp.
- Burdon, F.J.; McIntosh, A.R.; Harding, J.S. Habitat loss drives threshold response of benthic invertebrate communities to deposited sediment in agricultural streams. *Ecol. Appl.* 2013, 23, 1036–1047
- Clapcott J, Young R, Sinner J, Wilcox M, Storey R, Quinn J, Daughney C, Canning A, 2018. Freshwater biophysical ecosystem health framework. Prepared for Ministry for the Environment. Cawthron Report No. 3194. 89 p. plus appendices.
- Choquette, A.F., R.M. Hirsch, J.C. Murphy, L.T. Johnson, and R.B. Confesor Jr, 2019. Tracking Changes in Nutrient Delivery to Western Lake Erie: Approaches to Compensate for Variability and Trends in Streamflow. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 45:21–39.
- LWP Ltd., 2020a. State of Lake and River Wate Quality in the Otago Region. For records up to 30 June 2020, prepared for Otago Regional Council.
- LWP Ltd., 2020b. ORC River, Groundwater and Lake water quality Trend analysis. For 10 and 20-year periods up to September 2020, prepared for Otago Regional Council.
- Franklin, P., D. Booker, and R. Stoffels, 2020. Contract 23184: Task 2 - Turbidity and Visual Clarity Threshold Conversion. NIWA.
- Greenland, S., S.J. Senn, K.J. Rothman, J.B. Carlin, C. Poole, S.N. Goodman, and D.G. Altman, 2016. Statistical Tests, P Values, Confidence Intervals, and Power: A Guide to Misinterpretations. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 31:337–350.
- Helsel, D.R., 2012. Reporting Limits. *Statistics for Censored Environmental Data Using Minitab and R*. John Wiley & Sons, pp. 22–36.
- Helsel, D.R., R.M. Hirsch, K.R. Ryberg, S.A. Archfield, and E.J. Gilroy, 2020. *Statistical Methods in Water Resources*. Report, Reston, VA.
- Hirsch, R.M., J.R. Slack, and R.A. Smith, 1982. Techniques of Trend Analysis for Monthly Water Quality Data. *Water Resources Research* 18:107–121.
- Hickey, C., 2014. Derivation of Indicative Ammoniacal Nitrogen Guidelines for the National Objectives Framework. Memo prepared for Ms Vera Power, Ministry for the Environment, by NIWA.
- Kienzle (S.W) and Schmidt (J). Hydrological impacts of irrigated agriculture in the Manuherekia catchment, Otago, New Zealand. *Journal of Hydrology (NZ)* 47 (2): 67-84 2008
- Larned, S., A. Whitehead, C.E. Fraser, T. Snelder, and J. Yang, 2018. Water Quality State and Trends in New Zealand Rivers. Analyses of National-Scale Data Ending in 2017. prepared for Ministry for the Environment, NIWA.
- Larned, S., T. Snelder, M. Unwin, G. McBride, P. Verburg, and H. McMillan, 2015. Analysis of Water Quality in New Zealand Lakes and Rivers. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Larned, S., A. Whitehead, C.E. Fraser, T. Snelder, and J. Yang, 2018. Water Quality State and Trends in New Zealand Rivers. Analyses of National-Scale Data Ending in 2017. prepared for Ministry for the Environment, NIWA.

McBride, G.B., 2005. Using Statistical Methods for Water Quality Management: Issues, Problems and Solutions. John Wiley & Sons.

McBride, G.B., 2019. Has Water Quality Improved or Been Maintained? A Quantitative Assessment Procedure. *Journal of Environmental Quality*.

McBride, G., R.G. Cole, I. Westbrooke, and I. Jowett, 2014. Assessing Environmentally Significant Effects: A Better Strength-of-Evidence than a Single P Value? *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 186:2729–2740.

Ministry for the Environment. 2018. A Guide to Attributes in Appendix 2 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (as amended 2017). Wellington: Ministry for the Environment

Ministry for the Environment. 2020. Action for healthy waterways: Guidance on look-up tables for setting nutrient targets for periphyton. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment

Ministry for Environment and Ministry of Health, 2003. Microbiological Water Quality Guidelines for Marine and Freshwater Recreational Areas. Ministry for the Environment. <https://www.mfe.govt.nz/sites/default/files/microbiological-quality-jun03.pdf>.

Ministry for the Environment & National Institute for Water and Atmosphere (2004). New Zealand River Environment Classification User Guide. Ministry for the Environment, Wellington. Updated June 2010. NZ Government, 2020. National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.

NIWA 2017. Review of Otago Regional Council's State of the environment Monitoring Programme, Rier and lake water quality and ecology. Report prepared for Otago Regional Council.

Otago Regional Council, 2004. Regional Plan: Water for Otago

Otago Regional Council, 2004. Regional Plan: Water for Otago. Dunedin: Otago Regional Council.

Otago Regional Council, 2017. State of the environment: Surface water quality in Otago. 2006 to 2011. Otago Regional Council.

Smith, D.G., G.B. McBride, G.G. Bryers, J. Wisse, and D.F. Mink, 1996. Trends in New Zealand's National River Water Quality Network. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 30:485–500.

Snelder, T.H. and B.J.F. Biggs, 2002. Multi-Scale River Environment Classification for Water Resources Management. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 38:1225–1240.

Stark JD, Maxted JR, 2007. A user guide for the Macroinvertebrate Community Index. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment. Cawthron Report No.1166. 58 p.

Stocker et al., 2014, IPCC Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis - Findings and Lessons Learned

Whitehead, A.L., Booker, D.J. 2020. NZ River Maps: An interactive online tool for mapping predicted freshwater variables across New Zealand. NIWA, Christchurch. <https://shiny.niwa.co.nz/nzrivermaps/>

Wasserstein, R.L. and N.A. Lazar, 2016. The ASA Statement on P-Values: Context, Process, and Purpose. Taylor & Francis.

Appendix 1. River Environment Classification System (REC)

The Ministry for the Environment, in conjunction with NIWA developed the New Zealand River Environment Classification (REC) system (Snelder et al., 2004). The REC system characterises river environments at six hierarchical levels, according to their climate (1), source of flow (2), geology (3), land cover (4), network position (5) and valley landform (6), and within each level are a series of categories that are used to describe reaches of rivers throughout New Zealand.

Table 35 REC classification levels, classes and criteria used to assign river segments to REC classes (from Snelder, 2004).

Factor	Climate	Code	Criteria
1. Climate	Warm extremely wet Warm wet Warm dry Cool extremely wet Cool wet Cool dry	WX WW WD CX CW CD	<i>Mean annual temperature:</i> Warm: >12°C Cool: >12°C <i>Mean annual effective precipitation:</i> Extremely wet: >1500mm Wet: 500 to 1500 mm, Dry: < 500mm
2. Source of Flow	Glacial mountain Mountain Hill Low elevation Lake Spring Regulated Wetland	GM M H L Lk Sp R W	<i>% permanent ice:</i> Glacial Mountain: >1.5% <i>Rainfall volume in elevation categories:</i> Mountain: >50% above 1000 m Hill: 50% between 400 to 1000 m Low elevation: 50% below 400 m <i>Lake influence index</i> <i>Others manually assigned</i>
3. Geology	Alluvium Hard sedimentary Soft sedimentary Volcanic basic Volcanic acidic Plutonic Miscellaneous	Al HS SS VB VA PI M	<i>Spatially dominant geology category, unless:</i> Soft sedimentary >25%, then classified as sedimentary
4. Land cover	Bare Native forest Pastoral Tussock Scrub Exotic forest Wetland Urban	B IF P T S EF W U	<i>Spatially dominant land cover class, unless:</i> Pasture: >25%, then classified as pasture Urban: >15% then classified as urban
5. Network position	Low order Middle order High order	L M H	<i>Stream Order:</i> Low: 1 and 2 Medium: 3 and 4 High: >5
6. Valley landform	High gradient Medium gradient Low gradient	H M L	Valley slope: High: >0.04 Medium: 0.02 to 0.04 Low: <0.02

Appendix 4: State of the environment: Groundwater quality in Otago

State of the Environment

Groundwater Quality in Otago



Otago Regional Council
Private Bag 1954, Dunedin 9054
70 Stafford Street, Dunedin 9016
Phone 03 474 0827
www.orc.govt.nz

© Copyright for this publication is held by the Otago Regional Council. This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part, provided the source is fully and clearly acknowledged.

ISBN: 978-0-908324-68-2

March 2021

Report prepared by: Amir Levy, Marc Ettema (Groundwater Scientists), Xiaofeng Lu (Scientist - Hydrology)

Reviewed By: Jens Rekker (Senior Scientist - Catchment Modelling)

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank ORC's Environmental Monitoring ("Hydro") team for their help with the collection of groundwater samples, dispatch to the lab, storage of data, and network keep up. We really appreciate all your hard work, undertaken faithfully in all conditions and weather.

We would also like to thank the various land owners across Otago that allow us to use their groundwater bores for the SoE monitoring programme.

We would also like to thank Jens Rekker for his very helpful comments and review of the report.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	i
Table of Contents.....	ii
Executive Summary.....	xiv
1. Introduction	1
2. Groundwater resources in Otago.....	4
3. Groundwater monitoring methodology	42
4. Monitoring results.....	45
4.1 Regional overview of groundwater quality	45
4.1.1 Drinking Water Standards	45
4.1.1.1 Escherichia Coli (E. Coli).....	45
4.1.1.2 Dissolved arsenic.....	50
4.1.1.3 Nitrate nitrogen.....	52
4.1.2 Ecosystem health	57
4.1.2.1 Overview.....	57
4.1.2.2 Otago Water Plan Schedule 15	58
4.1.2.3 National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPS-FM [2017])	61
4.2 The Clutha FMU	63
4.2.1 Background information.....	63
4.2.2 Summary of groundwater quality results.....	64
4.2.3 The Upper Lakes Rohe	69
4.2.3.1 The Hawea Basin	73
4.2.3.1.1 Aquifer information.....	73
4.2.3.1.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results	74
4.2.3.2 Kingston Groundwater Management Zone	78
4.2.3.2.1 Aquifer information.....	78
4.2.3.2.2. Groundwater quality monitoring	79
4.2.3.3. Glenorchy.....	82
4.2.3.3.1 Aquifer information.....	82
4.2.3.3.2 Groundwater quality	83
4.2.4. The Dunstan Rohe	88
4.2.4.1 The Wanaka and Cardrona Basin	91
4.2.4.1.1 Aquifer information.....	91
4.2.4.1.2. Groundwater quality results	91
4.2.4.2 The Wakatipu Basin.....	54
4.2.4.2.1 Aquifer information.....	54

4.2.4.2.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	54
4.2.4.3. Cromwell Terrace Aquifer.....	60
4.2.4.3.1 Aquifer information	60
4.2.4.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	60
4.2.4.4 Lowburn Alluvial aquifer.....	63
4.2.4.4.1 Aquifer information	63
4.2.4.4.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	64
4.2.4.5 West Bank of the Upper Clutha	67
4.2.4.5.1 Aquifer information	67
4.2.4.5.2 Groundwater quality measurements	68
4.2.4.6 Lower Tarras Aquifer	75
4.2.4.6.1 Aquifer information	75
4.2.4.6.2 Groundwater quality results	76
4.2.5 Manuherekia Rohe	82
4.2.5.1 The Manuherekia GWMZ.....	119
4.2.5.1.1 Information summary.....	119
4.2.5.1.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results	119
4.2.5.2 The Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer	124
4.2.5.2.1 Aquifer information	124
4.2.5.2.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results	125
4.2.5.3 The Manuherekia Claybound aquifer	129
4.2.5.3.1. Aquifer information	129
4.2.5.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results	130
4.2.6 The Lower Clutha Rohe	135
4.2.6.1 The Roxburgh basin	137
4.2.6.1.1 Aquifer information	137
4.2.6.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	138
4.2.6.3 The Ettrick Basin.....	142
4.2.6.3.1 Aquifer information	142
4.2.6.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	143
4.2.6.4 The Pomahaka Basin	149
4.2.6.4.1. Aquifer information	149
4.2.6.4.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	151
4.2.6.5 The Clydevale aquifer	158
4.2.6.5.1 Aquifer information	158
4.2.6.5.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	159

4.2.6.6 Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer	162
4.2.6.6.1 Aquifer information	162
4.2.6.6.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	163
4.3 Dunedin & Coast FMU	168
4.3.1 FMU background information	168
4.3.2 Tokomairiro Plain GWMZ	168
4.3.2.1 Groundwater quality monitoring	169
4.4. The Taieri FMU.....	173
4.4.1 Background information.....	173
4.4.2 Summary of groundwater quality results	176
4.4.3. The Maniototo Tertiary aquifer	177
4.4.3.1 Aquifer information.....	177
4.4.3.2 Groundwater quality measurements	139
4.4.4 The Strath Taieri basin.....	143
4.4.4.1 Aquifer information.....	143
4.4.4.2 groundwater quality monitoring.....	145
4.4.5 The Lower Taieri basin.....	149
4.4.5.1 Aquifer information.....	149
4.4.5.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	152
4.5 The North Otago FMU	158
4.5.1 The North Otago Volcanic Aquifer	163
4.5.1.1 Aquifer information.....	163
4.5.1.2 Groundwater quality measurements	165
4.5.2 Kakanui-Kauru Alluvial Aquifer & Shag alluvial aquifers	171
4.5.2.1 Aquifer information.....	171
4.5.2.2 Groundwater quality measurements	171
4.5.3 The Lower Waitaki Aquifer	172
4.5.3.1 Aquifer information.....	172
4.5.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring	173
5. Discussion & Recommendations.....	179
6. Conclusions.....	180
7. References	184
Appendix 1: List of monitoring bores for the SoE network.....	188
Appendix 2: SoE groundwater quality monitoring parameters and analytical methods.....	190

List of Figures

Figure 1: Location of Otago’s Freshwater Management Units (FMU) and rohe (delineated in purple) and aquifers (in blue).....	2
Figure 2: Examples of suitable, secure, purpose-drilled monitoring bores. Note the sealed concrete pad surrounding the bore head, raised casing above ground level, and the lockable cap.....	42
Figure 3: Percentage exceedance of the E. Coli MAV for each bore. The map also shows Otago’s FMUs and rohe (in purple) and aquifers (teal). The aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.	47
Figure 4: Percentage of bores in each of the exceedance categories (i.e. percentage of the samples that exceeded the MAV) for the whole Otago region.....	48
Figure 5: Summary of E. Coli exceedance per FMU and rohe. The number of monitoring bores in each FMU/rohe is shown in brackets. Data below the dashed line shows the rohes of the Clutha FMU.....	49
Figure 6: percentage of E. Coli MAV exceedance for different bore depths. The number of bores in each category is shown in brackets.	50
Figure 7: Maximum dissolved arsenic concentrations. The map also shows Otago’s FMUs and rohe (in purple) and aquifers (teal) . The aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.....	51
Figure 8: Nitrate concentrations from the Otago FMUs.....	53
Figure 9: Median nitrate concentrations for Otago aquifers.....	54
Figure 10: Median groundwater nitrate concentrations in Otago SoE bores. The map also shows Otago’s FMUs and rohe (in purple) and aquifers (teal) . The aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.....	55
Figure 11: analysis of median nitrate concentration and bore depth.....	56
Figure 12: Location of shallow monitoring bores and their groups under Schedule 15.....	59
Figure 13: Location of the Upper Lakes Rohe (red outline), aquifers (teal) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots). Aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.....	70
Figure 14: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Hawea Basin.....	75
Figure 15: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Hawea Basin.....	76
Figure 16: Groundwater E. coli count for the Hawea Basin.....	76
Figure 17: Groundwater Ammonia concentrations for the Hawea Basin.....	77
Figure 18: Groundwater DRP concentration for the Hawea Basin.....	78
Figure 19: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration in the Kingston GWMZ.....	79
Figure 20: Groundwater E. coli counting in the Kingston GWMZ.....	80
Figure 21: Groundwater nitrate concentration in the Kingston GWMZ.....	80
Figure 22: Groundwater ammonia concentration in the Kingston GWMZ.....	81

Figure 23: Groundwater DRP concentration in the Kingston GWMZ	81
Figure 24: Location of SoE monitoring bores in Glenorchy (red dots).....	84
Figure 25: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ.....	85
Figure 26: Groundwater E. coli results for the Glenorchy GWMZ.....	86
Figure 27: Groundwater ammonia concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ	86
Figure 28: Groundwater nitrate concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ.....	87
Figure 29: Groundwater DRP concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ.....	87
Figure 30: Location of the Dunstan Rohe (red outline), aquifers (green) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots). Aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.....	89
Figure 31: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Wanaka Basin.....	93
Figure 32: Groundwater E. coli count for the Wanaka Basin	94
Figure 33: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Wanaka Basin	95
Figure 34: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus for the Wanaka Basin	96
Figure 35: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Wanaka Basin	97
Figure 36: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Wakatipu Basin	56
Figure 37: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Wakatipu Basin.....	56
Figure 38: Groundwater E. Coli counts for the Wakatipu Basin	57
Figure 39: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Wakatipu Basin	58
Figure 40: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer	61
Figure 41: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer	61
Figure 42: Groundwater E. coli count for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer	62
Figure 43: Groundwater ammonia concentration for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer.....	62
Figure 44: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentration for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer	63
Figure 45: geological setting for the Lowburn Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (from Turnbull, 2000) ...	63
Figure 46: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer	64
Figure 47: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer.....	65
Figure 48: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer	65
Figure 49: Groundwater ammonia concentration for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer	66
Figure 50: Location of GWMZ on the West Bank of the Clutha (from ORC, 2018b).....	68
Figure 51: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ.....	69
Figure 52: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ	70

Figure 53: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ.....	71
Figure 54: Groundwater ammonia concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ.....	72
Figure 55: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ	73
Figure 56: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Lower Tarras aquifer	77
Figure 57: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Lower Tarras aquifer	78
Figure 58: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Lower Tarras aquifer	79
Figure 59: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Lower Tarras aquifer.....	80
Figure 60: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Lower Tarras aquifer	81
Figure 61: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Manuherekia GWMZ.....	121
Figure 62: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ.....	121
Figure 63: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ.....	122
Figure 64: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ.....	122
Figure 65: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ	123
Figure 66: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer.....	126
Figure 67: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer.....	126
Figure 68: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer.....	127
Figure 69: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer	127
Figure 70: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer	128
Figure 71: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer.....	131
Figure 72: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer	132
Figure 73: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer.....	132
Figure 74: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer ..	133
Figure 75: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer	133
Figure 76: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Roxburgh Basin	139
Figure 77: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin	139
Figure 78: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin	140
Figure 79: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin.....	140

Figure 80: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin	141
Figure 81: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Ettrick Basin	144
Figure 82: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Ettrick Basin	145
Figure 83: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Ettrick Basin	145
Figure 84: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Ettrick Basin	146
Figure 85: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Ettrick Basin	146
Figure 86: Groundwater E. Coli for the Pomahaka Basin.....	152
Figure 87: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Pomahaka Basin.....	153
Figure 88: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Pomahaka Basin	154
Figure 89: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Pomahaka Basin.....	155
Figure 90: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Pomahaka Basin.....	156
Figure 91: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Clydevale aquifer.....	159
Figure 92: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer	160
Figure 93: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer	160
Figure 94: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer	161
Figure 95: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer	161
Figure 96: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer	164
Figure 97: Groundwater E. Coli for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer	165
Figure 98: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer.....	165
Figure 99: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer.....	166
Figure 100: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer	166
Figure 101: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Tokomairiro GWMZ	170
Figure 102: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ.....	170
Figure 103: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ.....	171
Figure 104: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ	171
Figure 105: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ	172
Figure 106: Location of the Taieri FMU (red), aquifers (green) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots)	175

Figure 107: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer.....	140
Figure 108: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer	140
Figure 109: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer	141
Figure 110: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer	141
Figure 111: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer.....	142
Figure 112: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Strath Taieri basin	146
Figure 113: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin	146
Figure 114: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin	147
Figure 115: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin	147
Figure 116: Groundwater DRP concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin	148
Figure 117: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Lower Taieri Aquifer	154
Figure 118: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer.....	155
Figure 119: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer	155
Figure 120: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer.....	156
Figure 121: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer.....	157
Figure 122: Location of the North Otago FMU (red), aquifers (green) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots).....	159
Figure 123: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the NOVA.....	167
Figure 124: Groundwater E. Coli count for the NOVA	167
Figure 125: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the NOVA	168
Figure 126: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the NOVA.....	168
Figure 127: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the NOVA	170
Figure 128: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers	168
Figure 129: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers.....	169
Figure 130: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers	169
Figure 131: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers.....	170
Figure 132: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers.....	171
Figure 133: Groundwater E. coli count for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer	175

Figure 134: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer.....	175
Figure 135: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer	176
Figure 136: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer	176
Figure 137: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Lower Waitaki aquifer	177

List of Tables

Table 1: Analytical Limit of Detection for SoE groundwater quality parameters	44
Table 2: Receiving water numerical standards by surface water catchment group (based on the five year 80 th percentile when flows are at or below median flow.	58
Table 3: 80 th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables. The orange cells show where the 80 th percentile exceeds the Schedule 15 limit.	60
Table 4: NPS-FM attributes and NOF bands for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia (from MfE, 2020) .	62
Table 5: median results for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Clutha FMU	65
Table 6: NPS-FM NOF comparison results for the Clutha FMU	65
Table 7: Schedule 15 comparison results for the Clutha FMU for 80 th percentile for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia.....	68
Table 8: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Upper Lakes rohe.....	71
Table 9: Upper Lakes rohe 80 th percentile concentrations (mg/L) for water quality variables and comparison with Schedule 15 limits	72
Table 10: NPS-FM NOF comparison for the Upper Clutha rohe	73
Table 11: summary of monitoring bore information for the Hawea Basin.....	74
Table 12: Hawea Basin 80 th percentile concentrations (mg/L) for water quality variables and comparison with Schedule 15 limits	77
Table 13: Kingston GWMZ 80 th percentile nutrient concentration comparison against the Schedule 15 limits for of nitrate, DRP, and ammonia concentrations. Values in orange exceed the threshold.	82
Table 14: Details of monitoring bores in Glenorchy	84
Table 15: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Dunstan rohe.....	90
Table 16: A summary of details for monitoring bores in the Wanaka Basin.....	91
Table 17: Summary of monitoring bore details for the Wakatipu Basin	55
Table 18: Wakatipu Basin 80 th percentile values for water quality variables & comparison with Schedule 15 limits.....	58
Table 19: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Wakatipu Basin	59
Table 20: Schedule 15 comparison results for the Lowburn Alluvial aquifer for 80 th percentile for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia.....	66
Table 21: NOF comparison for the Lowburn Alluvial aquifer	67
Table 22: 80 th percentile values for water quality variables & comparison with Schedule 15 limits for the West Bank of the U. Clutha	74

Table 23: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the W. Bank of the Upper Clutha	74
Table 24: Results for comparison with Schedule 15 limits for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia	82
Table 25: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Lower Tarras aquifer	82
Table 26: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Manuherehia rohe	83
Table 27: Results for comparison with Schedule 15 limits for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia	124
Table 28: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Manuherehia GWMZ.....	124
Table 29: Results for comparison with Schedule 15 limits for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia	128
Table 30: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Manuherehia Alluvium Aquifer.....	129
Table 31: 80th percentile values for water quality variables identified in Schedule 15.	134
Table 32: Manuherehia Claybound Aquifer NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP.	134
Table 33: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Lower Clutha rohe.....	135
Table 34: Lower Clutha rohe NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP.....	136
Table 35: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Lower Clutha rohe	137
Table 36: 80th percentile values for water quality variables identified in Schedule 15.	141
Table 37: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Roxburgh basin.....	142
Table 38: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Ettrick Basin	147
Table 39: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Ettrick basin.....	148
Table 40: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Pomahaka Basin.....	157
Table 41: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Pomahaka basin	158
Table 42: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Clydevale aquifer	162
Table 43: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Clydevale Aquifer	162
Table 44: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer.....	167
Table 45: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Inch Clutha Gravel	167
Table 46: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for Dunedin & Coast FMU	168
Table 47: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Tokomairiro GWMZ	172
Table 48: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Tokomairiro GWMZ	173

Table 49: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Taieri FMU	176
Table 50: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Taieri FMU	176
Table 51: Schedule 15 comparison results for the Taieri FMU	177
Table 52: 80 th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer	142
Table 53: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer	143
Table 54: 80 th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Strath Taieri Aquifer	148
Table 55: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Strath Taieri Aquifer	149
Table 56: Summary of groundwater quality monitoring bores details for the Lower Taieri	153
Table 57: 80 th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Lower Taieri Aquifer	156
Table 58: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Lower Taieri Aquifer	157
Table 59: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the N. Otago FMU	158
Table 60: Schedule 15 comparison for the North Otago FMU	158
Table 61: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the North Otago FMU	160
Table 62: Summary of monitoring bore details for the NOVA	166
Table 63: 80 th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the NOVA	169
Table 64: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the North Otago Volcanic Aquifer	170
Table 65: 80 th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Kakanui-Kauru Alluvial Aquifer	170
Table 66: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Kakanui-Kauru Alluvial Aquifer	172
Table 67: Summary of groundwater quality SoE bore details for the Lower Waitaki Plains Aquifer	174
Table 68: 80 th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer	177
Table 69: NPS-FM NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer	178

Executive Summary

This report summarises the results from Otago Regional Council (ORC)'s groundwater quality State of the Environment (SoE) monitoring programme which monitors groundwater quality across the region. The aims of the programme include data provision and assessment of groundwater quality/quantity in Otago, understanding groundwater flow and associated surface water ecosystems, assessing the impacts of land use and the effectiveness of resource management policies, and environmental reporting in accordance with the Resource Management Act (RMA).

Groundwater is an important resource in Otago and is used across the region for drinking, irrigation, industry and stock water supply. In addition to that, groundwater discharge significantly impacts stream flow, water quality, and ecology in various catchments across the region (e.g. the Kakanui-Kauru, Shag). In contrast to the extensive gravel aquifers found in some New Zealand regions (e.g. the Canterbury Plains, Hawke's Bay) most of Otago's aquifers are small and are situated in a variety of geological settings (e.g. disconnected river valley basins associated with glacial outwash or moraine deposits, limestone, fractured rock).

The groundwater quality SoE monitoring network currently consists of 55 monitoring bores, situated on both public and private land with varying degrees of borehead security. The bores are located across Otago's five Freshwater Management Units (FMU). However, their distribution is uneven, with the Catlins and Dunedin & Coast FMUs having only one monitoring bore each. Furthermore, some of the aquifers in the region are currently not monitored. This report assesses groundwater quality results from the start of monitoring in each currently active SoE bore to the end December 2019. Groundwater quality in the SoE bores is monitored quarterly for microbiological parameters, major ion geochemistry, and metals concentrations. The sampling follows the National Environmental Monitoring Standards [NEMS] (2019) and the samples are analysed in an accredited laboratory.

This report summarises the state of groundwater quality in Otago in relation to drinking water quality. This is assessed by comparing groundwater E. coli, dissolved arsenic, and nitrate concentrations against the Drinking Water Standards for NZ (DWSNZ) thresholds. The E. coli data indicates that potential faecal contamination is a significant water quality issue across Otago, with exceedances of the DWSNZ Maximum Acceptable Value (MAV) of <1MPN/100mL detected in 75% of the bores in the region at a point during the monitoring period. Exceedances were detected in each of Otago's FMU/rohes. However, it is important to note that the data includes the full monitoring period for each bore and that some of these reported exceedances are potentially due to changing laboratories.

The proportion of E. coli exceedance across Otago (75%) is similar to that of the Clutha, North Otago and Taieri FMUs. However, a wider variability was observed within the different rohes of the Clutha FMU, with higher proportions (and contamination risk) than the regional one measured in the Manuhereki and Lower Clutha rohes. Conversely, the proportion of exceedance in the Upper Lakes rohe was lower, whilst that of the Dunstan rohe was similar to

the regional. An assessment of E. coli exceedance and bore depth shows that the highest proportion of E. coli exceedance was in bores shallower than 10m (92%) and the lowest (40%) in bores deeper than 60m.

The E. Coli data indicate that groundwater and bores in Otago are vulnerable to faecal contamination. However, elevated E. Coli can also be a local issue and is strongly dependent on bore security, hence, the SoE data does not present a complete mapping of this risk. Nevertheless, it is strongly recommended that bore owners/groundwater users ensure adequate borehead security (to prevent contaminant entry into the aquifer) and regularly test their groundwater for indicator bacteria. This is particularly important after periods of heavy rainfall. If E. Coli is detected, water should be boiled or disinfected.

Arsenic is a toxic, though naturally occurring, element, present at low concentrations in soil, water, plants, animals and food. Chronic exposure to elevated arsenic can lead to a range of cancers. Arsenic in groundwater can originate from anthropogenic (e.g. sheep dips, treated timber posts) and geological sources (e.g. schist lithology, reduced peat deposits, and volcanic rocks). The spatial distribution of maximum arsenic concentrations in Otago groundwater shows that concentrations exceeded the MAV in only seven SoE monitoring bores, five of which are in the Upper Clutha/Wakatipu Basin area, which are underlain by schist lithology known to contain arsenic. No arsenic above the MAV was detected in any bores in the North Otago, Dunedin & Coast, or Catlins FMUs. Nevertheless, due to the abundance of arsenic-containing schist lithology, particularly in the Upper Clutha, and the high spatial variability of arsenic in groundwater, it is strongly recommended that bore owners regularly test their bore water for arsenic in an accredited laboratory.

Nitrate ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$) is a dissolved, inorganic form of nitrogen (N), a key nutrient required for the growth of plants and algae. Excess nitrate can adversely impact water quality (e.g. eutrophication) and cause health concerns. Groundwater nitrate concentration data shows that none of the aquifers in Otago has a median nitrate concentration above the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L. However, it did highlight a variable degree of nitrate contamination in relation to the MAV, with the median concentration in some aquifers, particularly in North Otago and the Lower Clutha, closer to the MAV. Conversely, the median nitrate concentrations in many aquifers are much lower.

The report also assessed the potential impacts of groundwater nutrient concentrations (nitrate nitrogen, Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP), and ammoniacal nitrogen (ammonia) on surface water quality. This was done by comparing groundwater nutrient concentrations in shallow bores with surface water limits from regional (Regional Plan: Water [RPW] Schedule 15 limits for receiving water bodies) and national (National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management [NPS-FM]) standards for surface water quality. However, It is important to note that these standards do not include limits for groundwater, hence, this approach solely provides an overview. The results show that most groundwater nitrate and DRP concentrations exceed the surface water limits. Conversely, most ammonia concentrations were below the limit. However, that these standards (i.e. the RPW limits and NPS-FM bands) are for surface

water, hence this comparison only provides a guideline/screening exercise rather than a direct assessment.

The groundwater quality assessment for each FMU/aquifer shows that, similar to surface water, groundwater quality across the region is also highly variable. The results from the Clutha FMU show a high variability, with good groundwater quality in some rohe (i.e. the Upper Lakes, Dunstan) and degraded quality in others, particularly the Lower Clutha. The main issues in this FMU are elevated E. coli and dissolved arsenic concentrations in some bores, with elevated nutrient concentrations also common. The results from the Upper Lakes and Dunstan rohes generally show compliance with the DWSNZ, although elevated E. coli counts were measured in some bores. Elevated dissolved arsenic concentrations were also measured in some bores, although their source is likely to be geological, i.e. the prevalent schist lithology. Nutrient concentrations are generally below the DWSNZ for nitrates. High DRP and nitrate concentrations were measured in Kingston and Glenorchy, likely due to high septic tanks density, shallow bores, and poor borehead security. These can potentially adversely impact water quality in Lake Wakatipu, although groundwater (and nutrient) fluxes into the Lake are likely to be substantially lower than the surface water inflows. Groundwater quality in the Manuherekia rohe is generally fair although E. coli exceedances were measured in most bores, albeit at low counts. Nitrate concentrations are below the DWSNZ MAV in all monitoring bores, with concentrations in the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer and Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer monitoring bores generally near the low intensity landuse reference value (<2.5mg/L). However, an increasing trend has been observed in the Manuherekia Groundwater Management Zone (GWMZ) monitoring bore, where concentrations exceed ½ of the MAV. No elevated arsenic concentrations were measured in any of the monitoring bores in the rohe. In relation to potential impacts on ecosystem health, the results from the shallow monitoring bores show that nitrate and DRP concentrations exceed the RPW limits. This suggests that groundwater-surface water interaction in this area can adversely impact surface water quality. Groundwater quality results from the Lower Clutha rohe indicate some water quality issues, with elevated E. coli and nitrate concentrations in most bores, notably in the Ettrick and Clydevale basins. One of the bores in the Inch Clutha gravel aquifer also has elevated arsenic concentrations above the MAV. The results also show issues with elevated nutrient concentrations, some of which are due to shallow, poorly-secured monitoring bores. These results also support the reported poor surface water quality results from this area (ORC, 2017).

Groundwater results from the Taieri FMU indicate potential risk for faecal contamination, with E. coli exceedance measured in all three of the FMU's aquifers. The pattern of nitrate concentrations is mixed, with elevated concentrations over ½ of the MAV in some bores whilst concentrations in others are within the low intensity landuse reference conditions. The assessment against surface water quality indicates potential issues, with several exceedances of the Schedule 15 nutrient limits. It is likely that some of these elevated results are due to monitoring bores being shallow, insecure, and located near dairy farms and/or septic tanks. Nevertheless, these can potentially adversely impact surface water quality.

The results from the North Otago FMU indicate significant water groundwater quality issues, particularly regarding E. coli exceedances and elevated nitrate concentrations, which are the

highest in the region. Nitrate concentrations in monitoring bores in the North Otago Volcanic Aquifer (NOVA) and Kakanui-Kauru aquifers substantially exceed the 11.3mg/L MAV, with concentrations in some bores exceeding 32.2mg/L (though the bores are not used for drinking). Nitrate concentrations in some bores in the Lower Waitaki aquifer are over ½ of the DWSNZ MAV. Potential faecal contamination is also a concern, with elevated E. coli measured in some bores in each of the aquifers within the FMU. The results indicate potential adverse impacts on surface water quality, with elevated nutrient concentrations substantially exceeding the RPW and NPS-FM limits, and this FMU having the region's most degraded groundwater quality. Due to the strong groundwater-surface water interaction in many North Otago catchments, it is imperative to understand the groundwater and surface water interactions in this FMU.

There are several recommendations in light of this report. These include:

- Ensure bore owners practice good bore security to prevent contaminant migration to bores. This includes improving ORC's regulatory and education regimes regarding this.
- Publishing the SoE groundwater quality monitoring results online with suitable symbology that clearly indicates when parameters exceed the DWSNZ MAVs.
- Review the legislation and management of known high risk activities to water quality in areas of poor groundwater quality.
- Embark on a programme to replace existing unsuitable SoE bores with new dedicated ones. It is recommended that new bores will be placed based on their representation of the different FMU/aquifers. These should be located on public land to ensure long term access. It is also recommended to have an ongoing maintenance programme for the bores, where they are pumped, surveyed, and the head security is confirmed on a regular basis.

1. Introduction

The Otago region covers an area of approximately 32,000km², with its boundary stretching from the Waitaki River in the north to Brothers Point in the south and inland to Lake Wakatipu and the Haast and Lindis Passes. The Otago landscape varies greatly in climate, land use and topography. It includes the Southern Alps and alpine lakes; dry central areas with tussock, grassland and tors; dramatic coastlines around the Catlins and Otago Peninsula; extensive high country stations; and lowland pasture in the western part of the region. The character of the region's groundwater and surface water bodies is also highly diverse, reflecting the region's variation in environmental conditions and land use.


The main Clutha /Mata-Au River drains most of the Otago region, with a catchment area of around 21,000km². The river is mainly fed by outflows from the large Wanaka, Hawea, and Wakatipu alpine lakes, which provide 75% of the flow at Balclutha. In addition to the lakes, the Clutha catchment also receives flow from other large rivers: the Kawarau, Cardrona, Lindis, Shotover, Fraser, Nevis, Manuherekia, Teviot, Pomahaka, Waitahuna and Waiwera.

The Taieri River catchment is the second largest in Otago, with an area of 5,060km². The Taieri rises in the uplands of Central Otago and meanders around the Rock and Pillar block mountain range before passing through a gorge. It then flows through the Taieri Plain, where it joins the Lake Waipori and Waiholo catchments and become tidal before emptying into the sea at Taieri Mouth.

Other significant catchments are located in North Otago, which include the Kakanui, Shag, and Waitaki Rivers. These rise in high country and pass through mainly dry downlands. There is also the Tokomairiro River, which flows through Milton and drains rolling hill country situated between the Taieri and Clutha catchments. Rivers in the southern part of Otago, particularly in the Catlins area, emerge from wetter, and often forested, hills (Otago Regional Council [ORC], 2017a). The catchments in Otago are grouped into five Freshwater Management Units (FMU), Figure 1.

- Clutha Mata-Au FMU (sub divided into five *Rohe*):
 - Upper Lakes
 - Dunstan
 - Manuherekia
 - Roxburgh
 - Lower Clutha
- North Otago FMU
- Taieri FMU
- Dunedin & Coast FMU
- The Catlins FMU

Figure 1: Location of Otago’s Freshwater Management Units (FMU) and rohe (delineated in purple) and aquifers (in blue).



The environmental context in which Otago’s water bodies exist is characterised by a wide variability in precipitation, from high rainfall in the Southern Alps, to very low rainfall and high evaporation in the rain-shadows resulting in semi-arid central Otago valleys. Despite the large water volumes in the region, distinct parts of Otago are among the driest in New Zealand, with several rivers and tributaries characterised as “water-short”. These include the Lindis, Manuherikia, Taieri, Kakanui, and the Shag (ORC, 2004). Due to this high variability, groundwater forms an important part of Otago’s hydrology and water resources.

Groundwater is used across the Otago region for drinking, frost-protection, irrigation, industry and stock water supply. In addition to that, groundwater discharges significantly impacts stream flow, water quality, and ecology in various streams across the region (e.g. the Kakanui-Kauru, or the Shag). However, overlying land uses may also impact groundwater quality and levels. Monitoring the state and trends of groundwater quality and quantity is therefore important for informing resource management policy and assessing its effectiveness.

The Otago Regional Council (ORC) operates a long term State of Environment (SoE) programme for monitoring groundwater quality and levels across the region. The monitoring of groundwater quality and levels is conducted for various purposes which include:

- Understanding groundwater and associated surface water ecosystems,
- Assessing the impacts land use on groundwater,
- Determining the suitability of groundwater for specific uses (e.g. drinking water, irrigation, and stock watering),
- Assessing the effectiveness of resource management policies.

The information is also used for reporting under to the obligations in s35 of the Resource Management Act (1991). The aims of the SoE monitoring are:

- Collecting baseline information regarding groundwater quality/levels
- Providing a continuous record to allow detection of state and trends in groundwater levels and quality
- Assess the impact of land use (e.g. irrigation, farming) and policy changes on groundwater levels and quality.

The groundwater SoE monitoring network currently includes 76 bores, which are located across Otago's five FMUs. The bores are located on both private land and public land, particularly public road reserves. Groundwater levels are currently monitored continuously in 34 bores using pressure transducers that record bore water level every 15 minutes. Groundwater quality is assessed by collecting quarterly grab samples from 55 bores and their analysis in an accredited laboratory. The samples are analysed for microbiological (Escherichia Coli, [E. Coli]) and geochemical (major anions and cations, metals) parameters (Appendix 1). In addition to that, water level and physicochemical parameters (temperature, pH, Electrical Conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen) are also measured in the bore as part of the sample collection procedure. The sampling protocols follow the National Environmental Monitoring Standards for groundwater sampling, measurement, processing, and data archiving (NEMS, 2019).

This report summarises the SoE groundwater quality results by referring to relevant drinking water and water quality standards for ecosystem health. It provides a regional summary of groundwater quality parameters followed by a more detailed analysis at the FMU/rohe and aquifer levels. Section 2 briefly describes Otago's groundwater resources and main uses. Section 3 describes the SoE groundwater quality monitoring network, the methodology for collecting groundwater samples, and their analysis. Section 4 provides the groundwater quality monitoring results at the regional, FMU/rohe, and aquifer scales. A discussion of the results and recommendations are provided in Section 5.

2. Groundwater resources in Otago

Groundwater is used across the Otago region for drinking, irrigation, industry, and stock water supply. In addition to that, groundwater discharge also significantly impacts stream flow, water quality, and ecology in various streams across the region (e.g. the Kakanui-Kauru, Shag).

However, in contrast to the extensive aquifers located in other New Zealand regions (such as Canterbury and Hawke's Bay), the aquifers in Otago are generally small. Otago aquifers were identified within various geological settings, mainly disconnected basins that are associated with glacial outwash or moraine deposits in river valleys (i.e. alluvial/fluvial depositional environments), that can contain multiple aquifers, depending on the environment in which they were formed. The geological strata where aquifers were identified within the Otago region include:

- Quaternary outwash and recent alluvial gravel (unconfined aquifers)
- Tertiary units of varying properties (normally confined/semi-confined aquifers)
- Claybound alluvial gravels and sediments in higher and therefore older terrace settings (unconfined aquifers)
- Volcanic deposits
- Other units (limestone, fractured schist, and basal quartz conglomerates)

Although groundwater is present within the substrata of most localities within Otago, there are limited areas where bores can sustain a reliable supply of water (Heller, 2001). Within these restricted areas, bores can provide economically significant water.

3. Groundwater monitoring methodology

The groundwater quality network consists of 54 bores, whose depths range between 3.3m (bore no. J42/0762) and 90m (J41/0249). However, the details on each bore for many SoE bores lack information such as screen depth or interval (only available for 18 bores), and lithological logs (geological description of the bore), only available for 33 bores. The location of the monitoring bores within each FMU are shown on Figure 1. Details of the SoE monitoring bores are provided in Appendix 1.

The earliest available data is from August 1985 (bore J41/0249), with other bores in North Otago (J41/0008 and J41/0317) also being monitored for over 25 years. The sampling frequency varied during the monitoring periods, becoming quarterly since March 2011. The parameters for analysis and the laboratory have also changed over time, with Hill Laboratories (Hill) in Christchurch providing the analysis since December 2017. Some of the monitoring bores were also disused and replaced over the course of the monitoring period.

The monitoring bores are situated on both private and public land and there is a wide variability in borehead condition and security. Bores recently installed by ORC usually benefit from substantially higher construction standards and security, Figure 2. SOE monitoring bores located in public land are desirable since losing access for sampling due to permission not continuing has been the main cause for the loss of monitoring at particular bores. Conversely, many of the private bores suffer from very poor borehead security and other practices that increase the risk for contaminant migration into the aquifer and compromising sample integrity (i.e. livestock access to the borehead, storage of chemicals near the bore, access difficulties, pumps continuously running). An example of purpose-drilled monitoring bores is provided in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Examples of suitable, secure, purpose-drilled monitoring bores. Note the sealed concrete pad surrounding the bore head, raised casing above ground level, and the lockable cap.



The SoE monitoring programme samples and analyses groundwater for microbiological (Escherichia Coli, [E. Coli]), cations and metals (e.g. dissolved arsenic, iron, calcium, magnesium) major anions (e.g. sulphate, bicarbonate, chloride), and nutrients (Nitrate Nitrogen, Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus, Ammoniacal Nitrogen). The full list of parameters and analytical methods is provided in Appendix 2.

The ORC SoE groundwater sampling follows the National Environmental Monitoring Standards (NEMS, 2019) methodology. This method obtains a representative sample by purging¹ a minimum of three well volumes prior to the sample collection. The volume is calculated using the bore depth and the Static Water Level (SWL) in the bore, which is measured using a dip meter upon arrival on site. In addition to that, groundwater physicochemical parameters (pH, temperature, Dissolved Oxygen [DO], and Electrical Conductivity [EC]) are monitored during the purging using a handheld YSI probe in order to ensure their stability, which indicates that the bore is adequately purged. After a minimum of three volumes are purged and the parameters are observed to stabilise, the sample is then collected in bottles supplied by the laboratory. The equipment used for sampling is washed in representative water at least three times in order to prevent cross contamination between sites. The samples are stored in a dark, chilled bin and couriered to Hill Laboratory at the end of the sample day. The results are then coded based on the NEMS (2019) Quality Codes and digitally recorded in Hilltop Sampler. The main parameters analysed for ORC's groundwater SoE monitoring and the laboratory analytical Limits of Detection (LoD) are shown in Table 1. The LoD is provided by Hill Laboratories.

¹ In effect, pumping and discarding bore water

Table 1: Analytical Limit of Detection for SoE groundwater quality parameters

Parameter	Limit of Detection (LoD)
<u>Microbiology</u>	
Escherichia coli	1 MPN/100mL
<u>Anions</u>	
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Carbonate Alkalinity	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Total Alkalinity	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Total Hardness	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Hydroxide Alkalinity	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Chloride	0.5g/m ³
Fluoride	0.05g/m ³
Sulphate	0.5g/m ³
<u>Cations & metals</u>	
Dissolved Arsenic	0.0010g/m ³
Dissolved Cadmium	0.00005g/m ³
Dissolved Calcium	0.05g/m ³
Dissolved Chromium	0.0005g/m ³
Dissolved Iron	0.02g/m ³
Dissolved Magnesium	0.02g/m ³
Dissolved Manganese	0.0005g/m ³
Dissolved Potassium	0.05g/m ³
Dissolved Sodium	0.02g/m ³
Approx. Total Dissolved Salts	2g/m ³
<u>Nutrients</u>	
Total Nitrogen	0.010g/m ³
Total Ammoniacal-N	0.005g/m ³
Nitrite-N Trace	0.0010g/m ³
Nitrate-N	0.0010g/m ³
Nitrate-N + Nitrite-N (NNN) Trace	0.0010g/m ³
Total Organic Nitrogen (TON), trace level	0.012g/m ³
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (trace)	0.0010g/m ³
Total Phosphorus	0.004g/m ³

4. Monitoring results

This section assesses the SoE groundwater quality monitoring results in relation to drinking water quality and ecosystem health. Drinking water quality is assessed against the New Zealand Drinking Water Standards [DWSNZ] (Ministry of Health [MoH], 2005 revised 2018), with a focus on Escherichia Coli (E. coli), dissolved arsenic, and nitrate nitrogen, all determinants that have manifested concentrations of health concern in past groundwater monitoring. The report also assesses ecosystem health using groundwater nutrient concentrations for nitrate nitrogen (nitrate), ammoniacal nitrogen (ammonia), and Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus [DRP]). These concentrations are assessed against regional and national water quality standards for ecosystem health (i.e. nuisance plant/algal growth and toxicity). The results cover the period between the start of monitoring in each active SoE bore (i.e. a monitoring bore that is currently being sampled) and the end of the 2019 calendar year.

This section follows the following structure:

- Section 4.1 describes the monitoring parameters and the standards against which they are assessed followed by a regional overview of groundwater quality in Otago.
- Sections 4.2 – 4.5 describes groundwater quality results in the different FMUs/rohes and aquifers across Otago.
- In addition to the groundwater quality results, each section also includes a brief description of the monitored aquifers and SoE bores within the different FMUs.

4.1 Regional overview of groundwater quality

4.1.1 Drinking Water Standards

4.1.1.1 Escherichia Coli (E. Coli)

Groundwater can be compared to the drinking water standards for New Zealand with reasonable justification. In rural communities without reticulated water, groundwater is the primary and preferred drinking / domestic water source. Shallow groundwater is consumed by people across significant areas of Otago often without water treatment or regular monitoring for potential contaminants. Therefore, considering the regional SoE groundwater analysis results in the context of drinking water standards relates to the same magnitude of concentrations that humans would be exposed to from bore, well or spring water supplies.

While groundwater is less vulnerable to contamination by potentially pathogenic microorganisms than surface water, groundwater may still manifest instances of microorganism occurrence. Faecal bacteria contamination in (drinking) water can originate from livestock, wastewater discharges, effluent application, and stormwater discharge, with contamination risk increasing following heavy rainfall. Escherichia Coli (E. Coli) is used as the indicator organism for bacterial compliance testing for the DWSNZ, where its presence suggests contamination of drinking water by faecal material and pathogenic microorganisms. The DWSNZ Maximum Acceptable Value (MAV) for E. Coli is <1 MPN (Most Probable Number)/100mL. Although any measurement above and including this value exceeds the DWSNZ MAV, a single exceedance is not always a reliable indication for contamination risk status, as groundwater quality can vary temporally. This report therefore assesses the percentage of exceedances above the MAV for each FMU and aquifer, following a similar approach to Environment Canterbury [ECan] (2018). The calculated percentage exceedance of

the MAV for each SoE bore (i.e. the number of exceedances divided by the total number of samples from each bore) are shown on

Figure 3. Bores delineated in blue and light green suggest low risk, with no exceedances and <5% exceedance, respectively. Bores delineated in yellow are at a higher risk (5-50% exceedances) and may not be suitable for drinking water without treatment. Bores delineated in red are at the highest risk, with >50% of the samples exceeding the DWSNZ MAV.

The percentage of bores in each exceedance category for the Otago region and the number of bores for each category for the different FMUs and rohe are provided in

Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively. This indicates that E. coli was detected in 75% of the bores in the region at **a point** during the monitoring period and that E. Coli exceedances were detected in each of Otago's FMU/rohes,

Figure 4. Again, it is important to note that the data includes the **full** monitoring period for each bore. It is also important to note that the results between October 2014 and June 2017, which read <1.6MPN/100mL, were analysed by a different laboratory (i.e. Water Care), hence, these results are potentially related to a higher Limit of Detection rather than an exceedance. Nevertheless, this report took a conservative approach which considers the results of <1.6MPN/100mL as exceedances.

Figure 3: Percentage exceedance of the E. Coli MAV for each bore. The map also shows Otago’s FMUs and rohe (in purple) and aquifers (teal). The aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.





Figure 4: Percentage of bores in each of the exceedance categories (i.e. percentage of the samples that exceeded the MAV) for the whole Otago region




The exceedance data for the different FMU/rohe is shown in Figure 5. This indicates that the proportion of regional exceedance (75%) is similar to that of the Clutha, North Otago and Taieri FMUs. However, a wider variability was observed within the different rohes of the Clutha FMU. The exceedance percentage in the Dunstan rohe (77%) was similar to the regional one, whereas the exceedance percentage in the Upper Lakes rohe (38%) was lower than the regional. Conversely, the exceedance percentage in the Lower Clutha (88%) and Manuherekia (100%) were higher than the regional one, indicating a higher contamination risk in these rohes. The Catlins FMU have exceedance in <5% of the samples and the Dunedin & Coast FMU having exceedances >50% of the samples. However, there is only one SoE monitoring bore in each of these FMUs, hence the data is highly skewed.

The E. Coli monitoring data indicates that potential faecal contamination is a serious water quality issue across the Otago region. However, despite the wide spread of E. Coli exceedance across the region (


Figure 4), it is also important to note that elevated E. Coli can be a local issue and is strongly dependent on bore security, hence, the SoE monitoring data does not present a complete mapping of this risk. It is also important to note that the analysis provided summarises all the available data to December 2019, hence, it does not look at whether E. Coli exceedances have changed over time. It also only addresses the exceedance/no exceedance criteria, regardless of the measured E. Coli count.

Figure 5: Summary of E. Coli exceedance per FMU and rohe. The number of monitoring bores in each FMU/rohe is shown in brackets. Data below the dashed line shows the rohes of the Clutha FMU.



In addition to the spatial distribution of E. Coli exceedance, the percentage of exceedance and bore depth was also analysed, Figure 6. This was analysed due to the relationship between bore depth and faecal bacteria, which enter groundwater from the surface and is filtered out or dies off over time as it travels through the aquifer and its overlying strata. Hence, deeper bores are usually at a lower risk of contamination (MoH, 2017; 2018).

The data for Otago shows that the highest proportion of detections was in bores shallower than 10m (92%) and the lowest in bores deeper than 60m (40%). The proportion of detection in bores of intermediate depth was 76% for bores between 10-20m deep and 64% for bores between 20-40m, Figure 6. Although most of the data supports the hypothesis of lower E. Coli with increasing bore depth, the proportion of exceedances in bores 40-60m deep was higher than the proportions of exceedance at the shallower depths between 10 and 40m. This can be potentially attributed to the small number of bores in this deeper category (40-60m). Nevertheless, the relatively high percentage of E. Coli exceedances, measured even in the deeper bores, clearly indicate that faecal contamination of groundwater in Otago is a risk at every bore depth.

Figure 6: percentage of E. Coli M

AV exceedance for different bore depths. The number of bores in each category is shown in brackets.

In summary, despite the aforementioned limits of the SoE monitoring data, the E coli results indicate that groundwater and bores in Otago are vulnerable to faecal contamination, regardless of location and/or bore depth. In order to lower this risk, it is strongly recommended that bore owners ensure adequate borehead security to prevent contaminant entry into the aquifer through the borehead. Further information regarding bore security can be found in the following link: <https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/5634/bore-brochure.pdf>. It is also recommended that groundwater used for drinking is regularly tested in an accredited laboratory, with testing being particularly important after periods of heavy rainfall. If E. Coli is detected, water should be boiled or disinfected (MoH, 2018).


4.1.1.2 Dissolved arsenic

Arsenic is a toxic, though naturally occurring, element, present at low levels in soil, water, plants, animals and food. Arsenic in groundwater can originate from either anthropogenic or natural sources. The former includes economic activities such as sheep dips and treated timber posts. The latter includes geology such as reduced peat deposits alongside volcanic rocks (e.g. Piper and Kim, 2006) and schist rocks, with the latter being particularly prevalent in Otago due to its abundance (Bloomberg *et al.*, 2019). In addition to geological factors and activities that use or formerly used arsenic, dissolved arsenic concentrations in groundwater are also controlled by geochemical oxidation/reduction conditions plus water level fluctuations.

Exposure to elevated arsenic can lead to a range of cancers, with bladder or lung cancer being the most common, and other non-cancer effects (Piper and Kim, 2006). The DWSNZ MAV for arsenic is 0.01mg/L (equivalent to 10 µg/L), based on a lifetime excess bladder or lung cancer risk (MoH, 2018).

The prevalence of arsenic in Otago groundwater was determined by computing the maximum value from each bore, followed by further scrutiny of any results above the MAV. The maximum arsenic concentrations in the SoE monitoring bores are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7: Maximum dissolved arsenic concentrations. The map also shows Otago’s FMUs and rohe (in purple) and aquifers (teal). The aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.




The spatial distribution of maximum arsenic concentrations shows that exceedances of the MAV were only measured in seven SOE monitoring bores across Otago. Of these, five are located in the Upper Clutha/Wakatipu Basin area (i.e. the Glenorchy Groundwater Management Zone (GWMZ), Kingston GWMZ, and the Wakatipu Basin Ladies Mile aquifer). These areas are underlain by schist lithology, known to contain arsenic which is common in groundwater of the Wanaka/Queenstown/Central Otago areas (Bloomberg *et al.*, 2019). The other two bores with elevated arsenic concentrations are located in the Strath Taieri (H43/0132) and the Inch Clutha (H46/0144) aquifers. The Strath Taieri bore had only one exceedance of the MAV in March 2009, with all other samples being two to three orders of magnitude lower the MAV. It is therefore may be an outlier result related to sampling or analytical errors. The bore in the Inch Clutha aquifer has persistently elevated arsenic concentrations although the source is unknown, potentially attributable to peat deposit geochemistry in the delta. The bore is not used for drinking water. No arsenic above the MAV was detected in any bores in the North Otago, Dunedin & Coast, or Catlins FMUs, Figure 7. Nevertheless, due to the abundance of arsenic containing schist lithology, particularly in the Upper Clutha, and high spatial variability of arsenic in groundwater, it is strongly recommended that bore owners regularly test their bore water in an accredited laboratory. As arsenic concentrations can be impacted by fluctuations in groundwater levels (e.g. MoH, 2018), it is further recommended that testing is also conducted during different seasons.

4.1.1.3 Nitrate nitrogen

Nitrate ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$) is a dissolved, inorganic form of nitrogen (N), a key nutrient required for the growth of plants and algae. Dissolved nitrogen is the most readily available nutrient for uptake by plants, hence it is an important source of fertiliser. However, excess nitrate can adversely impact water quality and also lead to health concerns. The primary health concern regarding nitrate in drinking water is the formation of methemoglobinemia, or “blue baby syndrome”, which impedes oxygen transport around the body in infants (MoH, 2017). The DWSNZ MAV for nitrate nitrogen is 11.3mg/L – N. Using groundwater dating techniques, the baseline nitrate concentration for natural groundwater (i.e. groundwater unimpacted by anthropogenic activity) in New Zealand was identified at around 0.25mg/L $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$. The threshold for groundwater impacted by low intensity agriculture is between 0.25 and 2.5mg/L $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$. Groundwater with nitrate concentrations >2.5mg/L $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ are considered impacted by high intensity agriculture (Morgenstern and Daughney, 2012).


Nitrate concentrations from Otago’s FMUs are shown in Figure 8. This shows that the North Otago FMU has the highest median groundwater concentrations, with many samples exceeding the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L. The median nitrate concentrations in the Clutha (0.99mg/L) and Taieri (1.5mg/L) FMUs are similar, with their values emplaced within the threshold for low intensity landuse (Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012). However, the Clutha FMU also has a relatively large number of outliers. Median nitrate concentrations in the Dunedin & Coast and the Catlins FMUs are lower than the threshold for natural groundwater (0.25mg/L, Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012) although the Catlins FMU has several outliers. However, the results from these two FMU are skewed due to each only has one SoE monitoring bore. The aforementioned low nitrate concentrations can also be attributed to denitrification, which is a function of reduction-oxidation reactions. However, denitrification potential is beyond the scope of this report.

Figure 8: Nitrate concentrations from the Otago FMUs




The median nitrate concentrations for the different Otago aquifers are shown in Figure 9. This indicates that there are no aquifers with a median value that exceeds the DWSNZ MAV. However, the median nitrate concentration in the North Otago Volcanic Aquifer (NOVA) and the Luggate GWMZ exceeds 50% of the MAV. There are also aquifers where the median groundwater concentration is above the natural/low intensity use baseline, notably in the Lower Clutha (Pomahaka Alluvial Aquifer, Etrick Basin, and Roxburgh Basin) and North Otago (Lower Waitaki Plains and the Kakanui-Kauru Alluvium Aquifers). These results, in addition to the aforementioned high median concentration in the NOVA, highlight the elevated nitrate concerns in the North Otago FMU.

Figure 9: Median nitrate concentrations for Otago aquifers



In addition to the assessment of aquifer median concentration, specific bores with elevated nitrate were also considered, Figure 10. An analysis of maximum nitrate concentration indicates that concentrations elevated above the MAV were measured in several bores. Four of these bores are in the North Otago FMU (J41/0008 [located in the NOVA] and J42/0126, J42/0126, and J41/0771 [in the Kakanui-Kauru Alluvial Aquifer]). The remaining bore is in the Pomahaka Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer in the Lower Clutha rohe (G45/0225). These results, in addition to the aforementioned high median concentration in the NOVA, highlight the elevated nitrate concerns in the North Otago and the Lower Clutha.

Figure 10: Median groundwater nitrate concentrations in Otago SoE bores. The map also shows Otago’s FMUs and rohe (in purple) and aquifers (teal). The aquifer names are shown in Figure 1




In addition to the spatial analysis of nitrate concentrations, median concentrations were also assessed against bore depth, Figure 11. The concentration was banded according to the proportion of the DWSNZ MAV (11.3mg/L):

- Natural/low intensity land use concentration (<2.50mg/L);
- ½ of the MAV (2.50 – 5.50mg/L);
- ½ MAV - MAV (5.50 – 11.30mg/L); and
- Concentrations above the MAV (>11.30mg/L).

The data shows that the median concentrations in over 50% of the samples for most depth categories are at or below the natural concentration/low intensity landuse baseline. It also shows that the deeper bores have lower nitrate concentrations, with the highest nitrate concentrations in bores >40m deep lower than ½ of the MAV. However, the relationship between bore depth and median nitrate concentrations is not very clear, with bores at depths between 10 and 40m having higher median nitrate concentrations and higher number of bores with elevated concentrations than the shallowest bores (<10m deep). These are likely due to differences in geology, land use practice, and bore security between the different sites.

Figure 11: Analysis of median nitrate concentration and bore depth



In summary, groundwater nitrate concentration data shows that none of the aquifers in Otago has a median nitrate concentration above the DWSNZ MAV. However, it has also highlighted a variable degree of nitrate contamination in relation to the MAV, with concentrations in some aquifers, notably in North Otago and the Lower Clutha, approaching the MAV. Conversely, the median nitrate concentrations in many aquifers suggest low impact from landuse. The variability was also illustrated on the analysis of nitrate concentration versus bore depth, with bores between 10 and 40m having the highest median concentrations. This may be related to variability in geology, landuse, and bore security between the different sites.

4.1.2 Ecosystem health

4.1.2.1 Overview

In addition to assessing groundwater quality against the DWSNZ (Section 4.1.1), groundwater quality also impacts surface water quality and ecosystem health, particularly for groundwater-fed surface water systems. The main impacts are stimulation of periphyton growth and toxicity in surface water ecosystems, which are caused by elevated groundwater concentration of nutrients (nitrate nitrogen, Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus [DRP], and ammoniacal nitrogen [ammonia]). Nitrite-nitrate nitrogen (NNN, which is mainly composed of nitrate) and DRP are dissolved inorganic forms of the nutrients nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), which are the two key nutrients required for the growth of aquatic plants and algae. Although there are numerous other forms of N and P, these dissolved forms are most readily available for uptake by plants, hence they are the most relevant for assessing nuisance growth in rivers. The terms Total Nitrogen (TN) and Total Phosphorus (TP) refer to the summed total of all forms of N and P in a sample and are more relevant when assessing lake water quality. Additionally, nitrate and ammonia at sufficiently elevated concentrations also have toxic effects on aquatic biota, with this effect independent of their significance as plant nutrients (ORC, 2017).

This was done using nitrate, DRP, and ammonia concentrations from shallow SoE bores (<20m depth), following a similar approach to ECan (2018). The details of the bores are provided in the relevant sections of the report. These nutrient concentrations were then compared against the limits in Schedule 15 of ORC's Regional Plan: Water (2004) and standards in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management [NPS-FM] (Ministry for the Environment [MfE], 2017). However, although groundwater nutrient loads undoubtedly affect surface water bodies, the mixing and dilution of inflowing nutrient loads from groundwater with surface water profoundly changes the resultant nutrient concentrations, which can also change due to biological transformations. These processes weaken the linkage between groundwater-measured nutrient concentrations and the concentrations to which aquatic ecosystems are exposed. It is acknowledged that Schedule 15 and the NPS-FM, including the NOF bands were developed for surface water and therefore are not an entirely appropriate set of ecosystem health guidelines with which to assess groundwater. But, there has yet to be a set guideline developed for groundwater with ecosystem health objectives, hence, in the absence of groundwater-specific water quality guidelines, this report has made reference to both surface water ecosystem health criteria. It is also important to note some of the limitations of this comparison:

- Nutrient concentrations in groundwater are generally higher than those in surface water, for which the standards apply
- Surface water quality standards are assessed against various statistics for nutrient concentration (i.e. median, 80th and 95th percentiles). These assessments also depend on variables such as flow thresholds (i.e. samples collected at or below median flow) and river type classification which are not directly comparable with the SoE groundwater quality samples.

Due to all these factors, this assessment provides an overview, rather than a direct comparison, of the potential impacts of groundwater quality on surface water ecosystems.

4.1.2.2 Otago Water Plan Schedule 15

The ORC Regional Plan: Water (RPW) Rural Water Quality plan change (PC6A) was approved in March 2014 and provided measures for controlling contaminants and sediment coming off rural properties into waterways from runoff, leaching, and drains. Schedule 15 of the RPW lists the contaminant concentration limits and targets for good quality surface water in Otago, as required by the NPS-FM (MfE, 2020). The limits are provided in Table 15.2 of the Water Plan, a copy of which is shown in Table 2. The location of the bores (in relation to the groups) is shown in Figure 12.


Many of the guideline values for Schedule 15 were obtained from the Australia New Zealand Environmental Conservation Council (ANZECC, 2000, revised 2018) and general water quality guidelines. Environmental guidelines can be used to describe the general state of a natural resource even though these guidelines may not be directly applicable in a regulatory context. The ANZECC (2000, 2018) guidelines are used to indicate “baseline” (unaffected) or “pseudo-baseline” (lightly impacted) conditions for catchments. The trigger values are based on water quality conditions collected from sites at NIWA’s National River Water Quality Monitoring Network [NRWQMN] (Davies-Colley, 2000). The trigger values relate to the 80th-20th percentile values for the data range obtained from the NRWQMN. The numerical limits provided in Schedule 15 (Table 2) were partly based on the ANZECC (2000) guidelines. These limits are based on nutrient thresholds for periphyton growth. However, in contrast to ANZECC’s approach of using the median values at all flows, the Schedule 15 limits are based on the 80th percentile when flows are at or below median flow for the reference flow site.

The ANZECC (2000) guidelines distinguish between lowland and upland rivers. The guidelines were updated in 2018, where the thresholds under the revised version relate to the River Environment Classification (REC), which account for a range of natural factors that influence water quality such as climate, topography, and geology (McDowell *et al.*, 2013). The location of the SoE bores in relation to the receiving water groups in Schedule 15 is shown in Figure 12.

Table 2: Receiving water numerical standards by surface water catchment group (based on the five year 80th percentile when flows are at or below median flow.

Schedule 15 ³	NNN (mg/L)	DRP (mg/L)	NH ₄ -N (mg/L)	<i>E. coli</i> (CFU/100 ml)	Turbidity NTU	TN (mg/L)	TP (mg/L)
Group 1	0.444	0.026	0.10	260	5		
Group 2	0.075	0.010	0.10	260	5		
Group 3	0.075	0.005	0.01	50	3		
Group 4			0.10	126	5	0.55	0.033
Group 5			0.01	10	3	0.10	0.005

Figure 12: Location of shallow monitoring bores and their groups under Schedule 15



The assessment of nutrient concentrations from shallow SoE bores against the Schedule 15 limits are shown in Table 3. The results indicate poor compliance with the Schedule 15 limits for nitrate and DRP, with nitrate concentrations in two bores out of five in Group 1 substantially exceeding the 80th percentile nitrate limit of 0.444mg/L. The DRP results also showed poor compliance, with three bores out of five exceeding the Schedule 15 limit of 0.026mg/L. Conversely, the limits for ammonia, of 0.10mg/L, were not exceeded in any of the bores. Poor compliance results were also observed in Group 2, with nitrate concentrations in all bores exceeding the RPW limit of 0.075mg/L. DRP compliance was also poor, with 80th percentile DRP concentration in only three out of 23 bores below the RPW limit of 0.010mg/L. Similar to Group 1, the compliance with the ammonia limits were better, with concentrations in only two bores exceeding the RPW limit of 0.01mg/L. There were only two bores located in Group 3. The compliance results for this group show that DRP concentrations in both bores exceeded the RPW limits. The results for nitrate and ammonia show that concentrations in one bore were above the RPW limits whilst those in the other were below. Further details are provided in the individual FMU sections.

Table 3: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables. The orange cells show where the 80th percentile exceeds the Schedule 15 limit.

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Rohe	Aquifer	0.444	0.026	0.10
H46/0118	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Inch Clutha	0.282	0.270	0.013
G44/0127	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Pomahaka Alluvial	5.020	0.015	0.010
G44/0136	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Pomahaka	0.050	0.099	0.016
H45/0314	Dunedin & Coast		Tokomairiro	0.006	0.152	0.072
G43/0224b	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	8.340	0.005	0.005
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.010	0.100
G42/0290	Clutha	Manuhereki ia	Manuhereki Claybound	2.460	0.020	0.010
J41/0008	N. Otago		NOVA	27.660	0.057	0.010
J41/0317	N. Otago		Lower Waitaki	5.800	0.032	0.010
J43/0006	N. Otago		Shag	1.116	0.011	0.010
G40/0175	Clutha	Dunstan	Tarras	0.938	0.018	0.010
F41/0162	Clutha	Dunstan	Lowburn	0.410	0.008	0.010
I44/0519	Taieri		Lower Taieri	2.900	0.006	0.102
G41/0254	Clutha	Manuhereki ia	Manuhereki ia	3.100	0.017	0.010
J42/0126	N. Otago		Kakanui (township)	21.600	0.026	0.010
G43/0009	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	4.960	0.013	0.010
F41/0203	Clutha	Dunstan	Morven Ferry (Wakatipu)	3.200	0.005	0.010
J41/0762	N. Otago		Kakanui	4.940	0.011	0.016
J41/0764	N. Otago		Kakanui	3.400	0.011	0.006
Kakanui bore 10	N. Otago		Kakanui	11.000	0.010	0.012
G46/0152	Clutha	Manuhereki ia	Manuhereki Alluvium	1.216	0.027	0.005
H42/0213	Taieri		Maniototo Tertiary	0.109	0.143	0.386
H42/0214	Taieri		Maniototo Tertiary	4.320	0.047	0.007
F41/0438	Clutha	Dunstan	Lake Hayes	0.212	0.004	0.012

J41/0442	N. Otago		Lower Waitaki	1.072	0.005	0.005
J41/0586	N. Otago		Lower Waitaki	7.280	0.009	0.005
G40/0411	Clutha	Dunstan	Luggate	8.340	0.005	0.006
G43/0072	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Roxburgh	5.320	0.010	0.011
H43/0132	Taieri		Strath Taieri	1.600	0.048	0.010
Group 3 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.005	0.01
F42/0113	Clutha	Upper Lakes	Kingston	0.156	0.100	0.282
G40/0367	Clutha	Upper Lakes	Hawea Flat	1.642	0.007	0.006

4.1.2.3 National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPS-FM [2017])

The NPS-FM came into effect in August 2014. It includes a National Objectives Framework (NOF) aimed at providing “an approach to establish freshwater objectives and national values and any other values that:

- a) Is nationally consistent
- b) Recognises regional and local circumstances (Objective CA1).

The NPS-FM was amended in 2017 and again in 2020. The NOF includes various tables of attribute and targets, where water quality parameters are categorised in Bands A-D (with Band A representing the highest water quality and D being the lowest). These attributes are taken from the proposed NPS-FM (2019) amendments, Table 4. The NOF attributes include “National Bottom Lines” – thresholds of poor water quality attributes that good management should prevent waterways from reaching, i.e. the minimum water quality level that all water bodies must achieve. The bottom line is the boundary between bands C and D, with results in Band D situated below the national bottom line. However, it is important to note that the NPS-FM’s nitrate and ammonia concentrations thresholds are for protecting ecosystem health and life supporting capacity against toxicity effects, which substantially exceed the nutrient concentrations which stimulate algal growth and eutrophication effects (on which the ANZECC/RPW Schedule 15 limits are based). Due to these different issues (i.e. periphyton growth versus toxicity) the RPW limits are substantially more stringent than the NPS-FM (i.e. sites can be emplaced in Band A under the NPS-FM and fail to comply with the Schedule 15 limits).

Table 4: NPS-FM attributes and NOF bands for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia (from MfE, 2020)

Nitrate (Toxicity)		
Note: This attribute measures the toxic effects of nitrate, not the trophic state. Where other attributes measure trophic state, for example periphyton, freshwater objectives, limits and/or methods for those attributes will be more stringent.		
Band & Description	Annual Median (mg/L)	Annual 95th percentile
A High conservation value system. Unlikely to be effects even on sensitive species.	≤1.0	≤1.5
B Some growth effect on up to 5% of species.	>1.0 and ≤2.4	>1.5 and ≤3.5
National Bottom Line	2.40	3.50
C Growth effects on up to 20% of species (mainly sensitive species such as fish). No acute effects.	>2.4 and ≤6.9	>3.5 and ≤9.8
D Impacts on growth of multiple species, and starts approaching acute impact level (i.e. risk of death) for sensitive species at higher concentrations (>20 mg/L).	>6.9	>9.8
DRP Numeric attribute state must be derived from the rolling median of monthly monitoring over five years.		
A Ecological communities and ecosystem processes are similar to those of natural reference conditions. No adverse effects attributable to DRP enrichment are expected.	≤ 0.006	≤ 0.021
B Ecological communities are slightly impacted by minor DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. If other conditions also favour eutrophication, sensitive ecosystems may experience additional algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macroinvertebrate taxa, and higher respiration and decay rates.	> 0.006 and ≤0.010	> 0.021 and ≤0.030
C Ecological communities are impacted by moderate DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. If other conditions also favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment may cause increased algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macro-invertebrate & fish taxa, and high rates of respiration and decay.	> 0.010 and ≤ 0.018	> 0.030 and ≤ 0.054
D Ecological communities impacted by substantial DRP elevation above natural reference conditions. In combination with other conditions favouring eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in macroinvertebrate and fish communities, as taxa sensitive to hypoxia are lost.	>0.018	>0.054
Ammonia (toxicity) Numeric attribute state is based on pH 8 and temperature of 20°C. Compliance with the numeric attribute states should be undertaken after pH adjustment		

	Annual Median (mg/L)	Annual Maximum (mg/L)
A 99% species protection level: No observed effect on any species tested	≤0.03	≤0.05
B 95% species protection level: Starts impacting occasionally on the 5% most sensitive species	>0.03 and ≤0.24	>0.05 and ≤0.40
National Bottom Line	0.24	0.40
C 80% species protection level: Starts impacting regularly on the 20% most sensitive species (reduced survival of most sensitive species)	>0.24 and ≤1.30	>0.40 and ≤2.20
D Starts approaching acute impact level (i.e. risk of death) for sensitive species.	>1.30	>2.20

4.2 The Clutha FMU

4.2.1 Background information

The Clutha/Mata-Au is the largest FMU in Otago and encompasses the catchment of the Clutha/ Mata-Au River. The Clutha/Mata-Au River originates in the headwaters of lakes Wakatipu, Wanaka and Hawea and drains much of the Otago region with a total catchment area of 21,022 km². The Clutha/Mata-Au is the second longest river in New Zealand and the longest river in the South Island, flowing for a total distance of 322 km from its uppermost point in the headwaters of the Makarora River to its mouth downstream of Balclutha. The Clutha River has a mean annual flow of 575 m³/s, with 75% of the total flow measured at Balclutha comes from the combined outflows of lakes Wakatipu, Wanaka and Hawea (Ozanne, 2012a).

The Upper Clutha reporting region encapsulates the following catchments (from upstream to downstream by confluence): the Makarora (area of 745 km²), Matukituki (801 km²), Hunter (1473 km²), Cardrona (347 km²), Luggate Creek (123 km²), Lindis (1039 km²), Dart (631 km²), Rees (405 km²), Shotover (1091 km²) and Mill Creek (14 km²). The iconic Southern Great Lakes of Wakatipu, Wanaka and Hawea are central to the region. Of these larger lakes, Wanaka and Wakatipu are not impounded by dams whilst Hawea is regulated. The Clutha and its principal tributary, the Kawarau River, pass through gorges, two of which are dammed for hydro-electricity generation forming lakes Dunstan and Roxburgh. The headwaters of the catchment are predominantly located in rugged, steep terrain with the highest point, Mt. Aspiring, reaching 3,027m. Numerous headwater streams such as the Dart and Matukituki Rivers originate along the eastern boundary of the Southern Alps and are fed by permanent glaciers (ORC, 2017).

The Clutha FMU and catchment is divided into five rohes: the headwaters of Lakes Wakatipu, Wanaka and Hawea in the north (Upper Lakes rohe), the Upper Clutha River catchment (Dunstan Rohe), the Manuherekia Rohe, the Clutha catchment between the Clyde and Roxburgh Dams (Roxburgh Rohe) and the area between Roxburgh Dam and the Clutha mouth (Lower Clutha Rohe). The FMU also includes the catchments for major tributaries of the Clutha

such as the Pomahaka and the Manuherehia Rivers. The main land use in the central part is horticulture/viticulture. The southern part is dominated by sheep/beef and dairy farming (ORC, 2017).

4.2.2 Summary of groundwater quality results

The median results for the DWSNZ and ecosystem health parameters from the Clutha FMU are summarised in Table 5. The compliance with the RPW Schedule 15 and NPS-FM for the Clutha FMU are provided in Table 6 and Table 7. Groundwater quality results from the Clutha FMU show a large variability, with good groundwater quality in some rohe (i.e. the Upper Lakes, Dunstan) and potentially degraded quality in others, particularly the Lower Clutha. The main issues are elevated *E. coli* and dissolved arsenic concentrations in some bores, with elevated nutrient concentrations also common in many bores.

The results from the Upper Lakes and Dunstan rohes generally show compliance with the DWSNZ, although elevated *E. coli* were measured in some bores. Elevated dissolved arsenic concentrations were also measured in some bores, while their source is likely to be the prevalent schist lithology, i.e. geological, rather than anthropogenic. Nutrient concentrations are generally below the DWSNZ for nitrate. However, nutrient concentration usually exceeds the RPW and NPS limits, with particularly high DRP and nitrate concentrations in Kingston and Glenorchy. The elevated nutrient concentrations in these rapidly developing areas are likely due to high septic tanks density, shallow bores, and poor borehead security. These can potentially adversely impact water quality in the northern/southern arm of Lake Wakatipu, although groundwater (and nutrient) fluxes into the Lake are likely to be substantially lower than the surface water inflows.

Groundwater quality in the Manuherehia rohe is generally fair although *E. coli* exceedances were measured in most monitoring bores, albeit at low counts. Nitrate concentrations are below the DWSNZ MAV in all monitoring bores, with concentrations in the Manuherehia Alluvium Aquifer and Manuherehia Claybound Aquifer monitoring bores generally near the reference conditions for low intensity landuse, i.e. <2.5mg/L (Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012). However, an increasing trend has been observed in the Manuherehia GWMZ monitoring bore, where concentrations exceed $\frac{1}{2}$ of the MAV for nitrate. No elevated arsenic concentrations were measured in any of the monitoring bores in the rohe. In relation to potential impacts on ecosystem health, the results from the shallow monitoring bores suggest elevated nitrate and DRP, with concentrations exceeding the Schedule 15 limits and DRP concentrations for the Manuherehia Alluvium bore below the National Bottom Line. This suggests that interaction of groundwater from these aquifers with surface water could adversely impact surface water quality in the absence of significant dilution of inflowing groundwater.

Groundwater quality results from the Lower Clutha rohe indicate some issues, with elevated *E. coli* and nitrate concentrations in most bores, notably in the Ettrick and Clydevale basins. One of the bores in the Inch Clutha gravel aquifer also has elevated arsenic concentrations above the MAV. The results also show issues with elevated nutrient concentrations, some of which are due to shallow monitoring bores and poor bore security. These results also support the reported poor surface water quality results from this area (ORC, 2017).

Table 5: median results for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Clutha FMU

Arsenic Dissolved (mg/L)	0.001
E-Coli MPN (MPN/100mL)	0.500
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	1.080
Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.990
Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.005
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	0.008

Table 6: NPS-FM NOF comparison results for the Clutha FMU

Bore no.	Rohe	Nitrate concentration		NOF Band	
		Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
F41/0203	Dunstan	1.1	5.9	B	C
G40/0175	Dunstan	0.86	1.0395	A	A
F41/0162	Dunstan	0.33	0.47	A	A
F41/0438	Dunstan	0.113	0.2805	A	A
G40/0411	Dunstan	7.75	9.45	D	C
G44/0127	Lower Clutha	4.1	5.9455	C	C
G44/0136	Lower Clutha	0.005	0.05	A	A
G43/0009	Lower Clutha	4.6	5.52	C	C
G43/0224b	Lower Clutha	7.9	8.66	D	C

Bore number	Rohe	DRP		NOF Band	
		Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
F41/0203	Dunstan	0.0025	0.0066	A	A
G40/0175	Dunstan	0.014	0.02195	C	B
F41/0162	Dunstan	0.00325	0.01095	A	A
F41/0438	Dunstan	0.002	0.005	A	A
G40/0411	Dunstan	0.023	0.006	D	A
G44/0127	Lower Clutha	0.011	0.0325	C	C
G44/0136	Lower Clutha	0.019	0.1146	D	D
G43/0009	Lower Clutha	0.009	0.0198	B	A
G43/0224b	Lower Clutha	0.002	0.03195	A	C
G43/0072	Lower Clutha	0.006	0.012	B	A
G42/0290	Manuherekia	0.017	0.024	C	B
G41/0254	Manuherekia	0.014	0.021	C	B
G46/0152	Manuherekia	0.0235	0.02905	D	B
F42/0113	Upper Lakes	0.0875	0.11	D	D
G40/0367	Upper Lakes	0.0048	0.0079	A	A
G43/0072	Lower Clutha	5	5.5	C	C
G42/0290	Manuherekia	2.3	2.6	B	B
G41/0254	Manuherekia	1.6	4.4	B	C
G46/0152	Manuherekia	1.1	1.341	B	A
F42/0113	Upper Lakes	0.005	0.097	A	A
G40/0367	Upper Lakes	1.4	1.853	B	B
		Ammoniacal nitrogen	NOF Band		
Bore number	Rohe	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Max.
F41/0203	Dunstan	0.005	0.1	A	B
G40/0175	Dunstan	0.005	0.018	A	A
F41/0162	Dunstan	0.005	0.021	A	A
F41/0438	Dunstan	0.0025	0.074	A	B
G40/0411	Dunstan	0.0025	0.073	A	B
G44/0127	Lower Clutha	0.005	0.056	A	B
G44/0136	Lower Clutha	0.012	0.023	A	A

G43/0009	Lower Clutha	0.005	0.38	A	B
G43/0224b	Lower Clutha	0.0025	0.062	A	B
G43/0072	Lower Clutha	0.0025	0.113	A	B
G42/0290	Manuherehia	0.005	0.13	A	B
G41/0254	Manuherehia	0.005	0.01	A	A
G46/0152	Manuherehia	0.0025	0.017	A	A
F42/0113	Upper Lakes	0.255	0.36	C	B
G40/0367	Upper Lakes	0.0025	0.44	A	C

Table 7: Schedule 15 comparison results for the Clutha FMU for 80th percentile for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia

Bore number			Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	Rohe	Aquifer	0.444	0.026	0.10
H46/0118	Lower Clutha	Inch Clutha	0.282	0.270	0.013
G44/0127	Lower Clutha	Pomahaka Alluvial	5.020	0.015	0.010
G44/0136	Lower Clutha	Pomahaka	0.050	0.099	0.016
G43/0224b	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	8.340	0.005	0.005
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)			0.075	0.010	0.100
G42/0290	Manuhereki a	Manuherekia Claybound	2.460	0.020	0.010
G40/0175	Dunstan	Tarras	0.938	0.018	0.010
F41/0162	Dunstan	Lowburn	0.410	0.008	0.010
G41/0254	Manuhereki a	Manuherekia	3.100	0.017	0.010
G43/0009	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	4.960	0.013	0.010
F41/0203	Dunstan	Morven Ferry (Wakatipu)	3.200	0.005	0.010
G46/0152	Manuhereki a	Manuherekia Alluvium	1.216	0.027	0.005
F41/0438	Dunstan	Lake Hayes	0.212	0.004	0.012
G40/0411	Dunstan	Luggate	8.340	0.0246	0.006
G43/0072	Lower Clutha	Roxburgh	5.320	0.010	0.011
Group 3 Sched. 15 limit			0.075	0.005	0.01
F42/0113	Upper Lakes	Kingston	0.050	0.100	0.282
G40/0367	Upper Lakes	Upper Lakes	1.642	0.007	0.006

4.2.3 The Upper Lakes Rohe

The Upper Clutha region covers an area of around 11,970km², which represents around 57% of the Clutha catchment. Around 85% of the region is composed of steep terrain typical of the mountain ranges which surround the lakes. The River Environment Classification (REC) framework indicates that the rohe contains a broad range of river types, of which a significant total length is classified as cool/wet (mean annual rainfall of 500-1,000mm/year) or cool/extremely wet (mean annual rainfall >1,500mm). The Upper Clutha rohe contains the highest percentage of these high yielding river types in Otago. Additional significant river types within the Upper Clutha include glacial-fed and lake-fed rivers. The predominant land cover throughout the Upper Clutha is native vegetation followed by low producing grassland. A high proportion of the native cover in the upper catchments of the large lakes experiences high to very high rainfall and snowfall, providing high volume of exceptional quality water from pristine catchments which feed the lakes (ORC, 2017).

The Upper Lakes Rohe includes 12 SoE monitoring bores in three aquifers/GWMZ: Hawea Flat, Kingston, and Glenorchy (Figure 13). These areas experience rapid expansion and urban development which are likely to increase the pressure on groundwater quality/quantity in these areas.

Figure 13: Location of the Upper Lakes Rohe (red outline), aquifers (teal) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots). Aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.



The assessment of groundwater quality data from the Upper Lakes bores against the DWSNZ generally suggests good water quality, although some local issues are also identified. These include substantial exceedances of the dissolved arsenic MAV in both Glenorchy and Kingston and some E. coli exceedances in these GWMZ, although the E. coli counts were relatively low. In contrast to that, the bores in Hawea did not exceed the E. Coli, dissolved arsenic, or nitrate nitrogen MAVs. The elevated arsenic concentrations in Glenorchy and Kingston are likely due to the prevalent schist lithology. The E. coli exceedances are likely due to the high density of septic tanks in the townships and potentially to poor borehead security and/or shallow bores. Despite of these results, none of the monitoring bores is used for drinking. Furthermore, Glenorchy is served by a reticulated town supply, hence the use of groundwater, and the associated potential risk, is lower.

Table 8: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Upper Lakes rohe

Aquifer	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)	Arsenic Dissolved (mg/L)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	E-Coli MPN (MPN/100mL)	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)
Glenorchy GW Management Zone	0.203	0.089	0.002	0.500	0.080
Hawea Flat	0.003	0.001	0.006	0.500	0.570
Kingston GW Management Zone	0.255	0.010	0.088	0.500	0.005

Groundwater quality results from the Upper Lakes rohe were then assessed for ecosystem health impacts based on the methodology described in section 4.1.2. Two of the bores in the Upper Lakes FMU are shallower than the 20m threshold for ecosystem health assessment. These bores are located in the Kingston GWMZ (F42/0113) and Hawea Flat (G40/0367). The bores are located in Group 3 of Schedule 15, with the Kingston and Glenorchy bores also located very close to Lake Wakatipu, which is in Group 5. The 80th percentile values for the bores and their compliance with Schedule 15 are provided in Table 9. Although the bores in the Glenorchy GWMZ are also shallower than 20m, their monitoring only began in October 2019, hence, their results were not assessed. Nevertheless, the available data still provides some useful insights.

The results show that the 80th percentile for DRP in both bores exceeded the Schedule 15 limits for Group 3 (Table 9). Nitrate concentrations in Hawea Flat substantially exceeded the limit although ammonia was below it. The results from Kingston were also calculated for the 80th percentile for Total Nitrogen (TN), and Total Phosphorus (TP), which are used in lake water quality assessment. The TN and TP concentrations substantially exceed the limits for Group 5 (Table 9). This is likely due to the high density of septic tanks in the settlement. These elevated nutrient concentrations can potentially adversely impact the water quality in Lake Wakatipu. However, this impact on the lake is unknown due to lack of monitoring in these parts of the lake. It is also likely that groundwater flow contributions are much lower than surface water,

hence potential nutrient contribution from groundwater flow will be diluted by the higher volumes of surface water.

Table 9: Upper Lakes rohe 80th percentile concentrations (mg/L) for water quality variables and comparison with Schedule 15 limits

Bore number		Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
	Sched. 15 Group 3 limit (mg/L)	0.075	0.005	0.01
F42/0113	Kingston	0.050	0.100	0.282
G40/0367	Hawea Flat	1.642	0.007	0.006
		Total Nitrogen	Total Phosphorus	
	Group 5 limit (mg/L)	0.10	0.005	
F42/0113	Kingston	0.4	0.092	

Nutrient concentrations in the shallow bores were then assessed against the NPS-FM NOF. It is important to note that the NOF nitrate and ammonia attributes focus on ecosystem health and life supporting capacity by providing protection against toxicity impacts, whilst the Schedule 15 limits are based on periphyton growth which are more stringent. Therefore, as the NPS-FM NOF limits substantially exceed those of the RPW and the ANZECC (2000, 2018), sites can be placed in the “A” NOF band and fail to comply with the RPW limits.

The summary of NOF compliance for the Upper Clutha rohe is provided in Table 10. For nitrate, the bore in the Kingston GWMZ is within Band A for both median and 95th percentiles. For a site classified in Band A there are “unlikely to be [toxicity] effects even for sensitive species (MfE, 2020)”, indicating that at the current concentration there is a high level of protection against nitrate toxicity. The Hawea Flat bore (G40/0367) nitrate concentration is placed in Band B for both the median and 95th percentile. This band reflects an environment that may have “some growth effect on up to 5% of species”, which provides a good level of protection with some minor effects on growth rate of the most sensitive species (Hickey, 2013).

The assessment against the ammonia NOF bands indicate potential ecosystem issues, with the median and maximum concentrations in Kingston classified in Bands C and B, respectively. Band C provides protection for 80% of species, starting to impact regularly on the 20% of most sensitive species (MfE, 2020). The concentrations in the Hawea Flat bore are in Band A for the median and C for the maximum. Both the A and B bands provide a good level of protection against toxicity effects and in the case of nitrate, it is highly unlikely that there would be any chronic toxicity effects on aquatic species present at these sites. However, the ammonia concentrations in Hawea Flat indicate a potential concern.

The DRP concentrations indicate serious potential issues in Kingston, with both median and the 95th percentile in Band D, where ecological communities are impacted by substantial DRP concentrations, elevated above natural reference conditions. In addition to other conditions

that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in macroinvertebrate and fish communities, as taxa sensitive to hypoxia is lost (MfE, 2020). Regarding the NOF standard for lakes, which is assessed using median Total Phosphorus concentration. The median TP concentration for the Kingston bore is 0.0895mg/L, equivalent to 89.5mg/m³. This will emplace the site substantially below the TP National Bottom Line of >50mg/m³ (MfE, 2020). Again, these impacts are currently unknown and are likely to be diluted due to the relatively small proportion of groundwater contribution to the lake (in comparison to surface water).

Table 10: NPS-FM NOF comparison for the Upper Clutha rohe

		Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile	
F42/0113	0.005	0.097	A	A	
G40/0367	1.4	1.853	B	B	
		DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile	
F42/0113	0.0875	0.11	D	D	
G40/0367	0.0048	0.0079	A	A	
		Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Maximum	
F42/0113	0.255	0.36	C	B	
G40/0367	0.0025	0.44	A	C	

4.2.3.1 The Hawea Basin

4.2.3.1.1 Aquifer information

The Hawea Basin aquifer consists of unconsolidated deposits of fluvial (i.e. gravels) and glacial (moraine and till) origin. The unconsolidated strata overlie low permeability basement strata with an estimated thickness of between 50 and >100m thick (Heller, 2003).

The basin was divided into several separate domains based on topography and surface water boundaries. Groundwater flow in the aquifer is driven by seepage from Lake Hawea alongside runoff and land surface recharge. The overall groundwater flow direction in the aquifer is to the southwest, with groundwater eventually discharging into the Clutha River, to which the aquifer is well connected. The depth to groundwater varies across the aquifer, with shallower depths in the northern Hawea Flats (5-10m) and increasing depth to the south (>20m deep), where the area is dominated by terraces (ORC, 2012b).

The main groundwater use in the area is irrigation with water also being used for domestic and stock water supply. In addition to these uses, groundwater is also important for the two regionally-significant wetlands at Campbells Reserve and Butterfield wetland. Bores in the Hawea Flats area are shallow, hence their supply can be vulnerable to over abstraction and contamination. There is a proposed limit to abstraction volume from the aquifer in order to protect the wetlands and shallow bores in the area (ORC, 2012b). The Hawea basin was considered as a high priority area for risk from septic tank leachate (high development) although some of it is on a reticulated supply.

4.2.3.1.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results

There are three monitoring bores within the Hawea Basin aquifer. A summary of the bore information is provided in Table 11. The monitoring of the bores only began in 2016.

Bore G40/0367 (150mm diameter) was drilled in June 2014. It is located at Loach Road, Hawea, at NZTM E1305561 N5047533. The bore depth is 17.1m. The bore log describes fine/coarse sand with some silt to 8m underlain by coarse gravel with some sand/silt to 14m. There is then coarse sand with some gravel to 16.5m underlain by fine sand to the bore bottom at 17.1m. The bore is screened within the bottom sand layer, at a depth of 16.6 to 17.1m. The Static Water Level (SWL) in the bore ranges between approximately 12.15 and 13.42m below Measuring Point (MP).

Bore G40/0415 (250mm diameter) was drilled in October 2016. The bore is located at Gladstone Road, Hawea, at NZTM E1305860 N5052754. The total bore depth is 30.07m. The bore log describes moist silt to 4.8m underlain by silty gravel to 10.3m. There is then sandy gravel with some silt to the bottom of the bore at 30.9m. The bore is screened at a depth of between 27.0 and 30.0m, within the bottom sandy gravel horizon. The SWL in the bore ranges between 23.05m and 27.35m below MP.

Bore G40/0416 (200mm diameter) was drilled in October 2017. The bore is located on Domain Road, Hawea, NZTM E1302748 N5052499. The total bore depth is 30.5m. The bore log describes sandy gravel with some silt to 6.5m underlain by a large boulder to 10.3m. There is then sandy gravel with minor silt to 17.2m underlain by sandy silt and gravel to 23.2m. There is then silty gravel to the bottom of the bore at 30.5m. The bore is screened at a depth of between 27.65 and 30.5m, within the bottom silty gravel horizon. The SWL in the bore ranges between 24.51 and 27.10m below MP.

These logs suggest that the bores in this area abstract from an unconfined/semi confined sandy gravel/sand aquifer, with finer overlying layers (e.g. silt/silty gravel/silty sands) that potentially provide a degree of confinement. The bores are screened within sandy/silty gravel and sand horizons. These logs also illustrate the heterogeneity of the deposits in the area.

Table 11: summary of monitoring bore information for the Hawea Basin

Bore Number	Depth (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting NZTM	Northing NZTM	Screen Top (m)	Screen bottom (m)	Bore log available
G40/0367	17.1	150	1305561	5047533	16.6	17.1	Yes

G40/0415	30.07	250	1305860	5052754	27.0	30.0	Yes
G40/0416	30.5	200	1302748	5052499	27.65	30.5	Yes

Groundwater E. coli, dissolved arsenic, nitrate, and ammonia concentrations in the Hawea basin are provided in Figure 14,

Figure 15, Figure 16, and

Figure 17. There were no E. Coli, dissolved arsenic, or nitrate nitrogen measurements which exceeded the DWSNZ MAV in any of the bores. The highest nitrate concentrations were measured in bore G40/0367, ranging between approximately 0.5 and 2.0mg/L. There appears to be a seasonal fluctuation in nitrate concentrations, with concentrations falling in summer and increasing in winter. Concentrations in the other bores are lower and less variable temporally, with the lowest concentrations measured in bore no. G40/0415.

Figure 14: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Hawea Basin




Figure 15: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Hawea Basin




Figure 16: Groundwater E. coli count for the Hawea Basin





Figure 17: Groundwater Ammonia concentrations for the Hawea Basin




Regarding ecosystem health, bore No. G40/0367 is the only one shallower than 20m. The Hawea Flat area is located in Group 3 of Schedule 15. The comparison of the 80th percentile against the Schedule 15 limits is shown in Table 12, where concentrations that exceed the limits are in orange. The results show that the 80th percentile for ammonia was below the limit. However, nitrate concentrations (1.642mg/L) substantially exceeded the Schedule 15 limit of 0.075mg/L whilst the DRP concentration of 0.007mg/L slightly exceeded the limit (Figure 18).

Table 12: Hawea Basin 80th percentile concentrations (mg/L) for water quality variables and comparison with Schedule 15 limits

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 3 Sched. 15 limit				0.075	0.005	0.01
G40/0367	Clutha	Upper Lakes	Upper Lakes	1.642	0.007	0.006

Figure 18: Groundwater DRP concentration for the Hawea Basin



4.2.3.2 Kingston Groundwater Management Zone

4.2.3.2.1 Aquifer information

Kingston is situated at the southern end of Lake Wakatipu, around 40km south of Queenstown. The area is underlain by glacial moraine deposits and post glacial alluvial fan and lake shore deposits. The Kingston Stream alluvial fan extends to the lake shore on the southeastern side of the township and several unnamed streams drain the western margin. The Eyre Mountains, situated on the west side of the lake and the Hector Mountains, on the east side, are composed of schist.

The area has been substantially impacted by glacial and post glacial activity. Geomorphological evidence such as substantial preserved river channels situated south of the town, which are now swamp filled, indicates that Lake Wakatipu catchment drained southwards, down the Mataura River, during the last glaciation. These former higher lake shores are indicated by relics of 1-2m high terraces, located within the township, that are aligned parallel with the modern lake shore.

Following a glacial or post glacial lake level high, the receding lake appears to have dropped in stages. In Kingston, and across the Wakatipu deltas, this recession left a gently sloping profile with several low lakeward-sloping terraces. This process has also left a succession of generally low permeability till deposits overlain by better sorted gravelly sand alluvium and lake shore gravels of variable thickness (around 1-3m) which forms the resultant shallow aquifer system (ORC, 1997a).

4.2.3.2.2. Groundwater quality monitoring

There is only one SoE monitoring bore in the Kingston GWMZ, no. F42/0113 (75mm diameter). The bore is situated at Cornwall Street NZTM E1264431 N4971121, approximately 40m south of the shore of Lake Wakatipu. The bore is very shallow (4.4m). There is no information regarding the screen depth in the bore and a log is not available. The SWL in the bore ranges between approximately 0.83 and 1.45m below MP. The bore is solely used for groundwater monitoring. However, due to its shallow depth, poor security, and proximity to the lake it is inadequate for monitoring and should be replaced as soon as practically possible.

The assessment of groundwater quality in the Kingston GWMZ against the DWSNZ is shown in Figure 19,

Figure 20, Figure 21, and Figure 22. The DRP concentrations are shown in Figure 23. The bore previously had high arsenic concentration above the DWSNZ MAV of 0.01mg/L, with maximum concentrations of around 0.017mg/L. Although the concentrations seem to fall, some of the results still exceeded the MAV (Figure 19). These exceedances are likely due to the prevalent schist lithology in this area.

The E. Coli data shows that 35% of the samples exceeded the DWSNZ MAV. However, the measured E. Coli count was generally low, with a maximum of 6MPN/100mL (March 2013). The E. coli exceedances are potentially due to the high density of septic tanks in the township, the bore's shallow depth, and poor borehead security. Furthermore, most of the exceedances were <1.6MPN/100mL and were reported between September 2014 and June 2017, when the analysis was performed by Water Care. Apart from that, the only exceedance was 6 MPN/100mL in March 2013 (

Figure 20). Nitrate concentrations in the bore are low, ranging between 0.001 and 0.57mg/L, substantially below the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L NO₃-N.

Figure 19: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration in the Kingston GWMZ




Figure 20: Groundwater E. coli counting in the Kingston GWMZ




Figure 21: Groundwater nitrate concentration in the Kingston GWMZ




Figure 22: Groundwater ammonia concentration in the Kingston GWMZ





Figure 23: Groundwater DRP concentration in the Kingston GWMZ



Groundwater quality from the Kingston SoE bore was also assessed against Schedule 15 of the RPW and NPS-FM, Table 13. The bore is located in Group 3 of Schedule 15, and is also very close to the southern end of Lake Wakatipu, which is in Group 5. The data indicates that groundwater quality can adversely impact the lake, with the 80th percentile for DRP and ammonia substantially exceeding the Schedule 15 limits for both Groups 3. Due to the proximity to Lake Wakatipu, the 80th percentile for Total Nitrogen (TN) and Total Phosphorus (TP) were also assessed. TN has only been monitored continuously since September 2017, and the 80th percentile is 0.384mg/L, which substantially exceeds the Schedule 15 limit of 0.10mg/L. The data also shows that most of the TN is sourced from ammonia and Total Organic Nitrogen, as the nitrate concentration is low. Total Phosphorus has also been monitored since September 2017, with an 80th percentile concentration of 0.0912mg/L, which substantially exceed the Schedule 15 limit of 0.005mg/L. This indicates that groundwater discharge from the Kingston GWMZ into the lake can adversely impact its water quality. It is likely that the elevated ammonia and DRP concentrations are due to the high density of septic tanks, the bore's shallow depth and its location at the end of the flow path.

Table 13: Kingston GWMZ 80th percentile nutrient concentration comparison against the Schedule 15 limits for of nitrate, DRP, and ammonia concentrations. Values in orange exceed the threshold.

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 3 Sched. 15 limit				0.075	0.005	0.01
F42/0113	Clutha	Upper Lakes	Kingston	0.050	0.100	0.282

4.2.3.3. Glenorchy

4.2.3.3.1 Aquifer information

The township of Glenorchy is situated at the northern end of Lake Wakatipu. It is bordered by Lake Wakatipu to the west, old river terraces at the foot of the Richardson Mountains to the east, the Glenorchy Lagoon and Dart/Rees River delta to the north and the Buckler Burn to the south. The delta comprises of alluvial sands, mud flats, mires, and ponds which include a Department of Conservation (DoC) reserve. The area is also surrounded by schist mountains to the west and east. The mountains contain the mineral scheelite (Ca₂WO₄) which was formerly mined in the catchment.

The town is located on low terraced flats that gradually slope towards the lake. It is partly underlain by peaty soil and sandy gravel. Deposits in the area have high angle bedding surface that dip towards the lake, typical of sub-aqueous fan delta slope deposits. There are also well exposed, high terrace deposits underlain by remains of the Buckler Burn gravel delta which propagated into the lake during early post-glacial periods. The alluvial deposits are mainly composed of sandy gravels with low proportion of fines. (ORC, 1997b).

Some groundwater and surface water quality samples were collected during a study in 1996. The geochemistry results have identified strong connection between surface water of the

Buckler Burn and the aquifer. It also showed low faecal concentration counts and nitrogen concentrations, the highest being 3.6mg/L NO₃⁻. This sample was collected in the holiday park and potentially reflects contamination from septic tank, fertiliser, or animal waste. (ORC, 1997b).

More recent investigations by E3Scientific Limited [E3S] (2018) have refined the conceptual groundwater model for Glenorchy, which highlights the area's complex hydrology. Monitoring showed that groundwater flows from the north, east and south underneath the township towards Lake Wakatipu. Sources of groundwater recharge include the Lagoon/Rees River (groundwater flow to the southwest towards the Jetty and Harbour), rainfall that flows off the Richardson Mountains (water flows west towards the Lake) and the Buckler Burn (flow to the northwest towards the Lake).

The model suggests that oxygen-rich surface water to the north (the Lagoon and its outflow into the Rees) has mixed redox status due to bio-geochemical processes involving organic matter. This water then becomes oxygen-depleted as it flows beneath the township, where septic tanks provide additional organic inputs that geochemically reduce iron oxides and sulphates. This highly reduced iron-rich groundwater discharges into the lake near the Jetty, where significant deposition of iron has been observed (E3S, 2018). Further evidence for the reducing conditions is provided by high dissolved arsenic groundwater concentrations in bore E41/0182, which is situated near the jetty. The flow from the south/east (Buckler Burn) is similar although the groundwater conditions are less reducing, hence, nitrate and sulphate concentrations are higher and iron concentration is lower. This was attributed to the increased depth to groundwater and the lower density of septic tanks in the southern half of the town, which lowers the leaching of organic material to the groundwater. On site wastewater management in Glenorchy (i.e. septic tanks) will initially impact groundwater beneath the township then Lake Wakatipu, which serves as the receiving environment. However, as the reticulated town water supply is sourced from groundwater upgradient of the township, the main risk from this wastewater management in terms of exposure is through recreational use of the lake (E3S, 2018).

4.2.3.3.2 Groundwater quality

Due to the rapid development that is experienced in Glenorchy and the high number of septic tanks, it was recommended as a high priority for groundwater monitoring (PDP, 2017). SoE groundwater monitoring by ORC began in October 2019 using the four piezometers drilled by E3S for their investigation on behalf of Queenstown Lakes District Council (QLDC). A map of the piezometers is provided in Figure 24 and the bore details are provided in Table 14. The piezometers were given ORC numbers using the ORC database. However, for clarity, the map also shows the piezometers' E3S (2018) original names (P1-P4). As sampling in Glenorchy only began in October 2019 the data is not sufficiently long for analysis. However, the results still provide very useful insight.

Figure 24: Location of SoE monitoring bores in Glenorchy (red dots)



Table 14: Details of monitoring bores in Glenorchy

Bore number	Depth (m)	Diam. (mm)	Easting	Northing	Screen Top (m)	Screen Bottom (m)	Log available	SWL (m below MP)
E41/0182 (P1)	10.1	25	1235134	5023214	2.10	10.10	Yes	0.300
E41/0183 (P2)	10.2	25	1235510	5023479	2.20	10.20	Yes	2.785
E41/0184 (P3)	10.0	25	1235260	5023606	2.00	10.00	Yes	0.10
E41/0185 (P4)	10.0	25	1235380	5023306	2.00	10.00	Yes	2.845

Despite the short duration of groundwater monitoring in Glenorchy, the data clearly indicates several notable issues. Arsenic concentrations in three bores (Figure 25) exceed the DWSNZ MAV of 0.01mg/L, with concentrations ranging between 0.0121mg/L (bore no. E41/0185) and 0.84mg/L (E41/0182), which is the maximum groundwater arsenic concentration in Otago. The arsenic source is likely to be geological, i.e. schist from the surrounding geology. The high arsenic concentrations are likely attributed to the reducing groundwater conditions, caused by the high density of septic tanks, which provide organic matter that lead to reducing geochemical conditions and increase arsenic mobility. However, Glenorchy is on a reticulated water supply, which is monitored by QLDC, hence the public health risk from arsenic consumption is low.

There were also some exceedances of the E. Coli DWSNZ MAV, with a count of 5MPN/100mL in bore E41/0185, situated near a hotel (Figure 26). This bore also had ammonia concentration

of 16.9mg/L which substantially exceeds the DWSNZ aesthetic Guideline Value (GV) of 1.5mg/L (

Figure 27). There were no exceedances of the nitrate MAV in any of the bores, with concentrations ranging between 0.0005 and 2.0mg/L (Bore E41/0185, Figure 28).

Due to the shallow depths of the bores in Glenorchy the groundwater quality was also assessed against Schedule 15 of the RPW and NPS-FM. The bores are located in Group 3 of Schedule 15, and are also very close to the northern end of Lake Wakatipu, which is in Group 5. Despite the short data availability, the results show that ammonia and TP concentrations in all bores apart from E41/0183 exceed the Schedule 15 limits. Total Nitrogen in all bores exceed the Group 5 limits. Conversely, the DRP limit for Group 3 (0.005mg/L) is only exceeded in bore E41/0185 (Figure 29). The observed elevated nutrient concentrations are likely due to septic tanks input, particularly near bore E41/0185.

In summary, despite the short availability of data from Glenorchy the data indicates several groundwater quality issues, related to both drinking water and nutrient impact on Lake Wakatipu. The risks to drinking water are diminished as Glenorchy is on a reticulated town supply. However, nutrient input through groundwater discharge to the lake can adversely impact lake water quality. Further risk to recreation water quality is the area near the jetty, where groundwater transits from strongly reducing to oxidised conditions which lead to the deposition of iron (ES3, 2018).

Figure 25: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ




Figure 26: Groundwater E. coli results for the Glenorchy GWMZ




Figure 27: Groundwater ammonia concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ




Figure 28: Groundwater nitrate concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ





Figure 29: Groundwater DRP concentration in the Glenorchy GWMZ



4.2.4. The Dunstan Rohe


The Dunstan Rohe encompasses the upper catchment of the Clutha River between south of Wanaka and Lake Dunstan alongside the Shotover catchment to the north of Queenstown. It runs from the outlets of lakes Wanaka, Wakatipu and Hawea down to Clyde dam. This rohe includes the Kawarau, Nevis, Shotover, Upper Clutha, Hawea, Cardrona, Arrow, and Lindis Rivers alongside many smaller tributaries of the Clutha such as the Lowburn, Amisfield Burn, Bannock Burn and Luggate Creek. The outflows of Lakes Wanaka and Wakatipu are unregulated whereas the outflow of Lake Hawea is controlled by the Hawea Dam. This rohe also includes Lake Dunstan, a hydroelectric power lake created by the Clyde Dam,

Figure 30. These catchments contain diverse landforms from the rugged Kawarau gorge, primarily native covered Shotover catchment to extensive agriculture and fruit growing areas around Lake Dunstan.

The bores in this rohe are divided to the following aquifers and GWMZ:

- The Wanaka & Cardrona Basin (Section 4.2.4.1)
- The (former) Wakatipu Basin (Section 4.2.4.2)
- The Cromwell Terrace Aquifer (Section 4.2.4.3)
- Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer (Section 4.2.4.4)
- The West Bank of the Upper Clutha (section 4.2.4.5)
- Lower Tarras (Section 4.2.4.6)

Figure 30: Location of the Dunstan Rohe (red outline), aquifers (green) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots). Aquifer names are shown in Figure 1.



The SoE results suggest that groundwater quality in the monitoring bores in the Dunstan rohe is generally good (particularly in the Cromwell Terrace aquifer and Lowburn Alluvial aquifer monitoring bores), with low E. coli and nitrate concentrations below the DWSNZ MAV in most bores. However, groundwater quality in some bores, particularly in Wanaka, the former Wakatipu basin, and Lower Tarras reflect issues of the rapid development in these areas which can lead to elevated E. coli, nitrate, and DRP concentrations. Although arsenic concentrations in most of the monitoring bores in the rohe are generally below the MAV, due to the prevalence of schist lithology in these areas, it is important that bore owners regularly test their water.

Groundwater quality from most of the shallow monitoring bores suggests potential for adversely impacting surface water quality that interact with groundwater, with nitrate and DRP concentrations in most bores exceeding the Schedule 15 limits. Ammonia concentrations are usually below the limits (Table 3, Table 15). It is likely that some of the elevated nitrate and DRP concentrations are also due to poor borehead protection.

Table 15: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Dunstan rohe

Aquifer	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)	Arsenic Dissolved (mg/L)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	E-Coli (MPN/100mL)	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)	Total Nitrogen (mg/L)
Cromwell Terrace	0.005	0.001	0.007	0.500	2.350	1.525*
East Wanaka Basin Cardrona Gravel	0.005	0.001	0.005	0.500	1.270	2.050
Lowburn Alluvial Ribbon	0.005	0.001	0.003	0.500	0.330	0.355
Lower Tarras	0.005	0.001	0.004	0.500	1.095	1.160
Luggate GW Management Zone	0.003	0.001	0.023	0.500	7.750	7.700
Mid Mill Creek	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.800	0.113	0.144
Morven	0.005	0.001	0.003	0.500	1.100	0.170
Pisa GW Management Zone	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.500	0.245	0.230
Speargrass-Hawthorn	0.003	0.000	0.004	0.800	2.500	2.500
Unnamed	0.005	0.001	0.014	0.500	0.860	0.940
West Wanaka Basin Cardrona Gravel	0.005	0.001	0.003	0.500	0.390	0.400
Windemeer	0.260	0.014	0.009	0.500	0.028	0.280
Rohe median	0.005	0.001	0.004	0.500	0.978	0.670
*Note that TN has only been monitored since September 2017, which can potentially explain why the TN for the Cromwell Terrace aquifer and the rohe is lower than the median nitrate concentration. Some samples also show higher nitrate than TN, although the result is within the analytical variation for these methods.						

4.2.4.1 The Wanaka and Cardrona Basin

4.2.4.1.1 Aquifer information

The Wanaka Basin and the Cardrona Gravel Aquifer cover a sedimentary basin that consists of gravel-dominated strata downstream of the Cardrona River's Larches flow site. The aquifer is bounded by Lake Wanaka to the northwest and the Clutha River to the north east. The aquifer has complex hydrogeology due to deposition and reworking by glacial and fluvial activity over several phases of glacial advance and retreat. However, it generally behaves as a relatively consistent unit. There are also two outliers of basement strata within the basement.

The main source of recharge in the aquifer is flow losses from the Cardrona River where it enters the basin alongside some land surface recharge. Groundwater generally flows in a northerly direction from the low permeability hills toward the aquifer discharge points, which include the downstream reach of the Cardrona River, the Clutha River and Lake Wanaka. Groundwater depth varies across the aquifer, being around 20-30m deep below ground level where the Cardrona enters the basin, with shallower groundwater levels at the points of discharge near the Clutha River and Lake Wanaka. Bullock Creek, which flows through the township of Wanaka and has high value for the community, is spring-fed, hence, abstractions from the aquifer are likely to impact its flow. Groundwater use in the basin include irrigation and domestic supply (PDP, 2017b).

4.2.4.1.2. Groundwater quality results

Groundwater quality in the Wanaka area is monitored in three bores, details of which are summarised in Table 16. The aquifer is divided to an East and West Wanaka basins. Bore F40/0025 (150mm diameter) is located at Golf Course Road, Wanaka, at NZTM E1294352 N5042604. The bore depth is 40.0m. There is no bore log or screen information for this bore. Bore F40/0045 (100mm diameter) is situated at Faulks Road, approximately 470m east of the Cardrona River, at NZTM E1295870 N5040239. The bore depth is 60m. There is no bore log or screen information for this bore. Bore no. F40/0206 (150mm diameter) is located at Morris Road, at NZTM E1297955 N5042689. The log for this bore describes fine sand to 4.2m underlain by silty fine sands and gravels to 18.6m. There is then sandy gravel to the well bottom at 45.0m. There is no screen information for this bore, but it is likely that it is screened within the lower sandy gravels unit. Both bores F40/0045 and F40/0206 are located in the East Wanaka Basin.

Table 16: A summary of details for monitoring bores in the Wanaka Basin

Bore no.	Depth	Diameter (mm)	Easting	Northing	Screen Top (m)	Screen Bottom (m)	Bore log available?
F40/0025	40.0	150	1294352	5042604	N. A.	N. A.	No
F40/0045	60.0	100	1295870	5040239	N. A.	N. A.	No
F40/0206	45.0	150	1297955	5042689	N. A.	N. A.	Yes

The groundwater quality results from the East and West Wanaka Basins were compared against the DWSNZ. The results show no exceedances of the dissolved arsenic MAV (

Figure 31). The

E. Coli results are generally below the MAV, although an exceedance of 4 MPN/100mL was measured in bore F40/0025 in December 2019 (Figure 32). Nitrate concentrations are all below the DWSNZ MAV, ranging between 1.5 and 4.5mg/L. However, one substantially higher sample of 11.2mg/L, which is just below the MAV, was measured in December 2012 (Figure 33). Although these results are below the MAV, some of them exceed the nitrate groundwater concentration for natural/low intensity land use (Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012). Nitrate concentrations in the remaining bores are lower, ranging between 0.61 and 1.13mg/L (F40/0206) and 0.21 to 0.78mg/L (F40/0025).

Due to the depth of all bores exceeding 20m, the results were not assessed against the RPW or NPS-FM standards for surface water quality. However, the DRP and nitrate concentrations in bore F40/0045 would exceed the Schedule 15 limits for Group 3 (Table 2, Figure 34). The ammonia concentrations are below the GV, with a maximum value of 0.06mg/L (bore F40/0025, Figure 35).

Figure 31: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Wanaka Basin





Figure 32: Groundwater E. coli count for the Wanaka Basin





Figure 33: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Wanaka Basin





Figure 34: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus for the Wanaka Basin







Figure 35: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Wanaka Basin



4.2.4.2 The Wakatipu Basin

4.2.4.2.1 Aquifer information

The Wakatipu basin is located near Queenstown and it contains some of the Upper Clutha's main surface water features: Lake Wakatipu and the Kawarau, Arrow, and Shotover Rivers. The basin is composed of metamorphosed schist bedrock overlain by Quaternary sediments from the Pleistocene and Holocene periods. In contrast to other parts of inland Otago, there are almost no Manuherekia Tertiary sediments in the basin. The geomorphology and hydrogeology of the Wakatipu Basin were significantly altered by glacial processes, where the glaciation/inter-glaciation cycles left characteristic deposits (i.e. glacial till, lacustrine deposits, fan, and alluvial sand/gravels, and terrace alluvium) and significantly impacted the levels of Lake Wakatipu (ORC, 2014a).

The Wakatipu Basin was originally considered in the RPW as one basin that contains several aquifers. The conceptual model is of eight small, laterally separated aquifers that are separated by basement ridges, groundwater flow divides, and rivers. The aquifers are thin and laterally distributed, and some were defined as alluvial ribbon aquifers. The individual Mean Annual Recharge (MAR) and allocation limits for each aquifer were based on the rainfall, soil, and aquifer area (ORC, 2014a). However, these were refined when the area, and allocation for some aquifers, was reviewed and adjusted (ORC, 2017b). The recharge and allocation from the revised aquifer areas was recalculated in November 2019 by using current climate data up to that period (ORC, 2019).

The dominant groundwater type in the basin is calcium-carbonate, indicative of fresh, young groundwater. The main groundwater uses in the area are municipal/community water supply and irrigation (ORC, 2014a). Groundwater quality in the aquifer is generally fair, although there are issues regarding the protection of drinking water quality (MoH, 2018). These include some areas with elevated nutrients due to grazing and septic tanks associated with this rapidly developing area. It also includes elevated arsenic concentrations in some aquifers, e.g. Ladies Mile (formerly known as Windemeer), which are attributed to the prevalent schist minerals that form the bedrock of the basin (e.g. Bloomberg, 2018) and potentially anthropogenic activities e.g. historical sheep dips (ORC, 2014a).

4.2.4.2.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

There are currently four SoE groundwater quality monitoring bores in the aquifers of the former Wakatipu Basin, whose details are summarised in Table 17. The bore locations are shown in

Figure 30). Bore no. F41/0104 (100mm diameter) is located at Howards Drive, Queenstown, at NZTM E1267649 N5008496, in the Ladies Mile (former Windemeer) aquifer. The bore depth is 60m. There is no available screen information or lithological log for this bore. The SWL in the bore in November 2019 was 40.70m. The Morven aquifer is monitored through bore F41/0203 (50mm diameter), situated at Morven Ferry Road, NZTM E1272318 N5010248. The bore depth is 4.1m and the log describes gravel fill and sand to 1.2m underlain by gravels and sand to the bore bottom. There is no information regarding the screen depth in the bore. The SWL in the bore in November 2019 was 4.26m below MP. Groundwater quality in the Speargrass Hawthron aquifer is monitored in bore F41/0437, situated at Domain Road, NZTM E1264923 N5010849. The bore log describes 0.5m of top soil/silt underlain by fine to coarse gravel to

20.0m. There is then fine to coarse sand with some fine gravel underlain by fine, sandy silt to the bore bottom at 30m. The bore is screened between 24.35 and 24.85m, within the coarse sand/fine gravel horizon. The SWL in November 2019 was 23.745m below MP. The fourth monitoring bore, F41/0438, is located north of Lake Hayes, NZTM E1269859 N5012093. The bore log describes sandy gravels to the bore bottom at 6.0m. The bore is screened at a depth of 5.5m within this horizon. The SWL in the bore in November 2019 was 0.607m below MP.

Table 17: Summary of monitoring bore details for the Wakatipu Basin

Bore No.	Aquifer	Depth (m)	Diam. (mm)	Eastings	Northings	Screen Top (m)	Screen bottom (m)	Bore Log avail.?
F41/0104	Ladies Mile (Windemeer)	60.00	100	1267649	5008496	N.A.	N.A	No
F41/0203	Morven Ferry	4.10	50	1272318	5010248	N.A.	N.A	Yes
F41/0437	Speargrass-Hawthorn	24.85	N.A	1264923	5010849	24.85	24.35	Yes
F41/0438	Mid-Mill Creek	6.0	N.A	1269859	5012093	6.0	5.5	Yes

In relation to the DWSNZ, the dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Wakatipu Basin are shown in

Figure 36. The results show that arsenic concentrations in the Ladies Mile bore (F41/0104) consistently exceed the DWSNZ MAV of 0.01mg/L, with most of the results range between 0.01 and 0.019mg/L. The concentrations in the other bores are below the MAV, although the Morven Ferry and Mill Creek bores each had one result between 0.0075 and 0.01mg/L in December 2015. The results in the Speargrass-Hawthorn bore were substantially below the MAV. The consistent elevated arsenic concentrations in the Ladies Mile bore are concerning, although elevated arsenic is common within the Wakatipu Basin due to the local schist lithology (e.g. Bloomberg, 2018). None of the bores had ammonia concentrations that exceeded the 1.5mg/L Guideline Value for aesthetic determinants (Figure 37).

Figure 36: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Wakatipu Basin






Figure 37: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Wakatipu Basin




The E. coli data for the Wakatipu basin is shown in Figure 38. Bores F41/0104 and F41/0437 had no E. coli exceedances over the DWSNZ MAV of 1 MPN/100mL. The E. Coli in bore F41/0203 was generally below the DWSNZ MAV, with one exceedance of 26 MPN/100mL in March 2017. In contrast to these, bore no. F41/0438 had several exceedances, notably between April and June 2019, with measurements of 345, 26, and 10MPN/100mL. However, these exceedances are potentially due to poor bore security, as the borehead is located at ground level, in an area known to be occasionally flooded by surface water and is frequented by rabbits/ducks. Nevertheless, faecal contamination is likely to be prevalent in this area due to the rural life style and high density of septic tanks. It is therefore imperative that bore owners maintain bore security and regularly check their water supply to avoid faecal contamination.

Figure 38: Groundwater E. Coli counts for the Wakatipu Basin



Nitrate concentrations in all bores were below the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L, although some concentrations above ½ of the MAV were measured in the Morven Ferry bore (F41/0203), Figure 39. The concentrations in this bore ranged between approximately 1.0 and 2.0 mg/L between the start of measurements in January 2013 and September 2018, where concentrations substantially increased to 6.2mg/L. Concentrations then steadily declined, falling to 3.1mg/L in November 2019. The causes for this high increase are unclear and can be potentially related to a change in land use. In contrast to these higher concentrations, those in the remaining bores were lower, with the Speargrass-Hawthorn bore ranging between approximately 2.0 and 3.0mg/L and the Ladies Mile (F41/0104) and Mid Mill Creek (F41/0438) below 1.0mg/L.

Figure 39: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Wakatipu Basin



Potential impacts on surface water were then assessed from the shallow monitoring bores (<20m) in the Wakatipu Basin, with the results compared against Schedule 15 of the RPW (Table 18) and the NPS-FM NOF (Table 19). This included the bores in the Morven and Mid Mill Creek aquifers. The results show that the 80th percentile nitrate concentrations in both bores exceed the Schedule 15 limits, with the Morven bore concentrations exceeding the limit by 42 times. These exceedances are likely due to the shallow bore depths, surrounding land use, and potential issues with bore security. In contrast to that, the ammonia and DRP concentrations in both bores are below the RPW limits.

Table 18: Wakatipu Basin 80th percentile values for water quality variables & comparison with Schedule 15 limits

Bore number	Aquifer	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)		0.075	0.010	0.100
F41/0203	Morven	3.200	0.005	0.010
F41/0438	Mid Mill Creek	0.212	0.004	0.012

Compliance with the NPS-FM NOF is shown in Table 19. This again highlights potential issues, particularly for nitrate. The Morven bore nitrate concentration is emplaced in band B for the median concentration and Band C for the 95th percentile. When a site falls in Band C there are “Growth effects on up to 20% of species (mainly sensitive species such as fish). No acute effects (MfE, 2020).” The Mid Mill Creek bore is in Band A for both the median and 95th percentile nitrate concentration.

The results for ammonia show that the median concentrations in both bores are in Band A, with maximum concentrations in Band B. Band A reflects an environment where there is no observed toxicity effect on tested species, which provides a 99% protection level. Band B reflect a site where ammonia concentrations begin to occasionally impact the 5% of the most sensitive species (MfE, 2020). The DRP concentrations are in Band A for both bores.

The results against the RPW and NOF suggest that interaction of groundwater from these bores with surface water may adversely impact surface water quality, particularly regarding nitrate in the Morven Ferry bore. Although the Mid Mill Creek bore was placed in Band B for ammonia, due to the already existing water quality issues in Lake Hayes (ORC, 2017a) it is not likely that interaction with the groundwater will further degrade it.

Table 19: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Wakatipu Basin

		Nitrate concentration (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Aquifer	Median	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
F41/0203	Morven (Wakatipu)	1.1	5.9	B	C
F41/0438	Mid Mill Creek	0.113	0.2805	A	A
		Ammoniacal nitrogen concentration (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore number	Aquifer	Median	Max.	Median	Maximum
F41/0203	Morven (Wakatipu)	0.005	0.1	A	B
F41/0438	Mid Mill Creek	0.0025	0.074	A	B
		DRP concentration (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore number	Aquifer	Median	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
F41/0203	Morven (Wakatipu)	0.0025	0.0066	A	A
F41/0438	Mid Mill Creek	0.002	0.005	A	A

4.2.4.3. Cromwell Terrace Aquifer

4.2.4.3.1 Aquifer information

The Cromwell Terrace aquifer is located on an elevated glacial outwash surface that rests on the fork of the Upper Clutha/Kawarau Rivers confluence. Despite its small area of 22km², the Cromwell Terrace aquifer is of great significance to the local communities. The aquifer is shallow and unconfined and is closely impacted by landuse and surface water. It was formed by at least three glacial outwash terraces that coalesced in the Cromwell confluence area and include gravel formations from the Upper Clutha and Kawarau catchments. The outwash is generally underlain by relatively impermeable Manuherehia Group mudstone, lignite, and schist. The overlying soils that were formed on the terrace surface are sandy with generally low water retention. The terrace is not crossed by any surface water courses although artificial races and ponds were built across some of its surface. The hydrology of the fringing Kawarau River was substantially altered when Lake Dunstan was filled following completion of the Clyde Dam in 1993. The measured mean rise in water table after this was around 10.5m, hence the aquifer stores a much larger water resource.

Groundwater uses include irrigation, stock and domestic (including town/community) supply. Aquifer pumping tests from completed bores in the Cromwell township area indicate generally high to extremely high Transmissivity. The aquifer is in a dynamic equilibrium with Lake Dunstan, with a modest volume of recharge in the Ripponvale area and discharge of its excess further downstream. Due to the high transmissivity, the aquifer responds very rapidly to groundwater extraction by inducing infiltration from the Lake (ORC, 2014b).

4.2.4.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

There is only one groundwater quality SoE monitoring bore in the Cromwell Aquifer Terrace, F41/0300 (150mm diameter). The bore is situated at Sandiflat Road, near the Highlands Motor Sports Park, at NZTM E1297971 N5003508. The bore depth is 48.71m. The bore log describes clay/fine gravels to 1.54m underlain by coarse/fine sandy gravels to 12.64m. There is then boulders to 13.10m underlain by sandy gravels to the well bottom (48.76m) with a horizon of boulders between 30.10 and 30.50m. The bottom sandy gravel horizon, between 30.50 and 48.76m, is described as coarse sandy gravels. The top of the screen leader is located within the coarse sandy gravel horizon, at a depth of 47.61m. The SWL in the bore in December 2019 was 33.3m below MP.

The assessment against the DWSNZ are shown in Figure 40 to

Figure 44. Regarding the DWSNZ, the results indicate good groundwater in the bore. Nitrate concentrations were all below the 11.3mg/L MAV. Nitrate concentrations were between 2.44 and 4.06mg/L during the initial monitoring period (2009 – 2011), with concentrations then continuously falling, reaching around 1.1mg/L in 2019 (Figure 40). Dissolved arsenic concentrations were generally substantially below the DWSNZ MAV of 0.01mg/L, although a sample from March 2009 was close to it at 0.009mg/L (Figure 41). Most of the E. coli results are below the <1 MPN/100mL MAV, although some of the earlier results read <2 and <1.6MPN/100mL, which are potentially related to different analytical Limits of Detection (Figure 42). This suggests that the risk of faecal contamination is low. Ammonia concentrations were below the aesthetic GV value of 1.5mg/L (Figure 43). Due to the bore’s depth of 48.71m it was not assessed for ecosystem impacts. However, due to its depth it is not likely to interact with surface water.

Figure 40: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer




Figure 41: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer




Figure 42: Groundwater E. coli count for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer




Figure 43: Groundwater ammonia concentration for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer





Figure 44: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentration for the Cromwell Terrace Aquifer




4.2.4.4 Lowburn Alluvial aquifer

4.2.4.4.1 Aquifer information

The Lowburn Alluvial Ribbon aquifer is located north of Cromwell and west of Lake Dunstan. It is bounded to the west by the eastern side of the Pisa Range (Lowburn Face), whose peaks reach elevations of around 1500-1600m. It is bounded to the east by Lake Dunstan. The western boundary of the delineated aquifer zone is located where the Low Burn descends from the Lowburn Face and enters the narrow valley. The aquifer includes the narrow river valley that is approximately 100m lower than the surrounding terraces to the north and south (ORC, 2018a).

The aquifer is located within Holocene river deposits composed of unconsolidated gravel, silt, clay and minor peat of modern-postglacial flood plains. The ranges to the west/southwest of the aquifer are composed of Rakaia Terrane schist whilst the terraces in the north and south are composed of Early/Mid Pleistocene outwash deposits and a small portion of Neogene sedimentary rock near the intersection between Lowburn Valley Road and Heaney Road, Figure 45 (Turnbull, 2000).

Figure 45: Geological setting for the Lowburn Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (from Turnbull, 2000)



The main groundwater uses in the area are irrigation, stock water, and domestic supply. According to the ORC database there are 16 completed bores in the Lowburn Alluvial Ribbon aquifer, whose depth ranges between 2 and 20m. The depth of two bores are not known. There is information regarding the SWL for 12 bores, and it ranges between 1.90 and 14.75m below Measuring Point. Screen depth information is only available for one bore, F41/0449, which is screened between 15.65 and 16.65m, within a horizon of sandy/silty gravels.

4.2.4.4.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

Groundwater SoE monitoring in the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer is currently conducted in one bore, F41/0162 (125mm diameter), drilled in June 1995. The bore is located at Swann Road, NZTM E1299519 N5011550 (

Figure 30). The bore is 16.53m deep, hence one of the deeper ones in the area. The bore log describes topsoil to 0.30m underlain by cobbles and sandy gravels to 3.3m. There is then sandy gravels with some cobbles to the bore bottom at 16.53m. The depth to the top of the screen leader is 15.77m, suggesting that the bore abstracts from an unconfined sandy gravel aquifer. The SWL in the bore ranges between 4.65 and 6.83m below MP. The maximum annual fluctuation is around 1.00-1.50m, with the lowest levels generally observed in December or March. The SWL in December 2019 was 5.1m below MP. Groundwater quality from bore F41/0162 was assessed against the DWSNZ, Figure 46, Figure 47, and Figure 48. The results indicate good groundwater quality. Most E. coli results are below the <1 MPN/100mL MAV, although some of the earlier results read <2 and <1.6MPN/100mL. This suggests a low risk of faecal contamination, with the exceedances potentially related to different analytical Limits of Detection. There were no arsenic concentrations that exceeded the 0.01mg/L MAV. The nitrate concentration is also much lower than the DWSNZ MAV, ranging between 0.24 and 0.52mg/L. All ammonia concentrations were below the 1.5mg/L GV.

Figure 46: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer




Figure 47: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer




Figure 48: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer





Figure 49: Groundwater ammonia concentration for the Lowburn Alluvial Aquifer



The results were then compared against the RPW Schedule 15 (Table 20) limits and the NPS-FM (

Table 21). The Lowburn Alluvial aquifer is located in Group 2 of Schedule 15. The results show that the site is non-compliant with the nitrate limits, which are around five times higher than the threshold. This suggests that interaction of groundwater with surface water, such as the Low Burn, may increase eutrophication and algal growth potential. The results are compliant with the DRP and ammonia limits. Regarding the NPS-FM NOF, all the results sit within the A Band.

Table 20: Schedule 15 comparison results for the Lowburn Alluvial aquifer for 80th percentile for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia.

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.010	0.100
F41/0162	Clutha	Dunstan	Lowburn	0.410	0.008	0.010

Table 21: NOF comparison for the Lowburn Alluvial aquifer


	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
F41/0162	0.33	0.47	A	A
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median	Maximum
F41/0162	0.005	0.021	A	A
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
F41/0162	0.00325	0.01095	A	A

4.2.4.5 West Bank of the Upper Clutha

4.2.4.5.1 Aquifer information

The West Bank of the Upper Clutha, situated between Lowburn and Luggate, includes the Pisa, Luggate, and Queensberry Groundwater Management Zones (GWMZ). These were delineated using a 1:250,000 GNS geological map (QMap) for the West Bank of the Upper Clutha (Turnbull, 2000). The GWMZ were identified based on geological classification of Quaternary sediment Q1-Q4 and some Q6 sediment that are located between the Clutha River on the east and the Pisa Range in the west. This work identified three GWMZ where alluvial sediment “pinch” between schist and/or older sediment and the Clutha River. Areas where the sediment extends west into valleys were excluded (ORC, 2018b). The location of these delineated GWMZs is provided in Figure 50. The Pisa GWMZ is situated between Lowburn to the south, and Kind Creek in the north. The Queensberry GWMZ’s northern boundary is around Edward Burn. The Luggate GWMZ is located north of the Burn until the southern boundary of the Wanaka Basin.

Figure 50: Location of GWMZ on the West Bank of the Clutha (from ORC, 2018b)



The database search shows there are 116 bores within the three GWMZ, 113 of which have recorded depths. The bore depths in these GWMZ range between 5.80 and 102m. SWL are recorded in 99 of the bores, with the SWL ranging between 1.25 and 33.4m below MP. Screen depth information is available for 32 bores, with the top of screen depths ranging between 9.67 and 102m, although this lower screen depth is likely to be an error as the maximum recorded bore depth is also 102m. Groundwater uses in the area include irrigation, community, stock, domestic supply and commercial use. This area experiences a rapid expansion of horticulture/viticulture with increasing demand for water applied *via* drip irrigation. This has induced ORC to begin developing a monitoring program, with two bores drilled in the Pisa and Luggate GWMZ in 2017 (ORC, 2018b). There is currently no groundwater monitoring in the Queensberry GWMZ.

4.2.4.5.2 Groundwater quality measurements

There are two SoE bores for monitoring groundwater quality in the West Bank of the Upper Clutha area. Groundwater in the Luggate GWMZ is monitored in bore G40/0411 (150mm diameter), drilled in May 2017. The bore is situated at Pukerangi Drive at NZTM E1309254 N5036692. The bore depth is 17.75m. The log describes silty coarse gravels and cobbles to 2.5m underlain by sandy coarse gravels and cobbles to 5.6m. There is then silty/sandy schist gravel to 9m underlain by schist to 12m. The silt is fractured from 12m to the bottom of the bore at 17.75m. The bore is screened between 12.75 and 17.75m, within the fractured schist. The SWL in November 2019 was 8.112m below MP.

Groundwater in the Pisa GWMZ is monitored in bore G41/0487 (150mm diameter), located at Smiths' Way, NZTM E1304667 N5016105. The bore depth is 28.99m. The bore log describes sandy coarse gravels and some cobbles to 21.4m underlain by sands with finer gravels to 22.5m. There are then silty coarse gravels to 28.8m underlain by brown silts to the bore bottom at 29.4m. The bore is screened at a depth of between 25.99 and 28.99m, within the bottom silty, coarse gravel horizon. The SWL in November 2019 was 20.15m below MP.

Regarding the DWSNZ, groundwater quality in both bores is generally good, with the main issue being elevated nitrate concentrations in bore G40/0411 (Figure 51). Although the concentrations in the bore did not exceed the 11.3mg/L MAV, it ranged between 5.2 and 9.9mg/L, which is close to exceeding the MAV. These high concentrations are potentially due to cultivation of a paddock next to the bore or to septic tanks. The concentrations in bore G41/0487 were substantially lower, ranging between 0.192 and 0.33mg/L. Concentrations in neither bore exceeded the dissolved arsenic MAV of 0.01mg/L (Figure 52). However, due to the prevalence of schist lithology in the area, and the high spatial heterogeneity of dissolved arsenic in groundwater, it is important that bore owners in the area regularly test their bores for arsenic. There was no E. coli detected in neither bore (Figure 53). Ammonia concentrations in neither of the bores exceeded the DWSNZ GV of 1.5mg/L (Figure 54).

Figure 51: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ





Figure 52: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ





Figure 53: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ





Figure 54: Groundwater ammonia concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ







Figure 55: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentration for the Luggate/Pisa GWMZ



Comparison of the results against the Schedule 15 limits are provided in Table 22. The results from bore G41/0487 were not analysed as the bore is deeper than 20m. The results for bore G40/0411 (Luggate GWMZ) show non-compliance, as the 80th percentile nitrate and DRP concentrations (Figure 54 and Figure 55) substantially exceed the Schedule 15 limits. These results indicate potential issues with excessive nitrate and DRP concentrations in case of groundwater discharge to springs/streams, particularly for an unnamed stream situated approximately 265m west of the bore. Conversely, the ammonia concentrations are below the threshold.

Table 22: 80th percentile values for water quality variables & comparison with Schedule 15 limits for the West Bank of the U. Clutha

	Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Bore number	Aquifer	0.075	0.010	0.100
G40/0411	Luggate GWMZ	8.340	0.0246	0.006

These results are also reflected in the assessment against the NPS-FM NOF, Table 23. The median and 95th percentile nitrate concentration are in Band D and C, respectively, which are below the National Bottom Line. Band C describes an environment with impacts on growth of multiple species where toxicity starts approaching acute impact levels (i.e. death risk) for sensitive species at higher concentrations (>20mg/L). The median and 95th percentile DRP concentrations are also in Bands D and C, respectively. Band D for DRP describes an environment where ecological communities are impacted by concentrations that substantially exceed natural reference conditions. Combined with other conditions that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in macroinvertebrate and fish communities, as taxa that is sensitive to hypoxia are lost. The classification for median and maximum ammonia concentrations are Band A and B, respectively. At Band B, 95% of the species are protected, with an occasional impact on the 5% most sensitive species starting to occur (MfE, 2020).

Table 23: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the W. Bank of the Upper Clutha

Bore no.	Nitrate		NOF Band	
	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G40/0411	7.75	9.45	D	C
Ammoniacal nitrogen			NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Maximum
G40/0411	0.0025	0.073	A	B
DRP			NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G40/0411	0.023	0.032	D	C

4.2.4.6 Lower Tarras Aquifer

4.2.4.6.1 Aquifer information

The Lower Tarras aquifer is located on the eastern side of the Upper Clutha, where it is bounded by the Clutha River to the west, SH8/SH8A to the south, east and north and Grandview ridge to the east. There are currently no monitoring bores in the Bendigo and Lindis alluvial aquifer or the Ardgour Valley areas, although it is planned to add them.

The Bendigo-Tarras area has a dry climate, with a mean annual rainfall of between 400 and 500mm. The main water uses in the area are vineyards/cherries irrigation and large central pivots which are increasingly used for pasture irrigation. Flood irrigation is also used for pasture. Groundwater occurs within different geological units including highly permeable sandy gravel glacial outwash deposits on the lower terraces, which are used for irrigation. There are also low yielding, clay rich deposits around Tarras settlement which only contain a limited groundwater resource, and are used for domestic/stock water supply. Bores in the Ardgour Valley abstract from the Lindis alluvial ribbon aquifer, which is highly connected to the Lindis River, hence, takes in this area can deplete its streamflow. Due to these, most of the irrigation production bores are situated in the southern Bendigo area (ORC, 2010a).

The Clutha and Lindis rivers are both significant for the groundwater in the area as they are highly connected with the groundwater system. However, the two contrast in their size and sensitivity to stream depletion, with the Clutha having a mean flow of around 250m³/second whereas the low Lindis dries out during most summers. Careful groundwater management, which allows resource development in areas where groundwater is buffered by recharge from the Clutha, is therefore required to lessen the impacts on the lower Lindis.

Groundwater in the Bendigo and Tarras basin is found in Quaternary and Tertiary age sediments that rest in a depression formed in the underlying schist. The basin is underlain by Haast schist of the Rakaia Terrane which acts as basement rock to the basin. The basin's shape is controlled by faults and folds through the schist. The basement rocks are overlain by non-marine Miocene quartz conglomerate, sandstone, mudstone and lignites of the Manuherekia Group, which is represented in the Tarras Bendigo area by silt deposits and quartz sands. These are overlain by Quaternary deposits of sand, silts and gravel. The silty sandy layer is found at the ground surface just north of Tarras (ORC, 2010a).

Bore logs from the area show well sorted gravels in the Clutha Valley to a depth of approximately 50m and thinly layered silts and clay bound sands in the Tarras area. The depth of the aquifer base was refined using geophysics. The depth to the silty mudstone varied from 20-30m in the Ardgour Valley to >120m deep in the Clutha Valley. The data suggests that the underlying silt deposits of the Bendigo area dip to the NE and rise again at the edge of the terrace. These silt deposits underlie the dry Quaternary terraces and restrict horizontal groundwater movement below them. These silt deposits contain the shallow groundwater within the Lindis alluvial ribbon aquifer in the Ardgour Valley.

Piezometric maps show that groundwater generally flows into the aquifer from the Clutha in the northern area of the Tarras/Bendigo allocation zones and returns to the river in the southern areas. The Lindis strongly impacts groundwater flow as water moves into the deeper gravels after exiting the Ardgour Valley at the Lindis Crossing. Water levels in the Lindis

Crossing bridge are approximately 7m above the level of the Clutha indicating that groundwater levels drop a significant amount between the bridge and the Lindis/Clutha confluence.

There are four available aquifer pumping test reports from the area. The reported permeability in the more recent alluvium sand and gravels is high, with the reported Transmissivity in the lower terraces ranging between 3,000 and 5,000m²/day (bores G41/0231, G41/0316, and G41/0286). In contrast to that, the Tarras settlement area was not impacted by fluvial reworking of the glacial sediments and it is underlain by low permeability, clay-rich sediments. Hence, bores in this area are more suitable to supply the low requirements of domestic supplies volumes (ORC, 2010a).

4.2.4.6.2 Groundwater quality results

There are two groundwater SoE monitoring bores in the Lower Tarras area. Bore no. G40/0175 (125mm diameter) was drilled in November 2002. The bore is located at Munro Lane, NZTM E 1316489 N5029566. The bore depth is 12.64m. The bore log describes silty sandy gravels to 6.8m underlain by silts to 7.5m. There is then silty/sandy gravels to the bore bottom at 12.8m. The log also describes grey clay at a depth of 12.8m, suggesting that this is the aquifer depth. The top of the screen leader is located at a depth of 11.44m, and the reported screen length is 1.00m, hence the top of the screen is at a depth of 11.640m the bottom silty/sandy gravels. Water levels in bore G40/0175 fluctuate between 2.00 and 3.00m below MP. However, significantly lower levels were measured in March 2017 (5.92m), December 2017 (6.6m) and March 2018 (7.05m). The drops in the summer (December and March) in 2017 and 2018 was significant, at around 3-4m. However, these drops were not observed during other years of measurement. The SWL in the bore in December 2019 was 2.9m below MP.

Bore G41/0211 (125mm diameter) is located at Maori Point Road, NZTM E1313189 N5027098. The bore was drilled in October 1999. The bore depth is 41.51m. The bore log describes coarse gravels to 15.4m underlain by grey sand to 16.2m. There is then sandy gravels to 22.6m, underlain by cobbly gravel to 22.9m and rock to 23.2m. There is then coarse cobbles to 30.9m underlain by sandy gravels to the bore bottom at 40.90m. This log suggests that the bore abstracts from an unconfined sandy gravels aquifer. The top of the screen leader is at a depth of 40.38m, within a sandy gravels horizon described as slightly silty (between 39.2 and 40.90m). The SWL in the bore ranges between 25.5 and 27.28m below MP. The lowest levels are measured in December/March although in some years water levels recover in March. The highest levels are in June. The normal seasonal fluctuations are usually around 1m. However, there was an anomaly in June 2019 where the levels were higher at 25.50m below MP, with an increase of 1.71m from previous measurement. The SWL in the bore in December 2019 was 27.1m below MP.

The assessment against the DWSNZ for E. coli, dissolved arsenic, and ammonia is shown in Figure 56, Figure 57,

Figure 58, and

Figure 59. Bore G41/0211 did not have any E. coli exceedances, although the data between September 2014 and March 2017 shows <1.6MPN/100mL. In contrast to that, several of the results in bore G40/0175 exceeded the MAV, notably with counts of 180 MPN/100mL (December 2013) and 4 MPN/100mL (March 2018). These indicate a contamination risk of the bore, likely due to its shallow depth and potential issues with borehead security (Figure 56). No samples in neither bore exceeded the MAV for arsenic (Figure 57) or the GV for ammonia (

Figure 58). The nitrate concentrations in both bores are much lower than the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L. The concentrations in bore G40/0175 range between 0.8 and 1.1mg/L. There is no discernible trend in nitrate concentrations, and they are low although there is intensive grazing around the bore. These results are similar to the nitrate concentrations from bore G41/0211, which range between 0.9 and 1.2mg/L. The data from this bore does not show a trend, although there is some increase over recent years (

Figure 59). Very high DRP concentrations, of 2.98mg/L were measured in bore G41/0211 in March 2009. However, this seems to only be a single spike (

Figure 60).

Figure 56: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Lower Tarras aquifer





Figure 57: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentration for the Lower Tarras aquifer





Figure 58: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Lower Tarras aquifer





Figure 59: Groundwater nitrate concentration for the Lower Tarras aquifer







Figure 60: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Lower Tarras aquifer



Regarding potential impact on surface water ecosystems, nitrate, DRP, and ammonia concentrations for bore G40/0175 were compared against the RPW (Table 24) and NPS-FM thresholds (Table 25). Due to the depth of bore G41/0211, its results were not used for this analysis. Bore G40/0175 is located in Group 2 of Schedule 15. The results show noncompliance for nitrate and DRP, with nitrate concentrations substantially exceeding the Schedule 15 limits. Conversely, ammonia concentrations are below the limits. These non-compliances suggest potential adverse impacts on surface water quality. The analysis with the NPS-FM thresholds shows that the DRP concentrations are in Band C for the median and B for the 95th percentile.

Table 24: Results for comparison with Schedule 15 limits for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.010	0.100
G40/0175	Clutha	Dunstan	Tarras	0.938	0.018	0.010

Table 25: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Lower Tarras aquifer

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G40/0175	0.86	1.0395	A	A
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Maximum
G40/0175	0.005	0.018	A	A
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G40/0175	0.014	0.02195	C	B

4.2.5 Manuherekia Rohe

The Manuherekia Rohe encompasses the catchment of the Manuherekia River, which flows for approximately 64km and has a catchment area of 3,033km². The catchment includes two major depressions, the Ida and Manuherekia Valleys, which are connected by the Pool Burn Gorge through Rough Ridge. The catchment is one of New Zealand's driest, with a strongly semi-arid, continental climate characterised by warm, dry summers and cold winters. Irrigation water is therefore at a high demand. The headwaters of the river are in the Hawkdun and Saint Bathans Ranges and the Dunstan Mountains. The river flows in a southwest direction and empties into the Clutha at the Alexandra township. The rohe contains SoE monitoring bores within three aquifers: the Manuherekia GWMZ (Section 4.2.5.1), Manuherekia Alluvium aquifer (Section 4.2.5.2), and the Manuherekia Claybound aquifer (Section 4.2.5.3). The Manuherekia Claybound and Alluvium are part of the Alexandra Basin alongside the Earnsclough and Dunstan Flats. There are no monitoring bores in the Earnsclough or the Ida Valley aquifer and the Dunstan Flats aquifer only has one monitoring bore that is solely monitored for groundwater levels (G42/0695), although the National Groundwater Monitoring Programme (NGMP) sampling has been undertaken for Geological & Nuclear Sciences (GNS) in the Dunstan Flats in the past.

In relation to the DWSNZ, the results from the SoE monitoring bores generally indicate fair/good groundwater quality. E. coli is the main issue, with exceedances measured in most monitoring bores, albeit at low counts. Nitrate concentrations are below the DWSNZ MAV in all monitoring bores, with concentrations in the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer and Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer monitoring bores generally near the reference conditions for low intensity landuse, i.e. <2.5mg/L (Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012). However, increasing concentrations were observed in the Manuherekia GWMZ monitoring bore, where concentrations exceed ½ of the MAV. No elevated arsenic concentrations were detected in any of the monitoring bores in the rohe.

In relation to potential impacts on ecosystem health, the results from the shallow monitoring bores show elevated nitrate and DRP, with concentrations exceeding the Schedule 15 limits. The DRP concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium bore are in Band D, below the National Bottom Line. This suggests that interaction of the aquifers with surface water can adversely impact it. The median concentrations for DWSNZ and ecosystem health parameters are shown in Table 26.

Table 26: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Manuherekia rohe

Aquifer	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)	Arsenic Dissolved (mg/L)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	E-Coli (MPN/100mL)	Nitrate (mg/L)
Manuherekia Alluvium	0.003	0.000	0.024	0.650	1.100
Manuherekia Claybound	0.005	0.001	0.013	0.500	1.345
Manuherekia GWMZ	0.005	0.001	0.014	0.500	1.600

4.2.5.1 The Manuherekia GWMZ

4.2.5.1.1 Information summary

The Manuherekia GWMZ is bounded to the east by the Hawkdun Range, to the north and west by the Dunstan Mountains, to the south by the Raggedy Range and to the south/west by the Manuherekia Alluvium and Claybound aquifers. The geology of the Manuherekia GWMZ is composed of basement schist geology, where the upper few metres were altered to pale-green colour by water-rock interaction. The schist is overlain by Tertiary sediments of the Manuherekia Group, which consist of terrestrial flood plain, lake, and lake delta sediments that is up to 300m thick. These sediments were deposited in a low-energy environment and comprise of sand, silts, and clay sequences. Thin veneers of Holocene river gravels, associated with modern streams or river drainage, overly the Tertiary sediments. The surface hydrology comprises of the Idaburn catchment, which drains the Ida range in the north and the Poolburn catchment, draining the South Ridge. These streams meet at the Poolburn Gorge, where water flows through the gorge into the Manuherekia River. A groundwater survey has not been conducted in the area to date. However, it is assumed that the groundwater flow direction will follow the topography and flow in a similar direction to the surface hydrology, with groundwater contributing baseflow to the tributaries and streams in the Idaburn and Poolburn catchments (ORC 2018b).

There are 20 completed bores within the Manuherekia GWMZ. Bore depths range between 2.7 and 60.37m. There is SWL information for 19 of the bores, indicating shallow SWL that ranges between 0.77 and 7.5m below MP. Screen depth information is available for six of the bores, with the top of screen depth ranging between 2 and 14.02m, highlighting the shallow aquifer depth. The main groundwater uses are irrigation, stockwater, and domestic/community supply. There are no consented groundwater takes within the GWMZ.

4.2.5.1.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results

Groundwater quality and levels in the Manuherekia GWMZ are monitored in bore G41/0254 (125mm diameter). The bore is located at Donnelly Road, west of Omakau, NZTM E1330618 N5002689. The bore is shallow with a total depth of 6.5m. The bore log describes silty sandy clay to 1.8m underlain by sandy gravels to 3.8m. There is then blue mudstone down to the bore bottom at 6.5m. The top of the screen is located at a depth of 1.82m, within a horizon of sandy gravels. It is not possible to measure the SWL in the bore due to a pump running continuously.

The comparison against the DWSNZ indicates some exceedances of the E. coli MAV, notably 11 MPN/100mL (March 2017) and 6 MPN/100mL (March 2018), Figure 61. None of the results exceeded the dissolved arsenic MAV of 0.01mg/L, with a maximum arsenic concentration of 0.006mg/L in February 2009. Concentrations have then been <0.001mg/L since then (Figure 62). Nitrate concentrations were below the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L. However, the data shows a pronounced increase in nitrate concentrations, which were around 1.0mg/L at the start of monitoring in 2010. Concentrations then fluctuated between 1.0 and 2.0mg/L until 2014. It then increased steadily, reaching a maximum concentration of 5.3mg/L, over ½ of the MAV, in March 2019 (Figure 63). The ammonia concentrations are below the GV of 1.5mg/L (Figure 64).

Figure 61: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Manuherekia GWMZ




Figure 62: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ




Figure 63: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ




Figure 64: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ





Figure 65: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Manuherekia GWMZ

Groundwater quality data from bore G41/0254 was then assessed against the RPW (Table 27). The results show potential water quality issues. The 80th percentile nitrate and DRP concentrations exceed the Schedule 15 limits (Figure 65), with nitrate concentration exceeding it by around 40 times. The ammonia concentrations are within the limits.

Compliance with the NPS-FM NOF is shown in Table 28. The median and 95th percentile concentrations for nitrate are in Band B and C, respectively. Nitrate concentrations at Band C will have growth impacts on up to 20% of species, mainly which are sensitive such as fish, although there are no acute effects. The median and 95th percentile concentrations for DRP are in Bands C and B, respectively. DRP concentrations in Band C exceed natural reference conditions and impact ecological communities. If other conditions that favour eutrophication also exist, DRP enrichment can cause increased algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive fish and macroinvertebrate taxa and high respiration and decay rates (MfE, 2020). Both median and maximum ammonia concentrations are in Band A. The elevated nitrate and DRP concentrations can adversely impact surface water quality, particularly a tributary of Thomsons Creek situated approximately 250m away from the bore. The steady increase in groundwater nitrate concentration since 2014 is also concerning.

Table 27: Results for comparison with Schedule 15 limits for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.010	0.100
G41/0254	Clutha	Manuhereki a	Manuhereki GWMZ	3.100	0.017	0.010

Table 28: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Manuhereki GWMZ

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G41/0254	1.6	4.4	B	C
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Maximum
G41/0254	0.005	0.01	A	A
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G41/0254	0.014	0.021	C	B

4.2.5.2 The Manuhereki Alluvium Aquifer

4.2.5.2.1 Aquifer information

The Manuhereki Alluvium aquifer is defined by the flood plain of the Manuhereki River on its true left bank between the exit from the Ophir Gorge and Alexandra. It is a shallow, unconfined aquifer hydraulically connected to the Manuhereki River and is underlain by Manuhereki Formation consolidated sediments. The depth of the alluvium base is approximately 8m. The water table is shallow and is sloping towards the river. There is no available aquifer pumping test information from this area. The inflows/outflows of the Manuhereki alluvium aquifer are closely tied to the Manuhereki River and to low efficiency irrigation. Water for the irrigation schemes is harvested through the upper and lower Manorburn dams, and is used over the aquifer during the irrigation season. Some groundwater discharge occurs as seepage flow on the western (downhill) side of Fisher Lane, which coincides with the transition from the modern floodplain to the Hawea glacial advance terrace

surface sediments. Anecdotally, flow from this spring is likely to be higher once irrigation starts in September (ORC 2012c).

According to the database, there are 35 completed bores within the Manuherehia Alluvium Aquifer. The depths of 34 are reported, which range between 3.68 and 13.46m. The SWL is reported in 32 bores, which ranges between 1.15 and 10.46m. Screen depth information is available for 8 of the bores, with the top of screen depth ranging between 8.49 and 12.96m. This illustrates the shallow aquifer and water table depth.

4.2.5.2.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results

Groundwater quality in the Manuherehia Alluvium aquifer is monitored in bore G46/0152 (150mm diameter), drilled in October 2014. The bore is located at Galloway Road, NZTM E1321034 N4986341. The bore log is mainly composed of sandy and silty gravels. It describes 4.4m of coarse sandy gravel underlain by 0.5m of brown sandy silts. There is then silty coarse gravels to 6.9m underlain by sandy coarse gravels and silt to 10.1m. These are underlain by blue clays down to the bore bottom at 10.50m. The bore is screened between 9.50 and 10.00m, within a horizon of sandy coarse gravels and silts. Groundwater levels in the bore range between 2.7 and 5.8m below MP, with a strong seasonal fluctuation of around 2.5m. It is noted that water levels in the bore are highest during the summer irrigation season and lowest during the winter, highlighting the main role of irrigation recharge, which is the dominant source in this area of low rainfall and high evaporation. There is no noticeable trend of lowering groundwater levels. However, altering flood irrigation to more efficient methods may reduce irrigation recharge, and therefore water levels, in the bore, although this may be potentially offset by a reduction in abstraction (ORC, 2012c).

Groundwater quality results from bore G46/0152 were compared with the DWSNZ. The results show several exceedances of the E. coli MAV, although the counts were low, with a maximum of 4.9MPN/100mL in March 2017 (

Figure 66). Nitrate concentrations in the bore are much lower than the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L, with concentrations ranging between 0.91 and 1.36mg/L (Figure 67). There were no elevated dissolved arsenic concentrations above the MAV
(

Figure 68). All ammonia concentrations are below the GV of 1.5mg/L (Figure 69).

Figure 66: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer




Figure 67: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer




Figure 68: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer




Figure 69: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer





Figure 70: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Manuherekia Alluvium Aquifer



Bore G46/0152 is located in Group 2 of the RPW's Schedule 15. The 80th percentile concentrations for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia were assessed against Schedule 15 of the RPW, Table 29. The nitrate and DRP concentrations are non-compliant with the Schedule 15 limits, exceeding the threshold by approximately 16 and 2.7 times, respectively (Figure 70). This suggests potential impacts on connected surface water. Conversely, the ammonia concentrations are below the Schedule 15 limits.

Table 29: Results for comparison with Schedule 15 limits for nitrate, DRP, and ammonia

Bore number			Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)			0.075	0.010	0.100
G46/0152	Manuherekia	Manuherekia Alluvium	1.216	0.027	0.005

The comparison against the NPS-FM NOF is shown in Table 30. The DRP and nitrate data shows some potential concerns. The DRP median concentration is in band D, below the National Bottom Line, where ecological communities are impacted by substantial DRP concentrations above natural reference conditions. Combined with other conditions that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment stimulates excessive primary production and significant changes in fish and macroinvertebrate communities, as taxa that are sensitive to hypoxia are lost (MfE, 2020). The median nitrate concentrations are in Band B, where there are some

growth effects on up to 5% of species, although this band still provides for a good level of protection with some minor effect on growth rate of the most sensitive species (Hickey, 2013; ORC, 2017a). In contrast to these, the ammonia median and maximum concentrations are both in Band A, which provides 99% species protection level with no observed effect on any species (MfE, 2020).

Table 30: NPS-FM NOF comparison summary for the Manuherehia Alluvium Aquifer

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G46/0152	1.1	1.341	A	B
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Maximum
G46/0152	0.0025	0.017	A	A
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G46/0152	0.0235	0.02905	D	B

4.2.5.3 The Manuherehia Claybound aquifer

4.2.5.3.1. Aquifer information

The Manuherehia Claybound Aquifer is located north of the Alexandra township. The aquifer is bound to the east by the Manuherehia River channel, north/west by the Dunstan Mountains/Leaning Rock Range, north by McArthur Gully and Springvale Creek, which drain the Leaning Rock Range. It is bound to the south/south west by the Dunstan Flats Aquifer where the boundary is marked along the stream/race that flows along Dunstan Road. Apart from the Manuherehia River, which forms the aquifer's southeastern boundary, the only natural surface water body that crosses it is the Waikerikeri Creek. Due to the combination of the dry climate, high evaporation, and permeable outwash gravels which prevent surface runoff and flow there are no perennial surface water bodies found in the Airport Terrace or Letts Gully parts of the aquifer.

The aquifer can be roughly divided into a northern and southern parts where Springvale Road serves as a boundary. The northern part of the aquifer, to the north of Springvale Road, is drained by the Waikerikeri Creek which flows south from the Dunstan Mountains and empties into the Clutha south of the SH8/Mutton Town Road confluence. There are generally very few bores in this part, apart from a group of bores near the gorge/terrace of the Waikerikeri Creek

south of Glen Atholl. The southern part of the aquifer is drained by the Tumatakuru Creek and McArthur Gully which also drain the Dunstan Mountains during rain storms. These creeks flow to the south, where drainage is divided between these and the Waikerikeri Creek by the ridge north of bore G42/0462. Although many of these are likely to be ephemeral, their flowing direction is to the southeast, towards the Manuherekia River.

The area comprises of two distinct groups of formations: The Lindis outwash formation composes the Airport Terrace and Letts Gully Road area, south of Springvale road. The second formation is composed of less distinct landforms such as the older Waikerikeri fans situated to the north. The aquifer was named in 1998 to distinguish it from the high permeability outwash of the Dunstan Flats and the lower permeability outwash of the Lindis advance sediments. The “Claybound” term potentially originated from bore logs north of Springvale Road, where a wide variability of sediment sizes was “lumped” into a single lithological description (i.e. “claybound”).

Groundwater exploration north of Springvale Rd encountered pervasively silty, significantly thick weathered gravels and moderate depth to the water table. Pumping tests associated with vineyard development indicated hydraulic conductivity of around 1m/d, which is substantially lower than the Dunstan Flats. This can be potentially attributed to geochemical alteration (weathering) of non-quartz components of the outwash which enriches the weathered material with finer silts/clays and reduces its permeability. In contrast to that, bore logs indicate that the Letts Gully Road area, situated near the Dunstan Flat aquifer and the Clutha River has more permeable sediments with less silt and clay within gravel deposits. The water table is relatively deep in the area, ranging between around 40 and 65m below ground level. However, water tables in bores near the Waikerikeri Creek terrace/Glen Athol are shallower, at around 20m below MP (ORC, 2018b).

According to the database there are 111 completed bores within the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer. Total depth information is available for 95 of those, with bore depths ranging from 1.7 to 70.95m. 58 of these bores (i.e. 61%) are deeper than 30m. SWL information is available for 61 bores, with the reported SWL ranges between 1.07 and 63.23m. Screen depth information is available for 26 bores, and is ranging between 5.05 and 68.38m. The main groundwater uses in the aquifer are domestic, stockwater, community supply and irrigation. Two bores hold a groundwater take consent.

4.2.5.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring results

Groundwater quality in the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer is monitored in two SoE bores. Bore no. G42/0123 (100mm diameter) is located at Letts Gully Road, NZTM E1317225 N4987272. The bore depth is 32.40m. There is no lithological log or screen information available for the bore. The data for bore G42/0123 shows that groundwater levels in the bore range between 25.92 and 27.84m below MP with a seasonal fluctuation of between 1.0 and 1.5m. The lowest groundwater levels are generally measured in September and/or December, with a recovery in March, indicating that groundwater levels are strongly impacted by irrigation recharge. The data suggests that the groundwater levels in the bore are falling, with lower recovery in levels than was measured during the start of monitoring. However, this can be due to increased irrigation efficiency, which reduces recharge (ORC, 2012c).

Bore G42/0290 (100mm diameter) is located at Springvale Road, at NZTM E1318011 N4988269. The total bore depth is 16.1m. There is no lithological log or screen information

available for this bore. Groundwater levels were quarterly monitored in the bore since March 2015. Groundwater levels in bore G42/0290 range between 15.51 and 16.57m below MP, with a seasonal fluctuation of around 1m. Similar to bore G42/0123 and the data from the Manuherehia Alluvium Aquifer, the lowest levels are also in September with a recovery during December/March, suggesting substantial irrigation recharge. Similar to the data from bore G42/0123, water levels and recovery in this bore also seem to be falling, potentially attributed to more efficient irrigation (ORC, 2012c). It is worthy to note that the Manuherehia Irrigation Scheme water races, which have a known history of leakage, run in proximity to bores G42/0123 and G42/0290.

The comparison of groundwater quality results against the DWSNZ shows that none of the samples in either bore exceeded the dissolved arsenic MAV of 0.01mg/L (Figure 71). There were also no E. coli results which exceeded the MAV (

Figure 72). Nitrate concentrations in both bores are substantially below the 11.3mg/L MAV. The concentrations in bore G42/0290 range between 1.5 and 2.7mg/L. The concentrations in bore G42/0123 range between 0.0 and 1.180mg/L (Figure 73). These concentrations only slightly exceed the value for natural groundwater in New Zealand (Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012). The ammonia concentrations are below the GV of 1.5mg/L (

Figure 72: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer




Figure 73: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer




Figure 74: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer





Figure 75: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Manuherekia Claybound Aquifer



The results were then assessed against the RPW and NPS-FM to determine any potential impacts on surface water quality. Bore G42/0123 was excluded due to its depth. Bore G42/0290 is located in Group 2 of Schedule 15. The results show noncompliance with the limits, with 80th percentile nitrate and DRP concentrations at 32 and twice the limits, Table 31. There were also high DRP results of 0.038 and 0.065mg/L, with most results ranging between 0.01 and 0.0125mg/L (Figure 75). The nitrate and DRP results show an increase between approximately 2000 and 2005, followed by stable concentrations until 2015, where they

slightly fell. The 80th percentile ammonia concentrations are within the Schedule 15 limits. The nitrate and DRP concentrations from the bore suggest potential impacts on surface water quality. The bore is located near a surface water feature that flows to the southeast, towards the Manuhereki River.

Table 31: 80th percentile values for water quality variables identified in Schedule 15.

Bore number		Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)		0.075	0.010	0.100
G42/0290	Manuhereki Claybound	2.460	0.020	0.010

Groundwater quality results were also analysed against the NPS-FM NOF attributes,

Table 32. The median and 95th percentile nitrate concentrations are both in Band B, where some growth effects are expected on 5% of the species. The median and 95th percentile DRP concentrations are in Bands C and B, respectively. Band C indicates an impact on ecological communities by DRP concentrations that moderately exceed natural reference conditions. If combined with other factors that increase eutrophication, DRP enrichment can cause increased algal/plant growth, loss of sensitive macro-invertebrates and fish taxa, alongside high respiration and decay rates. The median and maximum ammonia concentrations are in Band A and B, respectively. Band B provides 95% species protection level for toxicity, where impacts on the most sensitive 5% occur occasionally (MfE, 2020).

Table 32: Manuhereki Claybound Aquifer NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G42/0290	2.3	2.6	B	B
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median	Maximum
G42/0290	0.005	0.13	A	B
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G42/0290	0.017	0.024	C	B

4.2.6 The Lower Clutha Rohe

The Lower Clutha rohe runs from the Roxburgh Dam to the Clutha River mouth south of Balclutha. The region includes the catchments of the Pomahaka (2,060km²), Waitahuna (406km²), Waipahi (339km²), Tuapeka (249km²), and Waiwera (208km²) rivers. In contrast to the Upper and Mid Clutha regions, the lower Clutha is dominated by alluvial plains, rolling hill country and lowlands. The Roxburgh and Ettrick rohe are located in Central Otago. The South Otago basin includes four aquifers: the Pomahaka, Clydevale, Wairuna, and Kuriwao, of which the first two contain current SoE monitoring bores (ORC, 2014d). However, aquifers in this area have been reviewed several times and some of these are not found in the RPW. The rohe also includes the Inch Clutha gravel aquifer, located near the Clutha mouth.

Groundwater quality results from the Lower Clutha rohe indicate some significant water quality issues, with elevated E. coli and nitrate concentrations in most bores, notably in the Ettrick and Clydevale basins. Among the identified factors in degraded water quality in southwest Otago are the wide-spread use of artificial paddock drainage and shallow water tables. One of the bores in the Inch Clutha gravel aquifer has elevated arsenic concentrations above the MAV and high DRP concentrations. The results also show issues with elevated nitrates and DRP (Table 33 and Table 34), with concentrations in most shallow bores exceeding the Schedule 15 limits and NOF bands. Some of these issues are due to shallow monitoring bores that are not properly secured. These results also support surface water quality results from this area, which are generally poor (ORC, 2017a). The median results for the DWSNZ and ecosystem health parameters are shown in

Table 35.

Table 33: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Lower Clutha rohe

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Rohe	Aquifer	0.444	0.026	0.10
H46/0118	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Inch Clutha	0.282	0.270	0.013
G44/0127	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Pomahaka Alluvial	5.020	0.015	0.010
G44/0136	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Pomahaka	0.050	0.099	0.016
G43/0224b	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	8.340	0.005	0.005
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.010	0.100
G43/0009	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	4.960	0.013	0.010
G43/0072	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Roxburgh	5.320	0.010	0.011

Table 34: Lower Clutha rohe NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP

Bore no.	Nitrate concentration (mg/L)		NOF Band	
	median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G44/0127	4.1	5.9455	C	C
G44/0136	0.005	0.05	A	A
G43/0009	4.6	5.52	C	C
G43/0224b	7.9	8.66	D	C
G43/0072	5	5.5	C	C
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Maximum
G44/0127	0.005	0.056	A	B
G44/0136	0.012	0.023	A	A

G43/0009	0.005	0.38	A	B
G43/0224b	0.0025	0.062	A	B
G43/0072	0.0025	0.113	A	B
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile (mg/L)
G44/0127	0.011	0.0325	C	C
G44/0136	0.019	0.1146	D	D
G43/0009	0.009	0.0198	B	A
G43/0224b	0.002	0.03195	A	C
G43/0072	0.006	0.012	B	A

Table 35: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Lower Clutha rohe

Aquifer	Ammonia (mg/L)	Dissolved Arsenic (mg/L)	DRP (mg/L)	E-Coli (MPN/100mL)	Nitrate (mg/L)
Clydevale	0.0200	0.0005	0.0110	0.5000	0.0050
Ettrick	0.0025	0.0005	0.0074	0.5000	4.9000
Inch Clutha	0.0185	0.0025	0.2100	0.5000	0.1000
Pomahaka	0.0120	0.0011	0.0190	0.5000	0.0050
Pomahaka Alluvial Ribbon	0.0050	0.0005	0.0110	0.5000	4.1000
Roxburgh	0.0060	0.0005	0.5000	4.4000	5.0000

4.2.6.1 The Roxburgh basin

4.2.6.1.1 Aquifer information

The Roxburgh basin is located in Central Otago and is defined by an alluvial terrace situated between the Roxburgh township to the south and the Roxburgh dam to the north. The terrace is almost entirely bound by the schist which makes up the Knobbly Range in the east and the Old Man Range in the west. The basin is underlain by fairly impermeable Haast schist and its shape was formed by a series of major north-south trending faults and folds located throughout the basin. Across Central Otago, the basement rocks are, in places where faulting or folding has protected them from erosion, overlain by non marine Miocene quartz conglomerate, sandstone, mudstone, and lignites of the Manuhereki group. Within the Roxburgh area, this group is represented by lignite and fine-grained muddy sediments. These are relatively impermeable and effectively form the base of the water bearing zones in the overlying Quaternary gravels. The aquifer consists of Quaternary glacial outwash, alluvial fans and tailings containing sand, silt, and gravel. These sediments were deposited by the Clutha River following a series of glacial periods and they form the terraces seen today. Adjacent to the foothills are alluvial fan deposits consisting of gravel intercalated with boulder clay. There are also man made tailing (sluicing) deposits of well sorted sands and gravels that make up the terraces' southwestern boundary (ORC, 2014c).

The Clutha River dissects the basin and separates it into two aquifers: Roxburgh East and West, with areas of 13.6km² (east) and 0.4km² (west), respectively. The shallow geology of the Roxburgh East area is comprised of unconsolidated Pleistocene outwash gravels, moraine, and glacial till. The aquifer boundaries were defined based on topography, and is assumed to be limited to sediments that were accumulated between the Clutha in the west and the mountain ranges to the east. The aquifer sediments are overlain by patches of thin, recent auriferous stream alluvium along the Clutha bank. There are reports of coal seams within the Roxburgh East outwash gravels, although these are more likely to be found in the underlying basement rocks. The aquifer area is surrounded by outcropping schist basement. Two subparallel north-south striking faults were mapped within the schist terrain adjacent to the Roxburgh Dam north of the aquifer area. These faults were not mapped as extending into the aquifer area itself although this possibility cannot be ruled out as the surface expression of these structures may be masked by the unconsolidated Pleistocene deposits (ORC, 2014c).

The Roxburgh West area is located at the western edge of the tectonically formed Roxburgh basin, in a narrow zone between the Clutha and the steep, east-facing slopes of the Old Man Range. The Roxburgh West aquifer consists of Quaternary river alluvium gravels locally intercalated with boulder gravels of alluvial fan origin that overlie schist basement rock. The maximum thickness of the gravel aquifer is around 25-30m. The configuration of the basement rock schist 'bench' is not known, but is assumed to generally slope gently eastward toward the Clutha. The aquifer thins out towards the west, with only a thin veneer of gravels potentially overlying schist close to the western margin of the aquifer. The Quaternary gravels contain an unconfined aquifer, representing a single hydrologic unit, although there are probably preferential flow paths, e.g. through alluvial fan deposits. The eastern aquifer margin is exposed on the banks of the Clutha (Irricon, 1997). Bore logs from the area indicate that it is dominated by silty/sandy gravels, with some gravel layers reported as containing boulder. There are also minor interlayers of clay and sandy clay. The depth of the unconsolidated deposits, which are likely to represent glacial outwash, exceeds 19.8m in three of the bores. The log for the southernmost bore (G43/0111), located on the Clutha bank, reports shallow schist basement rock at 1.1m. The depth to bedrock increases to the north, reaching 20.3m in bore G43/0126 (ORC, 1999a). Information based on mineral investigations from the early 2000s and more recent 2018 – 2020 exploration by Central Otago District Council points to a central, north-south trending paleo-channel being the primary zone of active groundwater flow in the Roxburgh West aquifer. The deepened outwash sandy gravel within the paleo-channel tends to have elevated hydraulic conductivity and saturated thickness, and hence channelises local groundwater flow.

According to the database there are 29 bores in the Roxburgh aquifer area, although the completion status for most is marked as blank. Depth information is available for 23 bores, with depths ranging between 1.2 and 35m. There is reported SWL for 10 bores, which ranges between 4.75 and 18m. Screen information is only available for one bore, G43/0222, which is screened between 13.24 and 16.14m. The main uses include domestic, irrigation, and industrial.

4.2.6.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

There is currently one groundwater quality SoE bore monitored in the Roxburgh Basin, G43/0072 (150mm diameter). The bore is situated in a paddock 150m west of SH8 at NZTM E1310456 N4954944, approximately 5km north of the Roxburgh township. The total bore depth is 16.8m and there is no bore log or screen information available.

The comparisons of groundwater quality results against the DWSNZ shows that there were no exceedances of the E. coli (Figure 76) or dissolved arsenic (Figure 77) MAVs. Groundwater nitrate concentrations range between 3.4 and 5.5mg/L (Figure 78). These concentrations are lower than the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L, although the higher concentrations are approximately at ½ of it. There were also no ammonia concentrations that exceeded the GV of 1.5mg/L (Figure 79). The results suggest that, in relation to the drinking quality standard, there are no groundwater quality issues in the bore although it is prudent to watch the nitrate concentrations.

Figure 76: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Roxburgh Basin




Figure 77: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin




Figure 78: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin




Figure 79: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin





Figure 80: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Roxburgh Basin

The groundwater quality results were also compared against the RPW (Table 36) and the NPS-FM NOF (Table 37). The Roxburgh monitoring bore, G43/0072, is located in Group 2 of Schedule 15. The results show that the 80th percentile nitrate concentrations are approximately 70 times the Schedule 15 limits. The DRP 80th percentile concentrations is at the Schedule 15 limit (Figure 80). Conversely, ammonia concentrations are below the limit.

Table 36: 80th percentile values for water quality variables identified in Schedule 15.

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.010	0.100
G43/0072	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Roxburgh	5.320	0.010	0.011

The results were then assessed against the NPS-FM NOF, Table 37. Both the median and 95th percentile for nitrate are in Band C, with growth effects on up to 20% of species (mainly sensitive ones such as fish), with no acute effects (MfE, 2020). The median and maximum ammonia concentrations are A and B, respectively, with Band B providing 95% species protection level, with an occasional initial impact on the 5% most sensitive species (MfE, 2020). The median and 95th percentile for DRP are in Bands B and A, respectively. Ecological communities are slightly impacted by minor DRP elevation above natural reference conditions in Band B. If there are additional conditions that favour eutrophication sensitive ecosystems may experience additional plant/algal growth, loss of sensitive macroinvertebrate taxa and

higher decay and respiration rates (MfE, 2020). These results indicate potential issues with surface water quality, particularly regarding nitrates and DRP.

Table 37: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Roxburgh basin

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G43/0072	5	5.5	C	C
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median	Maximum
G43/0072	0.0025	0.113	A	B
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G43/0072	0.006	0.012	B	A

4.2.6.3 The Ettrick Basin

4.2.6.3.1 Aquifer information

The Ettrick basin is a sedimentary basin beneath the Ettrick Flats on both sides of the Clutha, which includes the lower reaches of the Bengier Burn and Ettrick township. The basin area is 14.3km² and is comprised of an unconfined Quaternary alluvium aquifer underlain by a thick mudstone sequence of the Tertiary Manuhereki Group coal measures which lies on the impermeable basement schist rock. The schist bounds the western and southern boundaries (ORC, 1995).

The basin is a sediment-filled topographic depression formed as a result of movement on a normal fault at its western boundary (a “half-graben”). In the case of the Ettrick Basin, the schist hills in the west moved upward while the schist basement rocks below the basin moved down. This early Pleistocene displacement allowed the preservation of the Miocene coal measures. Subsequent Pleistocene glacial outwash sedimentation over time created the Ettrick outwash terraces encountered today.

Bore log data indicate that the unconfined aquifer is between 4 and 30m thick and is comprised of boulders, cobbles, sandy gravels, silty gravels and clay bound gravels, which are interfingered with silt and clay lenses. The aquifer is around 30m thick along the western boundary (adjacent to the schist outcrop) and thins to around 4m in the east as it approaches the Clutha. However, the saturated aquifer thickness is only between 4 and 11m. The aquifer water balance is dominated by the Clutha and Bengier Burn. The Clutha is the main discharge zone for the aquifer (i.e. groundwater flows from west to east towards the Clutha). The Clutha probably does not play a major role in groundwater recharge, apart from episodic flood events. However, these are not sufficiently predictable nor regular to be included in the aquifer water balance (ORC, 2014c).

The Bengier Burn is another main source of recharge for the aquifer. The Burn is located in the rain shadow of the Umbrella Mountains and the upper Pomahaka, and is generally drier than the catchments to the south and west. However, its annual rainfall of 650-750mm in the hills and 600-650mm on the flats still exceeds the median rainfall of other catchments further north in Central Otago. Due to aspect and higher altitude the upper reaches of the North branch of the Burn receive higher annual rainfall than the South branch. The Burn loses a significant amount of water to the Ettrick Basin aquifer where it first meets the alluvial gravels on the flats. In order to gain a better understanding of natural gains and losses in the lower reaches, two flow stations were installed in the Bengier Burn in December 2011: Bengier Burn Booths is located up stream within the schist foothills west of the basin. The other station, Bengier Burn @ SH8 is positioned downstream, near the Burn/Clutha confluence (ORC, 2014c).

The data shows that, despite a baseflow of 60L/s at the (upper) Booths flow site, there was no surface flow at SH8 during the latter part of the irrigation season. However, although irrigation takes account for some of this loss, it is difficult to determine their impact due to issues with water metering. Furthermore, flow losses of >100L/s were observed during April/May 2013. These are not likely due to irrigation, which usually does not occur during these months. The data also shows gain in flow during winter and spring, likely due to increased flow from the southern branch of the Burn, which enters the main stem between the two gauging stations. Due to a combination of low rainfall and losses to groundwater in its lower reaches, the South Branch does not significantly contribute to surface flows in the Bengier Burn during the irrigation season. The difference in the flow between the two sites does not only show changes in flow between the two sites, but also provides insight into the Burn's contribution to the groundwater system. Some of the losses to the aquifer are probably associated with the lower reaches of the South Branch of the Burn, although there is no gauging data to support it. Most of the observed flow losses are probably recharging the aquifer, making the Burn a main source of the Ettrick basin. It is also likely that groundwater takes will further induce the loss of surface water from the Burn during the irrigation season (i.e. aquifer levels will fall and steepen the hydraulic gradient and induce further losses from the stream) [ORC, 2014c].

4.2.6.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

Groundwater quality in the Ettrick basin is monitored in two SoE bores. Bore G43/0009 (100mm diameter) is located southeast of the SH8-Clutha Road intersection, at NZTM E1317341 N4939478. The bore depth is 15.2m. There is no available bore log or screen depth information for this bore.

Bore G43/0224b (50mm diameter) is located at Marsh Road, approximately 1.13km west of the Clutha River, at NZTM E1316403 N4941145. The bore was drilled in 2017 as part of ORC's Ettrick Basin investigation. The bore log describes sand to 1.0m underlain by fine to coarse sand and gravels with a trace of silt to 5m. There is then gravels which coarsen with depth to 21.9m. The gravels are then underlain by mudstone to 22.17m. The bore contains two piezometers, both of which are screened in the gravel horizon. The shallower piezometer, G43/0224a, is screened between 9.73m and 12.73m and the deeper, G43/0224b, is screened between 17.33 and 20.33m. This bore was drilled for an ORC groundwater investigation project, during which it was sampled monthly between February 2017 and June 2018, after which the sampling became quarterly.

Groundwater quality results from the SoE bores were compared against the DWSNZ. The results show several E. coli exceedances in both bores, with a maximum of 18 MPN/100mL

(May 2017 and March 2019), Figure 81. Groundwater nitrate concentrations in both bores are below the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L. However, the concentrations in bore G43/0224b are high, ranging between approximately 6.9 and 9.1mg/L, where the upper end is near the MAV. The concentrations in bore G43/0009 are above the concentrations for natural groundwater (<2.5mg/L, Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012), ranging between 3.62 and 6.5mg/L, which exceed ½ of the MAV of 11.3mg/L (

Figure 82). There were no exceedances of the dissolved arsenic MAV in neither bore (Figure 83). There are no ammonia concentrations that exceed the GV of 1.5mg/L (

Figure 84).

Figure 81: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Ettrick Basin




Figure 82: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Ettrick Basin




Figure 83: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Ettrick Basin




Figure 84: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Ettrick Basin





Figure 85: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Ettrick Basin



The potential impact of groundwater quality in the bores on surface water was analysed against the RPW (Table 38) and (

Table 39). Bore G43/0224 is located in Group 1 of Schedule 15 whilst bore G43/0009 is in Group 2. The results show a high degree of non-compliance with the limits for nitrate, with both bores exceeding the respective limits by around 19 times. Bore G43/0009 also exceeds the 80th percentile concentration for DRP (Figure 85). Conversely, ammonia concentrations in neither bore exceed the limit (Table 38). This indicates potential impact on surface water quality, particularly in relation to eutrophication from nitrate and DRP.

Table 38: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Ettrick Basin

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Rohe	Aquifer	0.444	0.026	0.10
G43/0224b	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	8.340	0.005	0.005
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)				0.075	0.010	0.100
G43/0009	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Ettrick	4.960	0.013	0.010

Potential impacts of nitrate are also highlighted in the assessment against the NPS-FM NOF (

Table 39). This shows that the median concentrations in both bores are in Bands C (G43/0009) and D (G43/0224). Band D is below the National Bottom Line. It will impact growth of multiple species and starts approaching acute impact level (i.e. risk of death) for sensitive species. The 95th percentile for both bores is in Band C, where growth effects on up to 20% of the species is expected, though no acute effects (MfE, 2020). Median ammonia concentrations for both bores are in Band A, and both maximum concentrations are in Band B. This band provides 95% species protection level, with initial impact on the 5% most sensitive species occasionally. DRP concentrations in the bores are mixed, with median concentrations in bore G43/0009 in the B Band and in the A band for bore G43/0224. The 95th percentile for bore G43/0009 is in Band A whilst that of bore G43/0224 is in Band C, where ecological communities are impacted by moderate DRP elevated above natural reference condition. If other conditions that favour eutrophication occur DRP enrichment can increase algal/plant growth, loss of sensitive fish & macroinvertebrate taxa, and high respiration/decay rates (MfE, 2020).

Table 39: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Ettrick basin

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G43/0009	4.6	5.52	C	C
G43/0224b	7.9	8.66	D	C
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median	Maximum
G43/0009	0.005	0.38	A	B
G43/0224b	0.0025	0.062	A	B
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G43/0009	0.009	0.0198	B	A
G43/0224b	0.002	0.03195	A	C

4.2.6.4 The Pomahaka Basin

4.2.6.4.1. Aquifer information

The South Otago basins consist of the Pomahaka, Clydevale, Wairuna and Kuriwao basins. Of these, only the Pomahaka and Clydevale have SoE monitoring bores. The Southern Otago basins are composed of the Cretaceous to Cenozoic sediments deposited upon the Waipounamu Erosion Surface, of a complex fluvio-marine origin. The Hillfoot and Livingstone faults, along with a series of SE trending fault lines, traverse the Clydevale/Wairuna/Kuriwao basins. The basement rock north of the Livingston fault is comprised of fine to medium grained grey sandstone and mudstone whilst the basement south of the fault consists of coarse-grained volcanoclastic sandstone and mudstone. This layer is overlain by carbonaceous clay and deeply weathered clasts of quartz, greywacke, argillite, semischist and schist, which outcrop in the southwest area of the mapped Pomahaka Basin. There are also thin veneers of Quaternary gravel, moraines, and glacial till along the surface water bodies (ORC, 2014d).

The Pomahaka is the largest of the Lower Clutha catchments, with an area of 3,600km². The upper reaches are steep and dominated by tussock while the lower reaches mainly flow through pastoral rolling hill country. The headwaters of the river originate in the Umbrella Range and flow in a south west direction to the confluence with the Clutha near Clydevale.

The catchment climate is considered mild, with consistent rainfall throughout the year. The annual rainfall varies from around 700mm in the low latitude parts of the catchment to 1,400mm in the Blue and Umbrella Mountains. The rainfall contributes to higher river flow in the Pomahaka, with the recorded flow at Glenken (the Upper catchment) ranging between 0.8 and 480m³/second. This includes natural flushing flows, which are important for removing algae and the flushing of nutrients and sediment. Conversely, streams with a low frequency of flushing flows are susceptible to algal proliferations, particularly if they contain high concentrations of nutrients. The Pomahaka experiences around 8 flushing events per year, with this frequency generally exceeding that of streams in North/Central Otago. Soil profiles in the Pomahaka catchment vary with topography and elevation, with the rolling hill country of the lower catchment dominated by insoluble organic, pallic and grey soils. The more mountainous areas of the catchment have primarily semi-arid soils. The Pomahaka River also supports a regionally significant brown trout fishery (ORC, 2017a).

The predominant land cover in the Pomahaka catchment is high producing grassland followed by plantation forestry and native cover. Most of the intensive agriculture within the catchment takes place in relatively flat, rolling country through the middle and lower catchment and the river terraces that border the Clutha River. Land uses in the catchment have significantly changed over recent decades, with the number of dairy farms increasing from 38 to 105 between 1999 and 2008. These conversions typically occur in the middle and lower parts of the catchment, particularly around Tapanui, Heriot and Clydevale. Most farms are located in relatively low-lying areas with poor draining soils, which rely on artificial drainage such as tile drains. However, if not managed properly, tile drains can transmit a significant flow of nitrogen, phosphorus, and bacteria from grazed pastures to waterways. The tile drains also allow riparian zones to be bypassed (ORC, 2017a).

The Pomahaka and Kuriwao basins are referred to as unconfined gravel aquifers. The basins are largely comprised of hard rock and low yielding claybound gravel aquifers. Gravel with properties of an unconfined gravel aquifer exists along surface water bodies that traverse the basins. The unconfined gravel aquifers are more likely to be thin veneers of gravel, i.e. alluvial

ribbons, located along the flood plains of the meandering rivers. Although the western side of the mapped Pomahaka Basin comprises of late Quaternary gravels, logs suggest that the gravels are claybound and flow test data suggest that the gravels are low yielding. Some bore logs indicate that a semi-confined gravel lens is also present although this lens may not be continuous. Most bores in this area are located close to streams/creeks. However, due to the low yielding properties of the aquifer, the likelihood of increased groundwater use is low, hence, designating an aquifer zone around the claybound gravels was deemed unnecessary at the time (ORC, 2014d).

The piezometric surface was analysed for both the whole South Otago area and for each individual basin, with water level measurements obtained from bores screened in both alluvium and rock. The regional groundwater flow direction follows the contours of the land, with flow influenced by the Pomahaka and Clutha rivers. The local groundwater flow follows the contours of the land and flows towards discharging rivers and streams. (ORC, 2014d).

The Pomahaka Alluvial Ribbon aquifer is an unconfined aquifer located in South Otago and underlies the townships of Tapanui, Kelso, and Heriot. The aquifer area is around 250km² within the Pomahaka River basin with most of the aquifer lying between the river and the Blue Mountains, which form its eastern boundary.

Geologically, the aquifer is hosted in glacial deposits with overlying alluvial sediments and a thin strip of alluvial gravels along the river banks. The boundaries were identified based on topography, with the aquifer extent assumed to be limited to the sediments deposited in the river basin between the surrounding hills and mountain range. The geology of the Pomahaka Basin is dominated by surficial Pleistocene outwash gravels, moraines, and glacial till underlain by weathered and faulted gravels of the Wanganui series. There is also a thin ribbon of recent alluvium deposits along the Pomahaka River. These unconsolidated deposits are underlain by Eocene quartz sands, quartzite, clays, and lignite seams and beds of mudstone, sandstone and conglomerate, which also outcrop southwest of the aquifer area. The regional basement comprises of metamorphic rocks of Permian-Carboniferous age that outcrop north of the Pomahaka area and in the Blue Mountains to the east. It is inferred that the alluvial deposits are in hydraulic connection with the associated water courses whereas the elevated Early Quaternary Gravels are assumed to be in less hydraulic connection. Groundwater outflows from the Quaternary Gravels are likely to initially enter modern river terraces (ORC, 1999b).

Geological maps show the aquifer is divided into two principal basins of 82km² and 124km², with a combined area of 206km². These are referred to as the Kelso and Tapanui basins, for the towns that are located within each of them. The sides and floor of the sedimentary basins are couched in Permian – Mesozoic hard rock. Within the basins, the deepest sediments are mainly fine-grained sandstone, siltstone, claystone and lignite seams of Miocene age. Quaternary sands and gravels cover the basin floor with a thin veneer over the low permeability rocks and sediments of the basement and fine-grained sediments. The reported depth of the Quaternary deposits at the Kelso basin is between 7m and 47m (although these greater depth are rare). Conversely, this information is poorly known for the Tapanui basin. The basement rock divide between the Kelso and Tapanui basins also defines the groundwater yield, with low/insufficient yield from the thin, clay-rich Quaternary gravel deposits, which require deeper drilling into the fractured rock aquifer dominates the Tapanui Basin. Conversely, the Kelso basin tends to have deeper, more permeable gravel and clay-bound gravel deposits hence there is less usage of bores that abstract from fractures. The water table depth tends to be shallowest on younger terrace surfaces close to the main surface water

features such as the Pomahaka River and is deepest underneath the Early Quaternary Terrace Gravels towards the margins (ORC, 1999b).

Mapping and bore log information shows that bores located in the northern part of the aquifer were drilled through relatively thin sediments and are penetrating the underlying basement rock, with most bores screened in the rock rather than the shallow sediments. The sediment then becomes thicker to the south, with a maximum thickness of >47m (bore G45/0116, situated west of Kelso).

Aquifer pumping test results indicate a relatively low yield in the area, with Transmissivity (T) values that are more consistent with fine-medium sand than with gravels. This is likely to be explained by the frequent observations of clay and claybound gravels in many bore logs, which may infill pore space within gravel and effectively reduce aquifer hydraulic conductivity and Transmissivity. Mapping of the estimated aquifer Transmissivity suggest that the lowest values are found in the northern edge of the aquifer, where the gravel thickness is likely to be the narrowest. Conversely, higher Transmissivity values, of around 50m²/day, are found in the central part of the aquifer, near Kelso, where sediment thickness is greater and probably includes alluvial gravels. There is no information regarding the Transmissivity of bores located in the large area south of Tapanui. There is no information regarding the Storativity and the aquifer Specific Yield. Based on the low Transmissivity of the aquifer, it is likely that the specific yield will be relatively low, at around 0.1. However, it is required to conduct constant discharge pump tests in order to confirm that (ORC, 1999b).

According to the database there are 31 bores within the Pomahaka basin. The bores show a wide range in depth, between 4.4 and 78.5m. There is reported SWL for 26 of the bores, which ranges between 1 and 14.8m. Screen depth is reported for 12 bores, with depths ranging between 2.5 and 77m. Most screens lengths range between 1-3m. The main reported water uses include dairy shed, domestic, stockwater and irrigation (ORC, 1999b).

4.2.6.4.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

There are two groundwater quality monitoring bores in the Pomahaka basin. Bore G44/0127 (100mm diameter) is located approximately 880m northwest of the Paradise Flat/Ardmore Road intersection, at NZTM E1306679 N4910886. The bore depth is 5.2m. The bore log describes clay/topsoil to 1.0m underlain by blue/brown gravels to 4m. There is no information regarding the screen depth, however it is likely to be within the bottom gravel horizon.

Bore G44/0136 (50mm diameter) is situated in Glencoe, at NZTM E1310773 N4912370. The bore is situated approximately 5.5km west of the foothills of the Blue Mountains, near one of the tributaries of the Pomahaka. The tributary flows in a westerly direction to the south of Pebble Ridge and then flows toward the southwest, entering the Pomahaka south of Kelso. The bore depth is 5.5m. There is no bore log or screen depth information available for it.

The assessment of groundwater quality results against the DWSNZ showed some issues. E. coli in both bores were generally below the MAV, although some exceedances were observed, with a maximum count of 5 MPN/100mL (Figure 86). The last exceedances were measured in April 2018. The results went back down following the exceedance, suggesting it was a single event rather than an occurring trend. This suggests that there is some risk, albeit fairly low, of faecal contamination from the bores. However, the bores are very shallow, with poor borehead protection. Nitrate concentrations in both bores are below the DWSNZ MAV of

11.3mg/L. However, nitrate concentrations in bore G44/0127 range between 2.9 and 6.86mg/L, with the higher end of the results exceeding $\frac{1}{2}$ of the MAV (

Figure 87). No dissolved arsenic concentrations exceeded the 0.01mg/L MAV (

Figure 88). There are no ammonia concentrations that exceed the GV of 1.5mg/L (

Figure 89). The results are generally good in relation to the DWSNZ, although there is a degree of risk of faecal contamination and nitrates.

Figure 86: Groundwater E. Coli for the Pomahaka Basin





Figure 87: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Pomahaka Basin







Figure 88: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Pomahaka Basin



The results were then assessed against the RPW (Table 40) and NPS-FM (Table 41). Bores G44/0127 and G44/0136 are located in Group 1 of Schedule 15. The results show that bore G44/0127 is non-compliant with Schedule 15, with concentrations exceeding the limits by approximately 11 times. The DRP and ammonia are within the limits. The situation is opposite in Bore G44/0136, where DRP concentrations exceed the limits by approximately 4 times, with high increases in concentrations, ranging between 0.075 and 0.125mg/L, between October 2011 and June 2017 (

Figure 90). Nitrate and ammonia concentrations are compliant with the Schedule 15 limits.

Table 40: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Pomahaka Basin

Bore number	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N) [mg/L]	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP) [mg/L]	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N) [mg/L]
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	0.444	0.026	0.10
G44/0127	5.020	0.015	0.010
G44/0136	0.050	0.099	0.016

The assessment of groundwater quality against the NOF is shown in Table 41. This shows that bore G44/0136 is in Band A for both nitrate and ammonia. The median and 95th nitrate concentrations for G44/0127 are in Band C, where growth effects on up to 20% of species, mainly sensitive ones such as fish, are expected, although no acute effects. Both median and maximum ammonia concentrations are in Band A for bore G44/0136. The maximum ammonia concentrations for bore G44/0127 are in Band B, which provides 95% species protection level, with a start of occasional impact on the 5% most sensitive species. The results for DRP are poor for both bores, with the median and 95th percentile concentrations for G44/0127 in Band C and those of G44/0136 in Band D. Band C for DRP has moderate DRP concentrations above natural reference conditions that impact ecological communities. Band D is below the National Bottom Line for DRP, where ecological communities are impacted by substantial DRP concentrations above natural reference conditions. For both bands, if combined with other factors that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in macroinvertebrate and fish communities, as sensitive taxa to hypoxia are lost. These results show potential serious problems regarding the groundwater quality results from the Pomahaka bores, particularly regarding DRP and nitrates, with these potential impacts elevated due to the bores' shallow depths (circa 5m).

Table 41: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Pomahaka basin

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G44/0127	4.1	5.9455	C	C
G44/0136	0.005	0.05	A	A
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median -	Maximum
G44/0127	0.005	0.056	A	B
G44/0136	0.012	0.023	A	A
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
G44/0127	0.011	0.0325	C	C
G44/0136	0.019	0.1146	D	D

4.2.6.5 The Clydevale aquifer

4.2.6.5.1 Aquifer information

The Clydevale & Wairuna basins are located in the Clutha District. The basins are bounded by the Clutha and Pomahaka Rivers to the east, the Blue Mountains to the west and the catchment boundaries of the Wairuna and Waiwera Streams. The water table is generally shallow and groundwater movement usually follows topography. Rainfall infiltration is the main source of recharge for both basins, with around 2.5-3.5% of rainfall recharging the aquifer, hence most precipitation runs off as surface water. The main groundwater uses in the basins are dairy shed, stock water, and domestic supply (ORC, 2004).

The geology of the basins consists of the following features: massive occurrences of sandstone, mudstone and siltstone are found in the south whilst greywacke and argillite were observed from Clifton and to the north. Haast schist is found north of the area opposite the Tuapeka Mouth. There are also thin veneers of quartzose clay or silt bound gravels that occur opposite of Clydevale and extend towards the Pomahaka/Clutha confluence. There are also some alluvial outwash gravels adjacent to major rivers and in some low lying tributary stream areas. Bore logs confirm the prevalence of low permeability rock, which is different to the heterogeneous glacial/post glacial deposits common in Central Otago.

Despite the geological heterogeneity in the basin, the yield from these formations is very low. The hydraulic parameters and bore success rates (i.e. bores that yield satisfactory volumes/rates for either domestic, stockwater, or dairy shed supply) in both aquifers are very low, with the latter generally ranging between 40 – 87%. Due to the low Transmissivity and high drawdown in the aquifers, the radial separation between bores should be >350m, with

this distance based on the nominal yield for both basins (0.5L/s). Due to the low permeability of the strata in the area, most bores are deeper than 100m in order to intersect sufficient fracture flow that will permit groundwater abstraction and provide sufficient working drawdown (ORC, 2004).

4.2.6.5.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

There is one monitoring bore within the Clydevale basin area, No. G45/0225 (200mm diameter). The bore is located north of Wairuna Settlement Road near a tributary of the Pomahaka River that flows from Anise Hill towards the northeast at NZTM E1307731 N4897236. The bore log describes yellow clay and sandy silt to 0.7m underlain by brown gravels to 3.8m. There is then grey/blue mudstone to the bore bottom at 5.2m. The bore is screened between 3.2 and 5.2m, within brown gravels. Groundwater quality results from the bore were compared against the DWSNZ. The data indicates several issues, particularly related to elevated E. coli and nitrates. There were several exceedances of the E. coli MAV, with the highest count of 161 MPN/100mL, measured in November 2018. The other exceedances ranged between 3 and 9.8 MPN/100mL (Figure 91). Nitrate concentrations range widely, varying between 1.63 and 12.0mg/L, which exceeds the 11.3 mg/L MAV (June 2019),

Figure 92. The results indicate no samples above the MAV for arsenic (Figure 93). There are no ammonia concentrations that exceed the GV of 1.5mg/L (

Figure 94).

Figure 91: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Clydevale aquifer




Figure 92: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer




Figure 93: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer




Figure 94: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer





Figure 95: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Clydevale aquifer



The assessment against the RPW shows that groundwater concentrations in bore G45/0225 comply with the Schedule 15 limits for ammonia and DRP (Table 42). However, the nitrate concentrations substantially exceed the limits. Although compliant with the RPW limits, DRP concentrations are relatively high and are increasing, reaching 0.026mg/L in January 2015. It then flattened at 0.025mg/L until March 2016 where they generally dropped, reaching as low as 0.018mg/L. Despite the lower concentrations, there were also several increases between 2017 and 2019. These increases were close to the maximum concentrations measured prior to

2015 (i.e. around 0.023-0.025mg/L), Figure 95. These fluctuations and increases are a potential cause for concern. The assessment against the NPS-FM NOF also shows concern regarding DRP, where the median concentration is in Band D. Both median and 95th percentile nitrate concentrations are in Band C. Conversely, both ammonia concentrations are in Band A (Table 43).

Table 42: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Clydevale aquifer

Bore number	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N) [mg/L]	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP) [mg/L]	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N) [mg/L]
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	0.444	0.026	0.10
G45/0225	4.04	0.024	0.0118

Table 43: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Clydevale Aquifer

Bore no.	Nitrate		NOF Band	
	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
G45/0225	2.8	8.68	C	C
	Ammoniacal nitrogen			
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)		
G45/0225	0.0115	0.012	A	A
	DRP			
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)		
G45/0225	0.021	0.0256	D	B

4.2.6.6 Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer

4.2.6.6.1 Aquifer information

The Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer is situated in the Lower Clutha Plain, southeast of the Balclutha township. It is located on an alluvial floodplain bounded by gently rolling hills of between 60-100m. The plain is approximately 8km wide and 12km long, with an area of around 90km². The gradient across the plain is generally uniform, at <10m above Sea Level. The Clutha River divides into two branches downstream of Balclutha, the Matau and the Koau, which split the area to three blocks: Kaitangata, Inch Clutha and Paretai (although the Paretai block is located in the Catlins FMU). The water table is shallow and requires careful management.

The Lower Clutha Plain (delta) is impacted by tectonic activity with evidence for movement during Quaternary times. Late Cretaceous to early Cenozoic erosion surface is inferred to a

depth of around 200m below sea level underneath the delta. However, the extent and thickness of Tertiary sediments within the valley that are concealed beneath the Quaternary sediments is largely unknown. The Quaternary geology of the delta is of an alluvium filled valley formed by the inundation of the meandering Clutha River following changes in sea level. The main controls on the delta's landscape evolution were the position of sea level, and sediment transport by the river and at the coast, which combined to form river terraces of various ages and elevations. Throughout the Quaternary period, climatic fluctuations and the resultant glaciation advance/retreat sequences impacted the Clutha's sediment load flow and gradient. All Quaternary deposits consist of unconsolidated angular to well-rounded gravel and subordinate sand and mud. Post glacial (Holocene) floodplain deposits on the Lower Clutha Plain are fine-grained, well sorted and dominated by fine sand and silt and reworked beach sand mixed with peat near the coast. Near Paretai, there are remnants of older terrace gravels preserved up to 40m above sea level and overlain by around 5m of loess. Alluvial terrace deposits are sourced from locally derived sandstone clasts and are relatively clay rich, hence likely to have reduced permeability. The thickness of the Quaternary strata is unknown, however, it is likely to exceed 25m at the coastal zone. As deltas generally form when sediment-laden fluvial systems deposit bedload when entering an open water body, which leads to up-gradient coarsening where coarser, usually less well sorted, bedload is preferentially deposited (i.e. near the river-sea interface). Due to that, there is potentially a mid zone of permeability in the delta. The depth to the water table is generally shallow and under topographical control, between 0-6m below ground level. Shallower water table sites were measured on the plain itself while wells that are located on terraces have a slightly deeper water table. The aquifer is likely to be composed of sand, gravels, mud and peat. It is likely to form a hydraulically interconnected unit although it is also likely to be heterogenous, with variability in permeability and connectedness to surface water. However, the magnitude of aquifer-river interactions is unknown and there is very little information regarding aquifer properties in the area (ORC, 1998a).

The ORC database shows 9 bores in the Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer. Total depth information is available for eight of these, ranging between 9 and 155m. Despite this large range the variability in SWL is much narrower, ranging between 1.2 and 13.3m, indicating the shallow water table in the area. Screen depth information is available for 4 bores, which depths ranging between 8 and 18.5m. The screen lengths are approximately 1-2m. Groundwater use in the area is volumetrically low, with the main uses include domestic, stockwater, dairy shed wash and commercial. There are two consented groundwater abstractions in the area.

4.2.6.6.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

Groundwater quality monitoring in the Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer is monitored in two SoE bores. Bore H46/0118 (1,400mm diameter) is located north of Kaka Point Road, near the Otanomomo settlement, at NZTM E1349089 N4868050. The bore is situated approximately 2.1km west of the Koau Branch of the Clutha River. The bore is actually located in the Catlins FMU and is the only SoE monitoring bore in this FMU. However, as it abstracts from the Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer, the results were included in this section. The bore depth is 12m. There is no screen depth or log information available for this bore. Bore H46/0144 (100mm diameter) is located east of Kaitangata Highway, at NZTM E1354935 N4870284. The bore depth is 38m. The log describes gravels and clay to 4.5m underlain by grey clay to 20m. There is then 0.50m of fine white quartz underlain by grey clay to the well bottom at 37.8m. There is no screen depth information for this bore. However, the original bore log also states that the grey clays are underlain by gravels and sand at 37.8m to an unknown depth. Based on the provided bore

depth of 38m, it is reasonable to assume that the depth of gravels is at least 0.20m. The original log also states that the screen length is 1.5m, although the depth of the screen top is not provided. It is assumed that the uppermost screen depth is around 36.5m, although it may be deeper, which indicates that the total bore depth is greater than 38m. Nevertheless, this information suggests that the bore abstracts from the lower gravel/sands horizon and is confined by >20m of overlying clay layers. SWL data is available in Hilltop between December 2011 and June 2013. However, it is currently not possible to physically measure SWL in the bore. The reported SWL was usually around 1.10m below MP, with one exception of 6.91m in October 2012.

The groundwater quality results from the bores were analysed against the DWSNZ. Bore H46/0144 has issues with elevated dissolved arsenic, with most concentrations after 2010 exceeding the 0.01mg/L MAV, ranging between 0.014 and 0.020mg/L,

Figure 96. However, the bore owner confirmed that it is not used for drinking. All E. coli results from both bores were below the MAV (

Figure 97). Nitrate concentrations in both bores are much lower than the DWSNZ of 11.3mg/L MAV. Concentrations in bore H46/0118 generally range between 0 – 0.5mg/L, although higher concentrations of between 1.40 and 1.75mg/L were measured between June 2014 and June 2018. The concentrations in bore H46/0144 are lower, ranging between 0 and 0.25mg/L, Figure 98. Ammonia concentrations in bore H46/0144 range between 1.65 and 2.29mg/L (

Figure 99), which exceed the DWSNZ GV of 1.5mg/L. The results indicate groundwater quality issues regarding elevated arsenic and ammonia concentrations, although the latter can be possibly due to the bore location near a dairy shed.

Figure 96: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer




Figure 97: Groundwater E. Coli for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer




Figure 98: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer




Figure 99: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer





Figure 100: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Inch Clutha Gravel aquifer



Groundwater quality results from bore H46/0118 were also assessed against the RPW (Table 44) and the NPS-FM NOF (Table 45). The results from bore H46/0144 were not assessed due to the bore depth. Bore H46/0118 is located in Group 1 of the Schedule 15 receiving water groups. The results show noncompliance with the DRP threshold, with the 80th percentile concentration exceeding the limit by over 10 times (Figure 100). The nitrate and ammonia concentrations are below the limits.

Table 44: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Inch Clutha Gravel Aquifer

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Rohe	Aquifer	0.444	0.026	0.10
H46/0118	Clutha	Lower Clutha	Inch Clutha	0.282	0.270	0.013

The results were then assessed against the NPS-FM NOF, Table 45. The results indicate a similar pattern to the Schedule 15 assessment, with both the median and 95th percentile DRP concentrations in Band D, which is below the National Bottom Line. DRP concentrations at this band are substantially elevated above natural reference conditions and are impacting ecological communities. Combined with other conditions that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and hypoxia losses of sensitive taxa, causing significant changes in fish and macroinvertebrates communities. The 95th percentile nitrate concentration is in Band B, where some growth on up to 5% of species occurs (MfE, 2020). The median and maximum ammonia concentrations are both in Band A.

Table 45: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Inch Clutha Gravel

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
H46/0118	0.19	1.5105	A	B
	Ammoniacal nitrogen			
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)		
H46/0118	0.008	0.023	A	A
	DRP			
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)		
H46/0118	0.25	0.283	D	D

4.3 Dunedin & Coast FMU

4.3.1 FMU background information

The Dunedin & Coast FMU contains one groundwater quality monitoring bore, H45/0314, located in the Tokomairiro Plain GWMZ. The median results for DWSNZ and ecosystem health parameters from the FMU are shown in Table 46.

Table 46: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for Dunedin & Coast FMU

	Arsenic Dissolved (mg/L)	E-Coli (MPN/100mL)	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)
Tokomairiro Plain GWMZ	0.002	0.650	0.001	0.064	0.014

4.3.2 Tokomairiro Plain GWMZ

The Tokomairiro plain is an alluvial basin located approximately 60km southwest of Dunedin on SH1. The plain is approximately 4km wide and 14km long with an area of around 65km². It is bounded in the east and west by hills rising to around 350m. The climate is similar to Dunedin and the Taieri Plain with warm summers, cool winters, and a relatively even rainfall distribution of around 650-750mm/year. The prevailing wind direction is from the southwest.

The elevation of the plain is between 35-40m above sea level at Milburn (north) and Moneymore (south), with drainage from these points towards the centrally located Milton (via the east/west branches of the Tokomairiro River) and then southeast to the coast via the Tokomairiro River valley. There are also numerous tributaries that traverse the plain, with the area between Clarksville and Milton being prone to flooding and requires drainage management.

The main impediment to agricultural development has previously been the poorly drained yellow grey soils. Tile drainage has been an important aspect of development, which still continues as new drains and maintenance of the existing drain network. Landuse has been intensive sheep farming with some cropping. Some farms then converted to dairy in the 1990s, which accounted for around 25% of the plain's area (ORC, 1998b). Milton town is on reticulated water supply, sourced from the East branch of the Tokomairiro River, which replaced groundwater. However, residents remarked regarding the taste which may indicate high iron/manganese concentrations in the former groundwater source. Rural properties across the plains are supplied via the North Bruce scheme, apart from those who opted out, who generally still use groundwater and some rainwater collection. The scheme supply is deemed sufficient for sheep farming. However, it is not for dairying, which needed to be supplemented, usually using private, individual private bores (ORC, 1998b).

The Tokomairiro Basin is located within the easternmost fault angle depression or graben of the Otago basin and has a complex structural history, being dissected by several faults. The basement rock throughout the Tokomairiro Basin is composed of Otago Schist (part of the Haast Schist) overlain by Tertiary sediments where they have resisted erosion. Although the Tertiary deposits elsewhere can potentially include aquifers, they are unlikely to form variable aquifers in the Tokomairiro Basin. The Tertiary sediments are overlain by Quaternary

sediments, which appear to be continuous, with most of the wells in the area abstract from the shallower Quaternary sediments.

Three Quaternary sediments were mapped at Milburn. These include fan gravel, well-bedded pebbly gravels with grey reddish silts that dip up to 20° to the northwest. Alluvial deposits form the low terrace of the surface of the Tokomairiro catchment are differentiated from the more recent alluvium occurring in the incised valleys and streams. The older deposits, which are exposed on the banks of the Tokomairiro River, consist of slightly weathered, unconsolidated sand, silt and gravel. Much of the area is blanketed by 3-4m of loess, principally composed of silt sized quartz grains, which was deposited very recently during the last Glacial Advance (<30,000 years).

There are generally no springs or artesian flowing wells on the plain. That, and the generally consistent depth of the water table suggest an unconfined aquifer. However, there are several bores that exhibit a degree (although for some it may just be seasonally) of artesian flow, indicating that stratified, semi confined or perhaps confined conditions may occur in some parts of the plain and at greater depths. This is similar to the pattern observed in the Lower Taieri plain which has a similar geological history. Groundwater flow is determined by the surface drainage patterns and generally follows the surface topography. Groundwater from Milburn-Back Road area flows west to the North Branch of the Tokomairiro whilst groundwater in the Moneymore area flows north to the west branch of the Tokomairiro near the Clarksville-Milton reach. Groundwater will therefore naturally seep into the Tokomairiro throughout most of its length across the plain. There is no aquifer pump test information available from the area (ORC, 1998b).

According to the database there are 169 bores in the Tokomairiro Plain basin. There is total depth information for 103 bores, with depths ranging between 1.9 and 70m. The SWL information is for 22 bores, and ranges between 0.1 and 16.14m, which is similar to the information provided in ORC (1998b). Screen depth information is available for 5 bores and it ranges ranging between 0.8 and 44.11m. Screen lengths are usually around 1m. Bore logs are available for 15 bores. The main groundwater uses include stock water, domestic, irrigation, commercial and investigation.

4.3.2.1 Groundwater quality monitoring

Groundwater quality in the Tokomairiro Plain GWMZ is monitored at bore H45/0314 (125mm diameter). The bore is located west of the intersection between Limeworks Road and SH1 near Milburn, at NZTM E 1368244 N4892910. The bore depth is 11.28m. The bore log describes 2.1m of clay underlain by claybound gravels to 18.7m underlain by mudstone to 19.7m. However, the recorded bore depth is 11.28m. There is no screen depth information for this bore but it is likely to be screened within the clay bound gravel horizon, at a likely depth of around 9-10m.

Groundwater quality results from bore no. H45/0314 were compared against the DWSNZ. None of the results exceeded the E. Coli (

Figure 101) or dissolved arsenic (

Figure 102) MAVs. Nitrate concentrations are low, with a maximum of 0.0065mg/L, which is substantially lower than the 11.3mg/L MAV,

Figure 103. The ammonia concentrations are also below the GV,

Figure 104. These results do not suggest that there are any groundwater quality issues in this bore.

Figure 101: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Tokomairiro GWMZ




Figure 102: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ




Figure 103: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ




Figure 104: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ





Figure 105: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Tokomairiro GWMZ



The results were then assessed against the Schedule 15 limits (Table 47) and the NPS-FM NOF (Table 48). Bore H45/0314 is located in Group 1 of the Schedule 15 receiving water group. The results indicate potential issues with DRP, with the 80th percentile concentrations exceeding the limit by over five times. The DRP results were high, ranging between 0.0175 and 0.125mg/L between 2014 and 2017. Concentrations than fell after June 2017, ranging between 0.001 and 0.014mg/L,

Figure 105. This decline is potentially associated with a change in land use. The nitrate and ammonia concentrations are below the Schedule 15 thresholds.

Table 47: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Tokomairiro GWMZ

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 1 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Rohe	Aquifer	0.444	0.026	0.10
H45/0314	Dunedin & Coast		Tokomairiro	0.006	0.152	0.072

The results were then compared against the NPS-FM NOF, Table 48. The median and 95th percentile DRP concentrations are in Band C and D, respectively. DRP concentrations at Band D are substantially elevated above natural reference conditions and are impacting ecological communities. Combined with other conditions that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and hypoxia losses of sensitive taxa, causing significant changes in fish and macroinvertebrates communities. The median and maximum ammonia concentrations are both in Band B, where an adverse toxicity impact on the 5% most sensitive species begins to take place. This band provides a 95% species protection level (MfE, 2020). The median and 95th percentile nitrate concentrations are in both in Band A. These results indicate potential issues, particularly regarding DRP although concentrations appear to fall from the 2017 peak. Elevated ammonia concentrations seem to also present an issue, although to a lesser degree. It is therefore important to maintain a watch on groundwater quality at this site.

Table 48: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Tokomairiro GWMZ

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
H45/0314	0.001	0.01	A	A
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median	Maximum
H45/0314	0.064	0.088	B	B
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
H45/0314	0.0135	0.1715	C	D

4.4. The Taieri FMU

4.4.1 Background information

The Taieri FMU contains the catchment of the Taieri River, with an area of 5,650km². This FMU includes the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer, Strath Taieri Basin, and the Lower Taieri Basin (Figure 106). Background information on the FMU is provided in Section 4.4.1. Groundwater quality results for the FMU is provided in Section 4.4.2. Descriptions of the different aquifers and their groundwater quality results are provided for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer (Section 4.4.3), Strath Taieri aquifer (Section 4.4.4), and the Lower Taieri aquifer (Section 4.4.5).

The Taieri River is Otago's second largest, with a total length of 318km, the 4th longest in New Zealand. The Taieri originates in the Lammerlaw, Lammermoor and the Rock and Pillar Ranges in Central Otago. It then meanders in a north easterly direction across the Upper Taieri River Scroll Plain, a large natural wetland located in the centre of the Maniototo and Styx basins. This unique area contains nationally and regionally significant landscape and biodiversity values.


The Upper Taieri has two major catchments whose sizes exceed 1,000km²: the Logan Burn and the Kye Burn. The Logan Burn originates in the Rock and Pillar and Lammermoor Ranges. The Rock and Pillar Range is located to the east. It is about 20km in width and it extends north east from the Lammermoor range for around 45km. The highest peak on the Rock and Pillar, Summit Rock, is 1,450m above sea level (asl). This prominent feature divides the Upper and Strath Taieri, where the Taieri River collects tributaries from the ridge's western, northern, and eastern slopes. The Kye Burn originates in the Ida Range and Kakanui Mountains. These ranges contain the highest elevations in the catchment (Mount Ida, 1691masl and Mt. Pisgah, 1,643masl, respectively) and are snow capped for several months of the year.

Many of the tributaries from the surrounding ranges flow into the Taieri River through gorges and down alluvial fans located on the Maniototo Plain. The tributaries from Rough Ridge in the west include the Linn Burn and Totara Creek. Tributaries from the Rock and Pillar Range in the south include the Logan Burn, Sow Burn, and Pig Burn. Tributaries from the Ida Range in the north include the Kye Burn, Wether Burn, and the Hog Burn. The tributaries from the Kakanui Mountains in the northeast include the Swin Burn. From the Maniototo, the Taieri flows through an incised gorge and crosses the Taieri Plain, where it joins the catchments of Lakes Waipori and Waiholā, becoming tidal before flowing through another gorge and into the sea at Taieri Mouth, approximately 30km south of Dunedin (ORC, 2017a).

Rainfall throughout the Taieri catchment is highly variable and is especially low in Central Otago due to the Alps' rain shadow effect. The predominantly dry climate, combined with significant areas of low relief typical of the Upper Taieri, Maniototo, and the Strath Taieri Plains increases the need for irrigation. This intensifies landuse (e.g. arable cropping) and raises the pressure on water resources and quality. Rivers located in dry areas have low water yields, which reduces their dilution and flushing capacity. Land use intensification in these catchments can therefore increase their susceptibility to elevated nutrients and water quality degradation (ORC, 2017a). The Upper Taieri is one of the driest, coldest, and hottest areas in New Zealand, with a high temperature range of between 30 and -15°C in the summer and winter, respectively, recorded near Naseby and in the north of the Taieri catchment. The mean annual rainfall is highly variable, with a minimum of 396mm (Patearoa) and a maximum of 758mm (Dansey's Pass). The lowest rainfall is usually during winter and early spring, and the highest rainfall in December.

The region is dominated by catchments that receive very low mean annual rainfall (<500mm), with REC of predominantly cool/dry low elevation rivers and cool/dry hill rivers. The predominant land cover through the region is high producing grassland. The upper reaches of the Logan Burn and Kye Burn are steep and have moderate to severe physical limitations for arable cropping. These areas are dominated by low producing grassland and native cover. The ranges in the Upper Taieri catchment are also dominated by native cover. Land use intensity on low producing grassland have low nutrient leaching levels, which provide for good water quality.

Figure 106: Location of the Taieri FMU (red), aquifers (green) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots)



4.4.2 Summary of groundwater quality results

The median groundwater quality results for the DWSNZ and ecosystem health parameters for the FMU are shown in Table 49. The results indicate potential risk for faecal contamination, with bores in all three of the FMU's aquifers exceeding the E. coli MAV. There are also elevated nitrates in some bores, which exceed ½ of the MAV. Conversely, nitrate concentrations in other bores were within natural reference conditions. Elevated arsenic was measured in one sample in the Strath Taieri in 2009. However, it is likely that this was a single incident, potentially due to sampling or analytical error, and does not indicate continuous risk. The assessment against surface water quality limits is summarised in Table 50 and Table 51. This indicates potential issues, with exceedances of the Schedule 15 limits for nitrates in all aquifers, nitrates and DRP in two aquifers and ammonia in one. It is likely that some of these elevated results are due to monitoring bores being shallow, insecure, and located near high risk land uses (e.g. dairy farms or septic tanks). The potential impact on surface water quality is enhanced due to bores' shallow depth and proximity to surface water bodies.

Table 49: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the Taieri FMU

Aquifer	Ammonia (mg/L)	Dissolved Arsenic (mg/L)	DRP (mg/L)	E-Coli (MPN/100mL)	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)
Lower Taieri	0.009	0.001	0.015	0.500	1.530
Maniototo Tertiary	0.050	0.001	0.049	0.800	1.715
Strath Taieri	0.005	0.001	0.039	0.500	1.460

Table 50: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Taieri FMU

Bore no.	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
	50% (mg/L)		95%ile	Band
H43/0132	1.46	B	1.7	B
I44/0519	2.4	C	3.3	B
H42/0213	0.0495	A	0.2215	A
H42/0214	4	C	5.865	C
Bore no.	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
	50%	max	Median	95 th percentile
H43/0132	0.005	0.049	A	A
I44/0519	0.019	5.43	A	D
H42/0213	0.235	0.55	B	C
H42/0214	0.0025	0.25	A	B
Bore no.	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)		NOF Band	
	50%	max	Median	95 th percentile

Bore no.	Median	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
H43/0132	0.039	0.054	D	C
I44/0519	0.0025	0.0111	A	A
H42/0213	0.1125	0.1984	D	D
H42/0214	0.0425	0.04915	D	C

Table 51: Schedule 15 comparison results for the Taieri FMU

Bore number			Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Aquifer	0.075	0.010	0.100
I44/0519	Taieri	Lower Taieri	2.900	0.006	0.102
H42/0213	Taieri	Maniototo Tertiary	0.109	0.143	0.386
H42/0214	Taieri	Maniototo Tertiary	4.320	0.047	0.007
H43/0132	Taieri	Strath Taieri	1.600	0.048	0.010

4.4.3. The Maniototo Tertiary aquifer

4.4.3.1 Aquifer information

The Maniototo is a low-lying basin in Central Otago. It is surrounded by the Kakanui and Ida ranges to the north and east, the Rock and Pillar range to the south and the Rough Ridge range to the west. The elevation of the basin ranges between 300 and 600m above sea level and the surrounding ranges rising over 1000m. The defined aquifer area is 785km², making it the largest in Otago.

The Maniototo is drained by the Taieri River, which flows through the southern part of the basin and captures all surface drainage from the surrounding ranges. The river emerges from a rock gorge into the basin south of Patearoa. It then exits the basin south of Kokonga where it enters another rocky gorge. The topography between these two points is flat and the river is meandering, forming many oxbow lakes and marshes. Much of this land is included within the Upper Taieri Wetlands Complex, listed in the RPW as a regionally significant wetland.

The rainfall in the northern part of the basin, along the Ida Range and Kakanui Mountains, receives higher rainfall than the southern part. The surrounding ranges receive significantly higher rainfall than the basin, with a total of >2,000mm/year. Rainfall is concentrated in the summer months and some precipitation falls as snow during the winter. However, the annual Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) exceeds rainfall, often by >400-600mm. PET has a strong seasonal trend which reflects the hot summers and cool winters. The main land use in the basin is sheep and beef grazing. Due to the dry climate, irrigation is used to support pasture

growth for stock grazing. However, dairy farming has increased in recent years, particularly in the southern part of the basin. There is also forestry in the northern part, west of Naseby (ORC, 2014e).

The Upper Taieri/Maniototo area contains several important wetlands. The Upper Taieri Wetlands Complex includes three sub areas located on the Taieri River floodplain: the Styx (Paerau) basin Wetlands, the Maniototo Basin Wetlands, and Taieri Lake Wetlands. The Styx Basin wetland consist of a scroll plain landform of meanders, oxbows, old braids backwater and cut offs that stretch from near Paerau to Canadian Hut. It includes the 136ha Serpentine Wildlife Management Reserve. The Maniototo Basin Wetlands, downstream of the Styx Wetlands, are of similar landform and includes the 37.5ha Eden Creek Wildlife Management Reserve and the 44ha Halls Road Wildlife Management Reserve. The Taieri Lake Wetlands lie adjacent to the Taieri River, downstream of the Maniototo Wetlands. They encompass part of the 187ha Taieri Lake Recreation Reserve. The Belmont Inland Saline Management Area is a 20.4ha salt pan south of the Puketoi Road/ Puketoi Runs Road intersection.

The Maniototo Basin is underlain by greywacke of the Rakaia Terrane, typically composed of quartzofeldspathic sandstone and mudstone. The basin's shape was formed by a series of northeast trending folds and faults, some of which are still currently active. The basin geology consists of sedimentary rock of Miocene and Pliocene age (the Manuhereikia and Hawkdun groups) overlain by Quaternary sediments. The Manuhereikia group sediments were deposited in deltaic, fluvial and lake margin setting (i.e. low energy environment) and comprise of quartz, conglomerate and sandstone with minor sandstone and lignite seams. The Hawkdun Group overlies the Manuhereikia group and hosts the well indurated Maniototo Conglomerate that covers most of the area northeast of Ranfurly. The Quaternary sediments were deposited by fan or alluvial processes. The older Pleistocene materials that make up the terraces are composed of weathered gravel and sand with some loess cover. The more recent Holocene alluvium were deposited along river and stream valleys and are comprised of unconsolidated sands and gravels. The sediment thickness in the Maniototo basin was not determined in detail but data suggests that the depth to the (greywacke) basement ranges between 88 and >250m. Most of the sediments described in the logs are composed of mudstone or siltstone with thinner units of sandstone, conglomerate, and some lignite measures (ORC, 2014e).

The aquifer systems in the Maniototo are complex and can be divided to two main categories: shallow unconfined aquifer in the Quaternary sediments (Pleistocene and Holocene) and a deeper confined aquifer in the Cenozoic sediments (Neogene and Paleogene). The Pleistocene sediments are older and form the basin terraces whilst the younger Holocene alluvium deposits are located in flat valley bottoms adjacent to surface water bodies. Both are comprised of unconsolidated sands and gravels although they are also likely to contain lenses of finer material. These sediments form a permeable, unconfined aquifer that can be high yielding and normally consist of a shallow water table.

The deep confined aquifers of the Cenozoic sediments have a deep water table and are at times artesian. These sediments are comprised of siltstone/mudstone with minor sandy layers. Most of the artesian bores are found in the southern part of the basin, near the entrance of the Taieri River to the basin, in the central part, near the Eden Creek/Taieri confluence, and east of that area, near the crossing of the Taieri and Ranfurly Patearoa Road (near the Ewe Burn). There is also one artesian bore in the Kye Burn area (ORC, 2014e).

Groundwater flow direction is generally to the southeast, broadly following surface topography, and discharging into the Taieri River as baseflow. Previous studies on some of the streams that flow into the Taieri showed that some tributaries like the Kye Burn and Sow Burn

lose groundwater for a reach as the streams come out of the hillside and flow over the alluvial gravels. Flow is then re-established before the streams discharge into the Taieri River. This illustrates the importance of groundwater to the basin's hydrology, particularly during low flows (ORC, 2014e).

The catchment is considered fully allocated for surface water, although some storage potential may be available. Irrigation in the basin has grown significantly and the currently maximum take from the basin is around 1.41 million m³/year. Some of the bores are screened in the shallow Pleistocene/Holocene sediments whilst others are from deeper confined Paleogene or Neogene sediments. Irrigation takes from the deeper confined aquifer were only developed since 2003. The confined aquifers are likely to abstract from discontinuous lenses of sand and gravel. The discontinuous nature of these lenses makes it difficult to determine whether groundwater users are abstracting from the same aquifer, which makes aquifer management difficult. Additionally, there is also the risk of abstracting from a perched/very limited aquifer which can be overused and depleted. Although the unconfined aquifers are separated from the confined ones by low permeability aquitard materials, abstraction from the lower, confined aquifer may still impact the unconfined aquifer and surface water by inducing leakage (i.e. from the overlying aquifer, surface water, or at the margins of water bearing layers where the aquitard may thin out) [ORC, 2014e].

Available aquifer and hydrogeological properties information for the Maniototo is limited. The ORC database holds pumping test information for two bores: H42/0190 (86m deep, abstracting from the confined aquifer) and bore H42/0203 (5.5m deep, unconfined). The estimated Transmissivity ranges between 400-500m²/d. There are also specific capacity tests from 10 drilling logs, with inferred Transmissivity ranging between 4 and 618m²/day for the confined aquifer and between 3 and 465m²/day for the unconfined aquifer (ORC, 2014e). However, although these can provide an estimate of the aquifer permeability, they also strongly depend on bore construction, pumping duration and flow rate. The lack of such data highlights the need to obtain this information during consent application process.

Groundwater samples indicate similar composition to that of the Kye Burn, suggesting that groundwater are derived from the same source as the Kye Burn and that groundwater contributes to the Burn's baseflow. However, the data suggests potential for cation exchange processes, which, coupled with the mixing of shallow and deeper groundwater, will continue to provide additional variation of these parameters. Water mixing can be due to bore construction across different strata, upwelling of deeper groundwater from the hills as it discharges to the Kye Burn (and the Taieri) and the proximity of bores to geological faults. Some bores that abstract from the Tertiary sediments, which are usually deeper than 30m, may also represent older groundwater with longer residence time (ORC, 2014e).

The main sources of groundwater recharge in the basin are rainfall, irrigation excess and surface water recharge. Rainfall recharge was assessed by ORC (2011). The mean annual recharge for the 38 year model period is 40.6mm, or 31.6million m³/year. The data shows strong variability with variation of between 2 and 160mm recharge/year, which reflects variation in the timing and depth of annual rainfall. The rainfall recharge is around 6% of the annual rainfall and the highest recharge is on average during the winter months. Irrigation returns were not included in the model (ORC, 2011).

The Maniototo Basin is fully drained by the Taieri. The total volume of groundwater abstractions minus any losses to groundwater through evapotranspiration is likely to result in net flow loss for the Taieri after a sufficiently long duration for a steady state condition to occur. Hence, any groundwater takes in the basin can potentially decrease baseflow to the

Taieri. After pumping starts, the stream depletion rate can slowly increase. The duration of reaching maximum depletion rate can range between hours and decades and it depends on the aquifer properties and the distance of the bore from the stream. This is the time lag or lag effect. In some circumstances, stream depletion can continue to increase even after pumping stops (ORC, 2014e).

For the shallow Quaternary unconfined aquifers in the Maniototo, stream depletion is a function of the distance from the stream and the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer and stream bed. For the Neogene/Palaeogene confined aquifers, the limited hydraulic connection with the surface water due to the aquitard between the aquifer and stream bed may further increase the lag effect. Hence, takes from the confined aquifer, even close to the stream may have a significant time lag. Therefore, despite any provisions designed to consider surface water depletion by groundwater takes in the RPW, these will not be effective in addressing the potential for long term baseflow depletion for the Taieri, which is significantly over-allocated. Any further groundwater abstraction from the Maniototo Basin is therefore likely to contribute to further worsen the situation

According to the database there are 201 bores in the Maniototo basin. Total depth information is available for 143 of these, with recorded depths ranging between 0.25 and 205m. The SWL information is available for 64 of the bores, with the reported SWL ranging between 0.04 and 34.6m. There is screen information for nine of the bores, with the reported top of screen depth ranging between 2.6 and 41.41m. Bore logs are available for 34 of the bores. The main groundwater uses include domestic, irrigation, stock water, exploration and monitoring.

4.4.3.2 Groundwater quality measurements

Groundwater quality in the Maniototo is monitored in two bores, both of which were drilled in October 2014. Bore H42/0213 (150mm diameter) is located south of the Maniototo Road-Gimmerburn-Waipiaata Road intersection, at NZTM E1366536 N4993063. The bore depth is 5.6m. The bore log describes coarse brown gravels to 3.8m underlain by blue clay and silts to the bore bottom at 5.6m. The bore is screened between 2.6 and 5.6m, within the gravels and clay/silts horizon. The bore is located very close to Eden Creek. The SWL in this bore ranges between 0.6 and 1.38m below MP, with an annual fluctuation of around 0.35m. The lowest levels are usually measured in late summer (March) and the highest in spring or early summer. There does not appear to be a continuous decline in water levels.

Bore H42/0214 (150mm diameter) is located east of the Sow Burn at the Patearoa-Ranfurly/Greer Road intersection, NZTM E1369602 N4987279. The bore depth is 9m. The bore log describes top soil to 0.4m underlain by alternating horizons of gravels and sand to 5m, whose depths range between 0.5 and 2.0m. There is then silt/silty clay to 7m. These are underlain by silty clay with minor angular quartz gravel underlain by silty fine sand with some clay and gravels down to the well bottom at 9m. The bore is screened at a depth of between 6.0 and 9.0m, within silty clay, where the bottom 1.5m also have some gravel and sands. The overlying silt/clay layer is likely to provide some degree of confinement. Groundwater levels in the bore range between 1.20 and 2.19m below MP. The annual range in water levels in the bore is usually between 0.70 and 0.80m although the range in 2018 was lower at around 0.20m. The lowest water levels are usually in late summer and the highest during spring/early summer. Due to their shallow depths, levels in the bores are likely to be strongly responsive to rainfall.

Groundwater quality results from the bores were assessed against the DWSNZ. The results indicate a high potential for faecal contamination and elevated nitrates. The exceedance of the E. Coli MAV in bore H42/0213 included high counts of 450 (March 2016) and 1,300 (December 2018) MPN/100mL. The results from bore H42/0214 also show some E. Coli exceedances, albeit at a lower count, with a maximum of 79MPN/100mL (December 2018), Figure 107. Nitrate concentrations in both bores are lower than the MAV of 11.3mg/L. However, concentrations in bore H42/0214 range between 3.6 and 6.8mg/L, with the upper ends of this range exceeding $\frac{1}{2}$ of the MAV. The concentrations in bore H42/0213 are much lower, ranging between 0.002 and 0.230mg/L, Figure 108. Dissolved arsenic concentrations in neither of the bores exceeded the MAV of 0.01mg/L, Figure 109. Ammonia concentrations in both bores are lower than the GV of 1.5mg/L, Figure 110.

Figure 107: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer




Figure 108: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer




Figure 109: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer




Figure 110: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer





Figure 111: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer



The results were then assessed against the Schedule 15 limits (Table 52) and the NPS-FM NOF (Table 53). Both bores are located in Group 2 and are shallow, hence, interaction with surface water can adversely impact surface water quality. The results indicate potential water quality issues, with the 80th percentile nitrate and DRP concentrations in both bores exceeding the Schedule 15 limits. The nitrate concentrations in bore H42/0214 exceed the limit by around 57 times and by around two times in bore H42/0213. The DRP concentrations in bore H42/0213 and H42/0213 exceed the limit by approximately 14 and 4 times, respectively, Figure 111. Ammonia concentrations in bore H42/0213 exceed the limit by 3.86 times. The concentrations in bore H42/0214 are below the threshold.

Table 52: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer

Bore number				Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Rohe	Aquifer	0.075	0.010	0.100
H42/0213	Taieri		Maniototo Tertiary	0.109	0.143	0.386
H42/0214	Taieri		Maniototo Tertiary	4.320	0.047	0.007

The results were also compared against the NPS-FM NOF, Table 53. The assessment shows poor results, particularly for DRP, with the median concentration for both bores in Band D and the 95th percentile concentration in Band D for bore H42/0213 and Band C for bore H42/0214. DRP concentrations at Band D are substantially elevated above natural reference conditions and are impacting ecological communities. Combined with other conditions that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and hypoxia losses of sensitive taxa, causing significant changes in fish and macroinvertebrates communities. The median and 95th percentile nitrate concentrations for bore H42/0214 are in Band C, with growth effects on up to 20% of (mainly sensitive) species but no acute effects. The concentrations for bore H42/0213 are both in Band A. The median and maximum ammonia concentrations for bore H42/0213 are in Bands B and C, respectively. Ammonia concentrations in Band C provide 80% species protection level, with a start of regular impact (reduced survival rate) of the 20% most sensitive species. The maximum concentration for bore H42/0214 was in Band B which provides 95% species protection level and a start of impact on the 5% most sensitive species.

Table 53: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Maniototo Tertiary Aquifer

		Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	95 th ile	Band	
H42/0213	0.0495	0.2215	A	A	
H42/0214	4	5.865	C	C	
		Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	Max.	Median	max	
H42/0213	0.235	0.55	B	C	
H42/0214	0.0025	0.25	A	B	
		DRP (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile	
H42/0213	0.1125	0.1984	D	D	
H42/0214	0.0425	0.04915	D	C	

4.4.4 The Strath Taieri basin

4.4.4.1 Aquifer information

The Strath Taieri basin is located between the Rock and Pillar Range to the west and the Taieri Range to the east. The basin length is approximately 20km and it is around 10km wide, with an area of approximately 200km². The basin floor elevation ranges between 200-300masl with the Rock and Pillar rising to a maximum elevation of 1,450m at Summit Rock, around 5km west of the basin. The hills to the east are lower and gentler, with the Taieri Ridge, located along the north eastern side of the basin, reaching 708m and the hills to the southeast/South range between 300-500m.

Surface water drainage consists of the Taieri River, which flows through the basin, and numerous tributaries that drain the adjacent hills. The Taieri enters the basin from a rocky valley to the north and, after meandering along the east side of the basin, turns to the southeast and flows into the Taieri Gorge. The dominant tributary drainage is from west to east with several streams coming off the Rock and Pillar and flowing across the basin into the Taieri. Some of these disappear into alluvial soils before emptying into the Taieri. However, the larger streams are perennial.

The Strath Taieri is characterised by warm summers and cold winters. The mean annual rainfall on the valley floor is around 600-700mm. However, evaporation is also high, at around 500mm/year, which makes the valley prone to drought during dry years. Conversely, the Rock and Pillar range has much higher annual rainfall, at around 1800mm, with evaporation of around 400mm. Hence, even though the valley floor may be dry, flow will remain in many streams that drain the Rock and Pillar range.

The Strath Taieri is a tectonic basin that was formed by the faulting/folding of the Otago Schist basement rocks. The schist age is late Palaeozoic to Mesozoic. During the late Mesozoic-early Cenozoic it was eroded to a relatively flat surface, or a peneplain. This surface was formed in Central Otago during the late Cenozoic either by faulting, folding or both forming a series of northeast trending ridges and valleys that include the Rock and Pillar Range, the Taieri Ridge and the Strath Taieri basin (ORC, 1997c).

The Central Otago ridges, which include the Rock & Pillar and the Taieri Ridge, are asymmetric, with shallower slopes on the west side and steeper slopes in the east. The valleys, including the Strath Taieri basin, are asymmetric in the opposite sense, hence the basement is likely to be deepest along the west side of the valley (i.e. near the steep ridge). The basin was filled with sediment that eroded off the surrounding ridges, mainly the Rock and Pillar. The sediments are predominantly sand and gravels, which become coarser toward the western side of the basin, near the steep slope of the Rock and Pillar. Another source of sediment was deposition from the Taieri River. These fluvial sediments are finer, i.e. fine sands and silts, which were deposited in the slow moving meanders of the river. The resulting soil profile is likely to be a complex system of interlayered lenses of gravel, sand, silty sand and silt (ORC, 1997c).

The areal extent of the sediment is generally between Sutton in the south and approximately 4km north of the Old Rock and Pillar railway station. It is bounded from the east and west by the Taieri River and the Rock and Pillar range, respectively. The sedimentary cover outside of this area is very thin or absent and schist tors are common. To the east, alluvial fans along the base of the Rock and Pillar rise to around 300-400m above sea level, while in the north of the basin sediments do not extend far beyond the old Rock and Pillar station.

The soil throughout the basin area is generally silty sand and gravel, where lenses of finer material are interlayered with coarser material. When holes are dug below the water table the water seems to enter the hole from preferential flow paths as opposed to flow uniformly around the hole. There are also numerous iron pans and minor perched water table observed in an exploration trench west of Middlemarch. Silty soil dominates some areas, where it is difficult to obtain water, at least in shallow wells. Anecdotal information suggests that it is difficult to obtain water on the east side of the railway tracks in Middlemarch township. This area appears to be formerly boggy, with heavy, low yielding soils. These areas can be the remnants of former oxbow lakes or meanders formed during previous flow of the Taieri and deposited finer sediment (ORC, 1997c).

It appears that the Strath Taieri basin contains a single unconfined aquifer that consists primarily of silty gravel. It also contains iron pans and silt lenses that form perched water tables, locally confined aquifer conditions, and channels of preferential groundwater flow. This suggests a fairly heterogeneous aquifer with potential for depletion of perched wells. The water table is shallow across much of the valley, at <5m below ground level, but is deeper to the west and locally deeper beneath localised pockets of silt.

The groundwater system in the Strath Taieri is similar to those in the Maniototo, with an unconfined sedimentary aquifer receiving direct rainfall recharge that is augmented by leakage from streams around the basin's edges. Groundwater in the basin generally flows to the east/southeast, parallel to the direction of surface water, before discharging into the Taieri. The horizontal hydraulic gradient, i.e. the slope of the water table, ranges from 0.006 (0.6%) in the southern part to around 0.016 (1.6%) in the northern part of the basin. The hydraulic gradient is around half the gradient of the land surface, hence the water table is deeper in the western part of the valley (i.e. near the steeper side of the Rock and Pillar). Similar to the Maniototo, allocation issues arise due to the close groundwater-surface water interaction, with surface water being fully allocated in the area (ORC, 2006).

According to the ORC database there are 101 bores (completed/blanks status) in the Strath Taieri basin. There is depth information available for 63 of these, with the recorded depths ranging between 2.3 and 103.70m. There is reported SWL data for 20 bores, with the SWL ranging between 0.8 and 35.35m. Screen depth information is available for three of the bores, with recorded top of screen depth ranging between 4.39 and 21.7m. The main groundwater uses include domestic, stockwater, irrigation and commercial supply. Bore logs are available for 14 bores in the basin. Four of the bores have abstraction consents.

4.4.4.2 groundwater quality monitoring

Groundwater quality monitoring in the Strath Taieri basin is monitored in one bore, H43/0132 (155mm diameter). The bore is located at Swansea Street, Middlemarch, at NZTM E1375278 N4957866. The bore depth is 9.10m. There is no lithological log or screen information available for this bore.

Groundwater quality results from the bore were analysed against the DWSNZ. The results show several exceedances of the E. coli MAV, notably with readings of 80 MPN/100mL (December 2011), 30 MPN/100mL (September 2016), and 9 MPN/100mL (December 2013), Figure 112. This indicates some contamination issues with the bore, although the exceedances are also likely to be due to poor bore security. The dissolved arsenic results show one exceedance, with a concentration of 0.03mg/L (March 2009), which is three times higher than the MAV, Figure 113. However, all the other arsenic results are substantially lower than the MAV, suggesting that the exceedance was an isolated event, potentially due to analytical error. Nevertheless, it is important to keep monitoring arsenic concentrations in this bore. All nitrate concentrations are below the MAV of 11.3mg/L, with most samples ranging between approximately 1.0 and 1.7mg/L. The maximum concentration was 4.7mg/L (December 2012), which is slightly below ½ of the MAV,

Figure 114. Ammonia concentrations were below the aesthetic GV of 1.5mg/L, Figure 115. These results indicate some issues with faecal contamination and potential issues with elevated arsenic. However, the latter is likely to have been a single incident.

Figure 112: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Strath Taieri basin




Figure 113: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin




Figure 114: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin




Figure 115: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin





Figure 116: Groundwater DRP concentrations for the Strath Taieri basin

The results were then analysed against Schedule 15 of the RPW (Table 54) and the NPS-FM NOF (Table 55). The results show that the 80th percentile DRP concentrations exceeded the limits by around four times. Groundwater DRP concentrations fluctuated over the monitoring period, falling from around 0.058mg/L in 2008 to around 0.02 in July 2014. It then rose again, reaching 0.054mg/L in April 2016. It then fell to around 0.02mg/L in September 2016 followed by an increase to 0.051mg/L in March 2017. It then continued fluctuating between approximately 0.04 and 0.01mg/L (

Figure 116). Nitrate concentrations are over twice the Schedule 15 limit. The ammonia concentrations are below the limit. These results indicate potential water quality issues through the elevated nitrate and DRP concentrations. This risk is further exacerbated due to the bore's shallow depth and proximity to Dewar Stream.

Table 54: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Strath Taieri Aquifer

Bore number			Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Aquifer	0.075	0.010	0.100
H43/0132	Taieri	Strath Taieri	1.600	0.048	0.010

The assessment against the NPS-FM shows similar results, with the median and 95th percentile DRP concentration in Bands D and C, respectively. This indicates that DRP concentrations are substantially elevated above natural reference conditions. Combined with additional factors that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in fish and macroinvertebrate communities as taxa that are sensitive to hypoxia are lost. The median and 95th percentile nitrate concentrations are in Band B, where some growth effect on up to 50% of species is occurring (MfE, 2020).

Table 55: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Strath Taieri Aquifer

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	median	95 th percentile
H43/0132	1.46	1.7	B	B
	Ammoniacal nitrogen		NOF Band	
Bore number	median (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Median	Maximum
H43/0132	0.005	0.049	A	A
	DRP		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile (mg/L)	Median	95 th percentile
H43/0132	0.039	0.054	D	C

4.4.5 The Lower Taieri basin

4.4.5.1 Aquifer information

The Lower Taieri groundwater Basin forms a distinct hydrological unit, surrounded on all sides by low permeability rock. Its only connections to the wider Taieri catchment are the Taieri River, Silver Stream, Waipori River and the Henley Gorge section of the Taieri River. The area has been extensively drained since European settlement in the mid 1800s, transforming its

former predominant marsh/wetland character to grazed pasture. These changes have substantially altered the Lower Taieri's hydrology, including an increase in the demand for using groundwater to supplement the existing surface water resources. The understanding and usage of groundwater in the basin has increased over recent years. For instance, the town supply for Mosgiel, alongside many rural dwellings, are sourced from groundwater. Groundwater also significantly impacts the local ecology of the modified Lower Taieri by supporting seepage to drains, lakes and wetlands (ORC, 2010c)

The Lower Taieri plain lies in a north east trending tectonic depression that is around 40km long and 5-10km wide. It extends from Abbott's Hill in the northeast for around 30km to lakes Waipori and Waihola in the southwest with a total area of around 210km². The plain ranges in elevation from 40m ASL at the northern end to around sea level at Waipori and Waihola lakes in the southern end. The southern end of the basin is separated from the Tokomairiro Basin by a low bedrock divide near Milburn.

The Quaternary geology of the Lower Taieri reflects the depositional and tectonic influences of the last 2 million years. The basin's origin is tectonic, since the western and eastern basement blocks become up-thrust relative to the basin floor through the tectonic action of faults at the margins. The basin floor has also subsided and the outlet to the sea was only kept open through the down cutting processes of the Taieri River as the seaward hills were upfaulted. The basin floor is currently inferred to be around 300m below sea level and the basin was consequently filled with Tertiary and Quaternary sediments since the reactivation of the eastern bounding Titri Faulting.

The resulting basin is filled with sediment from both the Quaternary and older Tertiary periods (65 – 2 million years BP). Following the post glacial sea level rise between 4000-8000 years BP, the basin was rapidly inundated by the sea and estuary water. All the West and much of East Taieri became a coastal embayment or estuary as far up the basin as the Mosgiel outskirts. This newly embayment/estuary was filled with silty sediments, which resulted in the deposition of a consistently silty/clayey sand lower permeability layer in the shallow basin sediments, commonly termed the "Waihola Silt-Sand". This horizon thickens up to 25m in the southwest towards the basin exit. Groundwater in the gravels underneath this Waihola Silt-Sand is semi-confined. Hence, this Waihola Silt-Sand deposit is significant in dividing the groundwater system into vertically segregated compartments. These are underlain by gravel-dominated Quaternary sediments, identified based on available bore logs that tap the top of the semi-confined aquifer alongside deeper bores. Information suggests that there are two aquifers beneath the Waihola Silt-Sand: the "confined Mosgiel-Momona aquifer" and the "Henley Deep aquifer". The base of the Henley Deep aquifer is deeper than 154.3m BGL (the bottom of the Waipori 99-1 bore). The confined Mosgiel-Momona Aquifer was also encountered in an investigation bore at the airport (I44/0921). Although the drilling stopped at the top of the Henley Deep Aquifer, a marker horizon of the Waipori (lignite) aquitard common to the Henley and the Mosgiel-Momona aquifers was logged at the same stratigraphic level as in bore Waipori 99-1. The lignite divided the confined Mosgiel-Momona and the Henley Deep aquifers.

The Quaternary sediments in the Mosgiel area are more variable than those in the West Taieri area. The Waihola Silt-Sand extends into the East Taieri, perhaps as far as Riccarton Road, though not as far as Mosgiel. Logs from the Mosgiel-Wingatui areas show rapid transitions from one lithology to the next and generally poorer sorting, with logs with indefinite descriptions (e.g. silty sandy clay-bound gravel) more common in the Mosgiel District. These poor sorting and high sediment variability is inferred to be due to the proximity of mixed

sediment sources, e.g. the Silver, Mill and Owhiro Streams, hill slope outwash channels, and flood flows.

The main surface water bodies of the Lower Taieri plain are the Taieri River, Silver Stream and the Waipori River, which enter the plains from schist rock gorges. Other prevailing hydrological features are Lakes Waipori and Waiholo, the intervening wetland complex and drainage creeks and channels.

The climate of the Taieri Plain is sub humid, with precipitation of around 700-800mm on the plain and up to 1200 in the Maungatua Range. Precipitation distribution is relatively even with winter being the driest. Potential evapotranspiration at the airport was around 780mm/year.

The Lower Taieri plains are mainly covered with grass paddocks, except for the two small wetlands in East Taieri and the Waipori-Waiholo Wetland complex. Most of the area outside the wetlands (i.e. under artificial drainage) is used for cattle grazing with some market garden and berry cropping around Outram. Farming ranges from large commercial dairies to small life style blocks. There are also some processing, manufacturing and service industries on the plains alongside urban/semi urban areas in Mosgiel, Kinmont, East Taieri, Allanton and Outram.

The main groundwater uses are domestic, stock, dairy shed and irrigation supplies. Irrigation is relatively low and most abstraction takes are for insurance against dry years. The highest takes by volume are for the Mosgiel town supply followed by abstraction and re-injection of groundwater used in 'ground source' heat exchanges at Dunedin Airport.

Groundwater is found throughout the Quaternary sequences, however, higher volumes and yields tend to be correlated with coarser deposits (sands, sandy gravel and coarse gravels). The Lower Taieri basin is characterised by larger sediment grain size segregation (i.e. better sorting) in West Taieri and higher variability and poorer sorting in East Taieri.

The Mosgiel and North Taieri areas are underlain by an unconfined aquifer, in contrast to the semi-confined conditions found further down gradient on the plains. This is supported by the following observations: High – moderate nitrate concentrations at depth, indicating the infiltration of soil drainage, a consistently downward vertical groundwater pressure gradient, and an absence of laterally continuous and recognisable confining layer. The water bearing layers in East Taieri tend to be more highly stratified with short pumping tests suggesting a degree of semi confined conditions. However, regarding longer term behaviour, the groundwater response is closer to a stratified, unconfined aquifer. There are several areas with flowing artesian conditions at the East Taieri and the lower West Taieri Drainage area. A reduction in flowing artesian conditions may be the impact of land drainage, causing long term drawdown. The mapping of bore depths suggests that most bores are <40m deep, but there are also deeper ones. The greatest depths to groundwater are found in deeper bores in North Taieri and the shallowest depth to water is beneath lower lying, drained areas (ORC, 2010c).

Water level surveys indicate elevated groundwater heads in the North Taieri area, north of Mosgiel, which decline with distance to the southwest. This is similar to the surface topography of the Taieri plains, with ground level of around 30m amsl north of Mosgiel which grades down to a couple of metres of sea level in the southwest (Henley). Several distinct transitions were noted within this pattern (ORC, 2010c):

- the abstraction from the Mosgiel bores over many decades seems to have induced the formation of a hollow in the groundwater surface, where groundwater converges from upgradient recharge areas.

- School Swamp at East Taieri functions as a discharge zone for this part of the groundwater system and a similar convergence of local groundwater level contours.
- The Taieri River appears to control the shape of the groundwater level surface
- The West Taieri Drainage scheme produces a large scale deflection of the groundwater level surface.
- The Lake Waipori/Waihola Wetlands Complex is a strong local control on adjacent groundwater levels.

There are several aquifer tests available from the Lower Taieri basin. The first is a 72 hours test for the Wingatui bore (I44/0089) when it was pumped at 26L/s in July 1947. The test data was re-analysed recently by ORC using steady drawdown-distance data and the Transmissivity was calculated for the screened water bearing layers (18-53m depth). The derived Transmissivity was around 380-400m²/day.

The Outram bridge well field unconfined aquifer has very high Transmissivity, ranging between approximately 14,500 and 17,000m²/day. However, these parameters solely relate to the DCC Outram well field, which is believed to be a restricted zone of higher permeability. Exploratory drilling and geophysics indicated that the higher permeability conditions declined rapidly approximately 200-300m downstream of the Outram Bridge. The transmissivity of water supply bores in Mosgiel, derived from pumping tests conducted between 1970 and 1990, ranges between 80 and 1,250m²/day. This indicates a high range in transmissivity values. However, there is a bias in the results, as only the most efficient/high yielding bores were tested, hence this range over represents higher permeability zones of the groundwater system. The tests estimated a Storativity of around 1×10^{-4} and estimated leakage of around 2×10^{-4} /day, indicating semi-confined conditions. Estimated aquifer parameters are also available for the West Taieri area, where bores seem to penetrate through the Waihola Silt-Sand aquitard and into the top of the Mosgiel-Momona Confined Aquifer. The transmissivity ranges between 740 to 1,530m²/day and the Storativity is around 1.2×10^{-4} to 3×10^{-4} . The Mosgiel-Momona confined aquifer, where bores are around 30m deep, had Transmissivity ranges between 1200 and 1,600m²/day. However, more closely supervised tests on fully penetrating bores derived T range between 650 to 850m²/day and a Storativity range of 9×10^{-3} to 7×10^{-4} . It is inferred that the lower and narrower range in aquifer Transmissivity results for the deeper bores is a partial consequence of the newer test bores being fully penetrating. Furthermore, these latter tests also indicate a much lower variability in hydraulic properties in the West Taieri aquifer area than in the Mosgiel area, which is consistent with observations of the sedimentary geology (ORC, 2010c).

The main sources of groundwater recharge are rainfall and recharge from surface water (The Taieri River, Silver Stream, Waipori River. An investigation of groundwater-surface interaction between Silver Stream and the aquifer indicated that water from the Silver Stream recharges the shallow groundwater between Puddle Alley and Wingatui at around 30L/s during low flows. Due to the downward hydraulic gradient shallow groundwater slowly flows downward to lower water bearing units in the groundwater system. The Silver Stream therefore provides a partial recharge source to the deeper water supply aquifer, albeit mixing with water of other origin that have taken much longer time (e.g. years) to reach that greater depth. The direction and magnitude of groundwater recharge to the aquifer may change due to changes in the flow rate/stage height of the Silver Stream (including freshes and floods that produce bank storage) and the shallow groundwater level in the water bearing layer in closest contact with the stream. This indicates that the Silver Stream is in dynamic equilibrium with the adjoining groundwater, which is occasionally perturbed by low and high flow events. The outputs of

groundwater from the basin include discharge into wetlands like the School Swamp and Lake Waipori Wetlands Complex, drains, or groundwater abstraction from bores (ORC, 2010c).

The Lower Taieri basin has several anthropogenic groundwater quality issues. The main one is elevated nitrate concentrations, which are restricted to the stratified unconfined water bearing layers in the north of the basin near Mosgiel and North Taieri where there are oxidised geochemical conditions. Elevated iron and manganese concentrations are another main limitation for groundwater quality in the Lower Taieri. Salinity is an issue in a small area of the West Taieri, particularly within the West Taieri Drainage Scheme perimeter. The Lower Taieri River at Henley Ferry and tributary branches of lakes Waihola and Waipori are tidal, where surface water saline intrusion penetrates these water bodies for up to 15km upstream from the Taieri mouth. Groundwater salinity is also impacted by the Holocene marine and estuarine sediments of the Waihola Silt-Sand formation, which were deposited under saline/brackish conditions (ORC, 2010c).

4.4.5.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

Groundwater quality in the Lower Taieri basin is currently monitored in five bores, whose depths range between 17.50 and 40.50m. The bore details are summarised in Table 56. Four of the bores are located within approximately 3.5km north and west of Mosgiel. The remaining bore is located at Maungatua Road, on the northwestern part of the plain. Screen depth information is not available for any of the bores. Bore logs are available for two bores, I44/0821 and I44/0964. The bore log for I44/0821 (27.38m depth) is mainly composed of clay truncated by thin layers of gravels. The log describes clay and thin gravel layers to 21.7m underlain by gravels to 22.9m. There is then clay to the bottom of the bore at 53.1m with thin layers of gravel, all <1.2m thick, at 42.0, 48.0m and 51.9m. There is no screen information available for this bore. However, considering the recorded total bore depth of 27.38m, it is likely screened within the gravels/heavy clay bound gravels at a depth of approximately 22m. This lithology, particularly the substantial upper clay layer, suggests that the bore abstracts from a confined/semi confined aquifer. Groundwater levels in bore I44/0821 were monitored manually since January 2017. Water level in the bore ranges between 9.286 and 10.665m below MP with an annual range of between 0.301 and 1.379m. The highest water levels are in winter/early spring. There does not appear to be a declining trend in water levels in this bore.

The bore log for bore no. I44/0964 (40.5m deep) is composed of alternating horizons of gravels and clay. The log describes 0.4m of top soil underlain by brown gravels to 3.80m. There is then alternating horizons of clay and gravel to 6.4m, mainly underlain by clay with some gravel layers <1.2m thick, to 24.8m. The lithology then changes below this depth and becomes more gravel-dominated, with brown sandy gravels down to 33.3m underlain by clay to 34.9m. There is then alternating horizons of gravels and clay, approximately 2m thick, down to the bore bottom at 40.60m. The recorded bore depth is 40.50m. The original bore log indicates that the top of the screen leader is 1.80m long and that the screen length is 1.500m. Based on a total bore depth of 40.50m, the top of the screen is at a depth of 39.00m, within a horizon of clay, although this might be an error in the bore log. This information suggests that the bore abstracts from a semi confined/unconfined aquifer overlain by a shallow unconfined aquifer.

Table 56: Summary of groundwater quality monitoring bores details for the Lower Taieri

Bore no.	Depth (m)	Diam. (mm)	Eastings	Northings	Screen top (m)	Screen Bottom (m)	Log avail.
H44/0007	24.4	100	1378924	4912001	n.a.	n.a.	No
I44/0495	22.9		1392261	4918203	n.a.	n.a.	No
I44/0519	17.5		1392705	4919569	n.a.	n.a.	No
I44/0821	27.38	100	1396046	4919018	n.a.	n.a.	Yes
I44/0964	40.5	100	1392510	4916131	n.a.	n.a.	Yes

The assessment of groundwater quality results against the DWSNZ indicate a high risk of faecal contamination, with E. coli exceedances in three of the monitoring bores. The highest ones were in bore H44/0007, ranging between 8 and 340MPN/100mL. The exceedances in bore I44/0519 ranged between 2 and 280 MPN/100mL and those in bore I44/0495 ranged between 1.6 and 22 MPN/100mL (Figure 117). These indicate a high risk of faecal contamination, which is not surprising considering the bores are located near risky land uses (i.e. dairy sheds, septic tanks) and many suffer from poor borehead protection. Groundwater nitrate concentrations in all five bores are below the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L (

Figure 118). However, concentrations over $\frac{1}{2}$ the MAV (i.e. over 5.5mg/L) were measured in bores no. I44/0821 and I44/0964, although the high concentrations in the latter bore are likely due to a single event. The concentrations in bore I44/0821 range between approximately 5.10 and 6.92mg/L and those in bore I44/0964 generally range between approximately 1.2 and 1.6mg/L. However, a much higher concentration of 6.00mg/L was measured in October 2011, although this is likely to have been due to a single event, as concentrations in the following sample were much lower. Some of the concentrations in bore I44/0519 are above the 2.5mg/L nitrate threshold for low intensity land use (Daughney & Morgenstern, 2012), with this level exceeded in most samples after 2017. The maximum concentration was 3.70mg/L, measured in November 2018. Although these are still substantially lower than the MAV, the data suggests an increase in nitrate concentrations, with these observations further corroborated by the risky land uses in the area. Conversely, nitrate concentrations in the other bores (I44/0007, I44/0495) are below 1.0mg/L. Dissolved arsenic concentrations in all bores ranged between 0.0001 and 0.005mg/L, which are below the DWSNZ MAV of 0.01mg/L (Figure 119). Ammonia concentrations in bore I44/0519 range between 0.005 and 5.43mg/L, which substantially exceed the aesthetic GV of 1.5mg/L. The data shows two exceedances of the GV, with measurements of 2.16mg/L (October 2004) and 5.43mg/L (July 2005),

Figure 120. These exceedances are likely due to poor bore security and/or contamination from a nearby septic tank. Apart from these, concentrations were below 0.65mg/L. As the bore is situated approximately 400m west of Mill Stream, this may potentially hamper surface water quality.

Figure 117: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Lower Taieri Aquifer




Figure 118: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer




Figure 119: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer





Figure 120: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer



The results were then assessed against the RPW (Table 57) and NPS-FM NOF (Table 58). Bore I44/0519 is the only bore shallower than 20m. The results indicate potential water quality issues, with both the 80th percentile nitrate and ammonia concentrations exceeding the Schedule 15 limits. The nitrate 80th percentile concentrations exceed the threshold by 39 times. The ammonia concentrations only slightly exceeded the limits. The DRP concentrations were below the threshold.

Table 57: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Lower Taieri Aquifer

Bore number			Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Aquifer	0.075	0.010	0.100
I44/0519	Taieri	Lower Taieri	2.900	0.006	0.102


The assessment against the NPS-FM shows that the median and 95th percentile nitrate concentration are in band C and B, respectively (Table 58). At Band C growth effects on up to 20% of species (mainly sensitive species, such as fish) is expected but no acute effects. The ammonia maximum concentration in Band D, where ammonia toxicity starts to approach acute impact level (i.e. risk of death) for sensitive species (MfE, 2020). However, the median ammonia concentration is in Band A, suggesting the issues are related to single incidents rather than a persisting issue.

Table 58: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Lower Taieri Aquifer

	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	median	95 th percentile
I44/0519	2.4	3.3	C	B
	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	max	Median	95 th percentile
I44/0519	0.019	5.43	A	D
	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
I44/0519	0.0025	0.0111	A	A

Although groundwater quality from most of the Lower Taieri SoE bores was not assessed against the RPW and NPS-FM, the DRP results from some bores shows some potential issues. The median DRP concentrations in bores H44/0007 (0.039mg/L), I44/0964 (0.031mg/L) and I44/0821 (0.016mg/L) are high. Concentrations also show fluctuations over time, potentially due to changes in land use and/or septic tank density and efficiency (Figure 121).

Figure 121: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Lower Taieri Aquifer



4.5 The North Otago FMU

The North Otago region covers an area of around 2,202 km² (220,208Ha) from around Waikouaiti in the south to the Waitaki River in the north. The location of the FMU is shown in Figure 122. It encompasses parts of the lower Waitaki Plains, Kakanui, Waianakarua, and Shag catchments. The FMU also contains several aquifers: the North Otago Volcanic Aquifer (NOVA), Lower Waitaki Plains aquifer, Kakanui-Kauru Alluvium aquifer, and the Shag Alluvium aquifer (. It also includes the Papakaio aquifer, which is currently not been monitored, although it is planned to monitor it in the future.

The North Otago region is dominated by catchments that receive very low rainfall (<500mm/year) and are predominantly cool/dry low elevation rivers and cool/dry hill rivers. The predominantly dry climate and extensive areas of low relief topography of the lower river catchments is extensively used for cropping and irrigation. These activities increase the pressure on groundwater and surface water resources. The main land cover throughout North Otago is high producing grassland, which reflect areas actively managed and grazed for dairy, beef, lamb, wool, and deer farming. This land cover dominates the Shag and Kakanui catchments. Conversely, the Upper catchments of the Kakanui, Shag, and Waianakarua are mountainous and less suitable for intensive grazing. These areas are dominated by low producing grassland, forestry and native cover. Those low intensity land uses typically leach low nutrient levels and generally provide good water quality. Low yielding rivers (located on dry areas) have reduced dilution and flushing capacity, which tend to be more susceptible to elevated nutrients should land use in these upper catchments intensify (ORC, 2017a).

Figure 122: Location of the North Otago FMU (red), aquifers (green) and SoE monitoring bores (red dots)



Groundwater quality results from the different aquifers in the North Otago FMU were assessed against the DWSNZ surface water quality standards (i.e. the RPW and NPS-FM), with the latter being critical due to the strong groundwater-surface water interaction in many North Otago catchments. The results indicate significant groundwater quality issues, especially the elevated nitrate concentrations, which are the highest in Otago, and E. coli exceedances. Nitrate concentrations in monitoring bores in the NOVA and Kakanui-Kauru aquifers substantially exceed the 11.3mg/L MAV, with concentrations in some bores exceeding 32.2mg/L. Additionally, nitrate concentrations in some bores in the Lower Waitaki aquifer are over ½ of the DWSNZ MAV. Potential faecal contamination is also a concern, with elevated E. coli measured in some bores in each of the FMU's aquifers, with a maximum count 6,200 MPN/100mL (bore J43/0006). In contrast to these issues, there were no elevated dissolved arsenic concentrations in any of the bores.

Table 59: Median concentrations for DWSNZ/ecosystem health parameters for the N. Otago FMU

Aquifer	Ammonia (mg/L)	Arsenic Dissolved (mg/L)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)	E-Coli (MPN/100mL)	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)
Kakanui-Kauru Alluvium	0.0025	0.0005	0.01	0.5	2.95
Lower Waitaki Plains	0.026	0.00011	0.0065	0.8	4.735
NOVA	0.005	0.0005	0.017	0.5	10
Shag Alluvium	0.005	0.0005	0.0085	0.65	0.75

The potential impact on surface water quality was assessed by comparing nutrient concentrations in shallow bores to the RPW (Table 60) and NPS-FM NOF limits (Table 61). The results indicate potential adverse impacts on surface water quality, particularly due to the strong groundwater-surface water interaction in the FMU. The results show elevated nitrate and DRP, with concentrations in all bores substantially exceeding the Schedule 15 limits. The assessment against the NPS-FM also illustrates these issues, with most concentrations in the C and D bands. All of these indicate significant groundwater quality issues in this FMU, which has the most degraded groundwater quality in the region.

Table 60: Schedule 15 comparison for the North Otago FMU

Bore number		Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	Aquifer	0.075	0.010	0.100
J41/0008	NOVA	27.660	0.057	0.010
J41/0762	Kakanui	4.940	0.011	0.016
J41/0764	Kakanui	3.400	0.011	0.006
J41/0771	Kakanui	11.000	0.010	0.012
J42/0126	Kakanui (township)	21.600	0.026	0.010

J43/0006	Shag	1.116	0.011	0.010
J41/0317	Lower Waitaki	5.800	0.032	0.010
J41/0442	Lower Waitaki	1.072	0.005	0.005
J41/0586	Lower Waitaki	7.280	0.009	0.005

Table 61: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the North Otago FMU

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
J41/0008	26	28.2	D	D
J41/0317	4.735	6.66	C	C
J43/0006	0.75	1.604	A	B
J42/0126	20	22	D	D
J41/0762	4.3	5.88	C	C
J41/0764	2.1	3.9	B	C
J41/0771	7.2	12	D	D
J41/0442	0.82	1.385	A	A
J41/0586	6.3	7.495	C	C
	Ammonia		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	Max. (mg/L)	Median (mg/L)	Max. (mg/L)
J41/0008	0.005	0.065	A	B
J41/0317	0.005	0.034	A	A
J43/0006	0.005	0.025	A	A
J42/0126	0.005	0.01	A	A
J41/0762	0.007	0.043	A	A
J41/0764	0.0025	0.026	A	A
J41/0771	0.0025	0.034	A	A
J41/0442	0.0025	0.0086	A	A
J41/0586	0.0025	0.013	A	A
	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
J41/0008	0.048	0.062	D	D
J41/0317	0.026	0.039	C	D
J43/0006	0.0085	0.01375	A	B
J42/0126	0.0184	0.029	B	D
J41/0762	0.009	0.012	A	B

J41/0764	0.01	0.012	A	C
J41/0771	0.009	0.011	A	B
J41/0442	0.005	0.006	A	A
J41/0586	0.0065	0.01	A	B

4.5.1 The North Otago Volcanic Aquifer

4.5.1.1 Aquifer information

The North Otago Volcanic Aquifer (NOVA) serves as an important water source for parts of the Waitaki District that are underlain by the volcanic sediments. The main groundwater uses include domestic, stock take, and irrigation, which mainly rely on the shallow volcanic sediments. Groundwater also provides important baseflow which sustains some creeks during dry weather. The aquifer mapped zone extends over much of the land that is underlain by volcanic sediments.

The aquifer is located beneath downlands and tablelands west/south of Oamaru. The main surface water drainage overlying the aquifer include Waiareka Creek (in the Kakanui catchment), Awamoa Creek and Oamaru Creek (which drain individually to the coast). Landon Creek is located in the far north of the area but is mainly ephemeral.

Broadly, the sediments that make up the NOVA comprise the following strata and geological materials:

- Waiareka Tuffs: marine tuff beds, columnar jointed basalt intrusions, pillow lavas siltstone with volcanic ash inclusions and occasionally diatomite.
- Totara & McDonald limestone: marine carbonate sediments including massive fine-grained limestone and calcareous siltstone often with significant volcanic association.
- Deborah volcanics – marine tuffs, pillow lava, columnar jointed basalt, crystal breccia, ash bed and siltstone with significant volcanic influences.

It is important to recognise that the boundaries between these strata are seldom precise and that they were all deposited in a setting of varying sea floor depths close to a subsurface volcanic eruption that ultimately extended above sea level for a brief period. Under the base of the volcanic sequences there are marine sediments without volcanic influences which are deposited in deep water. These sediments are fine-grained, very silty and have low permeability. The volcanic sequences are overlain by deeper water fine marine sediments (the Gee Greensand and the Rifle Butts formation) that also have low permeability. The sedimentary thickness of the volcanic sequences exceeds 500m in places (e.g. beneath the northern part of Oamaru, which include 245m of Deborah Volcanics, 30m of limestone and 235m of Waiareka Volcanics). To the west of the Waiareka Creek catchment, the volcanic sequence is due to sedimentary and erosion processes. There are also several recognised fault/fold structures that deform the volcanic aquifers (ORC, 2008).

The North Otago climate is temperate and is impacted by strong oceanic influences of air streams from the Pacific, the southwest and the northwest, which are tempered by passing over elevated parts of the South Island interior. This variability in air stream direction strongly impacts temperature, evaporation and rainfall patterns. It is also impacted by non seasonal factors such as El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Pacific Decadal Oscillation which can change air streams' balance, climate, and hydrology. The mean annual rainfall near the centre of the aquifer is around 580mm and is lower, around 450mm/year in the east end of the aquifer (Grandview). The PET usually exceeds rainfall, which induces significant soil moisture deficits in late spring, summer and most of autumn in most years. Due to that, soil moisture surplus and aquifer recharge occurs tends to be confined to the winter and early spring, which leaves relatively low potential for aquifer recharge.

The main land use in the area is pastoral and arable farming. There is also market gardening concentrated in the east of the area, within an approximate triangle located between Alma, Oamaru and Kakanui townships. The Waiareka Valley has undergone a significant transformation in 2007 through the large Downlands Irrigation Scheme which uses surface water from the Waitaki River. This has helped to overcome the area's soil moisture deficits which allowed the intensification and expansion of irrigated pasture (mainly dairying, which has replaced sheep) and arable cropping (ORC, 2008).

The groundwater flow pattern within the NOVA is fairly simple. The highest water table is under the higher tablelands within the NOVA whilst the lowest levels were found along the axis of the Waiareka Valley and the Kakanui Estuary. Groundwater flow patterns broadly follow surface topography, flowing from higher elevation ridges and tablelands toward the ocean and surface water (i.e. the Waiareka Creek, Oamaru Creek, or the Kakanui Estuary). On the north side of the Kakanui Estuary, the Waiareka Aquifer appears to recharge in the rolling land along the aquifer's western boundary and flow east into the Waiareka Creek, a significant receptor for groundwater within the Waiareka Aquifer. This is balanced by southwestern flow into the east bank of the Waiareka Creek. Groundwater flow divides between the Waiareka Creek and the Ocean along a line situated approximated near Weston and Kakanui.

The piezometric map shows steep gradients in areas of low recharge, which can infer a low to moderate hydraulic conductivity. Reported Transmissivity from several aquifer pumping tests is low, ranging between 5.4 and 80m²/day. The drawdowns in the volcanic aquifer bores are relatively high, indicated by the reported low Transmissivity and the low specific capacity of around 0.5 to 1.5L/s/m of drawdown. This low permeability indicates that groundwater was only used due to the lack of any other feasible supply option.

In general, most of the information on the landward portions of the NOVA suggests that it behaves as an unconfined aquifer with artefacts of fracture/fissure flow evident in pumping test analysis. The aquifer displays unconfined recharge and flow towards the nearest down gradient perennial water body. However, there are a few exceptions such as areas where the aquifer is overlain by the fine marine sediments of the Gee Greensand and Rifle Butts Formation which seem to form a semi-confining layer atop the volcanic aquifer. These two formations are overlying the Deborah Volcanics for much of the coastal zone and presumably thicken out to sea, hence, for much of its potential contact with the ocean the NOVA is largely semi confined or confined.

Due to the fracture/fissure occurrence of groundwater in the volcanic aquifer the drawdown response in pumping tests shows a dual confined/unconfined signature, hence the initial drawdown curve is consistent with that of a confined aquifer. However, subsequently, the drawdown rate is more consistent with that of an unconfined aquifer. Storativity was only obtained from one test where an observation bore was used (J41/0714). The initial Storativity (S) coefficient was 4×10^{-4} , which is analogous to the S coefficient for a confined aquifer. However, this was not sustained for more than a few hours and an analysis of long term drawdown data from the bore showed a shift to a storage coefficient of 1×10^{-2} (1%) although the inconsistency of aquifer tests to provide accurate storage data is known. Independent comparison of recharge-inducing rainfall events and water table rise in the Webster well (J41/0178) suggested an average unconfined storage of 0.10, or 10%, which is consistent with more recent Specific Yield (Sy) estimation of 0.09 or 9% (ORC, 2008).

The only reliable indication of significant interaction of the NOVA with adjoining aquifers is between the NOVA and the Kakanui Alluvial Aquifer, in the area between Gemmells Crossing and the Kakanui Estuary. In contrast, groundwater levels in the Waiareka Aquifer are

significantly higher than the adjoining and overlying alluvial aquifer, with the flow gradient dominated by upward flow from the NOVA into the base of the Alluvium. Based on that, it is expected that the volcanics contribute groundwater to the alluvium aquifer. This was supported by elevated Electrical Conductivity and sodium concentrations in the alluvium (ORC, 2008).

4.5.1.2 Groundwater quality measurements

Groundwater quality in the NOVA is monitored in three bores, whose details are summarised in

Table 62. Most of the monitoring bores are located in areas of cropping, which increases the potential for elevated nutrient concentrations. Bore no. J41/0008 (1000mm diameter) is situated at Fortification Road, Whitecraig, NZTM E1434870 N5000331. The bore's depth is 20m. There is no bore log or screen information for the bore. Although this bore has been monitored since January 1986, the bore head security is very poor and has a high contamination potential. The SWL in the bore was monitored since July 2015. Water levels range between 5.025m and 7.98m below MP. The seasonal variation in water levels is between approximately 1.3 and 2.0m.

Bore J41/0249 (250mm diameter) is located north of Woolshed Road at NZTM N1430982 N5000848. The bore depth is 90m. The bore log describes topsoil and clay to 2.6m underlain by brown, fractured rock to 24.2m. There is then fractured blue rock to 90.0m, underlain by Waiareka Volcanics rock to 91.0m. Both fractured rock units are described as water bearing. The log states that the casing depth is 5.5m. Based on that, it is assumed that the remainder of the bore is open hole and that it abstracts from the fractured rock units. The SWL in the bore was monitored since April 2016, although there is a gap in monitoring between March 2017 and April 2019. The highest water level in the bore, 1.993m below MP, was measured in November 2019 and the lowest, 5.266m below MP, was measured in April 2019. The data suggests that the highest water levels are in winter/early spring and the lowest during summer. However, it also includes a high variability, of around 3.273m, in groundwater levels during 2019, which can potentially be attributed to pumping from the bore or measurement error. Due to that, and to the short availability of data (considering the gap between 2017 and 2019), it is difficult to assess whether the water levels in the bore follow a trend.

Bore J42/0126 (250mm diameter) is located at Fenwick Street, Kakanui township, NZTM E1434931 N4994910, approximately 1km north of the Kakanui River mouth. The bore depth is 10.9m. The bore log describes topsoil and yellow clay to 4.2m underlain by sand and gravels to 7m. There is then 1m of rock followed by sand, silts and weathered rock fractures to 18m underlain by broken rock to the bottom of the bore at 18.8m. The bottom of the bore, at a depth of 10.9m, is located within a horizon of sandy silts and weathered rock. There is no information regarding the screen depth, however, it is likely to be screened in the bottom sandy silts/weathered rock layer, at an approximate depth of around 8.0m. This suggests that the aquifer is relatively shallow, with a basement depth of around 18m. Groundwater levels were monitored in this bore since July 2015. The highest water level, 2.285m, was measured in April 2016 and the lowest, 14.12m, measured in July 2015. Water levels in the bore generally

range between approximately 2.285 and 4.45m below MP, with two measurements of substantially lower levels of 14.12m (April 2016) and 9.362m (December 2017) below MP. The reasons for the lower readings are unclear, but it can be due to the bore pumping or measurement error. Interestingly, lower SWL at the same time were also measured in bore J41/0008, which increases the likelihood of it being related to dry conditions and associated higher pumping from the bore.

Groundwater levels in the NOVA is monitored for the Deborah (Webster bore, J41/0178, since August 1986) and the Waiareka (Isbister bore, J41/0198, since December 1997) bores. The Waiareka bore has shown a steady decline since around September 2002, falling from an elevation of approximately 123.2m to 121.45 in mid 2008. This level restricts takes by 50%. The Deborah bore has declined from a max. of around 130.8m in August 2000 to around 128.15m in June 2006, which is within a 50% restriction zone. However, the water levels in the bores have recovered and have been above the restriction level since mid 2007 although it appears that they were dipping back into it in mid 2008 (ORC, 2008).

Table 62: Summary of monitoring bore details for the NOVA

Well Number	Depth (m)	Diam. (mm)	Drill Date	Eastings	Northings	use	Bore Log?
J41/0008	20	1000	1/01/1972	1434870	5000331	Irrigation	No
J41/0249	90	250	1/01/1985	1430982	5000848	Irrigation	Yes
J42/0126	10.9	250	1/04/1995	1434931	4994910	Irrigation	Yes

Groundwater quality results from the NOVA SoE monitoring bores were compared against the DWSNZ. The results indicate significant issues with elevated nitrate concentrations, which are the highest in Otago. Nitrate concentrations in bores J41/0008 and J42/0126 substantially exceed the 11.3mg/L MAV, with concentrations in bore J42/0126 ranging between 17.0 and 22.0mg/L and those in bore J41/0008 ranging between 9.0 and 32.2mg/L. The concentrations in bore J41/0249 range between 3.29 and 6.20mg/L, with the upper end of these exceeds ½ of the MAV,

Figure 123. Monitoring of E. Coli in the NOVA only started in 2017. This has indicated some issues in bore J41/0008, with two exceedances of 27MPN/100mL (June 2018) and 4 MPN/100mL (September 2019). There were no exceedances in bores J41/0249 and J42/0126, Figure 124.

Arsenic concentrations in all bores were below the MAV of 0.01mg/L,

Figure 125. Ammonia concentrations in all monitoring bores were below the GV of 1.5mg/L, Figure 126.

Bore J41/0008 is also sampled as part of the Institute for Environmental Research (ESR)'s National Pesticides in Groundwater Survey, which is conducted every four years. Glyphosate was measured in the bore during the 2018 survey, with this bore being the only one in New Zealand where glyphosate was detected. Furthermore, DDT was also detected in the bore, which indicated that the contamination source was from the surface and close to the borehead (ESR, 2019). Considering the very poor borehead security, these results are unsurprising.

Figure 123: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the NOVA




Figure 124: Groundwater E. Coli count for the NOVA




Figure 125: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the NOVA




Figure 126: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the NOVA




Table 63: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the NOVA

Bore number			Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	FMU	Aquifer	0.075	0.010	0.100
J41/0008	N. Otago	NOVA	27.660	0.057	0.010
J42/0126	N. Otago	NOVA	21.600	0.026	0.010


Groundwater quality results were then analysed against the RPW (

Table 63) NPS-FM NOF (Table 64). Due to their shallow depths, only bores J41/0008 and J42/0126 were included in this assessment. Both bores are located in Group 2 of Schedule 15 of the RPW. The results further indicate severely elevated nitrate concentrations, with the 80th percentile concentrations in bore J41/0008 and J42/0126 exceed the threshold by 369 and 288 times, respectively. The DRP concentrations also exceed the limits by around 6 (J41/0008) and 3 (J42/0126) times. The ammonia concentrations are below the limit.

These results are also mirrored in the assessment against the NPS-FM, with the median and 95th percentile nitrate concentrations from both bores in Band D, substantially elevated above the median (6.9mg/L) and 95th percentile (9.8mg/L) National Bottom Lines for nitrate. These highly elevated nitrate concentrations impact on the growth of multiple species and exceed the concentration for acute impact level (i.e. risk of death) for sensitive species of 20mg/L (MfE, 2020). Therefore, interaction of these bores with surface water can severely hamper surface water quality.

Alongside nitrates, DRP concentrations in bore J41/0008 are also high (Figure 127), with both the median and 95th percentile concentrations placed in Band D, exceeding the median (0.018mg/L) and 95th percentile (0.054mg/L), below the National Bottom Lines. These concentrations are substantially elevated above natural reference conditions. Combined with other conditions that favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment drives excessive primary production and significant changes in macroinvertebrates and fish communities as taxa that is sensitive to hypoxia are lost. The DRP 95th percentile and median DRP concentrations are in Bands C and B, respectively. DRP concentrations in Band C are moderately elevated above natural reference conditions. If other conditions that favour eutrophication exist, DRP enrichment can cause increased algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macroinvertebrate & fish taxa and high rates of decay and respiration (MfE, 2020).

Figure 127: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the NOVA



The median and maximum ammonia concentrations in bore J41/0008 are in Bands A and B, respectively. Ammonia concentrations in Band B provide 95% species protection level, with

concentrations starting to impact occasionally on the 5% most sensitive species (MfE, 2020). Both median and maximum concentrations in bore J42/0126 are in Band A.

Table 64: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the North Otago Volcanic Aquifer

	Nitrate		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
J41/0008	26	28.2	D	D
J42/0126	20	22	D	D
	Ammonia		NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	Max. (mg/L)	Median (mg/L)	Max. (mg/L)
J41/0008	0.005	0.065	A	B
J42/0126	0.005	0.01	A	A
	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
J41/0008	0.048	0.062	D	D
J42/0126	0.0184	0.029	B	C

4.5.2 Kakanui-Kauru Alluvial Aquifer & Shag alluvial aquifers

4.5.2.1 Aquifer information

The Kakanui River catchment area is 894km². The catchment is bordered by Pisgah Spur and the Kakanui Mountains to the south and west and is separated from the Waitaki catchment, situated to the north, by rolling hill country. The main tributaries of the Kakanui are the Kauru River (catchment area of 143km²), Island Stream (122km²) and the Waiareka Creek (213km²) (Ozanne and Wilson, 2013).

From its source in the Kakanui Mountains, the Kakanui River flows in a northeast direction through gorges incised in downlands or rolling country for around 40km before emerging onto plains at Clifton. It then flows to the southeast at a gentler gradient through highly developed pastures, where it merges with the broad, gravel-bedded Kauru River. The Kakanui water is heavily used for irrigation. Land use has intensified in the Kakanui catchment over the past 20 years, with increasing concerns regarding the intensification and subsequent water quality degradation. The lower Kakanui and Waiareka Creek are dominated by mixed sheep/beef/dairy/cropping and, recently dairy farming, increased by the introduction of the North Otago Irrigation Company (NOIC) water to the Waiareka Creek catchment. In contrast to that, the landuse in the upper Kauru and upper Kakanui is lower intensity sheep/beef farming and native vegetation. There is strong groundwater-surface water interaction in the alluvial gravels of the Kauru and the main stem of the Kakanui, particularly upstream of Gemmels

Crossing, which strongly affects water quality. Conversely, groundwater-surface water interaction in Waiareka Creek is low.

The Shag is a medium sized river with a catchment size of around 550km². The headwaters of the Shag are located on the south western slopes of Kakanui Peak in the Kakanui Mountains. From there, the Shag flows in a southeasterly direction for 90km past the township of Palmerston, before entering the Pacific Ocean south of Shag Point. The river supports high ecological values including high diversity of native fish, waterfowl, and regionally significant fisheries. The catchment is dominated by extensive agriculture and forestry with some short rotation cropping in the lower catchment. Parts of the catchment are utilised for the Oceana Gold hard rock goldmine at Macraes Flat, which includes open pit and underground mines. The existing mine operation discharges water and associated contaminants to the Deepdell Creek catchment. These operations are covered by numerous resource consents that include extensive monitoring and reporting. These are available through Oceana Gold (ORC, 2017a).

4.5.2.2 Groundwater quality measurements

There are three SoE monitoring bores in the Kakanui-Kauru Alluvium aquifer. The bores were installed in January 2014, as part of the Kakanui monitoring programme, three of which were left as SoE monitoring bores after the sampling for the programme has ended. Bore J41/0762 (Kakanui bore 3, 100mm diameter) is located at NZTM E1425455 N5007458. The bore depth is 3.3m. The log is composed of sandy gravel and minor silt. Bore J41/0764 (Kakanui bore 5, 100mm diameter) is situated at NZTM E1425354 N5004854. The total bore depth is 9.6m. The log describes coarse gravel to 9.6m underlain by sandy clay to 9.8m. Bore J41/0771 (Kakanui bore 10, 100mm diameter) is situated at NZTM E1429017 N5001748. The total bore depth is 4.1m. The log describes silty sand to 0.4m underlain by sandy gravel and cobbles to 3.3m. There is then 0.2m of clay/sand underlain by stiff clay to the bore bottom at 4.1m. This information indicates that the bores abstract from a shallow unconfined aquifer. It also indicates that the aquifer is very shallow, with basement depth of less than 10m.

Water level measurements in J41/0764 began in May 2015, with water levels ranging between 4.368 and 5.985m. The annual variability in water levels ranges between approximately 0.40 and 1.70m. Static Water Level measurements in bore J41/0762 began in May 2015, with levels ranging between 1.096 and 2.79m below MP. The seasonal variation in groundwater ranges between approximately 0.30 and 1.20m.

Groundwater in the Shag Alluvium Aquifer is monitored in bore J43/0006 (300mm diameter). The bore is situated at Mill Road, Palmerston NZTM E1421870 N4962122. The bore is 9.1m deep. The log describes topsoil and clay to 0.61m underlain by claybound gravel to 1.22m. There is then gravel to 9.14m, with the layer between 1.22 and 3.05 containing traces of clay and the layer between 3.05 and 9.14 described as gravel and sand. There is then sandstone to 10.14m. Screen information is not available for the bore, although it is likely to be screened within the bottom gravel/sand layer. Water levels are not monitored in bore J43/0006, potentially due to continuous pumping.

The comparison of groundwater quality against the DWSNZ indicate issues with elevated E. coli and nitrates. High E. coli exceedances were measured in the bores, which included the highest count in Otago (6,200MPN/100mL) in bore J43/0006 (September 2010) and a count of 291MPN/100mL (December 2018) in bore J41/0762, Figure 128. Some of the Kakanui bores also have substantial issues with elevated nitrate, with maximum concentrations in bores J41/0762 and J41/0771 exceeding the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L, Figure 129. Nitrate concentrations in the bores also show strong fluctuations, potentially related to changes in land use. The maximum concentrations in J41/0764 are 4.50mg/L which exceed the reference for groundwater under low intensity landuse (Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012). The concentrations in bore J43/0006 are lower, with a maximum of 2.64mg/L, which slightly exceeds the low landuse reference conditions. There are no exceedances of the arsenic MAV in the bores (Figure 130). Ammonia concentrations in the bores do not exceed the GV of 1.5mg/L (Figure 131).

Figure 128: Groundwater E. Coli count for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers




Figure 129: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers




Figure 130: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers





Figure 131: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers


Nutrient concentrations from the bores were then assessed against the RPW and NPS-FM NOF. The bores are located in Group 2 of Schedule 15 of the RPW. The results indicate substantial potential water quality issues, with the 80th percentile nitrate concentrations exceeding the Schedule 15 limits by between approximately 147 (J41/0771) and 15 (J43/0006) times, Table 65. DRP concentrations in all bores also exceed the threshold, although the concentrations are closer to the limits (Figure 132). The ammonia concentrations are below the limits.

Table 65: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Kakanui-Kauru Alluvial Aquifer

Bore number	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	0.075	0.010	0.100
J41/0762 Kakanui bore 3	4.940	0.011	0.016
J41/0764 Kakanui bore 5	3.400	0.011	0.006
J41/0771 Kakanui bore 10	11.000	0.010	0.012
J43/0006	1.116	0.011	0.010

The comparison of nitrate concentrations from the bores against the NPS-FM NOF also indicates some issues, particularly for the Kakanui bores, with median and 95th percentile concentrations for bore 10 in Bands D and those for bore 3 in Band C. Nitrate concentration in Band D is below the National Bottom Line, indicating impacts on growth of multiple species which begins to approach acute impact level (i.e. death risk) for sensitive species at concentrations that exceed 20mg/L. The concentrations for bore J43/0006 are lower, with the median and 95th percentile in bands A and B, respectively.

The DRP concentrations are dominated by spiking concentrations, with the 95th concentrations in bands C and B. Ecological communities where DRP concentrations are in band C are impacted by moderately elevated above natural reference conditions. If other conditions that favour eutrophication also exist, DRP enrichment can increase algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macroinvertebrate and fish taxa and high decay and respiration rates.

Figure 132: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Kakanui/Shag Alluvium Aquifers




Table 66: NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Kakanui-Kauru Alluvial Aquifer

Nitrate			NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
J43/0006	0.75	1.604	A	B
J41/0762	4.3	5.88	C	C
J41/0764	2.1	3.9	B	C
J41/0771	7.2	12	D	D
Ammonia			NOF Band	
Bore number	Median (mg/L)	Max. (mg/L)	Median (mg/L)	Max. (mg/L)
J43/0006	0.005	0.025	A	A
J41/0762	0.007	0.043	A	A
J41/0764	0.0025	0.026	A	A
J41/0771	0.0025	0.034	A	A
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)			NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
J43/0006	0.0085	0.01375	A	B
J41/0762	0.009	0.012	A	B
J41/0764	0.01	0.012	A	C
J41/0771	0.009	0.011	A	B

4.5.3 The Lower Waitaki Aquifer

4.5.3.1 Aquifer information

The Lower Waitaki Plains aquifer is located approximately 20km north of Oamaru. The aquifer area is around 19,793ha and it has a triangle shape, stretching from a narrow point at Black Point in the west to its widest margin along the North Otago coast (Figure 122). The Plains' alluvial sand and gravel formation hosts an unconfined aquifer, with potentially local semi confined conditions associated with silt and clay lenses. Three main soil types were identified for the area:

- Well drained soils mainly composed of sandy loams (potentially gravelly and stony), covering approximately half of the plains in the north along the Waitaki River margin (e.g. Rangitata sandy loam on the lower terraces near the river, and Stewart silty loam on the higher terraces);
- Moderately well drained soils mainly composed of silty loams (intermediate soils such as Ngapara and Darnley silty loams) covering the southern half part of the Plains;
- Imperfectly drained soils mainly made of silty loams (heavier soils such as Pukeuri silty loam), encountered in a limited number of locations across the Plains (e.g. Hilderthrope and Pukeuri).

Soil maps indicate that most of the soils along the river terraces and in the northern half of the Plains are free-draining, suggesting that these are suitable for intensive pastoral farming and less suitable for cropping/horticulture. Based on that, the upper part of the Plains are mainly used for dairying whilst cropping is practiced on the heavier soils in the southern part of the valley.

Dairy farming composes the main land use on the Plains, with its expansion linked to the Lower Waitaki Irrigation Scheme. The scheme abstracts water from the Waitaki River, on the upper edge of the Waitaki Plain, where a managed race delivers water through the lower Plains and down to Oamaru. The water uses include agricultural, commercial, and domestic uses. The scheme's peak daily distribution volume is around 1.2million m³, of which approximately 1.123 million m³ is used for irrigation (ORC, 2016c).

The geology of the Lower Waitaki Plains is mainly composed of Holocene and late Pleistocene unconsolidated gravels and sands, which were deposited during successive phases of glacial advance/retreat. The more recent sediments were deposited adjacent to the Waitaki River and the coastline. The Plains' alluvium overlies consolidated Tertiary sedimentary rocks (i.e. the Rifle Butts Formation) which consist of various conglomerates, sandstones, siltstone and mudstone. Available bore logs indicate that the depth to the Tertiary sediment basement ranges between 13 and 45.80m, with the aquifer deepening towards the west. The basement sediments comprise of sandstone, siltstone, and mudstone. The morphology of the Plains is impacted by the local fault system.

Groundwater levels have been monitored in the Dennisons bore J41/0377 since March 1997. The seasonal fluctuation in water levels is around 1.5m, although a range of 2.0m was also observed in some years (e.g. 2011). The highest water levels occur in March/April, following recharge from irrigation during the summer, although some episodic high levels were occasionally measured during winters (e.g. July 2013, 2017). These are likely connected to high flow from the Waitaki River or hillside catchments. Water levels then recede during the winter months, with the lowest levels usually observed in September and October. An upward trend

was observed between 1997 and 2002, following the aquifer's equilibration to the new recharge dynamics posed by the irrigation scheme. There is currently no stage monitoring on the lower Waitaki River, and the nearest groundwater level monitoring bore is around 9km away from the river, hence, groundwater-river interaction is not directly investigated (ORC, 2016c).

Piezometric maps suggest that groundwater flow direction generally follows topography, with the highest hydraulic heads near Black Point and the lowest at the coast. The flow direction in the upper part of the aquifer is from the southwest towards the northeast/Waitaki River. Groundwater in the lower part flows from the west towards the east/southeast and the ocean. The hydraulic gradient is shallower along the western parts of the foot hills (aquifer recharge area) and steeper in the southeast near the coast (discharge area). The mean gradient is around 1:270m, similar to the land surface gradient. The data also indicated that the depth to groundwater ranges between approximately 1 and 15m below ground level, with the shallowest water tables measured near the Waitaki River and the deepest near the coast and away from the river (i.e. to the east and south). The measurements also indicated the expansion of the shallow water table towards the central part of the plains during the summer, following recharge by irrigation returns. A large part of the Lower Waitaki Plain is listed as a Groundwater Protection Zone in the RPW. This is likely due to the high permeability of the soil in the area (ORC, 2016c).

4.5.3.2 Groundwater quality monitoring

Groundwater quality in the Lower Waitaki Plains aquifer is monitored in five bores, the details of which are summarised in Table 67. Bore J41/0317 (150mm diameter) has been monitored since June 1993 whilst the other four bores were added following an ORC investigation of the area in 2016.

Bore J41/0317 (150mm diameter) was drilled in June 1983. The bore is located at Steward Road approximately 1km west of SH1, NZTM E1448327 N5020845. The total bore depth is 16.5m. There is no bore log or screen information for this bore.

Bore J41/0442 (150mm diameter) was drilled in June 1997. The bore is located at Jardine Road, Oamaru, NZTM E1435789 N5022981. The total bore depth is 11.4m. The bore log describes tight silty gravel/pebbles to 3.9m underlain by tight sandy gravels/pebbles/cobbles to 7.3m. There is then silty gravel/pebbles to 10.4m underlain by silty clay to the bore bottom at 11.4m. The bore is screened at a depth of between 8.4 and 10.4m, within a horizon of silty gravel/pebbles. The SWL in the bore in November 2019 was 4.07m below MP.

Bore J41/0586 (125mm diameter) was drilled in July 2003. The bore is located at Ferry Road, Oamaru, NZTM E1444388 N5022051. The total bore depth is 10.9m. The bore log describes coarse, cobbly gravels to 11.6m underlain by clay to the bore bottom at 12.7m. The bore is screened between 10.30 and 10.90m, within a layer of cobbly gravels. The SWL in December 2019 was 3.190m below MP.

Bore J41/0576 (200mm diameter) was drilled in December 2003. The bore is located at MacDonalds Road, Oamaru (Hilderthorpe) at NZTM E1447793 N5017591. The total bore depth is 23.0m. The bore log describes 1.0m of topsoil underlain by grey gravels to the bore depth at 23.0m. The bore is screened in the gravels, at a depth of between 21.0 and 23.0m. There is no SWL information for the bore (likely due to the inability to measure it through the borehead

setup). The SWL at the time of drilling was 7.4m below MP. The bore log indicates that it abstracts from an unconfined gravel aquifer at least 23m deep.

Bore J41/0571 (150mm diameter) was drilled in August 2002. The bore is located at Hilderthorpe-Pukeuri Road, Oamaru, NZTM E1446095 N5014890. The total bore depth is 23.5m. The bore log describes top soil and clay to 1.3m underlain by small sandy gravels to 11.1m. There is then weathered gravels to the bore bottom at 23.5m. The length of the leader and screen are 2.040m, with a leader length of 0.700m, indicating that the bore is screened at a depth of 22.16m, within the weathered gravels horizon. This indicates that the bore abstracts from an unconfined gravel aquifer. The SWL in December 2019 was 7.18m below MP.

This information indicates that the bores abstract from an unconfined gravel aquifer. The aquifer and SWL are deeper in bores J41/0571 and J41/0576, situated in the eastern, lower part of the plains, than in the other SoE monitoring bores which are situated in the upper part of the Plains. This is consistent with previous findings (ORC, 2016c).

Table 67: Summary of groundwater quality SoE bore details for the Lower Waitaki Plains Aquifer

Well Number	Depth (m)	Diam. (mm)	Drill Date	Easting NZTM	Northing NZTM	Screen Top (m)	Screen Bottom (m)	Bore Log?
J41/0317	16.5	150	1/01/1983	1448327	5020845	N.A.	N.A.	No
J41/0442	11.4	150	3/06/1997	1435789	5022981	8.4	10.4	Yes
J41/0586	10.9	125	25/07/2003	1444388	5022051	10.3	10.9	Yes
J41/0576	23	200	5/12/2003	1447793	5017591	N.A.	N.A.	Yes
J41/0571	23.5	150	12/08/2002	1446095	5014890	N.A.	N.A.	Yes

Groundwater quality results from the Lower Waitaki SoE bores was assessed against the DWSNZ. The results indicate some groundwater quality issues. Exceedances of the E. coli MAV were measured in all bores, with the most exceedances observed in the long term monitoring bore, J41/0317 (Figure 133). E. coli has been monitored in this bore since September 2012. The highest exceedance was 150MPN/100mL (September 2016). Most of the exceedances were measured during spring/summer, likely associated with the higher water table during these seasons due to irrigation recharge (ORC, 2016c). The monitoring of E. coli in the other bores began in March 2017. Exceedances of the MAV were noted in all four bores, with E. coli counts ranging between 1.6 and 9 MPN/100mL (Figure 133). This indicates high potential risk for faecal contamination in the Lower Waitaki aquifer.

Groundwater nitrate concentrations in the Lower Waitaki bores suggest potential issues, with most bores having relatively high concentrations (Figure 134). Nitrate concentrations in bore J41/0317 were monitored since November 2008. Concentrations range between 2.8 and 8.6mg/L, which exceeds ½ of the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L. The data also shows high fluctuations and increasing concentrations, particularly since March 2015. The concentrations in bores J41/0576 and J41/0586 range between approximately 5.3 and 7.9mg/L, which also exceeds ½ of the MAV. Concentrations in these bores, and in bore J41/0571, have also generally increased since the start of monitoring in June 2016. Although groundwater nitrate

concentrations were below the MAV, their increase still indicate issues with potential nitrate contamination. Dissolved arsenic concentrations in all bores were below the 0.01mg/L MAV (Figure 135). All ammonia concentrations were below the aesthetic GV of 1.5mg/L (Figure 136).

Figure 133: Groundwater E. coli count for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer




Figure 134: Groundwater nitrate concentrations for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer




Figure 135: Groundwater dissolved arsenic concentrations for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer





Figure 136: Groundwater ammonia concentrations for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer



Potential impacts of groundwater quality on surface water systems was assessed by comparing nutrient concentrations from shallow bores (<20m) against the RPW and the NPS-FM NOF. Bores shallower than 20m in the Lower Waitaki aquifer include J41/0317, J41/0442, and J41/0586, all of which are located in Group 2 of Schedule 15. The 80th percentile nitrate concentrations in all bores exceed the Schedule 15 limit of 0.075mg/L, with concentrations in the bore exceeding the limit by between 14 (J41/0442) and 97 times (J41/0586). DRP

concentrations in bore J41/0317 exceed the limit by more than three times, with maximum concentrations of 0.144mg/L (

Figure 137). Conversely, DRP concentrations in the other bores are below the limit. Ammonia concentrations in all three bores are also below the Schedule 15 limit (Table 68).

Figure 137: Groundwater Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus concentrations for the Lower Waitaki aquifer




Table 68: 80th percentile values for Schedule 15 water quality variables for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer

Group 2 Sched. 15 limit (mg/L)	Nitrate (NO ₃ -N)	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (DRP)	Ammoniacal nitrogen (NH ₄ -N)
Bore number	0.075	0.010	0.100
J41/0317	5.800	0.032	0.010
J41/0442	1.072	0.005	0.005
J41/0586	7.280	0.009	0.005

The assessment against the NPS-FM NOF further highlights the elevated nitrates in the Lower Waitaki groundwater, with the median and 95th percentile concentrations in bores J41/0317 and J41/0586 in Band C, where there are growth effects on up to 20% of species, mainly of which are sensitive such as fish, though no acute effects are expected. The median and 95th percentile nitrate concentrations for bore J41/0442 are in Band A (Table 69).

The DRP assessment for bore J41/0317 also shows potential issues, with the median and 95th percentile DRP concentrations in Bands C and D, respectively. Band D is below the National Bottom Line, where ecological communities are impacted by DRP concentrations that substantially exceed natural reference conditions. If combined with other conditions that

favour eutrophication, DRP enrichment can drive excessive primary production and significant changes to macroinvertebrate and fish communities, as species that are sensitive to hypoxia are lost. The 95th percentile DRP concentration for bore J41/0586 is in Band B, where ecological communities are slightly impacted by minor DRP exceedance of natural reference conditions. If other conditions that favour eutrophication occur, sensitive ecosystem may experience additional algal and plant growth, loss of sensitive macroinvertebrate taxa and higher rates of respiration and decay (MfE, 2020). Ammonia concentrations for all bores are in Band A.

Table 69: NPS-FM NOF comparison for nitrate, ammonia, and DRP for the Lower Waitaki Aquifer

	Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	median	95 th percentile
J41/0317	4.735	6.66	C	C
J41/0442	0.82	1.385	A	A
J41/0586	6.3	7.495	C	C
	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	max	Median	95 th percentile
J41/0317	0.005	0.034	A	A
J41/0442	0.0025	0.0086	A	A
J41/0586	0.0025	0.013	A	A
	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (mg/L)		NOF Band	
Bore no.	Median (mg/L)	95 th percentile	Median	95 th percentile
J41/0317	0.026	0.039	C	D
J41/0442	0.005	0.006	A	A
J41/0586	0.0065	0.01	A	B

5. Discussion & Recommendations

This report highlights a wide variability in groundwater quality across Otago, with some areas having substantial issues (e.g. North Otago, Lower Clutha) whilst others generally have good groundwater quality (e.g. the Upper Lakes). The report also highlights other issues regarding the condition and suitability of the monitoring network. In order to help improve groundwater quality in Otago, the following recommendations are based on this report:

- Ensure groundwater users maintain good borehead security to prevent contaminant migration into bores. It is also recommended to tighten the rules regarding bore security in the Regional Plan/consent conditions.
- Publish the groundwater quality monitoring results on the ORC website with suitable symbology that clearly indicates when parameters exceed the DWSNZ MAVs.
- Encourage bore owners to regularly test their bore water, especially in areas of high risk (i.e. Central Otago/Upper Lakes for arsenic and areas of intensive farming/On Site Waste Management Systems for E. coli and nutrients).
- Many of the areas where the SoE data shows degraded groundwater quality (e.g. North Otago, Lower Clutha) are used for intensive farming. Some of these are situated on permeable substrate (e.g. the Lower Waitaki and Kakanui-Kauru). It is recommended to review the regulatory/planning regimes around high risk land uses (e.g. intensive farming, On Site Waste Management Systems), particularly in vulnerable catchments.
- Many SoE bores are situated on private land and require maintenance and better head protection. Furthermore, many of the bores' locations are based on previous availability rather than their representation of aquifers. Due to these issues, it is recommended to implement a dedicated programme to replace unsuitable bores with new, dedicated ones. The new bores will ideally be emplaced on TLA reserve land in order to ensure long term access. When suitable existing bores are identified on private land, it is recommended that access for monitoring is ensured by signing a formal agreement with the land owners. It is also recommended to have an ongoing maintenance programme for the bores, where they are pumped, surveyed, and the head security is confirmed on a regular basis.

6. Conclusions

This report summarises the results from ORC's groundwater quality SoE monitoring programme. The groundwater SoE quality network currently consists of 54 monitoring bores, situated on both public and private land with varying degree of borehead security. Although bores are located in each FMU, their distribution is not even, with the Catlins and Dunedin & Coast FMUs having only one monitoring bore each. Furthermore, some of the aquifers in the region are currently not monitored. This report assesses groundwater quality results from the start of monitoring in each currently active SoE bore until the end of 2019. Groundwater quality in the SoE bores is monitored quarterly for microbiological parameters, major ion geochemistry, and metal concentrations. The sampling follows the National Environmental Monitoring Standards [NEMS] (2019) and the samples are analysed in an accredited laboratory.

This report summarises the state of groundwater quality in Otago in relation to drinking water quality. This is assessed by comparing groundwater E. coli, dissolved arsenic, and nitrate concentrations against the DWSNZ thresholds. The report also assesses the impact on surface water quality by comparing groundwater nutrient concentrations in shallow bores against the RPW and NPS-FM NOF. However, as these standards do not currently include limits for nutrients in groundwater, this approach only provides an overview, rather than a direct analysis.

The E. coli assessment was based on the percentage of samples in each SoE bore that exceeded the DWSNZ MAV. The data shows that E. coli was detected in 75% of the bores in the region at a point during the monitoring period and that exceedances were detected in each of Otago's FMU/rohes. This indicates that potential faecal contamination is a significant water quality issue across Otago. The proportion of E. coli exceedance across Otago (75%) is similar to that of the Clutha, North Otago and Taieri FMUs. However, a wider variability was observed within the different rohes of the Clutha FMU, with higher proportions in the Manuhereki and Lower Clutha rohes, indicating a higher contamination risk in these rohes. Conversely, the proportion of exceedance in the Upper Lakes rohe was lower than the regional, whilst that of the Dunstan rohe was similar to it. The data from the Catlins and Dunedin & Coast FMU is highly skewed due to each FMU having only one monitoring bore. An assessment of E. coli exceedance and bore depth shows that the highest proportion of E. coli exceedance was in bores shallower than 10m (92%) and the lowest (40%) in bores deeper than 60m. This data indicates that groundwater and bores in Otago are vulnerable to faecal contamination. Elevated E. Coli can also be a local issue and is strongly dependent on bore security, hence, the SoE data does not present a complete mapping of this risk. Nevertheless, it is strongly recommended that bore owners ensure adequate borehead security and regularly test their groundwater.

Arsenic in groundwater can originate from anthropogenic (e.g. sheep dips, treated timber posts) and geological sources such as schist lithology, reduced peat deposits, and volcanic rocks. Exposure to elevated arsenic can lead to a range of cancers. The spatial distribution of maximum arsenic concentrations in Otago groundwater shows that concentrations exceeded the MAV in only seven SoE monitoring bores, five of which are located in the Upper Clutha/Wakatipu Basin area, which are underlain by schist lithology known to contain arsenic. No arsenic concentrations above the MAV were detected in any bores in the North Otago, Dunedin & Coast, or Catlins FMUs. Nevertheless, due to the abundance of arsenic-containing

schist lithology, particularly in the Upper Clutha area, and the high spatial variability of arsenic in groundwater, it is strongly recommended that bore owners regularly test their water.

Nitrate (NO₃-N) is a key nutrient required for the growth of plants and algae. However, excess nitrate can adversely impact water quality (e.g. eutrophication) and also cause health concerns. Groundwater nitrate concentration data shows that none of the aquifers in Otago has a median nitrate concentration above the DWSNZ MAV of 11.3mg/L. However, it did highlight a variable degree of nitrate contamination in relation to the MAV, with the median concentration in some aquifers, particularly in North Otago and the Lower Clutha, closer to the MAV. Conversely, the median nitrate concentrations in many aquifers are low, suggesting either low impact from landuse (i.e. concentrations below <2.5mg/L, [Daughney and Morgenstern, 2012]) or denitrification. However, the potential for denitrification was not addressed in this report.

The potential impacts of groundwater quality in Otago on ecosystems was investigated by assessing groundwater nutrient concentrations (nitrate, DRP, and ammonia) in bores shallower than 20m against the RPW Schedule 15 limits and the NPS-FM NOF. The results show that groundwater concentrations of nitrate and DRP usually exceed the surface water limits. Conversely, most ammonia concentrations were below the limit. However, it is important to note that, due to the absence of standards for groundwater nutrient limits, this assessment is only an overview.

The groundwater quality assessment for each FMU/aquifer shows that, similar to surface water, groundwater quality across the region is also highly variable. The results from the Clutha FMU show high variability, with good groundwater quality in some rohe (i.e. the Upper Lakes, Dunstan) and degraded quality in others, particularly the Lower Clutha. The main issues are elevated E. coli and dissolved arsenic concentrations in some bores, with elevated nutrient concentrations also common. The results from the Upper Lakes and Dunstan rohes generally show compliance with the DWSNZ, although elevated E. coli counts were measured in some bores. Elevated dissolved arsenic concentrations were also measured in some bores, although their source is likely to be the prevalent schist lithology. Nitrate concentrations are generally below the DWSNZ for nitrates. However, nutrient concentrations usually exceed the RPW and NPS-FM surface water limits, with particularly high DRP and nitrate concentrations in Kingston and Glenorchy. These are likely due to high septic tanks density and to shallow, poorly-secured boreheads. These nutrients can potentially adversely impact water quality in Lake Wakatipu, although groundwater (and nutrient) fluxes into the Lake are likely to be substantially lower than the surface water inflows. Groundwater quality in the Manuhereikia rohe is generally fair although E. coli exceedances were measured in most bores, albeit at low counts. Nitrate concentrations are below the DWSNZ MAV in all monitoring bores, with concentrations in the Manuhereikia Alluvium Aquifer and Manuhereikia Claybound Aquifer monitoring bores generally near the low intensity landuse reference value. However, increasing nitrate concentrations were observed in the Manuhereikia GWMZ monitoring bore, where concentrations exceed ½ of the MAV. No elevated arsenic concentrations were measured in any of the monitoring bores in the rohe. The results from the shallow monitoring bores show that nitrate and DRP concentrations exceed the surface water limits. Groundwater quality results from the Lower Clutha rohe indicate significant water quality issues, with elevated E. coli and nitrate concentrations in most bores, notably in the Ettrick and Clydevale basins. The results also show elevated nutrient concentrations, with some of these issues due to shallow monitoring bores and poor bore security. These results also support the reported poor surface water quality results from this area (ORC, 2017a).

Groundwater results from the Taieri FMU indicate potential risk of faecal contamination, with E. coli exceedance measured in all the FMU's aquifers. The pattern of nitrate concentrations is mixed, with elevated concentrations over ½ of the MAV in some bores and concentrations around the low intensity landuse value in others. The assessment against surface water quality standards indicates potential issues, with exceedances of the nutrient limits in most aquifers. It is likely that some of these elevated results are due to monitoring bores being shallow, insecure, and located near risky land uses (e.g. dairy farms and/or septic tanks). Nevertheless, these can potentially adversely impact surface water quality and ecosystem health.

The results indicate that the North Otago FMU has the most degraded groundwater quality in Otago, with high E. coli exceedances and elevated nitrate concentrations, particularly in the NOVA. Potential faecal contamination is also a concern, with elevated E. coli measured in some SoE bores in each of the FMU's aquifers. Conversely, there were no elevated dissolved arsenic concentrations in any of the bores. The results also indicated high nitrate and DRP concentrations which substantially exceed the surface water limits. Understanding the potential impact on surface water quality is imperative due to the prevalence of groundwater-surface water interaction in this FMU.

Based on this report, the following actions are recommended:

- Ensure bore owners practice good bore security to prevent contaminant migration to bores and encourage frequent groundwater testing. This includes improving ORC's regulatory and education regimes.
- Publishing the SoE groundwater quality monitoring results on the ORC website with suitable symbology to clearly indicate when parameters exceed the DWSNZ MAVs.
- Review the legislation and management of known high risk activities to water quality in areas of poor groundwater quality.
- Embark on a programme to replace unsuitable SoE bores with new dedicated ones. It is also recommended to have an ongoing maintenance programme for the bores.

7. References

- Bloomberg, S., Davis, G., Bull D., Rowley F, Pritchard C., Keenan D., and Beardmore S. (2019). *Geological controls of enriched arsenic soils: case studies from Otago*. E3 Scientific presentation at the Ecoforum conference, Auckland.
- E3 Scientific Limited. 2018. *Environmental effects of On-site Sewage Management in Glenorchy Stage 2: Investigations*. Objective ID A1321757.
- Environment Canterbury (2018). *Annual Groundwater Quality Survey*. 2018. <https://www.ecan.govt.nz/document/download?uri=3588758> Accessed online May 2020.
- Environmental Science Research (2019). *National Survey of Pesticides and Emerging Organic Contaminants (EOCs) in Groundwater 2018*. Prepared by Murray Close and Bronwyn Humphries. Report no. CSC19016.
- Heller, T. 2001. *Otago*. In Rosen MR and White PA (ed). 2001. *Groundwaters of New Zealand*. New Zealand Hydrological Society Inc. Wellington North. 498 pages. ISBN0-473-07816-3
- Hickey, C. W. 2013. Updating nitrate toxicity effects on freshwater aquatic species. NIWA report prepared for the Ministry of Building, Innovation and Employment, NIWA: 39 pp.
- Irricon Consultants. 1997. *Etrick and Coal Creek Groundwater - A Review and Proposal for Further Investigations - August 1997*. Objective ID A202866.
- McDowell RW, Snelder TH, and Cox N. .2013. *Establishment of reference conditions and trigger values for of chemical, physical and micro-biological indicators in New Zealand streams and rivers*. Prepared by AgResearch for the Ministry for the Environment.
- Ministry for the Environment [MfE] 2020. National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (draft). Accessed online July 2020. <https://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/freshwater/draft-national-policy-statement-freshwater-management>
- Ministry of Health. 2017. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality Management for New Zealand (3rd edn). Wellington: Ministry of Health. Accessed online July 2020. [https://www.moh.govt.nz/notebook/nbbooks.nsf/0/B97E4331F0C1F869CC257C2E0072BAB9/\\$file/dw-management-drinking-water-guidelines-2017-3rdedn-jun17.pdf](https://www.moh.govt.nz/notebook/nbbooks.nsf/0/B97E4331F0C1F869CC257C2E0072BAB9/$file/dw-management-drinking-water-guidelines-2017-3rdedn-jun17.pdf)
- Ministry of Health. 2018. *Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand 2005 (revised 2018)*. Wellington: Ministry of Health. ISBN 978-1-98-853979-9 (online) HP 4660.
- Morgenstern, U and Daughney, C. 2012. Groundwater age for identification of baseline groundwater quality and impacts of land-use intensification — The National Groundwater Monitoring Programme of New Zealand, *Journal of Hydrology*, **456/457**: 79–93.
- National Environmental Monitoring Standards [NEMS]. 2019. Water quality part 1 – sampling, measuring, processing and archiving of discrete groundwater quality data. <http://www.nems.org.nz/documents/water-quality-part-1-groundwater/> . Accessed online July 2020.
- Otago Regional Council. 1995. *Etrick and Coal Creek Groundwater - Report on Preliminary Well / Borehole Location Survey, Monitoring Requirements and Recommendations for Further Study - January 1995*. Prepared by Irricon Consultants. Objective ID A563003.

- Otago Regional Council. 1997a. Ground Water Investigations 1996/7. Kingston. Prepared by J. Lindqvist. Objective ID A1316333.
- Otago Regional Council. 1997b. Ground water investigations 1996/7 Glenorchy. Prepared by J. Lindqvist. Objective ID A1321759.
- Otago Regional Council. 1997c. *Groundwater Study of the Strath Taieri Basin, Otago, New Zealand - July 1997*. Prepared by Stone Environmental. Objective IDA862590.
- Otago Regional Council. 1998a. *Groundwater of the Lower Clutha Plain: a preliminary survey*. Prepared by Irricon Consultants. Objective ID A203409.
- Otago Regional Council. 1998b. *Groundwater of the Tokomairiro Plain: A preliminary Survey*. Prepared by Irricon Consultants (Dugald MacTavish). Objective ID A564350.
- Otago Regional Council. 1999a. *Roxburgh East Groundwater report*. Prepared by Kingston Morrison. Objective ID A203017.
- Otago Regional Council. 1999b. *Pomahaka Basin Groundwater Report*. Prepared by Kingston Morrison. Objective ID A1019639.
- Otago Regional Council. 2000. Draft Deborah Volcanic Aquifer Status Report. Unpublished report of Otago Regional Council groundwater scientist, Dunedin.
- Otago Regional Council. 2004. *South Otago Groundwater Investigations: Clydevale and Wairuna Basin*. Objective ID A920887.
- Otago Regional Council. 2006. *Taieri River catchment monitoring report*.
- Otago Regional Council. 2008. *North Otago Volcanic Aquifer study*. Prepared by J. Rekker, C. Holbrooke, and M. Gyopari. Objective ID A183969.
- Otago Regional Council. 2010a. *Bendigo and Tarras Groundwater Allocation Study*. Prepared by C. Holbrooke. ISBN 978-0-478-37601-2. Objective ID A151738.
- Otago Regional Council. 2010b. *Memo: Groundwater setting of the Pomahaka Basin in relation to the Pomahaka Catchment agricultural water quality effects investigations*. Prepared by J. Rekker. Objective ID A185033.
- Otago Regional Council. 2010c. *Lower Taieri groundwater allocation study*. Prepared by J. Rekker and C. Holbrooke. Objective ID A458246.
- Otago Regional Council. 2011. *Rainfall recharge assessment for Otago groundwater basins*. Prepared by S. Wilson and X. Lu. Objective ID mA314732.
- Otago Regional Council. 2012a. *State of the environment: Surface water quality in Otago. 2006 to 2011*. Prepared by R. Ozanne. 91 pp. Objective ID A475884.
- Otago Regional Council. 2012b. *Hawea Basin Groundwater Review*. Otago Regional Council. Prepared by S. Wilson. Objective IDA1333179.
- Otago Regional Council. 2012c. *Alexandra Groundwater Basin Allocation study*. ISBN 978-0-478-37646-3. Objective ID A1248450.
- Otago Regional Council. 2013. Kakanui River Water Quality Report. Prepared by R. Ozanne and S. Wilson. 95 pp. Objective ID A535497.

- Otago Regional Council. 2014a. *Investigation into the Wakatipu Basin Aquifers*. Prepared by J. Rekker. Objective ID A662036.
- Otago Regional Council. 2014b. *Cromwell Terrace aquifer study*. Prepared by J. Rekker. Objective ID A479617.
- Otago Regional Council. 2014c. *integrated water resource management for the Bengier Burn & Etrick Basin aquifer*. Prepared by R. Morris & Matt Dale. Objective ID A490165.
- Otago Regional Council. 2014d. *Groundwater resource management review of the South Otago Basins WEB*. Prepared by R. Morris. Objective IDA714222.
- Otago Regional Council. 2014e. *Maniototo Groundwater Review 2014 - draft report*. Objective IDA671779.
- Otago Regional Council. 2015a. *West Bank of Clutha proposed investigations and monitoring*. Prepared by R. Morris. Objective ID A714120.
- Otago Regional Council. 2015b. *Roxburgh Basin aquifer study*. Prepared by R. Morris and X. Lu. Objective ID A663683.
- Otago Regional Council. 2016a. Regional Plan: Water. Schedule 15: schedule-of-characteristics-and-numerical-limits-and-targets-for-good-quality-water-in-otago-lakes-and-rivers. <https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/5821/schedule-15-schedule-of-characteristics-and-numerical-limits-and-targets-for-good-quality-water-in-otago-lakes-and-rivers.pdf> Accessed online July 2020
- Otago Regional Council. 2016b. *Lower Waitaki Plains Aquifer - Summary of the groundwater quality monitoring (July 2016 - January 2018)*. Prepared by F. Mourot. Objective ID A1321269.
- Otago Regional Council. 2017a. *State of the Environment Surface Water Quality in Otago 2006 to 2017*. Prepared by A. Uytendaal and R. Ozanne. Objective ID A1131612.
- Otago Regional Council. 2017b. *Wakatipu Basin Aquifers: Update of rainfall recharge analysis based on boundary refinement*. Prepared by R. Morris. Objective ID A1009235.
- Otago Regional Council. 2018a. *Recommended Groundwater Investigation for Lowburn Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer -February 2018*. Memorandum prepared by F. Mourot. Objective ID A1087974.
- Otago Regional Council. 2018b. *Pisa Queensberry Luggate Groundwater Management Zones - Factual investigations and monitoring report_updated*. Prepared by F. Mourot. Objective ID A1305816.
- Otago Regional Council. 2018c. *Groundwater summary of the Manuherekia catchment and discussion of management options*. Prepared by R. Morris. Objective ID A1093943.
- Otago Regional Council. 2019. *Wakatipu Basin allocation memo Sept 2019*. Prepared by M. Ettema & A. Levy. Objective IDA1321189.
- Pattle Delamore Partners (PDP) Limited. 2017. *Review of Otago Regional Council Groundwater Information*. Prepared by Neil Thomas. Reference C03577500. Objective reference: C03577500R001_GroundwaterReview_Final_v2_29.08.2017". Objective IDA1321542.
- Pattle Delamore Partners (PDP) Limited. 2018. *Wanaka Groundwater model report*. Objective ID A1082570.

Piper J. and Kim N .2006. *Arsenic in Groundwater of the Waikato Region*. Environment Waikato Technical Report 2006/14. ISSN: 1172-4005

Resource Management Act section 35. 1991. Accessed online July 2020.

<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/1991/0069/latest/DLM233009.html>

Rosen MR and White PA (ed). 2001. *Groundwaters of New Zealand*. New Zealand Hydrological Society Inc. Wellington North. 498 pages. ISBN0-473-07816-3

Turnbull, I.M. (compiler) 2000: Geology of the Wakatipu area: scale 1:250,000. Lower Hutt: Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences. Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences 1:250,000 geological map 18. 72 p. + 1 folded map.

Appendix 1: List of monitoring bores for the SoE network

Bore number	Depth (m)	Diameter (mm)	Eastings (NZTM)	Northings (NZTM)	FMU	Rohe
F40/0025	40	150	1294352	5042604	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F40/0045	60	100	1295870	5040239	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F40/0206	45	150	1297955	5042689	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F41/0104	60	100	1267649	5008496	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F41/0162	16.53	125	1299519	5011550	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F41/0203	4.1	50	1272318	5010248	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F41/0300	48.71	125	1297971	5003508	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F41/0437	24.85	0	1264923	5010849	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
F41/0438	6	0	1269859	5012093	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
G40/0175	12.64	125	1316489	5029566	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
G40/0411	17.75	150	1309254	5036692	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
G41/0211	41.51	125	1313189	5027098	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
G41/0487	28.99	150	1304667	5016105	Clutha / Mata-Au	Dunstan Rohe
G43/0009	15.2	100	1317341	4939478	Clutha / Mata-Au	Lower Clutha
G43/0072	16.8	150	1310456	4954944	Clutha / Mata-Au	Lower Clutha
G43/0224b	12.73	50	1316402	4941149	Clutha / Mata-Au	Lower Clutha
G44/0127	5.2	100	1306679	4910886	Clutha / Mata-Au	Lower Clutha
G44/0136	5.5	50	1310773	4912370	Clutha / Mata-Au	Lower Clutha
G45/0225	128	200	1307731	4897236	Clutha / Mata-Au	Lower Clutha
H46/0144	38	100	1354935	4870284	Clutha / Mata-Au	Lower Clutha
G41/0254	6.5	125	1330618	5002689	Clutha / Mata-Au	Manuherekia
G42/0123	32.4	100	1317225	4987272	Clutha / Mata-Au	Manuherekia
G42/0290	16.1	100	1318011	4988269	Clutha / Mata-Au	Manuherekia
G46/0152	10	150	1321034	4986341	Clutha / Mata-Au	Manuherekia
E41/0182	10.1	25000	1235134	5023214	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes
E41/0183	10.2	25000	1235510	5023479	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes
E41/0184	10	25000	1235260	5023606	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes
E41/0185	10	25000	1235380	5023306	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes
F42/0113	4.4	75	1264431	4971121	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes
G40/0367	17.1	150	1305561	5047533	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes

G40/0415	30.07	250	1305860	5052754	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes
G40/0416	30.5	200	1302748	5052499	Clutha / Mata-Au	Upper Lakes
H45/0314	11.28	125	1368244	4892910	Dunedin Coast	
J41/0008	20	1000	1434870	5000331	North Otago	
J41/0249	90	250	1430982	5000848	North Otago	
J41/0317	16.5	150	1448327	5020845	North Otago	
J41/0442	11.4	150	1435789	5022981	North Otago	
J41/0571	23.5	150	1446095	5014890	North Otago	
J41/0576	23	200	1447793	5017591	North Otago	
J41/0586	10.9	125	1444388	5022051	North Otago	
J41/0762	3.3	0	1425455	5007458	North Otago	
J41/0764	9.6	0	1425354	5004854	North Otago	
J41/0771	4.1	0	1429017	5001748	North Otago	
J42/0126	10.9	250	1434931	4994910	North Otago	
J43/0006	9.1	300	1421870	4962122	North Otago	
H42/0213	5.6	150	1366536	4993063	Taieri	
H42/0214	9	150	1369609	4987274	Taieri	
H43/0132	9.1	155	1375279	4957869	Taieri	
H44/0007	24.4	100	1378924	4912001	Taieri	
I44/0495	22.9	0	1392261	4918203	Taieri	
I44/0519	17.5	0	1392705	4919569	Taieri	
I44/0821	27.375	100	1396046	4919018	Taieri	
I44/0964	40.5	100	1392510	4916131	Taieri	
H46/0118	12	1400	1349089	4868050	The Catlins	

Appendix 2: SoE groundwater quality monitoring parameters and analytical methods

Parameter	Method	Limit of Detection
Total anions & cations for anion/cation balance	Sum of cations as mEquiv/L calculated from Sodium, Potassium, Calcium and Magnesium. Iron, Manganese, Aluminium, Zinc, Copper, Lithium, Total Ammoniacal-N and pH	0.07meq/L (anions) 0.05meq/L (cations)
pH	pH meter. Analysed at Hill Laboratories - Chemistry; 101c	0.1 pH units
Total Alkalinity	Titration to pH 4.5 (M-alkalinity), autotitrator. APHA 2320 B (modified for Alkalinity <20) 23rd ed. 2017.	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Carbonate Alkalinity	Calculation: from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 mg/L and alkalinity is almost entirely due to hydroxides, carbonates or bicarbonates. APHA 4500-CO ₂ D 23rd ed. 2017.	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	Calculation: from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 mg/L and alkalinity is almost entirely due to hydroxides, carbonates or bicarbonates. APHA 4500-CO ₂ D 23rd ed. 2017.	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Hydroxide Alkalinity	Calculation: from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 mg/L and alkalinity is almost entirely due to hydroxides, carbonates or bicarbonates. APHA 4500-CO ₂ D 23rd ed. 2017.	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃
Free Carbon Dioxide Calculation	Calculation: from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 from alkalinity and pH, valid where TDS is not >500 mg/L and alkalinity is almost entirely due to hydroxides, carbonates or bicarbonates. APHA 4500-CO ₂ D 23rd ed. 2017.	1.0g/m ³ at 25 °C
Total Hardness	Calculation from Calcium and Magnesium. APHA 2340 B 23rd 1-6	1.0g/m ³ as CaCO ₃

Electrical Conductivity (EC)	Conductivity meter, 25°C. APHA 2510 B	0.1mS/m
Approx. Total Dissolved Salts	Calculation: from Electrical Conductivity.	2g/m ³
Dissolved Arsenic	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed.	0.0010g/m ³
Dissolved Cadmium	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.00005g/m ³
Dissolved Calcium	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.05g/m ³
Dissolved Chromium	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.0005g/m ³
Dissolved Iron	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.02g/m ³
Dissolved Magnesium	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.02g/m ³
Dissolved Manganese	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.0005g/m ³
Dissolved Potassium	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.05g/m ³
Dissolved Sodium	Filtered sample, ICP-MS, trace level. APHA 3125 B 23rd ed. 2017	0.02g/m ³
Chloride	Filtered sample. Ion Chromatography. APHA 4110 B (modified)	0.5g/m ³
Fluoride	Direct measurement, ion selective electrode. APHA 4500-F- C	0.05g/m ³
Total Nitrogen*	Alkaline persulphate digestion, automated Cd reduction/sulphanilamide colorimetry. APHA 4500-N C & 4500-NO ₃ - I (modified) 23rd ed. 2017.	0.010g/m ³
Total Ammoniacal-N	Phenol/hypochlorite colorimetry. Flow injection analyser. (NH ₄ -N = NH ₄ ⁺ -N + NH ₃ -N). APHA 4500-NH ₃ H 23rd ed. 2017.	0.005g/m ³
Nitrite-N Trace	Automated Azo dye colorimetry, Flow injection analyser. APHA 4500-NO ₃ - I (modified) 23rd ed. 2017.	0.0010g/m ³
Nitrate-N	Calculation: (Nitrate-N + Nitrite-N) - NO ₂ N. In-House. 0.0010 g/m ³	0.0010g/m ³

Nitrate-N + Nitrite-N Trace	Total oxidised nitrogen. Automated cadmium reduction, flow injection analyser. APHA 4500-NO3- I (modified) 23rd ed. 2017.	0.0010g/m ³
Total Organic Nitrogen (TON), trace level	Calculation: TN - (NH ₄ N + NO ₃ N + NO ₂ N)	0.012g/m ³
Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus (trace)	Filtered sample. Molybdenum blue colorimetry. Flow injection analyser. APHA 4500-P G 23rd ed. 2017.	0.0010g/m ³
Total Phosphorus	Total phosphorus digestion, ascorbic acid colorimetry. Discrete Analyser. APHA 4500-P B & E (modified from manual analysis and also modified to include a reductant to reduce interference from any arsenic present in the sample) 23rd ed. 2017. NWASCO, Water & soil Miscellaneous Publication No. 38, 1982	0.004g/m ³
Sulphate	Filtered sample. Ion Chromatography. APHA 4110 B (modified) 23rd ed. 2017.	0.5g/m ³
Escherichia coli	MPN count using Colilert (Incubated at 35°C for 24 hours), Colilert 18 (Incubated at 35°C for 18 hours), APHA 9223 B 23rd ed. 2017.	1 MPN/100mL

Appendix 5: Material from the non-FPI part of the pORPS

Appendix 5: Material from the non-FPI part of the pORPS

Material	Description	Link
Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement 2021 (as notified) (pORPS)	The pORPS as notified by the Council. This version identifies the parts that are excluded from the non-freshwater hearing process in blue shading.	https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/12992/porps-edited-version-identifying-non-freshwater-parts.pdf
Section 32 Report	Evaluates pORPS under Section 32 of the RMA. Identifies its benefits, costs, and risks, and provide rationale for policy choices.	Section 32 Report: https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/10030/section-32-report-v61.pdf Appendices: https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/10012/section-32-report-v61-appendices.pdf
Submissions on the pORPS	Submissions ORC received on the pORPS.	https://www.orc.govt.nz/plans-policies-reports/regional-plans-and-policies/otago-regional-policy-statements/proposed-otago-regional-policy-statement-2021-non-freshwater-parts/submissions-to-the-proposed-regional-policy-statement-2021
Further Submissions on the pORPS	Further submissions ORC received on the pORPS.	https://www.orc.govt.nz/plans-policies-reports/regional-plans-and-policies/otago-regional-policy-statements/proposed-otago-regional-policy-statement-2021-non-freshwater-parts/further-submissions
pORPS - Summary of Decisions Requested	Outlines the decisions requested by submitters on the pORPS and includes all submissions received, along with any further submissions received in response to those submissions. This version of the SODR shows those submissions on parts of the pORPS that are now part of the Freshwater Planning Instrument shaded in blue (for information purposes only).	https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/13562/sodr-part-a-further-submissions-feb-2022-version-with-freshwater-shading.pdf
ORC Evidence on the pORPS - Section 42A Report and Supplementary Evidence	In compliance with RMA Section 42A, this document assess submissions on pORPS, summarises and evaluates them, and provides policy amendment recommendations informed by technical input and evaluation. Further evidence from Reporting Officers on behalf of ORC is contained in Supplementary Evidence statements and various memoranda.	Section 42A Reports and Supplementary evidence: https://www.orc.govt.nz/plans-policies-reports/regional-plans-and-policies/otago-regional-policy-statements/proposed-otago-regional-policy-statement-2021-non-freshwater-parts/proposed-rps-21-non-freshwater-parts-hearing/section-42a-hearing-report Memoranda containing supplementary evidence on behalf of ORC:

		https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/13868/memo-to-panel-questions-from-week-2.pdf https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/14062/memo-to-panel-liz-white-response-to-minute-7.pdf https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/14104/kelvin-lloyd-response-to-commissioner-questions-2023-4-12.pdf
pORPS – version showing recommendations from Section 42A reports and supplementary evidence	This version of the pORPS does not have any legal weight. It has been prepared to help the Hearing Panel, Council staff, and submitters understand what changes have been recommended by the Reporting Officers to the provisions in the pORPS since it was notified.	https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/13895/2023-02-24-porps-supplementary-evidence-version.pdf
Parties’ Evidence – Primary evidence and Rebuttal evidence	Evidence provided by submitters on the pORPS. (non-freshwater parts). Primary evidence and rebuttal evidence is sorted alphabetically and can be found approximately halfway down this webpage (after the Panel Minutes and correspondence with the parties).	https://www.orc.govt.nz/plans-policies-reports/regional-plans-and-policies/otago-regional-policy-statements/proposed-otago-regional-policy-statement-2021-non-freshwater-parts/proposed-rps-21-non-freshwater-parts-hearing
Joint Witness Statements	Joint Witness Statement as a result of caucusing between various experts on the pORPS.	Joint Witness Statements – Ecologists: https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/14070/joint-witness-statement-dated-31-march-2023-hg-signed.pdf Joint Witness Statements – SRMR Topic Planning Experts: https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/14027/srmr-infrastructure-jws-planning-final-signed.pdf https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/14099/srmr-new-resource-users-jws-final.pdf
Parties’ supplementary evidence and material presented at the hearing	Supplementary evidence filed by parties, legal submissions and other material presented at the hearing can be found towards the bottom of the page, following the parties’ evidence.	https://www.orc.govt.nz/plans-policies-reports/regional-plans-and-policies/otago-regional-policy-statements/proposed-otago-regional-policy-statement-2021-non-freshwater-parts/proposed-rps-21-non-freshwater-parts-hearing
Hearing	Videos of all hearing days	https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLUcDHMmL4411j0XGsA7KrTJl1O2gw0Uc

Appendix 6: Advice on FMU and rohe boundaries

Document Id: A1757984

MEMORANDUM

To: Fleur Matthews, Manager Policy
From: Tom De Pelsemaecker, Team Leader Freshwater and Land
Date: 31/05/2023
Re: Advice on FMU and rohe boundaries

Background

Submitters on the Freshwater Planning Instrument (FPI) part of the pORPS seek changes to the boundaries of the North Otago, Dunedin and Coast, Clutha/Mata-au, Catlins, and Taiari FMU boundaries. To assist with assessing these requests, this memo sets out:

- the process and criteria applied for determining the FMU boundaries, and
- an assessment of the potential changes in relation to the original criteria.

Process and criteria applied to determine FMU boundaries

The proposed FMUs for the Otago region were developed in the period January to March April 2019. The FMU setting workgroup members included Kāi Tahu representatives, and Council staff from across the organisation, with expertise in water quantity and quality resource science, policy and consents, communications, natural hazards, monitoring and compliance, and GIS and environmental data.

The assessment criteria for deciding the appropriate scale and delineation of boundaries for each FMU considered the following criteria are outlined in *Report PPRM1881 – Freshwater Management Unit setting and Engagement approach* that was presented to the Otago Regional Council at their meeting on 3 April 2019.¹ Those criteria are:

- An approach that encapsulates ki uta ki tai (mountains to the sea)
- Whether community views were known, and whether there were currently active community groups
- Would the scale allow for setting meaningful objectives

¹ Item 11.2 in ORC Council Meeting Agenda 3 April 2019. Available from <https://www.orc.govt.nz/media/6677/council-mtg-agenda-20190403.pdf>

- An understanding of natural catchment hydrology, and other physical characteristics of surface and groundwater
- Capturing the diversity of risks and issues i.e. water quality issues.
- Consented and permitted takes and discharges
- The value of water to iwi, and their relationship with water
- How the boundaries align with territorial authority boundaries
- Ensuring, where possible, that groundwater was not split across FMUs
- The consideration of water flowing between boundaries
- Existing receiving water quality groups (in Map 15.1 of Regional Plan: Water)
- Impact on monitoring, compliance, and freshwater accounting requirements
- Knowledge of existing land use, pressures, and issues
- Which catchments have current minimum flow plan change processes
- Location of wetlands
- How the objectives and limits setting process provides for further delineation, down to a sub catchment level

Caitlins FMU/Lower Clutha rohe (Puerua River catchment)

In the course of developing the pLWRP, the inclusion of the Puerua River catchment in the Catlins FMU has been queried as it discharges to the Clutha/Mata-au and not to the sea, like the rest of the FMU. The reasons for adopting the boundaries outlined in the 3 April 2019 paper note that:

- The Clutha Mata-au FMU is described as encompassing the whole Clutha/Mata-au hydrological catchment. It is set at this scale to provide for ki uta ki tai, the mountains to the sea approach. It is important from an iwi perspective to recognise that all sub-units within the Mata-au are part of a whole. This approach also recognises the nationally significant hydro dams and the management of flows from Lake Hāwea through to the Roxburgh dam.
- In the south of Otago, the Catlins FMU unit contains a natural collection of smaller catchments that feed into the sea south of the Clutha/Mata-au catchment. This unit contains Otago’s portion of the Catlins Conservation Park.

I have considered the original boundary identification and the criteria applied, and assessed whether the boundary change sought is consistent with the application of these criteria. In my view:

- The suggested change would achieve greater consistency in applying the criteria and rationale for setting FMU and rohe boundaries in relation to “natural catchment hydrology”.
- The suggested change ensures that all catchments discharging in the Clutha Mata-au are included within the same FMU.
- There are potential benefits in ensuring that the development of a planning framework for managing the Clutha Mata-au and its tributaries are guided by the same FMU vision in the proposed ORPS.

- The Puerua catchment as a whole shares more similarities with the catchments included within the Lower Clutha rohe in terms of land use and drainage patterns than with other catchments in the Catlins FMU.
- Preliminary modelling shows that the Puerua catchment generally is more similar in terms of the current state of its attributes with the catchments included within the Lower Clutha rohe than the Catlins FMU.
- The goals and timeframes in the proposed long-term vision for the Lower Clutha rohe of the proposed ORPS set a more appropriate target for the Puerua catchment than those included in the proposed long-term vision for the Catlins FMU.

I consider that amending the boundary would apply the identification criteria above consistently.

North Otago FMU/Dunedin and Coast FMU (Waikōuaiti River catchment)

The Waikōuaiti River catchment is included in the North Otago FMU. Kāi Tahu ki Otago seeks to amend the boundary so that it is instead included in the Dunedin and Coast FMU. The reasons for adopting the boundaries outlined in the 3 April 2019 paper note that:

- The North Otago FMU contains many smaller catchments that feed into the coast from Karitāne in the south, the Waitaki River in the north and bordering the Taiari in the west. These areas share pressures on water quality. Most of this FMU follows the Waitaki district council zone and reflects the community spilt between the wider Dunedin area and the north Otago coast.
- This FMU recognises the middle coast of Otago, starting south of Karitane, encompassing Dunedin city and Otago Peninsular and capturing coastal catchments down to the Clutha/Mata-au mouth. This unit is distinguished from North Otago and the Catlins by the difference in communities. All of these areas have similar water quality issues and water quantity requirements.

I have considered the original boundary identification and assessed whether the boundary change sought is consistent with the application of the criteria. In my view:

- Changing the boundary of the FMUs would ensure that the three estuaries that discharge into the East Otago Taiāpure reserve, Blueskin Bay, the Waikōuaiti Estuary and Mataīnaka (Hawksbury Lagoon), fed by Post Office Creek, are all included within the same FMU.
- There are potential benefits in ensuring that the development of a planning framework for managing all waterbodies and estuaries that discharge into the East Otago Taiāpure is guided by the same vision in the RPS and developed through the same FMU consultation process.
- As part of the Dunedin & Coast FMU, Blueskin Bay and the Waikōuaiti Estuary would be subject to the same environmental outcomes as the freshwater bodies that discharge into these estuaries.

However, I understand that the Waikōuaiti catchment shares some similarities with parts of the Dunedin & Coast FMU in terms of rainfall patterns and soil type, and overall displays a higher

degree of similarity with southern parts of the North Otago FMU.² The information in Ozanne (2021) on states and trends indicate that the southern-most monitoring site in the North Otago FMU (Waikouaiti at Confluence d/s) and the northern-most monitoring site in the Dunedin & Coast FMU (Waitati at Mt Cargill Road) show similar results: generally A band with some attributes in B bands and very few in C bands. Overall, the Waikōuaiti site (*again full name here, I can't remember it*) is more closely aligned with the water quality state and trends in the North Otago FMU than the Dunedin and Coast FMU.

I consider that:

- The Waikōuaiti catchment was initially included in the North Otago FMU due to similarities with other catchments within this FMU in terms of geography, rainfall patterns and land uses.
- The risks of amending the boundaries of North Otago and Dunedin & Coast FMUs so that the Waikōuaiti and Mataīnaka catchments are included in the Dunedin & Coast FMU are negligible.
- There are potential benefits in ensuring that the planning framework for managing both estuaries that discharge into the East Otago Taiāpure are guided by the same vision in the RPS and developed through the same FMU consultation process.

Taiari FMU

Federated Farmers seeks to divide the Taiari FMU into rohe but has not proposed the number of rohe or their boundaries. The reasons for adopting the boundaries outlined in the 3 April 2019 paper note that:

- The Taiari FMU encompasses the whole Taiari hydrological catchment, enabling ki uta ki tai, mountains to the sea management.

As a preliminary point, I note that managing the Taiari catchment as one FMU does not preclude the inclusion of plan provisions (objectives, rules or policies) that only apply to certain part(s) of the FMU or the setting of environmental flows/levels and take limits or (interim) target attribute states that only apply to certain part(s) of the FMU.

The splitting of the Clutha Mata-Au FMU into smaller rohe recognises that the land uses, climate, development pressures, river and water body types and their environmental state (i.e. level of degradation) varies significantly across the different rohe. The rohe also reflects the distinct communities across the Clutha Mata-au FMU, including Queenstown and Wanaka in the Upper Lakes rohe, Cromwell in the Dunstan rohe, Clyde and Roxburgh in the Roxburgh rohe, and Balclutha in the Lower Clutha rohe. In comparison, the Taiari FMU encompasses generally small,

² Soil in the Waikōuaiti catchment is predominantly Brown with some Pallic, which is similar to the hillier areas either side of Milton at the Southern end of the Dunedin and Coast FMU. The Waikōuaiti catchment contains areas within which rainfall extends from fairly dry (570 mm/yr) to quite wet (1100 mm/yr). These rainfall areas exist in Dunedin and Coast, although overall rainfall patterns in the Waikōuaiti catchment are more similar with those observed in the Shag.

rural communities without the significant elements of urban development present in the Clutha Mata-au FMU.

While some there are differences between the upper and lower parts of the Taiari catchment, these differences are not as significant in the Taiari FMU, compared to the Clutha Mata-au FMU. Furthermore, there are also strong commonalities in terms of the land uses, types of water bodies and environmental issues that exist in different parts of the Taiari catchment. For example:

- both the upper and lower parts of the Taiari catchment have extensive wetland areas,
- water quality degradation for some attributes and flow alteration (through damming and abstraction) can be observed in parts of the upper Taiari and Lower Taiari catchment, and
- a mix of traditional pastoral farming (e.g. sheep and beef) as well as more intensive farming systems (e.g. dairy) are present throughout the upper, middle and lower parts of the Taiari catchment.

For these reasons, I do not consider splitting the Taiari into rohe would be consistent with the identification criteria.