BEFORE THE HEARING PANEL CONSTITUTED BY THE OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of submissions on the Proposed Otago

Regional Policy Statement 2021 constituting part of the freshwater

planning instrument

AND

IN THE MATTER of submissions and further submissions

by Meridian Energy, FP1-016-FSP1012

MEMORANDUM OF MERIDIAN ENERGY LIMITED RESPONDING TO THE IHP MINUTE 4 CONCERNING RELEVANT EVIDENCE AND SUBMISSIONS

DATED 28 JUNE 2023

Instructing counsel:

Humphrey Tapper In-house counsel 287/293 Durham Street North Christchurch Central Christchurch 8013 Ph: 03 357 9767

Email: humphrey.tapper@meridianenergy.co.nz

Counsel acting:



- ☑ john@johnmaassen.com
- johnmaassen.com
- **Q** 04 914 1050
- 04 473 3179

MAY IT PLEASE THE PANEL:

- [1] Following Minute 4, MEL sets out the parts of its legal submissions and evidence MEL relies on for the FPI.
- [2] The following legal submissions for the non-FPI part of the RPS are relevant to the FPI:
 - (a) Legal submissions dated 22 February 2023 paragraphs 7, 10-21, 22-39 and 40 51.
 - (b) The entirety of the memorandum presenting further legal submissions in response to a query of the Panel's Chair dated 23 March 2023.
- [3] All of the evidence filed by Mr Feierabend dated 23 November 2022 for the non-FPI is relevant to the FPI.
- [4] Attached is a table of the parts of the evidence from MEL's consultant planner, Ms Ruston, dated 23 November 2022, in the non-FPI process relevant to the FPI component of the RPS.

J W Maassen

Counsel for Meridian Energy Limited

Attachment 1: Table of evidence of Susan Ruston relevant to Freshwater Planning Instrument (FPI)

The table below identifies the parts of Ms Ruston's evidence addressing the non-FPI provisions (dated 23 November 2022) that are relevant to the FPI provisions of the proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement (**pORPS**).

While the hearings for the non-FPI provisions and the FPI provisions address distinctly different objectives and policies in the pORPS, many of the planning requirements that apply to the non-FPI provisions, such as the requirement to give effect to higher order planning documents, equally apply to the FPI provisions. Also of key relevance to both sets of provisions is the IM chapter and the direction that this chapter needs to provide to address tensions between provisions in the pORPS, including the FPI provisions. These matters are reflected in the table below.

Relevant paragraphs in non-FPI evidence	Key points in these paragraphs that equally apply to the FPI provisions
2 and 30	The role of the regional policy statement is to move the higher-order policies (including those within the NPSREG and NPSFM) along with increasing detail, to reflect the opportunities and constraints within the region and provide a clearer picture of how to achieve the Act's purpose.
3, 4 and 22(c)	The regional policy statement should provide direction on how tensions between related objectives and policies throughout the regional policy statement are to be resolved.
80	The national and regional significance of renewable electricity generation activities are of a sufficiently strategic nature to warrant addressing in the IM chapter, as is the need for the IM chapter to provide direction on

	resolving the tensions between renewable electricity
	generation activities and meeting the requirements of s6
	of the Act and the NPSFM (and potentially other higher
	order documents).
	,
22(a) and (b), 26	Recognition needs to be given to renewable electricity's
and 27	role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and the
	potential for climate change.
	It is a planning deficiency to identify in a regional policy
	statement a major environmental issue such as the effects
	of climate change but not prioritise provisions for solving
	it with a national lens. The role of renewable electricity
	generation in managing greenhouse gas emissions is of
	sufficient strategic importance that it must be addressed
	squarely in the SRMR and IM chapters.
	[5] Part of the rationale for this is the requirement in
	the NPSREG for decision-makers to recognise and
	provide for the national significance of REG activities,
	including the national, regional and local benefits of such
	activities. It is also because the NPSFM, s6 of the Act and
	other statutory requirements bring about tensions in
	regional resource management, particularly where new
	development of renewable electricity generation has the
	potential to result in adverse environmental effects.
5 and 42	The national significance of renewable electricity
	generation activities that is formalised in the NPSREG
	and NPSFM, and the framework set in the NPSREG (and
	supported by the NPSFM) that requires regional policy
	statements to provide for the development, operation,
	maintenance and upgrading of renewable electricity
	generation activities, places renewable electricity
	generation infrastructure at a level of strategic importance
	that differs from other infrastructure (except electricity
	transmission infrastructure which is also recognised as

being of national significance in the NPSET). These national policy statements also provide direction to managing the potential environmental effects in a manner that reflects the national significance of renewable electricity generation activities and the need to provide for them.

Paragraphs 37 to 41 in non-FPI evidence identifies key components of the NPSREG and NPSFM relied on in paragraph 42.

Annexures 1 and 2

Recommended new provisions or changes to provisions that have a relationship to the FPI provisions include:

New IM-O5

New IM-P11

Changes to IM-P12

New EIT-EN-P1 Recognising and providing for renewable electricity generation*

New EIT-EN-P5 Managing effects*

New EIT-EN-P6 Reverse sensitivity*

* These policies are part of the package of Energy provisions that *the Renewable Electricity Generators* recommend to the Commissioners for adoption in the EIT-EN chapter.