

Submission Form 16 to the Otago Regional Council on consent applications

This is a Submission on (a) limited notified/publicly notified resource consent application/s pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991.

Submitter Details:

(please print clearly)

Full Name/s: Rick and Sarah Wellington

████████████████████

Postal Address:

████████████████████

██████████

Post Code:

██████

Phone number:

Business:

Private:

Mobile:

████████████████████

Email address:

██

I/ **we** wish to **SUPPORT** / **OPPOSE** / submit a **NEUTRAL** submission on (circle one) the application of:

Applicant's Name: Onumai Enterprises

And/or Organisation: Onumai Enterprises Dunedin

Application Number: RM22.550

Location: 21 Marine Parade, Taieri Mouth

Purpose: Residential, recreation commercial use of wharf

The specific parts of the application/s that my submission relates to are: *(Give details)*

My husband and I own a bach in Taieri Mouth on Moturata Road, we really enjoy, and care for, the community and the beautiful environment. We support Onumai Enterprises's proposal specifically in regard to the benefits it will make to the Taieri Mouth community and greater public. It is a positive upgrade for the wharf area too.

My/Our submission is *(include: whether you support or oppose the application or specific parts of it, whether you are neutral regarding the application or specific parts of it and the reasons for your views).*

We both support the proposal in full.

We admire the fact that the applicants are long-term members of the community with their own holiday home in Taieri Mouth; they are connected to the residents and committed to the community. Their intentions and dedication will bring a positive asset to the residents of Taieri Mouth.

We appreciate that the build will accommodate those with disabilities, providing water access via a crane and/or pontoon. I can think of many older/physically compromised people who will benefit greatly from this safe and well-thought-out engineering. The separate ramp access is also an asset for public use as safe entry for boats.

We support the visual element; the size, shape, and materials proposed, particularly the representation of a traditional boat shed. This build will upgrade the wharf and be an improvement on the two containers currently positioned there. The applicants have done extensive work on strengthening the wharf including fixing their all-tide pontoon to the end of the wharf. We understand this is a benefit to emergency services for 24/7 use in the event of safely extracting people from the water.

We know some of the wharves are in a less than desirable state. As users of the wharf, it is great to see the applicant's proposal to raise the bar with their current upgrade of the existing wharf, and to have a vision to improve the area. Many will benefit and enjoy Taieri Mouth with this multi-use facility.

This is also a wonderful opportunity for Dunedin city schools, clubs, societies and everyone else to use a very accessible site only 30 minutes from Dunedin.

I/We seek the following decision from the consent authority (*give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought*)

We seek you grant authority for this proposal to go ahead and have no general conditions

I/we:

- Not wish to be heard in support of our/my submission

If others make a similar submission, I/we will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

- No

I **am not** (choose one) a trade competitor* of the applicant (for the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991).

**If trade competitor chosen, please complete the next statement, otherwise leave blank.*

I **am not** (choose one) directly affected by an effect as a result of the proposed activity in the application that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

I **do not** (choose one) wish to be involved in any pre-hearing meeting that may be held for this application.

I **do not** request* that the local authority delegates its functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

I **have not** served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

X

14th September 2023

Sarah Wellington
Mrs

Signature/s of submitter/s
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter/s)

(Date)

Notes to the submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use [form 16B](#).

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

Privacy: Please note that submissions are public. Your name and submission will be included in papers that are available to the media and the public, including publication on the Council website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the notified resource consent process

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.

If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.

You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

The address for service for the Consent Authority is:

Otago Regional Council, Private Bag 1954, Dunedin, 9054
or by email to submissions@orc.govt.nz