

Submission Form 16 to the Otago Regional Council on consent applications

This is a Submission on (a) limited notified/publicly notified resource consent application/s pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991.

Submitter Details:

(please print clearly)

Full Name/s: Todd and Amanda Perkins

Postal Address:

Post Code:

Phone number:

Business:

Private:

Mobile:

Email address:

we wish to **SUPPORT** submission on (circle one) the application of:

Applicant's Name: Onumai Enterprises

And/or Organisation:

Application Number: RM22.550

Location: 21 Marine Parade, Taieri Mouth

Purpose: Build a multi purpose building on an existing wharf

The specific parts of the application/s that my submission relates to are: *(Give details)*

Granting permission in its entirety for this proposed build to go ahead.

Our submission is *(include: whether you support or oppose the application or specific parts of it, whether you are neutral regarding the application or specific parts of it and the reasons for your views)*.

Myself and my wife Amanda do not own a property at Taieri Mouth, however we are regular visitors to the area and regularly rent holiday homes. We are keen on fishing and enjoying the river and sea on our boat and other friends recreational boats. We are familiar with water safety and the perils of the bar at Taieri Mouth. I myself have been part of a rescue mission when a boat overturned just over a year ago, I witnessed the accident and assisted in the attempted recovery of the boat overturned at the bar. Fortunately nobody was injured in the event apart from their pride and the boat was recovered. Had the situation turned for the worst, I can only applaud the applicants for making

their wharf and all tide pontoon available to emergency services and the boatshed as a base for future events. I see the build/accommodation in keeping with that of a traditional looking boat shed and like the harmony of the dark colours that in our opinion will complement the natural surrounds than what is currently there, 2 old rusty containers that are nothing less than an eyesore.

I see the applicants have been steadily updating the wharf itself to represent what all wharves should look like, a place that is maintained to a high standard, is structurally sound and aesthetically pleasing with their re-decking and work completed thus far. Other wharf owners should take note, there are some there that will be lucky to survive the next king tide. If this is the applicant's standard on maintenance, I look forward to seeing the build completed.

We have friends with a disability and elderly parents.. This is very difficult for them to access a boat. Having the crane to assist them on to our boat, would give them an equal sense of inclusion rather than waving us farewell off the wharf when we go fishing. Able bodied people take simple tasks as a given, surely this is an opportunity to offer a quality accommodation option this close to water and with safe access to water.

We applaud that a percentage of any rentals will go back to community. We are conscious of conservation and environmental challenges as farmers. We respect that anyone hosting guests would be prepared to contribute back to the community coffers to assist either the local amenities society or conversation restoration is again a bonus.

We like that the applicants have a long family history to Taieri Mouth and run a family Airbnb locally, a property we have rented several times. Their service level is nothing short of excellent and know that with their experience, guests will have a unique, top end rental experience should this proposal go ahead.

In summary, we believe in positive change. In this case, we see that the environmental, aesthetic, and community has been well considered and ask that you grant permission for a much needed upgrade in an area that is no longer servicing a commercial fishing industry as it once was and that the current use is no longer viable.

We seek the following decision from the consent authority (*give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought*)

We seek the Otago Regional Council grant the consent change and build of a multi-purpose building that benefits many.

I/we:

- Wish to be heard in support of our/my submission
 Not wish to be heard in support of our/my submission

If others make a similar submission, I/we will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

- Yes
 No

I, **am not** (choose one) a trade competitor* of the applicant (for the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991).

**If trade competitor chosen, please complete the next statement, otherwise leave blank.*

I, **am not** (choose one) directly affected by an effect as a result of the proposed activity in the application that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

I, **do not** (choose one) wish to be involved in any pre-hearing meeting that may be held for this application.

I **do not** request* that the local authority delegates its functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

I **have** served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

Todd & Amanda Perkins

14 September 2023

Signature/s of submitter/s

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter/s)

(Date)

Notes to the submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use [form 16B](#).

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

Privacy: Please note that submissions are public. Your name and submission will be included in papers that are available to the media and the public, including publication on the Council website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the notified resource consent process

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.

If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.

You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

The address for service for the Consent Authority is:

Otago Regional Council, Private Bag 1954, Dunedin, 9054
or by email to submissions@orc.govt.nz