

Submission Form 16 to the Otago Regional Council on consent applications

This is a Submission on (a) limited notified/publicly notified resource consent application/s pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991.

Submitter Details:

(please print clearly)

Full Name/s: Elisabeth Lukeman

Postal Address:

Post Code:

Phone number:

Business:

Private:

Mobile:

Email address:

I/ we wish to **OPPOSE** submission on (circle one) the application of:

Applicant's Name: Onumai Enterprises Limited – Taieri Mouth

And/or Organisation: Onumai Enterprises Limited – Taieri Mouth

Application Number: RM22.550

Location: Common Marine and Coastal Area adjacent to 21 Marine Parade,
Taieri Mouth at about NZTM2000 E1382750 N4896314

Purpose:

The specific parts of the application/s that my submission relates to are: *(Give details)*

Removing wharf buildings / boat sheds / structures to replace with a new commercial centre.

Altering the character of the area.

My/Our submission is *(include: whether you support or oppose the application or specific parts of it, whether you are neutral regarding the application or specific parts of it and the reasons for your views).*

I oppose the removal of the existing structures and the current view. I oppose building a modern building for commercial enterprise in its place.

Reasons include:

No community need

No need for this development to occur: There is a community hall very nearby for students and groups to use for their water activities. The community hall is there to be utilised by COMMUNITY e.g. schools visiting the area. The hall is less than a 1 minute drive from the proposed new building. There is also the original, architecturally intact shopping store down the road that could be utilised. There are nearby community parks for visitors and students to utilise for free on school and weekend family trips.

Wharves are heritage sites**Takes away community neighbourhood identity**

Removing the existing buildings etc on the wharf alters the community identity of the area. Looking like WANAKA rather than reflecting the community and its history. It is a quiet fishing and farm village. Not flash Harry, not Wanaka.

Removes architectural identity of the area

Alters the architectural identity of the area. This is the entry to the community. These boat sheds should be protected and preserved as they are in other places. They are part of the community history. Don't wipe out all history in the area for the "glamour" of progress. The architectural and natural merit of the community will be lost. Bowling existing old architecture encourages other commercial enterprises to do the same.

Encourages more vehicle activity on the beaches which are just over the "strict beach-usage border of DCC"

Visitors are using TM as "just over the strict border" of DCC, and so are bringing their quad bikes, bikes, cars for driving on the beaches. And their noisy jet skis for in the water. Noise pollution. This encourages more disturbance. I have seen a dead sea lion pup on the beach with multiple tyre tracks around and over it.

Environmental loss and degradation

The changes to this part of TM are changing the visual beauty and personality of the area. A once stunningly beautiful bush covered outcrop that greeted all visitors to TM is now covered in large houses and bare areas for carparking etc In 5 or 10 years with climate change no doubt those inhabitants will be complaining and outraged about fire hazards, and demanding to clear the bush ... which is part of the beauty of the township and home to native animals. I hope that the ORC preserves the "historic", "fishing", "farming" and "quiet neighbourhood feel" of the entrance of Taieri Mouth.

It is a unique and very special area environmentally e.g. with very rare skinks (now being hunted by cats on the new subdivisions). Modern commercial buildings encroaching on sensitive ecological areas is threatening the ecological diversity and character of Taieri Mouth.

Other waterways and harbours protected including as heritage sites

I do not believe that any boat sheds on the Peninsula would be demolished for a new commercial building. Taieri Mouth is a beautiful pocket of Kiwiana, and should be preserved, not changed in to a Wanaka-wannabe.

Primary beneficiaries and primary losers

The main beneficiaries of this building are the business owners who will charge fees for its use.

The main losers are those multi-generational families who treasure the neighbourhood's identity. And equally the losers are animals, fish, insects, trees – the more of these modern buildings and machinery encroaching on the native bush, beach and waterways, the harder it is for the wildlife. This commercial socialising centre on the water will also bring with it people throwing garbage in to the waterways. It is inevitable if these developments on environmental areas .

I/We seek the following decision from the consent authority (*give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought*)

I seek that the wharf and surrounding areas remain with its current unique and charming character, that it is preserved and valued as a heritage site – not starting to become a flash entertainment and accommodation precinct. The current wharf and area has a character that identifies and reflects the area. I seek that existing structures are not demolished, unless upon necessity and replaced with replicas or things to fit and have similar feel to the traditional usage of the area. I seek that the wharf area retains its personality and its welcoming sleepy, heritage fishing and farming community face/ aura – the entry to unique Taieri Mouth.

I/we:

- Wish to be heard in support of our/my submission
 Not wish to be heard in support of our/my submission

If others make a similar submission, I/we will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

- Yes
 No

I, **am/am not** (choose one) a trade competitor* of the applicant (for the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991).

**If trade competitor chosen, please complete the next statement, otherwise leave blank.*


I, **am/am not** (choose one) directly affected by an effect as a result of the proposed activity in the application that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

I, **do/do not** (choose one) wish to be involved in any pre-hearing meeting that may be held for this application.

I **do/do not** request* that the local authority delegates its functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

I **have/have not yet (but am about to)** served a copy of my submission on the applicant.



Signature/s of submitter/s

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter/s)

15 Sep 2023

(Date)