



TO:	Otago Regional Council
DATE:	9 October 2015
PLAN CHANGE:	Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management) to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago.
KĀI TAHU KI OTAGO PAPATIPU RŪNAKA	Te Rūnanga o Moeraki, Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki, and Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou (collectively Kāi Tahu).
	be heard in support of this further submission at a hearing, and requests and on this submission. If others make a similar submission, we will consider with them.

1. Decision Sought

Kāi Tahu support in part submission number 66, made by:

John Murray Neilson

22 Berwick Road

Woodside

RD1 Outram

9073

kmsanda@xtra.co.nz

2. Particular points of the submission which are supported are:

The amendment of the minimum summer flow to 1000 litres/second or higher.

3. Reasons for the Decision Sought

Kāi Tahu agree with the submitter that a minimum flow of 1,000 l/s is required to ensure the connectivity between waterbodies, which, as set out in the submission, is a requirement of Policy B1 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater (NPSFM). Kāi Tahu also agree that Appendix 1 of the NPSFM, including both compulsory national values and additional national values, contain relevant considerations to the setting of a minimum flow for the Lindis River.



For the above reasons Kāi Tahu seek that the submission in regards to the minimum summer flow is **allowed**.

Nahaku noa, Na

Chris Rosenbrock

Manager

Address for Service:

Tim Vial

Senior Planner

KTKO Ltd,

PO Box 446

Dunedin 9054

Phone Number: (DD) (03) 471 5480

E-mail: tim@ktkoltd.co.nz

NOTE: We note that a copy of this further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after making the further submission to the local authority in accordance with Schedule 1, Clause 8A(2) of the Resource Management Act 1991.





Otago Regional Council Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9016 OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL
RECEIVED DUNEDIN

0 7 OCT 2015

FILE No. 1415.32

07/10/2015

Form 6 - Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on publicly notified proposed policy statement or plan, change or variation

(Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991)

To: Otago Regional Council

Name of person making further submission: Otago Fish and Game Council

This is a further submission on Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis River Integrated Management) to the Regional Plan Water (RPW).

The Otago Fish and Game Council (Fish and Game) is the statutory manager of sports fish and game within the Lindis catchment. This is an interest greater than that of the public generally.

Otago Fish and Game makes the following further submission.



Submitter reference / issue	Submitter name	Support / Opposition	Reasons
#55 -# 5 Minimum flow for the Lindis catchment	Federated Farmers – High Country	Oppose	The expiry of mining privileges in 2021 has been known for thirty years, and this time could have been used for transitioning to another source of water.
#55 - #6 Irrigation season primary allocation minimum flow	Federated Farmers — High Country	Oppose in part	Accept the statement that the "status quo is unsustainable". Oppose the introduction of a summer minimum flow of 450 litres per second as this does not address the NPSFM requirements to address overallocation by restoring flows to rivers in order to maintain lifesupporting capacity for ecosystems. A summer minimum flow of 450 lps does not meet this test.
#57 - #2 Overall approach	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	The NPS requires the phasing out of overallocation within catchments in order to protect the lifesupporting capacity of ecosystems. A summer minimum flow of 450 lps fails this test.
#57-#5 Policy 6.4.5 including transition timeframes	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Incorporated	Support in part	Fish and Game may be open to discussions about a transition framework provided that Fish and Game's submission in support of a summer minimum flow of 1000 lps is introduced.
#57-#57	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	Retain references to Bendigo and Lower Tarras aquifers in Schedule 4B2
#57-#57	Federated Farmers of New	Oppose	Retain maps C5 and C6

#56 - #2	Zealand Incorporated Lindis Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	as notified. The inclusion of the Lindis River ribbon aquifer is necessary to ensure the health of the river and continuity with the minimum flow. An integrated and holistic approach as requested by the
			submitter does not make up for a lack of meaningful flow in the lower Lindis river that provides for the life supporting capacity of this river.
#56 - #2 Overall approach- general opposition	Lindis Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	An integrated and holistic approach as requested by the submitter does not make up for a lack of meaningful flow in the lower Lindis river that provides for the life supporting capacity of this river.
#56 - #5 Policy 6.4.5, including transition timeframes	Lindis Catchment Group Incorporated	Support in part	Fish and Game may be open to discussions about a transition framework provided that Fish and Game's submission in support of a summer minimum flow of 1000 lps is introduced.
#56 - #15 Schedule 2A and 2B	Lindis Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	Support the retention of the existing flow recording sites for the purposes of the minimum flow.
#43 - #6 Irrigation season primary minimum flow	Upper Clutha Angling Club	Support	Fish and Game supports the Upper Clutha Angling Club's desire to see summer minimum flow of not less than 1000 litres per second applied to the river.
#68 - #5 Policy 6.4.5 including transition timeframes	Central Otago Environmental Society Incorporated	Support	Fish and Game supports the relief sought by the Central Otago Environmental Society to not see any further

			delays to implement the minimum flow.
#22 - #8 Schedule 2A – primary allocation limit	The Point Partnership	Oppose	There is a relationship between a minimum flow and a primary allocation limit, and Fish and Game's desired summer minimum flow of 1000 lps cannot be met with a 1500 lps primary allocation limit without significantly reducing the reliability of supply for primary water permit holders at that higher allocation limit.
#22 - #56	Lindis Catchment Group Incorporated	Oppose	Retain maps 84 and 87 as notified.
#22 - #57	Lindis Catchment Group Incorporated	Support	As with Fish and Game's initial submission, there is no reason for the RPW to place restrictions on takes from these aquifers over and above the allocation regime that has already been placed on these aquifers. Remove restrictions on taking as proposed by the relief sought by Contact Energy Limited.
#76 - #35 Supplementary allocation regime (minimum flow and allocation)	Contact Energy Limited	Support	Support the relief sought to add on specific dates. This is will ensure that the plan change is consistent with the rest of the Regional Plan: Water.
#30-#31 Implementation – other requests	Bendigo Station	Support in part	Fish and Game can support new or "innovative" initiatives to manage flows within the overall context of a higher summer minimum flow, but not as an alternative to a higher summer minimum flow.

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission at any hearing convened.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Wilson

Environmental Officer

Otago Fish and Game Council

Corner of Hanover and Harrow Streets PO Box 76 Dunedin

03-479-6552 021 151 3486

p.wilson@fish-game.org.nz





Lindis Catchment Group Inc

FURTHER SUBMISSION

Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management) to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

To: Otago Regional Council

policy@orc.govt.nz

Name of submitter: Lindis Catchment Group Inc (LCG)

Contact person: Sally Dicey

Consultant Environmental Planner, McKeague Consultancy Ltd

Address for service: PO Box 1320, Dunedin 9054

sally@mckconsultancy.co.nz

Email: Phone: LCG wishes to be heard in support of its further submission.

If others make a similar submission, LCG will not consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing.

LCG has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.

Signature:

Date: 7 October 2015



	Proposed Plan Change 5A	Part of the original submission that	Reasons for LCG's support / opposition
first hor culpainting value	Devision that the original	1 CG's further submission relates to	
rurmer submission relates to	submission related to	and if LCG support or oppose it	
Lindis Catchment Group		Support	The Otago Regional Council (ORC) summary of submissions
lnc.	Schedule 2A (3) – specific	"Brovicions that interline the	categorised this matter as "Implementation - Other requests - Not Applicable"
(oc jaquinel ivalification)	allocation takes	minimum flow effectively with the	
		new primary allocation limit so that	The Lindis Catchment Group (LCG) do not agree with this
	Minimum flow of 750 L/s (1 October to 31 May)	these tools can work as an integrated package."	categorisation, and submit that this matter is relevant and applicable.
	(ref # 30)		As stated in LCG's original submission, no account is taken in the section 32 report or proposed provisions of how a primary
			allocation limit works in combination with a minimum flow to
			impact on water availability and reliability" (row 7, p14).
			The ORC's Regional Plan: Water for Otago (RPW) clearly links
			these tools together to maintain sufficient flows (see for
			example Policies 6.4.3, 6.4.4, 6.4.5 and 6.4.6).
			These tools must be developed in an integrated manner to
			ensure effective limits are set.
Lindis Catchment Group	Schedule 2A (3) – specific	Support	The ORC summary of submissions categorised this as "Matters
Inc.	minimum flows for primary		beyond the scope of the plan change - Not applicable".
(Submitter Number 56)	allocation takes	"Inclusion of new policies and rules	
		granting primary allocation status to	LCG do not agree with this categorisation, and submit that this
	Primary allocation limit of	any take which has primary allocation	matter is within the scope of the plan change.
	1,000 L/s Lindis catchment	status in relation to a tributary of the	
	from confluence with	Clutha/Mata-Au (including the Lindis	The section 32 report repeatedly refers to Lindis irrigators
	Clutha/Mata-au to	River and its tributaries) which is	transitioning towards the use of an alternative water source,
	headwaters.	moved from that tributary of the	and identifies this as both a benefit and a cost/risk in relation to
		Clutha River/Mata-Au to the main	the options considered for the management of surface water,



Submitter that I CG's	Proposed Plan Change 5A	Part of the original submission that	Reasons for LCG's support / opposition
further submission relates	Provision that the original	LCG's further submission relates to	
to	submission related to	and if LCG support or oppose it	
	(ref#33)	stem of the Clutha River/Mata-Au."	and also includes the transfer of water takes to the Clutha River/Mata-Au in the outcomes likely to result from the recommended management regime (section 6, p13 of section 32 report). However, the section 32 report fails to clearly and effectively assess this aspect of the recommended management regime, even though it is one of the assumptions underpinning this regime.
			No clear framework for this transition is included in PPC5A, and LCG consider this to be necessary, to enable irrigators to provide for their social and economic well-being while also maintaining and enhancing the environmental and cultural values associated with the Lindis Catchment.
			Accordingly, LCG submit that this is clearly within the scope of this plan change – if flows in the Lindis are to be maintained at an effective level, and one aspect of maintaining these flows is to use alternative water sources, then the Proposed Plan Change 5A (PPC5A) should recognise and provide for an effective transition to alternative sources.
			The ORC categorised Fish and Game's submission on transition matters as within the scope of PPC5A (ref # 5 - see below). This is inconsistent, and LCG's submission on this matter should also be treated as within the scope of PPC5A.
Contact Energy Ltd - Daniel Druce	Policy 6.4.5, including transition timeframes	Oppose	LCG seek a longer transition period and provisions, as outlined in LCG's original submission.
(Submitter Number 76)	(ref # 5)	LCG oppose Contact's submission	



further submission relates provision that the original and if LCG support or oppose it submission related to submission related to to submission related to submission related to to submission related to to to contact Energy Ltd - Matters beyond the scope Oppose Contact submission related as the Plan Change (ref # 33) Contact Energy Ltd - Matters beyond the scope Oppose Contact's submission price of the Plan Change (ref # 33) Contact Energy Ltd - Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Submitter Number 76) Contact Energy Ltd - Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Submitter Number 76) Contact Energy Ltd - Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Oppose Contact Energy's boundary doe submission where it seeks to retain boundary of submitter Number 76) Contact Energy Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions Oppose Contact Energy's boundary of the indis. Contact Energy Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions Oppose Contact Energy's one of the indis. Contact Energy Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions Oppose Contact Energy's boundary of the indis. Contact Energy Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions Oppose Contact Energy's one of the indis. Contact Energy Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions Oppose Contact Energy's not extend the protein in the indiscentible im Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the possibility of indis Alluvial Ribbon Aurifer With protein in the indiscentible im Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the possibility of indis Alluvial Ribbon Aurifer With India Ribb	I submission that Beasons for I CG's sunnort / opposition
ther submission relates submission related to and if LCG support or oppose it where it seeks that this policy should be retained as notified. of LCG oppose Contact's submission (ref # 33) the Plan Change the Plan Change where it seeks to provide for transparency and understanding of the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis Catchment the Lindis Catchment CG oppose Contact Energy's the Lindis Catchment CG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions of the LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions of the LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions of the LCG oppose Cont	
submission related to and if LCG support or oppose it mact Energy Ltd - Matters beyond the scope or retained as notified. Matters beyond the scope oppose contact's submission where it seeks to provide for transparency and understanding of the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis Catchment the Lindis Catchment the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) mtact Energy Ltd - Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the longoing allocation in the Lindis Catchment the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) mtact Energy Ltd - Rule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref long on gr	nission relates to
where it seeks that this policy should be retained as notified. Matters beyond the scope of the Plan Change where it seeks to provide for transparency and understanding of the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. CG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) CG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Indianal Riphon Adulfer (with	lor oppose it
Matters beyond the scope Oppose of the Plan Change LCG oppose Contact's submission where it seeks to provide for transparency and understanding of the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lord oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Rule Ardgour Valley Adulfer (with	t this policy should
Matters beyond the scope Oppose of the Plan Change LCG oppose Contact's submission where it seeks to provide for transparency and understanding of the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Rule Andluxial Ribbon Aquifer (with	ıfied.
the Plan Change (ref # 33) (ref # 33) Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref # 38) Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref # 38) Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Allhuial Ribbon Aquifer (with	LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission as it could be
the Plan Change (ref # 33) Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of prose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref # 38) Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Allhuial Riphon Aquifer (with	interpreted as a request for full notification for all water permit
(ref # 33) where it seeks to provide for transparency and understanding of the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Oppose the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions Oppose on groundwater takes (ref # 38) Submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Acutifer (with	ct's submission applications for abstraction from the Lindis Catchment. Given
transparency and understanding of the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicity notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Oppose the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref mapping of mapping of mapping of mapping of mapping of helpon Aquifer and the lindis Catchment (ref # 36) submission where it seeks to amend schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the lindis Alluvial Ribban Aquifer (with	provide for that PCC5A will result in a primary allocation limit and a
the level of ongoing allocation in the Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. By Ltd - Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) CG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. By Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref mon	understanding of minimum flow, LCG consider this to be inappropriate.
Lindis River and associated aquifers by publicly notifying such information. Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Oppose the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. By Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref on groundwater takes (ref and groundwater ta	ig allocation in the
gy Ltd - Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of Oppose the Lindis Catchment the Lindis Catchment (ref # 36) gy Ltd - CG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. CG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	sociated aquifers by LCG agree with the ORC categorisation of this - that this is a
gy Ltd - Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis Catchment Oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. gy Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref money 76) #38) Oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with Lindis Alluvial R	such information. matter beyond the scope of the plan change.
the Lindis Catchment LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. By Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) con groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	LCG oppose Contact Energy's support for the reasons outlined
umber 76) (ref # 36) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to retain Rule 12.1.4.4 as notified. gy Ltd - Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions Oppose on groundwater takes (ref and groundwater takes (ref homogenes) submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	in LCG's original submission, including that the catchment
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	ct Energy's boundary does not recognise the true geographical catchment
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	it seeks to retain boundary of the Lindis River.
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	The implications of this, in terms of the long term management
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	of water within the true boundary of the Lindis catchment, are
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	potentially far-reaching, and will result in unnecessary
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	complexity and uncertainty for the natural 'nested' catchment
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aguifer (with	of the Lindis. Future decisions about sourcing water should not
Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions on groundwater takes (ref #38) #38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	be limited or extinguished by an arbitrary approach to mapping.
on groundwater takes (ref LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission on the basis that a
#38) LCG oppose Contact Energy's submission where it seeks to amend Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	restriction on taking water from these aquifers during winter is
Schedule 4B.2 by the addition of the Ardgour Valley Aquifer and the Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aquifer (with	ct Energy's not based on sufficient evidence that these takes have a
of the vith	it seeks to amend discernible impact on lake levels or lake out flows. Winter takes
vith	
Lindis Alluvial Ribbon Aguifer (with	uifer and the possibility of water harvesting for irrigation storage.
בוויקים יוויקים יוויקי	ion Aquifer (with
appropriate map references).	eferences).

4



Submitter that LCG's	Proposed Plan Change 5A	Part of the original submission that	Reasons for LCG's support / opposition
further submission relates	Provision that the original	LCG's further submission relates to	
to	submission related to	and if LCG support or oppose it	
Fish and Game Council -	Policy 6.4.5, including transition timeframes	Support in part –	LCG support and agree with the transition matters listed by Fish and Game in (a) - (e) with the exception of (d).
(Submitter Number 54)	(ref # 5)	LCG support in part Fish and Game's	
		submission where it seeks to add	LCG agree that PPC5A needs to address the issue of transition
		other objectives, policies, methods,	from deemed permits to resource consents, regardless of water
		rules, and schedules to the RPW, to	source, and that the s32 report and PPC5A do not adequately
		address transitioning from deemed	address matters of transition.
			As noted in LCG's original submission, PPC5A needs to include a
			package of provisions that recognises and reduces the
			complexity and challenges of all of these changes and minimises
			the adverse effects of these changes on irrigators and promotes
			balanced betterment to the core values of the Lindis Catchment
			water.
			LCG's submission to include transitional provisions (see ref # 33
	. DANGE SANGE		in relation to primary allocation status) was categorised by the
			ORC as "Matters beyond the scope of the plan change - Not
			applicable". This is in contrast to the ORC's categorisation of
			Fish and Game's submission on transition matters. LCG submit
			that its submission is applicable and is within the scope of the plan change.
Fish and Game Council -	Schedule 4B.2 - Restrictions	Support	LCG agree with Fish and Game that the existence of resource
Peter Wilson	on groundwater takes		consents to operate the Clutha/Mata-Au hydro scheme should
(Submitter Number 54)		LCG support Fish and Game's	not provide an open-ended and undefined ability for the RPW
	(ref # 38)	opposition to the restriction on takes	to place restrictions on water permits from these aquifers
		from the Bendigo and Lower Tarras	through Schedule 4B.2.
		Aquifers: "There shall be no take for	and the state of t



Submitter that LCG's	Proposed Plan Change 5A	Part of the original submission that	Reasons for LCG's support / opposition
further submission relates	Provision that the original	LCG's further submission relates to	
to	submission related to	and if LCG support or oppose it	
		irrigation purposes between 1 May	
		and 31 August inclusive. Other	
		restrictions may be imposed on	
		resource consents to help maintain	
		lake levels".	
Gerald Telford	Matters beyond the scope	Support	ORC have categorized this matter as "Not applicable" and outside the scope of the plan change.
	the Plan Change	LCG support Mr Telford's submission	-
	(ref # 33)	that proactive management by ORC to	LCG disagree with this categorisation and support Mr Telford's
		occur.	submission, including on this matter. LCG submit that
			provisions which enable proactive management are within the
			scope of PPC5A.
			A one size fits all approach is not appropriate across the whole
			of Otago, and certainly not for the Lindis Catchment. To set
			effective flow limits in the Lindis, the ORC need to recognise
			and address the unique characteristics of this catchment.
			Setting effective flow limits involves a fine balance between
			different values and interests. To maximise the gains for all
			values, including ecological, natural character and human use
			and cultural values, PPC5A needs to include provisions designed
			specifically for the environment and climate of the Lindis and
			the use of water in this catchment.
	- Control of Control o		And the second s







Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Further Submission on Otago Regional Council's Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated Water Management)

8 October 2015





FURTHER SUBMISSION TO OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 5A

Form 6

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on publicly notified proposed policy statement or plan

Clause 8 of First Schedule. Resource Management Act 1991

To: policy@orc.govt.nz

Otago Regional Council Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

Name of further submitter: Federated Farmers of New Zealand

Contact person: Kim Reilly

Regional Policy Manager, South Island

kreilly@fedfarm.org.nz Phone: 021 887 537

Address for service: as above

This is a further submission in response to submission/s made on Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis Integrated Water Management)

The following pages detail the specifics in relation to our support or opposition to various submissions lodged. Our further submissions include the particular parts of each submission supported or opposed alongside our reasons for that position and what decision we seek from the Hearing Panel.

Federated Farmers wishes to be heard in support of this further submission.

Note to person making further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after making the further submission to the local authority.

Where Federated Farmers submitted on the same variation point as any other submitter it stands by its original submission.

Where a submitter has sought the same relief as Federated Farmers, we support the relief sought by those submitters..

This Further Submission provides Federated Farmers views on points raised by other submitters.

Submitter name	Sub No.	Section of Plan	Summary of relevant part of Submission	Support/ Oppose	Reason for submission
Otago Natural History Trust	2	Schedule 2A - 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	Seeks a 1000/s or higher natural flow. The proposed changes need to ensure that the natural right of all New Zealanders to be able to swim in clean, fresh rivers in summer is restored.	Oppose	The Lindis river currently holds high recreational values and has no water quality issues – so it is inaccurate to imply that it is not a clean, fresh river.
Otago Anglers' Association	o o	Schedule 2A - 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow	Set a summer minimum flow of at least 1,500 l/s, as measured at the Ardgour Road flow recorder.	Oppose	Submissions have been raised by both these fishing organisations and individual anglers from across New Zealand (many including proforma comments) that are inconsistent with the
					RMA, NPSFM and Otago RPS, particularly
Council Gaine	7		important argues of the control of t		increase trout values in this catchment at the expense of social and economic values – in particular the community, district, economy and farming. Trout fishing (an exotic species) has no higher value status than farming, irrigation or the economy under any national or regional regulation or law. Building up exotic predatory fish numbers has a known adverse impact on other indigenous freshwater species. This is a community/catchment process and
					we note that the vast majority of submitters seeking these higher flows are from elsewhere in New Zealand.

Support Reason for submission Oppose	Oppose This Auckland-based submitter is new to this Lindis catchment process and hasn't been through the years of community workshops on these matters in which they could have heard the history of Council information, science and reports and community discussion and feedback. It was through these workshops that the 450 l/s was shown to be appropriate. We disagree that the NPSFM, RPS, RMA requires elevation beyond what science has shown to be reasonable and appropriate, particularly when at the expense of social and economic considerations.	Oppose This submitter is new to this Lindis catchment process and hasn't been through the years of community workshops on these matters in which they could have heard the history of Council information, science and reports and community discussion and feedback. It was through these workshops that the 450 l/s was shown to be appropriate. We disagree that the NPSFM, RPS, RMA requires elevation beyond what science has shown to be reasonable and appropriate, particularly when at the expense of social and economic considerations	Oppose This Auckland-based submitter has provided absolutely no basis for indicating why they believe a change in months in this manner is necessary, appropriate or justified in this catchment. They have simply 'opposed' it. We consider there is no science to show that the change to winter minimum flow months is necessary, appropriate or reasonable.	Oppose There has been no adequate scientific basis to show why this change in dates is required. Submitter is relying on a desire to increase trout fishery stocks – this is neither reasonable nor appropriate and is inconsistent with the RMA. NPSFM and PRPS.
ng Yo				
Summary of relevant part of Submission	Seeks a minimum flow of 1,000 I/s. Submitter opposes the proposed minimum flow as it: a) does not adequately provide for/have regard to the purpose and principles of the RMA, b) does not give effect to the NPSFM, RPS and the Objectives and Policies of the RPW, c) is inconsistent with the PRPS and Otago's Conservation Management Strategy. • Suggested amendment promotes the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in the region, to comply with the RMA and give effect to the NPSFM and the relevant regional policy documents.	Seeks a minimum flow of 1,000 I/s as consider proposed minimum flow: a) does not adequately provide for/ have regard to purpose and principles of the RMA, b) does not give effect to the NPSFM, RPS and Objectives and Policies of the RPW, c) is inconsistent with the PRPS and Otac Conservation Management Strategy. • The Section 32 Evaluation Report does not adequa examine the appropriateness of the minimum flow achieving the objectives, or alternatives for achieving objectives, nor does it appropriately recognise importance of environmental protection and an adequaliminum flow as a core element of sustainamanagement.	Amend the winter minimum flow season from 1 June to 30 September	Amend the winter minimum flow season from 1 June to 30 September
Section of Plan	Schedule 2A - 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	Schedule 2A - 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	Schedule 2A - 1 June to 30 Sept minimum flow for primary allocation	Schedule 2A - 1 June to 30 Sept minimum flow for primary allocation
Sub No.	20	65	50	54
Submitter name	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Fish & Game Council

Reason for submission	The submitter has provided absolutely no basis for indicating why they believe a change in months in this manner is necessary, appropriate or justified. They have simply 'opposed' it. We consider there is no science to show that the change to winter minimum flow months is necessary, appropriate or reasonable.	Provides plan users with more certainty and clarity than generic months.	The mapped catchment boundary doesn't recognise the true geographical catchment boundary and is in fact quite arbitrary and not ground-truthed. Basing future decisions on catchment allocation should not be determined in such a way. It results in significant adverse economic impacts and uncertainty/stress for those outside mapped areas as to where their water supply will come from.
Support/ Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support
Summary of relevant part of Submission	Amend the winter minimum flow season from 1 June to 30 September to 1 May to 30 September	For clarity, amend Schedule 2B as follows: 1 May to 30 November 1 December to 30 April 1 May to 30 November 1 December to 30 April	Redefine the catchment area and amend Maps B4 and B7 to include all of the true geographic area of the Lindis Catchment and retain the proposed changes to this rule.
Section of Plan	Schedule 2A - 1 June to 30 Sept minimum flow for primary allocation	Schedule 2B – Supplementary allocation regime	Rule 12.1.4 and mapping of the Lindis Catchment
Sub No.	65	9/	56
Submitter name	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	Contact Energy Ltd	Lindis Catchment Group







FURTHER SUBMISSION BY CONTACT ENERGY LIMITED IN SUPPORT OR OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSIONS ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 5A (LINDIS: INTEGRATED WATER MANAGEMENT)

To: Chief Executive

Otago Regional Council

Private Bag 1954 70 Stafford Street DUNEDIN 9054

policy@orc.govt.nz

Name of Submitter: Contact Energy Limited

Contact Person: Daniel Druce

Address for Service: Contact Energy Limited

PO Box 25 CLYDE

Telephone: 03 440 0319 Cell: 021 711 311

Email: daniel.druce@contactenergy.co.nz

Contact Energy Limited (Contact) submitted on the Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated Water Management).



Contact wishes to make further submissions in support or opposition to submissions on the Proposed Plan Change 5A.

Contact has an interest in Proposed Plan Change 5A that is greater than the interest of the general public.

Contact's further submissions are as set out in the table attached.

Contact wishes to be heard in support of its submissions and further submissions.

Duril Duce.

Daniel Druce

Environmental Advisor Contact Energy Limited

PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 5A (LINDIS: INTEGRATED WATER MANAGEMENT)

FURTHER SUBMISSION BY CONTACT ENERGY LIMITED

BY PROVISION

Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Fish and Game Council	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	Clutha Sports Fisheries Trust	Otago Anglers Association	Name of Submitter
57	54	50	36	9	Submitter Number
6. Schedule 2A – 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	6. Schedule 2A – 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	6. Schedule 2A – 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	6. Schedule 2A – 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	6. Schedule 2A – 1 Oct to 31 May minimum flow for primary allocation	Section of Proposed Plan Change
Adopt a primary allocation flow of 450l/s October to May and 1,600l/s June to September.	Amend the summer minimum flow season to 1 October to 30 April.	Amend the summer minimum flow season to 1 October to 30 April.	Amend the summer minimum flow season to 1 October to 30 April.	Set a minimum flow of at least 1,500l/s	Relief Sought
Oppose	Support in part	Support in part	Support in part	Oppose	Support or Oppose
Fails to adequately provide for the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in the Lindis Catchment.	While the amended summer flow season as sought in this submission is slightly different from that proposed by Contact, the principle of a shortened summer flow season is supported.	While the amended summer flow season as sought in this submission is slightly different from that proposed by Contact, the principle of a shortened summer flow season is supported.	While the amended summer flow season as sought in this submission is slightly different from that proposed by Contact, the principle of a shortened summer flow season is supported.	Fails to adequately provide for the sustainable management of the natural and physical resources in the Lindis Catchment.	Reasons

Name of Submitter Fish and Game Council Royal Forest and	Submitter Number 54	Section of Proposed Plan Change 7. Schedule 2A – 1 June to 30 September 7. Schedule 2A – 1 Lune to 30	Relief Sought Amend the winter minimum flow season to 1 May to 30 September. Amend the winter minimum flow season to 1 May to 30 September.	Support or Oppose Support in part	W 74
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	65	7. Schedule 2A – 1 June to 30 September	_	to 30	to 30 Support in part
Malvern Downs	47	38. Schedule 4B.2 – Restrictions on groundwater takes.	Delete reference to the Bendigo and Lower Tarras Aquifers from Schedule 4B.2	0,	Oppose
Fish and Game Council	54	38. Schedule 4B.2 – Restrictions on groundwater takes.	Oppose restriction on takes from the Bendigo and Lower Tarras Aquifers.	۵	d Oppose
Lindis Catchment Group Inc.	56	38. Schedule 4B.2 – Restrictions on groundwater takes.	Remove/delete restriction on takes from the Lower Tarras and Bendigo Aquifers.		Oppose

Name of Submitter	Submitter Number	Section of Proposed Plan Change	Relief Sought	Support or Oppose	Support Reasons or Oppose
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	57	38. Schedule 4B.2 – Restrictions on groundwater takes.	Delete restriction on takes from the Bendigo and Lower Tarras Aquifers.		When maximising the efficient use of water it is appropriate to place restrictions during the winter months when water is of maximum value for hydro-electric generation.









Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management)

to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

Office u

Name of further submitter:

J. Murray Neilson

Organisation (if applicable):

NA

Postal address:

22 Berwick Road

Woodside RD 1 Outram

Postcode:

9073

Telephone:

03 486 1378

Email:

KMsandawstre-co. 12

Important note to submitter:

- 1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days of making the further submission.
- 2. All further submissions are made available for public inspection.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM, FRIDAY 9 OCTOBER 2015

my further submission. If others make a similar submission (I wil) /will not consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing (circle preference). Tick as appropriate: I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest. Thave an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. Date: 9/10/15

(of further submitter, or person authorised to sign on their behalf).

(wish) do not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of



Send to: Freepost ORC 497 Otago Regional Co Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

State which submitter your further submission relates to	State what part of the submission your further submission relates to and if you support or oppose it	3 Give reasons for your support / apposition
Royal Forest and	Support submitter # 65, ref	The minimum flow
hird Protection	# 56,78,26+35	proposed by the ORC devery
Society of New		the summer is too low
Zeeland Inc.		and needs to be increased to
		10004/5 from 1 October to 30
		April correquented anendment
		needs to be made to the non-
		irrigation season minimum flow
		of 160045 epplying from 1 Twe
		to 30 September. This is necessary
AND MANAGES AS IN THE TOTAL THE TOTA		to achieve better protection of the
		environmental, retural character
		recreations are cereatly values of
		the heists him and to ensure
angezen, providende de Alexandria de Alexandria (Alexandria Alexandria Alexandria Alexandria Alexandria Alexandria Alexandria (Alexandria Alexandria		year - nound connectivity with the
		Cluthe his - their ochovery the
		Objective of the NPSFM.



Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management)

to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

I wish / do not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of ny further submission. If others make a similar submission (will)/will not consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing (circle preference). i represent a relevant aspect of the public interest. have an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. Date: 9/10/15 (of further submitter, or person authorised to sign on their behalf).

Name of further submitter: J. Meerray Neikar

Organisation (if applicable):

N/A

Postal address: 22 Berwick Road

Woodside RDI Outran

Postcode:

Telephone:

03 486 1378

Email:

Kmsandadstra. co. 12

Important note to submitter:

- 1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days of making the further submission.
- 2. All further submissions are made available for public inspection.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM, FRIDAY 9 OCTOBER 2015



Send to: Freepost ORC 497 Otago Regional Counc Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

Please turn (

Office use only

State which submitter you further submission relates		3 Give reasons for your support / opposition
Bran Turne	Support submitter # 67 vef	The proposed minimum flow of 7504/s is too low to
t an entire en par de promojos (e) (e) septe desta la promojos aproparação de projecto de 1900 por la productiva MARIE de Ale	# 6	of 7504/5 is too low to
		arrive the protection of
		environmental natural characte
,		recreations and arresity values
The state of the s		and connection to the Cluthe
		Ariver of the herdis River.
		The reinimum flow should
		The minimum flow should be increased to ensure protect
		of these values.
		·
and the second s		
anna armin principano no mandrophosido andre de vendo no paíseo (h. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		
ise Aut Andrew Mart Andrew (1970) and the stage of the st		



Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management)

to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

Office use or

Name of further submitter:	(wis) / do not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of myfurther submission.
Name of further submitter: J. Murray Neilson	If others make a similar submission, will/will not consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing (circle preference).
Organisation (if applicable):	Tick as appropriate:
NA	I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest.
Postal address: 22 Berwick Road Woodside	I have an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.
RDI Outran	Signatura: William Date: 9/10/13
Postcode: 9073	(of further submitter, or person authorised to sign on their behalf).
Telephone: 03 486 1378	
Email: Kmsandadxtra. Co. n2	×

Important note to submitter:

- 1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days of making the further submission.
- 2. All further submissions are made available for public inspection.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM, FRIDAY 9 OCTOBER 2015



Send to: Freepost ORC 497 Otago Regional Count Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

State which submitter your further submission relates to	State what part of the submission your further submission relates to and if you support or oppose it	3 Give reasons for your support / opposition
Weepe and Billee	support submitter # 78 ref	It is pleasing to rate that
Marsh	# 6 - in part.	there at least 2 locals who
	•	recognise that ar vivease in
		nienimum flow over and above
AND THE REST OF THE PARTY OF TH		the 7504/s proposed by ORC
		is necessary to achieve the
		protection of the ecosystem
		downstream of the SHB baridy
		Accessed and to achieve
A MARKET MEN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		Connectivity to the Clutha
		Priver - This would achieve
The second section of the second section of the section () or only the second section of the se		the experies of the NPSFM
Characteristics and a commission of the commissi		and the Terres Coresusity
And the second s		plan 2007 and is in stark
		contrast to the other locals
		requesting a return to a min. Flow of
gazz en manazan, unuez i personar en man, diment in mel mel ment den den den den den den de de l'Alle Mel de de de de de l'Alle Alle de		4504/5 which is nauferty inadequal



Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management)

to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

Office use on

Name of further submitter:	wish) do not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of myfurther submission.
Name of further submitter: J. Munay Neilson	If others make a similar submission. will will not consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing (circle preference).
Organisation (if applicable): $^{\wedge/A}$	Tick as appropriate:
And the second design	I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest.
Postal address: 22 Barrick Road	I have an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.
Woodside	
RDI Outan	Signature: Allalism Date: 9/10/15
Postcode: 9073	(of further submitter, or person authorised to sign on their behalf).
Telephone: 03 486 1378	
Email: KMSerolaaxtre.co.12	

Important note to submitter:

- 1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days of making the further submission.
- 2. All further submissions are made available for public inspection.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM, FRIDAY 9 OCTOBER 2015



Send to: Freepost ORC 497 Otago Regional Counc Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

Please turn

1 State which submitter your	2 State what part of the submission your further	3 Give reasons for your support / opposition
further submission relates to	submission relates to and if you support or oppose it	
Collectwaly Kai	support submitter # 77 ref # 5	PPC 5A would netter product the
Tonu '	support subnutter# 77 ref #5	environmental, returnal character,
		recreations, aniently and cultural
		values of the heidis River Han
		the current situation. An
		ancerded suremer vicinums flow
		of 1000 h/s applying from loctober
		to 30 April would better achieve
		protection of these values than
		the 750 L/S proposed by ORC.
		would ensure congectivity of you
		herdis to the Clutha hive their
		providing a neignatory pathway for
		native Rish as well as habitet
		and would achieve freshwater
Shares for and and any part of the company of the c		objectives B1, B2 and B3 of the
Substitution of and the manufacture of the substitution of the substitution and the substitution and the substitution and additional		APSEM.



Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management)

to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

I wish / do not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of If others make a similar submission will will not consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing (circle preference). Tick as appropriate: I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest. have an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. Date: 9/10/15 submitter, or person authorised to sign on their behalf).

Name of further submitter:

J. Murray Neilson

Organisation (if applicable):

MA.

Postal address: 22 Berwich Road

Woodside RDI Outran

Postcode:

Telephone:

03 486 1378

Email:

KMSardadxtra.co.12

Important note to submitter:

- 1. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days of making the further submission.
- 2. All further submissions are made available for public inspection.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM. FRIDAY 9 OCTOBER 2015



Send to: Freepost ORC 497 Otago Regional Cor Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

Office use

State which submitter your further submission relates to	State what part of the submission your further submission relates to and if you support or oppose it	3 Give reasons for your support / opposition
Clutha Fisheries	support sub milter # 36 ref # s	PPCSA better protects arrivarents
Trust	1, 6 is entirety.	natural character and recreation
		values of the heidis River than
		the existing situation. An
		areaded summer vierenum
		flow from 1 October to 30 April
		would better cechieve the protection
		of these values than the 750
		4/5 proposed by ORC, would
		ensure connectivity of the
7		herdis hiver to the Clutha
The author is the second and a second and advantage of advantage of advantage of a second and a		hiver and would achieve
The second control of		
		Besweler objectives BI, B2 and B3 of the NPSFM.



Name of further submitter:

Organisation (if applicable):

NA.

Woodside

9073

Postal address: 22 Berwick Road

RDI Outreen

FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM (Print clearly on both sides)

Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management)

to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

J. Murray Neilson

(wish I do not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of myturther submission.
If others make a similar submission will will not consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing (circle preference).
Tick as appropriate:
I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest.
have an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.
Signature Alla illus Date: 9/10/15
(of further submitter, or person authorised to sign on their behalf).

Important note to submitter:

Postcode:

Telephone:

Email:

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days of making the further submission.

2. All further submissions are made available for public inspection.

03 486 1378

Km sandaaxtra.co. n2

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM, FRIDAY 9 OCTOBER 2015



Send to: Freepost ORC 497 Otago Regional Counc Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

Please turn c

Office use on

State which submitter your further submission relates to	State what part of the submission your further submission relates to and if you support or oppose it	3 Give reasons for your support / opposition
Fish and Game	Support subscritter # 54 ref	Fish and game Coveril's
Couriel	#'s 5, 6, 7, 8, 16, 33, 35, 36, 37	Subrysian would better
	38 in entirety	protect environmental natural
		character and recreational values
		of the heidis River than
		the agreed situation and
		the scenew minimum flow
		proposed by Fishcord game
		world of 10004/5, explying
		Room 1 October to 30 April,
		would petter achieve these
		then the 7504/5 nierisus
		flow proposed by ORC. It
		would also achieve bresweter
		Objective B1, B2 and B3 0/
		the NPSFM.
and a second of the contract contract of the c		



Proposed Plan Change 5A (Lindis: Integrated water management)

to the Regional Plan: Water for Otago

Name of further submitter: J. Murray Neilson

Postal address: 22 Berwich Road

Woodside RDI Outram

(Form 6, Clause 8 of the First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991)

wish/ to not wish (circle preference) to be heard in support of myfurther submission.

If others make a similar submission will had consider presenting jointly with them at a hearing (circle preference).

Tick as appropriate:

I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest.

I have an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.

Signature:

Date: 9/15/5

Important note to submitter:

Postcode:

Telephone:

Email:

Organisation (if applicable):

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days of making the further submission.

03 486 1378

Km sandadxtra.co.12

2. All further submissions are made available for public inspection.

SUBMISSIONS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 5.00 PM, FRIDAY 9 OCTOBER 2015

9073



Send to: Freepost ORC 497 Otago Regional Cour Private Bag 1954 Dunedin 9054

Please tur

Office use o

State which submitter your further submission relates to	State what part of the submission your further submission relates to and if you support or oppose it	3 Give reasons for your support / opposition
Ian Cole	Support substitute #24 tel 1	Better protection for environmental
		volues and natural character of the
		heidis River them current situation
	Support submitter# 24 ref # 6	Amereled summer minimum flow
		of 1000 L/s, rather than proposed
		7504/s better protects environmen
		netweet character, and recreational
		values, provides assured connection
		to the chethe hiver, if omercles
and a series of the common the common the common the common terms of the common terms		to apply from 1 october to 30
		April, and achieves Greshwater
		objectives B1, B2 and B36/
		Objectives B1, B2 and B30/ the NPSM.
alle lake lake a von en de skel de de de de de de de von de skel de		
ana akananan aramman antan mananan mananan anan anan an		