

Appendix 3

Summary of Submissions

Application # RM25.177
Queenstown Lakes District Council - RM25.177 - Interim Processing Costs - Water Permit Divert -

Status	Number of Submissions
Neutral	3
Opposed	6
Support	1
Total Number of Submissions:	
10	

To Be Heard	Number of Submissions
No	2
Yes	8
Total:	
10	

Appendix 3

Submitter No	Submitter	Submissions Summary	Received Date	To Be Heard	Status
1	Graeme Lester	Approve with urgency, Would like the application approve and to focus on long term solution. Important that the plant is sorted out and scaled up for future development	24/09/2025	No	Support
2	Victoria Emily McNiece	Opposes the application in its entirety due to adverse environmental impact on fragile ecosystem. Endangers health of the river and water quality.	29/09/2025	Yes	Opposed
3	Kawarau Jet Services Limited	Requests detailed plan when river levels change which would mitigate any interruption to business	29/10/2025	Yes	Neutral
4	Director General of Conservation	Requests suitable conditions are imposed on consents to minimise the risk of disturbance to nesting birds during breeding and egg laying period. Also that Water Conservation (Kawarau) Order 1997 are met and applicant works with TAMI and Aukaha to minimise adverse effects	03/11/2025	No	Neutral
5	Shayne Galloway	Opposes the proposals' inconsistency with legal protections, planning policies and the high value of the well being affordance of the Shotover/Kawarau River system	03/11/2025	Yes	Opposed
6	Aukaha Limited	Establishment of a diversion channel to provide flow at the discharge point will be an affront to the mauri of the Kīmiākau/ Shotover River, Kāi Tahu consider the direct discharge of human waste to natural water abhorrent. The mixing of water from separate categories was, and still is, considered unacceptable to Māori. The consent applications run counter to the longstanding Kāi Tahu position on the direct discharge of human waste to water. The wastewater loading to be managed by the Shotover Wastewater Treatment Plant is predicted to significantly increase in the future. 6.6 Papatipu rūnaka have become frustrated that, although the loading on the wastewater treatment plant has continued to increase with the growth of Queenstown, there has been no effective progress in the last 25-30 years in finding an appropriate alternative to discharging treated wastewater to the rivers.	03/11/2025	Yes	Opposed
7	Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited	Requests conditions to ensure that the Applications, and ongoing operation of the SWWTP, do not adversely affect the safe operation of Queenstown Airport, specifically in relation to wildlife management	03/11/2025	Yes	Neutral
8	Whitewater NZ Incorporated	Opposed due to pressure on Shotover River's braidplain must be avoided to protect ecological and geomorphic integrity, conflict with the Kawarau WCO, infringement of RMA Part 2 principles, significant risks to water quality and river morphology, and unacceptable impacts on recreation and amenity	03/11/2025	Yes	Opposed

Appendix 3

9	Nga Papatipu Runaka ki Murihiku	The proposed works will have adverse effects on the cultural landscape and will impact the associated connections and relationship that Ngāi Tahu whānui have with the awa and its surrounding area. Nga Papatipu Rūnaka ki Murihiku seek to ensure that there are no further adverse effects on; mahinga kai species and habitat; the ability to use and access these areas; and water quality such that it is being maintained, improved, or enhanced. Ngā Rūnaka also seek to ensure there is no impact on any wāhi tapū, wāhi Ingoa or archaeological sites.	03/11/2025	Yes	Opposed
10	Nicolette Tania Macfarlane for Queenstown Lakes Community Action	The discharges are continuing without a comprehensive environmental assessment, credible exploration of improved treatment options, democratic process, or public accountability.	03/11/2025	Yes	Opposed