

GENERAL SUBMISSIONS (Whole RPS)

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.003	GEN – General Submission	AERs	Oppose	Delete all AER provisions. If the AER provisions are to be retained then it is sought that they be amended (where relevant) to align with the relief sought in this submission.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.001 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report in that no other appropriate wording was offered.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.007	GEN – General Submission	AERs	Oppose	Delete all AER provisions. If the AER provisions are to be retained then it is sought that they be amended (where relevant) to align with the relief sought in this submission	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.002 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report in that no other appropriate wording was offered.
LAC Properties Trustees Limited	00211.002	GEN – General Submission	All methods, monitoring and reasons and anticipated environmental results	Amend	Clarify legal status and intention of methods, monitoring, anticipated environmental results, and reasons in RPS. To the extent that such provisions have not been specifically submitted on below, the submitter reserves its position in respect of those matters, if the ORC confirms a position which gives those provisions any legal weighting relevant to district level planning decisions	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.003 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Lane Hocking	00210.002	GEN – General Submission	All methods, monitoring and reasons and anticipated environmental results	Amend	Clarify legal status and intention of methods, monitoring, anticipated environmental results, and reasons in RPS. To the extent that such provisions have not been specifically submitted on below, the submitter reserves its position in respect of those matters, if the ORC confirms a position which gives those provisions	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.004 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					any legal weighting relevant to district level planning decisions			
Maryhill Limited	00118.003	GEN – General Submission	All methods, monitoring and reasons and anticipated environmental results	Amend	Clarify legal status and intention of methods, monitoring, anticipated environmental results, and reasons in RPS. It should be clarified that such provisions are interpretive tools only, and are not relevant statutory matters required to be given effect to through district level planning decisions (consents and plan changes).	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.005 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.005 (neutral) O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.116	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Mt Cardrona Station	00114.003	GEN – General Submission	All methods, monitoring and reasons and anticipated environmental results	Amend	Clarify legal status and intention of methods, monitoring, anticipated environmental results, and reasons in RPS. It should be clarified that such provisions are interpretive tools only, and are not relevant statutory matters required to be given effect to through district level planning decisions (consents and plan changes).	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.006 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.006 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Universal Developments Hawea Limited	00209.002	GEN – General Submission	All methods, monitoring and reasons and anticipated environmental results	Amend	Clarify legal status and intention of methods, monitoring, anticipated environmental results, and reasons in RPS. To the extent that such provisions have not been specifically submitted on below, the submitter reserves its position in respect of those matters, if the ORC confirms a position which gives those provisions any legal weighting relevant to district level planning decisions	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.007 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.007 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Port Blakely NZ Ltd	00033.005	GEN – General	Benefits of forestry	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to recognise that forestry provides a long – term net – positive eco – system service, including the sequestration of carbon and that afforestation will bring multiple eco – system services and benefits, if managed correctly.	<p>S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.001</p> <p>S New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.001</p> <p>S Ngai Tahu Forestry FS00600.001</p> <p>S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.012</p> <p>O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.158</p>	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out particularly in paragraph 182 of the s42A Report and its preceding broader discussion.
Hopkins, Jim	00420.023	GEN – General Submission	Carbon forestry	Amend	Amend RPS to be more directive in managing and constraining the loss of productive soils, particularly in dry catchment zones, when they are used for carbon forestry planting.	<p>Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.009 (neutral)</p> <p>New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.021 (neutral)</p> <p>Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.009 (neutral)</p> <p>New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.021 (neutral)</p> <p>S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.101</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 184
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.006	GEN – General Submission	Climate change	Amend	Amend to integrate climate change provisions across the entire PORPS in order to provide clearer and stronger direction.	<p>S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.109</p> <p>S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.001</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and amendments have been made in response to other submissions which address climate change concerns.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.002	GEN – General Submission	Climate change	Amend	Amend to use of the national net zero – carbon target as the consistent “touchstone” for gauging what policies are necessary, realistic, a priority and	<p>S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.002</p> <p>Otago Water Resource Users</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and amendments have been made in response to other submissions which address climate change concerns.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					sustainable in the medium and longer term.	FS00235.010 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.010 (neutral)		
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.017	GEN – General Submission	Climate change	Amend	Confirm whether this RPS anticipates changes to the RMA which will allow consideration of the effects of an activity <u>on</u> climate or only the effects of it.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.011 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.011 (neutral)	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and amendments have been made in response to other submissions which address climate change concerns.
Angus, Alistair; Singleton, Robert; Bryant, Neville; Rivett, Ruth; Mckenzie, David and Fiona; Britton, Tania; Burrell, Marie; Young, Keri; Tayler, Kate; Afleck, Vern	00103.004	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Any alternative or consequential relief to address the above.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.051	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Amend as follows (throughout the RPS) Given effect to any further or consequential relief required in the event of conflict between submissions on EIT – INF – P13 (Submission Point 00315.049) and any other policy in the regional policy statement so that EIT – INF – P13 applies.	S Mercury FS00605.111	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.002	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Amend as requested in specific submission points and or such other or further		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					relief as addresses the issues raised by this submission.			
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.073	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Amend RPS as set out separately. Outcomes sought and wording used is as a suggestion only, where a suggestion is proposed it is with the intention of ‘or words to that effect.’ The outcomes sought may require consequential changes to the plan or restructuring of the Plan, or parts thereof, to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Blackthorn Lodge Glenorchy Limited	00119.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Any similar, alternative, consequential and/or other relief as necessary to address the issues raised in this submission [Blackthorn Lodge Glenorchy Limited, Submitter 00119].		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Director-General of Conservation	00137.002	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	That the amendments, additions and deletions to the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement sought in other submission points are made.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Director-General of Conservation	00137.003	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Further, alternative or consequential relief to like effect to that sought in other submission points.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Dunedin City Council	00139.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	In addition to the specific requests, any such necessary, consequential or further relief required to address the concerns identified, and to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - enable the effective and efficient establishment, operation, use and maintenance of wastewater, stormwater and water supply systems and infrastructure; 	S Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.001	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - enable the use and development of land in accordance with the NPS – UD; - enable a coordinated and collaborative approach between the ORC and territorial authorities on climate change adaption; - ensure that the general comments above are implemented throughout the RPS; and - better achieve the purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA). 			
Dunedin City Council	00139.041	GEN – General submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	any consequential amendments to give effect to all amendments requested		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	00233.002	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Retention, deletion or amendment of various provisions of the PORPS as set out elsewhere in submission and further or other consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to the relief sought in this submission.	S Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.017	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Greenpeace Aotearoa	00407.013	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Consequential Amendments to rest of document to deliver on IM – P4 IM – P4 must be followed by instruments that include triggers for action against current ecological benchmarks, and pathways so that necessary responses are timely and foreshadowed to affected communities whose interests may conflict with the overriding objectives to put the integrity of		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					freshwater and ecosystems first.			
Maryhill Limited	00118.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Alternative, consequential, or other necessary relief to achieve the intention and reasons as set out in Appendix 1.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Maryhill Limited	00118.002	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Subject to the specific relief identified in the submission, delete or amend remaining provisions to accord to the reasons for relief set out in the Submission, and where any inconsistencies remain between the Operative RPS and the Proposed RPS, that the Operative RPS is to be reinstated.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.097	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Amend as follows: Give full effect to necessary consequential amendments arising from submissions.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Mt Cardrona Station	00114.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Alternative, consequential, or other necessary relief to achieve the intention and reasons as set out in the submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
New Zealand Cherry Corp Ltd	00413.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Any further, other or consequential relief necessary to give address the matters raised in this submission		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
OWRUG	00235.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Specific decisions sought elsewhere be accepted; and any further consequential amendments required reflect the relief sought; or alternative amendments to the provisions of pRPS 2021 to address the substance of the concerns raised in this submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.059	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Any consequential change required to give effect to the key points outlined in this submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
PowerNet Ltd	00511.034	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Amend as follows: Provide such further or other relief as is appropriate or desirable in order to take account of the concerns expressed and relief sought in the submission of PowerNet Ltd (Submitter 00511)		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Rural Contractors NZ	00410.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Where specific wording has been proposed, words or provisions to similar effect; All necessary and consequential amendments, including any amendments to the provisions themselves or to other provisions linked to those provisions submitted on, and including any cross references in other chapters; and All further relief that are considered necessary to give effect to the concerns described in the submission		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Sipka Holdings Ltd	00402.018	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Make further amendments necessary to improve the clarity and workability of the provisions to achieve the purpose of the submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.0057	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Amend as follows: Make all required alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission arising from specific amendments on the submission AND		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					For the avoidance of doubt, such consequential relief may include the need to amend or alter Explanation text and/or Principal Reasons text to reflect the purpose or intent of relief sought in respect of Objectives, Policies or Methods.			
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	00305.117	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Amend as follows: Make such further, alternative or consequential amendments as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	a. Amendments to all the provisions of the RPS in accordance with and in no way limited to the changes set out in the submission; b. Or alternatively other amendments, including any such combination of provisions as may be appropriate, to address the matters raised in this submission, and to achieve the intent of this submission. c. Any similar, alternative, consequential and/or other relief as necessary to address the issues raised in this submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.001	GEN – General Submission	Consequential amendments	Amend	Make any alternative or consequential relief as required to give effect to this submission [Being Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited, submitter 00510 - admin], including any		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					consequential relief required in any other sections of the ORPS that are not specifically subject of this submission but where consequential changes are required to ensure a consistent approach is taken throughout the document; and any other relief required to give effect to the issues raised in this submission.			
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.003	GEN – General Submission	Effects management hierarchy	Amend	In relation to the use of effects management hierarchies, seek consistent amendments throughout the RPS to place the emphasis on avoiding the effects in the first place.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.012 ● Contact Energy Limited FS00318.001 ● Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.002 ● Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.009 ● Oceana Gold FS00115.001 	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.045	GEN – General Submission	Effects management hierarchy	Amend	Amend other chapters of the RPS as necessary to ensure that the effects management hierarchies are not applied within the coastal environment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Sanford Limited FS00122.001 	Accept in part	For the reasons outlined in the s.2A reply reports and in the main Recommendations report as to the EC, ECO and EIT chapters a range of amendments address the management of effects within the coastal environment.
Ernslaw One	00412.010	GEN – General Submission	Forestry	Oppose	Any restriction on harvesting activities over and above the NESPF would have to be justified by evidence and pass a rigorous cost-benefit analysis before being worked through with the industry and MPI / Te Uru Rākau, given the significant impact such changes would have on the wider market and economic base, communities and the work force, forestry assets and the NZ's climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.074 ● Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.009` 	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					policy, to avoid the creation of stranded assets			
Ernslaw One	00412.012	GEN – General Submission	Forestry	Amend	It should be acknowledged that, with effective risk-based compliance monitoring and enforcement of NESPF in place, as per the MPI / Te Uru Rākau guidance to Councils [<i>footnote reference provided</i>], significant adverse environmental effects from the regulated plantation forestry activities can and will be avoided. Very few locations in Otago are so steep and erodible, that clear fell harvesting would give rise to significant adverse environmental effects.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Ernslaw One	00412.013	GEN – General Submission	Forestry	Amend	Recognise the long-term provision of positive ecosystems services that plantation forestry can provide, including the sequestration of carbon. ORPS 2021 currently fails to recognise that afforestation and the spatial extension of new plantation land area, as well as the consequent displacement of pastoral agriculture, will bring multiple eco-system services and benefits.	<p>§ New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.019</p> <p>§ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.075</p>	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Port Blakely NZ Ltd	00033.001	GEN – General Submission	Forestry	Amend	There needs to be more clarity regarding certain NES – PF 2017 forestry references made in the RPS21, such as sedimentation, afforestation, wilding conifer management and setbacks from SNA. It is not clear if the NES – PF 2017 takes precedent over the NES – F when referring to forestry	§ Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.002	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					activities, such as sedimentation from harvesting. Robust analysis of adopting a more stringent rule than the NES – PF under regulation 6 needs to be undertaken in order to provide evidence that the current NES – PF rules are not delivering on the NPS – FM objectives.			
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.097	GEN – General Submission	Format	Amend	Format the provision codes so they can be navigated to via search functions on common internet browsers and pdf viewers.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.058	GEN – General Submission	Format	Amend	Include “coastal icons” or similar that make it clear throughout the RPS, which provisions apply within the coastal environment, and by omission, which do not apply, along with explanatory text to confirm this.	S Sanford Limited FS00122.002 S The Fuel Companies FS00510.002	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Waitaki District Council	00140.004	GEN – General Submission	Format	Amend	Each chapter objective, policy, rule and method to begin with 1.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.010	GEN – General Submission	Format	Amend	As far as possible avoid artificially fragmenting the environmental domains and topics as the life – supporting ecological complexes and connections so crucial for resilience become obscured.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Ballance Agri-Nutrients	00409.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Retain as notified except where specific amendments requested		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Barratt, Andy	00309.008	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	I urge the Otago Regional Council to build on the positive intention of its Proposed Regional Policy		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Statement and work with those in our rural communities who are already dedicated to making the more radical changes required by our current converging crises.			
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	We support the general tenor and direction of the ORPS	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Greenpeace FS00407.015 <input type="radio"/> Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.003 <input type="radio"/> Oceana Gold FS00115.002	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Director-General of Conservation	00137.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Retain the particular provisions of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement that I support (as set out elsewhere).		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Ernslaw One	00412.011	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Supports the provisions in ORPS 2021 which enable collaborative engagement between different local authorities, landowners, and communities for the management of eco-systems, freshwater or otherwise, and indigenous biodiversity.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Fire and Emergency New Zealand - Te Kei Region Otago Southland	00219.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	endorse all references to working with stakeholders in order to provide input on the following areas as they relate to fire safe practices, urban development and design, water use and air quality.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Fire and Emergency New Zealand - Te Kei Region Otago Southland	00219.007	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	endorse regular review and publication of significant built and natural places within planning documents		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Fire and Emergency New Zealand - Te Kei Region Otago Southland	00219.013	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Planning considerations need to take account of water supplies for firefighting, accessibility for emergency services.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	00123.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Retain as notified except where specific amendments are sought		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.023	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Generally support the approach taken in the PORPS and retain any provisions not specified in Appendix 1 of submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Minister for the Environment	00136.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	I support the pRPS but recommend minor amendments	S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.126	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	support the references in the RPS to the effects of land-based activities on the coastal environment	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.148	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Sole Matthew	00508.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	I support the general tenor and direction of the PORPS 2021		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Supports the intent of the ORPS		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Unless otherwise discussed or affected by the reasons below the pRPS is supported.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Support	Retain as notified except where amendments are sought		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Angus, Alistair; Singleton,	00103.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Oppose	Suspend this process until there has been meetings in all affected area's with		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Robert; Bryant, Neville; Rivett, Ruth; Mckenzie, David and Fiona; Britton, Tania; Burrel, Marie; Young, Keri; Tayler, Kate; Afleck, Vern					Honest Q&A sessions and binding motions.			
Ernslaw One	00412.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Oppose	Delete RPS in its entirety and replace with a freshwater focused RPS to prioritise the changes necessary to give effect to NPSFM 2020 and NESF 2020. Any provisions that do not address freshwater specifically, should be redrafted into a future RPS document given likely change in national direction and RMA Reform.	○ Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.010	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.099	GEN – General Submission	General	Oppose	Delete and redraft explanations, principal reasons and anticipated Environmental results sections	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.008 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.008 (neutral)	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Rayonier Matariki Forests	00020.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Oppose	Delay the development of the RPS21 until after the RMA reform process and the introduction of the NPSIB, or an alternative is to only advance those sections of the RPS21 that give effect to the NPSFW.	§ Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.003 ○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.171	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.194	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	Note that the application of the Te Mana o Te Wai hierarchical approach across all chapters of the RPS is going beyond the intent of the NPSFM 2020.	§ Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.015 § Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.013	Accept in part	We accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal Section of the main Recommendations report Appendix One.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
						<p>○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.077</p> <p>○ Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.011</p>		
Glaister, Peter	00109.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	That the council use common sense in its policy decisions and strikes an appropriate balance between the needs of the environment and the needs of the people for sustainably produced food		Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal Section of the main Recommendations report Appendix One.
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	<p>[Specific changes not identified]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is essential that all four well-beings and the health and safety of people are provided for within the proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement. - The lack of recognition of the positive contribution of the sector is impacting on the mental health of growers. 	○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.103	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	Achieve the purpose and principles of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and consistency with the relevant provisions in Sections 6 - 8 RMA;		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	Give effect to National Policy Statements, Environmental Standards and Regulations, including the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS).	<p>○ Contact Energy Limited FS00318.002</p> <p>○ Oceana Gold FS00115.003</p> <p>○ Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.004</p>	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.004	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	Assist the Council to carry out its functions under Section 30 RMA.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.005	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	Meet the requirements of the statutory tests in section 32 of the RMA		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.006	GEN – General Submission	General	Not stated/unclear	Avoid, remedy or mitigate any relevant and identified environmental effects		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.074	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	<p>General amendments sought:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Overhaul pORPS to make resilience foundation of all objectives, policies, methods on for all aspects. ii. Overhaul pORPS to place biodiversity as the focus of policy and build other policies around that. iii. Give better recognition of rural land and primary sector in its value to the region for social, economic, and environmental purposes. iv. The RPS should include policies setting out the identification of values, and their location in the regional plan, and this should occur before environmental outcomes are decided. 	<p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.014</p> <p>O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.033</p>	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>v. The pORPS should contain more directive policies which enable plans to be developed that focus on prioritising land use for the protection of productive land for food and fibre production</p> <p>vi. The pORPS should contain directive policies providing for an adaptive management planning framework for a catchment or sub – catchment, which allows for sustainable food production</p> <p>vii. The pORPS should contain policies which emphasise the importance of providing for mana whenua and communities to develop a vision for land uses in a catchment or sub – catchment</p> <p>The pORPS should include provisions and policies which provide for any climate accounting methods to include the benefits of carbon being sequestered in soil.</p>			
Business South Inc	00408.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Complexity of planning framework...reinforces the importance of having effective and innovative resource management communication for the business community to be kept informed to promote positive ongoing engagement. We suggest that providing high level summary documents a more effective way to		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					communicate intent to the community and to engage in a genuine consultation process			
Cain whānau	00010.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Amendments to all the provisions of the RPS in accordance with but not limited to the changes set out in the submission [Cain Whānau, submitter 00010 – Admin]; b. Any alternative or other amendments to address the matters raised in this submission, and to achieve the intent of this submission; and c. Any similar, alternative, consequential and/or other relief as necessary to address the issues raised in this submission. 		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	00307.042	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	(Submission Cover Para 7) The Proposed Statement must be forward – looking. It is important to future – proof theregion as well as providing for existing infrastructure and community assets. As a planning document with a decade – long vision, the Proposed Statement should anticipate community needs in the future and establish a framework to guide future development to meet those needs		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	00307.043	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	(Submission Cover Para 8) The objectives and policies of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement	○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.047	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal Section and EIT chapter of the main Recommendations report Appendix One.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage and support the upgrading, maintenance and protection of regionally significant infrastructure. • Encourage and support the development of new infrastructure projects in appropriate locations to provide for the region's infrastructure needs in the future • Ensure that infrastructure provisions runs alongside community growth; and • Provides for the investments that will be necessary to support the people of Central Otago and beyond as they adjust to the demands imposed by climate change. In particular, to facilitate the adaptations that will be required to relocate, substitute and reinforce key infrastructure assets that will likely become susceptible to the impacts of climate change. 			
Dunedin City Council	00139.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend RPS as required to ensure district plan change requirement dates are realistic and achievable and based on current work programme priorities. - Add content to allow these dates to be changed by mutual agreement in 	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.017 (neutral) Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.017 (neutral) S Waitaki District Council FS00140.005 O	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					consideration of other priorities. - Where possible align dates with the date required to produce a new plan under any replacement legislation being brought forward through the RM System reform.	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.052		
Ernslaw One	00412.017	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Ernslaw generally supports the intent of ORPS 2021, however given impending changes in national direction, Ernslaw submits that ORPS 2021 be deleted and that a new RPS be drafted to prioritise regional policy needed to give effect to the NPSFM 2020 and the NESF 2020. See also point 00412.001	○ Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.008	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.195	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Significantly review and amend the overly restrictive and prohibitive approach taken within the RPS.	₪ Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.001 ₪ Oceana Gold FS00115.004 ₪ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.015	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal Section of the main Recommendations report Appendix One.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.196	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend to include a broader acknowledgement towards (and recognition of) the roles resource users fulfil in meeting the positive outcomes sought under the RPS.	₪ Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.004 ₪ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.015 ○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.078	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal Section of the main Recommendations report Appendix One.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.198	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend to include a broader acknowledgement towards (and recognition of) the roles resource users fulfil in meeting the positive outcomes sought under the RPS.	₪ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.016	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal Section of the main Recommendations report Appendix One.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.199	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend to recognise that there are deep inconsistencies between the natural character, outstanding natural landscape, and outstanding water body provisions.	S Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.005 S Oceana Gold FS00115.005 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.016	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.202	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	That our relief sought for specific provisions as included within the following sections of this submission are noted and adopted.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.017	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.201	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend to include a new transitioning chapter given the objectives and policies within this RPS represent a major change for Otago.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.017 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.079	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Fire and Emergency New Zealand - Te Kei Region Otago Southland	00219.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Include/acknowledge 'emergency services' as a stakeholder in the RPS		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Fire and Emergency New Zealand - Te Kei Region Otago Southland	00219.006	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Enable the sharing of registers and mapping layers of significant places/sites/areas to enable joint planning activities and foster shared situational awareness.	S Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.057	Accept in part	While this is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested, elsewhere in this report we recommend amendments that encourage co-operation between authorities
Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	00233.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Generally support the direction of the PORPS, subject to the amendments that are outlined in submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.049	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Ensure as well as the pRPS focus on environmental resilience, social and economic resilience and the ability to recover in the face of natural hazard and		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					climate change risks is recognised as important as outlined in the general submission.			
Glenpanel Limited Partnership	00405.018	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Make further amendments necessary to improve the clarity and workability of the provisions to achieve the purpose of the submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Highton, John	00014.011	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Address the tourism issues that come from unregulated camping (freedom camping) and recreational boating which put pressure on the region's lakes.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.098	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Highton, John	00014.043	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include a section that recognises the cultural significance of valued introduced species and provide for protecting the environment of these species.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.099	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Hopkins, Jim	00420.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend RPS to use quantifiable, measurable terms like 'purity', 'quality', 'life-supporting ability' instead of spiritual concepts not necessarily universally shared		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
LAC Properties Trustees Limited	00211.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Subject to the specific relief identified elsewhere, the Submitter requests that remaining provisions be either deleted or amended to accord to the reasons for relief set out in this Submission, and where any inconsistencies remain between the Operative RPS and the Proposed RPS, that the Operative RPS is to be reinstated.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Lane Hocking	00210.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Subject to the specific relief identified elsewhere, the Submitter requests that		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					remaining provisions be either deleted or amended to accord to the reasons for relief set out in this Submission, and where any inconsistencies remain between the Operative RPS and the Proposed RPS, that the Operative RPS is to be reinstated.			
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.086	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend as follows: Redress the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement June 2021 (pORPS21) not adequately giving effect to the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation 2011 (NPSREG) and Policy 4 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPSFM); and does not respond sufficiently to the need for action to address climate change.	S Mercury FS00605.052	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Mt Cardrona Station	00114.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Subject to the specific relief identified below, the Submitter requests that remaining provisions be either deleted or amended to accord to the reasons for relief set out in this Submission, and where any inconsistencies remain between the Operative RPS and the Proposed RPS, that the Operative RPS is to be reinstated.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
New Zealand Cherry Corp Ltd	00413.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Any further relief necessary to give effect to the NPS-HPL when this is gazetted		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.009	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Holism or hierarchy conflict needs to be resolved. The holistic, integrated approach is preferred	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.128 O Minister for the Environment FS00136.009	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal Section of the main Recommendations report Appendix One.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.099	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Defer the development of the pORPS until the future Natural and Built Environments Act (NBA) reform process has been completed and is in place	○ Minister for the Environment FS00136.008	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.035	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend the PORPS so it is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA, particularly when regard is had to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means;	○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.144	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.037	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend the PORPS so it represents sound resource management practice particularly with respect to planning for significant economic activities and contributors in the Otago Region – no particular details provided.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.034	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend the PORPS to promote the sustainable management or efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Off Road Adventures Limited	00205.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Ensure existing and new commercial recreation activities (including ancillary/supporting facilities and services) are provided for/can occur within areas classified as Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Highly Valued Natural Landscapes, Significant Natural Areas, or within areas known to be subject to natural hazard risk.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South	00231.096	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Make text within Parts 1 and 2 succinct to aid in readability. Suggested changes have not been	○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.018	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Island Fish & Game Council					made by Fish & Game, as they will likely be substantial and best proffered by the ORC.			
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend so the RPS protects water bodies and freshwater ecosystems, including the habitat of trout and salmon, from the impacts of land use and restores them where they are degraded [specific relief not stated]	○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.018	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend so the RPS develops a framework for considering when protecting the habitat of trout and salmon is consistent with protecting the habitat of indigenous species and assists in managing species interactions where they are of concern [specific relief not stated]	§ Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.005 ○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.019	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.004	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend provisions to require the RPS to take a hierarchical approach, with a priority on the natural environment [specific relief not stated]	○ Mercury FS00605.021 ○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.019	Reject	We do not accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal section of the main Recommendations report.
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.006	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend so the RPS recognises and provides for the way in which people connect with the environment, including recreation in and around water and harvesting food from water bodies [specific relief not stated]	○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.020	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.008	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend so the RPS provides protection for the wide range of Otago ecosystems and habitats by removing the words ‘indigenous’ and ‘native’ where it is not	○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.020	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					logical [specific relief not stated]			
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.012	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	significant reduction in length of Parts 1 and 2 [specific relief not stated]	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.021	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Support the concept of 'integrated management' as an approach to managing the adverse effects of the environment but note that the concept should be broadened than is currently set out in the draft RPS	S Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated FS00125.003 S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.149	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Parcell, Edgar	00032.004	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	The uniqueness of Bannockburn needs to be recognised in the RPS21.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Parcell, Edgar	00032.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	The identification criteria of resources are not complete. There are only identification criteria for identified outstanding water bodies, landscapes, and soils etc, whereas the actual features should be identified in the RPS to allow for lower order documents to take a more integrated approach. There is also no detail on native species and/or taoka species.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Retain as notified except where specific amendments are sought by the submitter.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Ravensdown Limited	00121.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Generally supports the PORPS 2021, subject to the amendments requested to address the concerns raised within its submission.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Ravensdown Limited	00121.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amendments that enable Ravensdown’s Dunedin Works and Otago stores, its shareholders and the users of its products to continue to use and develop resources in the region in a manner that continues to provide for the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, while also ensuring that adverse effects on the environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.009	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Add a method for district councils to provide for and protect key civic public spaces	○ Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.105	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Aggregate and Quarry Association	00015.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to address the issue of reserve sensitivity, through providing planning direction for key resource areas to protect existing and future quarries from the encroachment of non – compatible land uses, such as urban expansion and rural lifestyle developments, reducing the potential for reserve sensitivity effects to occur.	§ Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.005	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report on reverse sensitivity issues
Sole Matthew	00508.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Identify, understand and set benchmarks across our region’s biosphere limits	§ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.179	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Sole Matthew	00508.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	I submit that the PORPS 2021 will be improved if it adopts concepts of Te Mana o te Wai (TMOTW) for the whole environment.	§ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.180	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Strath Clyde Water Ltd, McArthur Ridge Investment Group Ltd & Mount Dunstan Estates Ltd	00404.004	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend to provide increased policy direction in relation to matters raised, and while some specific amendments are included, additional objectives and policies will also be required to fully address raised issues.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Te Rūnanga supports the detailed relief sought by Waihōpai Rūnanga; Te Rūnanga o Awarua; Te Rūnanga o Ōraka Aparima and Te Rūnanga o Hokonui and Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou, Kati Huirapa ki Puketeraki Rūnanga and Te Rūnanga o Moeraki sent in as submissions from Aukaha and Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku Inc.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.002	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Support the plan provisions except where we ask for specific amendments or additions as set out elsewhere.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Trustpower Limited	00311.068	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend as follows: Trustpower recognises the need for a clear and directive Regional Policy Statement that addresses all matters of Regional Significance and clearly sets out anticipated outcomes for regional and district plans.	S Mercury FS00605.086	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Trustpower Limited	00311.069	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Trustpower notes that whilst its submission supports the intent of the pRPS to provide clarity in decision making; the current approach to priorities, hierarchy and integrated management appears flawed and as currently formed is likely to result in confusion and	S Mercury FS00605.087	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					misinterpretation which needs to be addressed.			
Universal Developments Hawea Limited	00209.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Subject to the specific relief identified elsewhere, the Submitter requests that remaining provisions be either deleted or amended to accord to the reasons for relief set out in this Submission, and where any inconsistencies remain between the Operative RPS and the Proposed RPS, that the Operative RPS is to be reinstated.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Waitaki District Council	00140.005	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	There is a consistent approach to dates when actions are required – that dates are provided for all requirements to avoid any ambiguity in interpretation.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	00305.116	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Retain as notified except where specific amendments are sought		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.003	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Identify and adopt a common set of ecologically sound natural resource and environmental standards across the region. More localized standards would always be stronger and never weaker than these.	S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.003 S Greenpeace FS00407.013 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.022	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.006	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Use biomimicry as a way of identifying what are likely to be the most efficient and sustainable way to manage and use resources	S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.006 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.022	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.009	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	<p>Notwithstanding the baseline state requirements in the NPSFM, shift the philosophy of the Otago RPS to one where we impact and take only what we really have to, and concurrently promote the concept of “fair share”. This is because each demand we place on the environment represents a level of stress that weakens its biophysical capacity to support itself, its ecological processes and provide ecosystem services to society.</p> <p>A supporting concept is that all life has an inherent right to exist independent of utility to humans which we compromise or extinguish only as a last resort, and ultimately at our peril. The approach in the PRPS needs to change from focusing on the value and preservation of certain <u>outstanding or significant</u> life forms, to preserving and supporting the overall biophysical capacity (including many already highly modified ecosystems) because that provides the resilience to overall life supporting capacity required by the Act.</p> <p>Biophysical capacity is established by defining the extent to which regional activity is within biophysical boundaries and where these boundaries are exceeded. Where boundaries have been exceeded, activities contributing to those exceedances should be changed over time until</p>	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.023	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>cumulative impacts operate within boundaries.</p> <p>Likewise, wording in the draft should not imply we have some biophysical headroom, when this is not the case for many ecological categories ('environmental limits' s7(4)). The emphasis should be on enhancing and restoring, and not maintaining, avoiding, or minimising. Similarly, while identifying the maximum amount of harm or stress permitted makes explicit current practice, it reinforces the idea that natural systems exist to absorb negative effects.</p>			
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Amend to give priority to requiring us humans to better manage ourselves, rather than better management the environment. A swing from managing effects to controlling inputs falls in this category.	S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.001 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.023	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.011	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	If we are to achieve the extent and depth of transition required, the sense of responsibility and duties of stewardship rightly claimed by Maori through Te Mana o Te Wai need to be extended to the environment generally and to all New Zealanders – particularly urban based.	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.024	Reject	For the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Yellow-eyed Penguin Trust	00120.001	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Ensure that convincing support is provided for the mandatory national policy statements and standards that guide the RPS document. This should include strong outcome		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					statements within the appropriate policies to ensure adequate protection of the natural environment, indigenous species and their habitats.			
Yellow-eyed Penguin Trust	00120.006	GEN – General Submission	General	Amend	Ensure that the different sections of the RPS are consistent, well integrated and effectively linked, in particular the sections on ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity, freshwater, coastal and terrestrial environments.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.223	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested but the Panel considers the recommended version for the reasons provided in the main report achieves integrated management of resources
Moutere Station	00026.003	GEN – General Submission	Land use	Amend	The land use provisions throughout the RPS21 would restrict the rights of landowners.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Parcell, Edgar	00032.002	GEN – General Submission	Land use	Amend	The different aspects that arise from a land use change are not clearly defined. There needs to be clarity in terms of framework to give confidence and certainty to landowners about any change that might arise. This is about integrated management.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.001	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Support	Retain the recognition of the mana and rakatirataka of Kāi Tahu, and their status as partners under Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.002 Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku FS00223.001	Accept in part	As set out in the main recommendations report particularly in the MW chapter parts of this submission point are adopted.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.004	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Support	Retain references to the relationship with Kāi Tahu in the context of partnership.	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.003 S Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku FS00223.002	Accept in part	As set out in the main recommendations report particularly in the MW chapter parts of this submission point are adopted.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.002	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Amend	Amend throughout to better define mana whenua values (including concepts such as mana, whakapapa, mauri,	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.025 (neutral) Otago	Accept in part	As set out in the main recommendations report particularly in the MW chapter parts of this submission point are adopted.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					rakatirataka, kaitiakitaka, and mātauraka) from the perspective of mana whenua.	Water Resource Users FS00235.025 (neutral) S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.004 S Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku FS00223.003		
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.003	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Amend	Amend throughout to specify Kāi Tahu preference for deep engagement in resource management processes by referencing “resource management processes and decision – making” when referring to the role of mana whenua in resource management.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.026 (neutral) S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.005 S Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku FS00223.004 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.026 (neutral)	Accept in part	As set out in the main recommendations report particularly in the MW chapter parts of this submission point are adopted.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.329	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Amend	Amend by including a map of Native Reserves and cross-referencing this from the Mana Whenua chapter	S Federated Farmers FS00239.052 S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.006 S Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku FS00223.005	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in various of the s42A Reports to accept this.
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.001	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Amend	Recognise the role of the partnership between Kāi Tahu and Otago Regional Council that has resulted in co – development of text and provisions within the pORPS and preserve the intent of co – developed text and provisions.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.027 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.027 (neutral)	Accept in part	As set out in the main recommendations report particularly in the MW chapter parts of this submission point are adopted.
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.002	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Amend	Ensure that issues of significance identified by Kāi Tahu are addressed in the pORPS, just as the pORPS directs regional and district	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.027 (neutral) Otago Water Resource	Accept in part	As set out in the main recommendations report particularly in the RMIA and MW chapters parts of this submission point are adopted.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					plans to manage these issues.	Users FS00235.027 (neutral)		
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.003	GEN – General Submission	Mana whenua	Amend	Ensure that provisions provide clear guidance about how to achieve objectives, including those relevant to Kāi Tahu in the Mana Whenua chapter and Integrated Management chapter, in situations where mapping is intended but has not yet occurred and when targets or limits are required but have not yet been set.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.028 (neutral) S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.007 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.028 (neutral)	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.045	GEN – General Submission	Methods	Amend	Ensuring Methods give effect to the proposed firming of policy provisions.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Alluvium Ltd and Stoney Creek Mining Ltd	00016.001	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend RPS21 to provide for the mineral exploration, extraction, and processing activities to locate where the resource exists. This could be achieved through carrying forward the RPS19 mineral extraction policies to the RPS21.	S Oceana Gold FS00115.006 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.023	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.047	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend as follows: Amendment is sought to recognise the importance of aggregate to many aspects of life in Otago. Aggregate literally forms the foundation of the infrastructure and buildings that the region rely on (details are outlined in the general submission of the submitter p2).	O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.091	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.048	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend as follows: Ensure aggregates industry plan provisions that are hostile to the establishment of secure aggregate supplies do not result in reverse	O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.092	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					sensitivity and the potential for encroachment by incompatible land uses. That is allows other incompatible land uses to encroach on existing quarrying or aggregate extraction activities, or to establish on or adjacent to land that contains valuable aggregate resource.			
Joostens, Phillip	00010.001	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend RPS21 to provide for the recognition and regional value of the extraction industry.	S Oceana Gold FS00115.007	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Matakanui Gold Limited	00021.001	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to recognise the functional needs of mining and that the activity needs to occur where the resource exists, acknowledge the socioeconomic benefits of mining, and manage the effects of mining.	S Oceana Gold FS00115.008	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Matakanui Gold Limited	00021.003	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to provide dedicated policies for mining because the extractive nature of mining is different to other primary production activities.	S Oceana Gold FS00115.009 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.119	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.007	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Include greater recognition and support of the mining industry in Otago throughout the PORPS. Include provisions recognise that the need to provide for future mining in Otago and at Macraes in particular is a significant resource management issue for the region and which: - Recognise the significant economic and	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.014 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.145	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>social benefits from mineral extraction.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Protect an ability to access these significant natural resources. - Recognise the finite nature of minerals. - Protect existing mineral assets from reverse sensitivity activities. <p>Enable a regime whereby further development of the region's minerals can occur while the effects on the natural environment are appropriately managed.</p>			
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.036	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Delete from the PORPS the unnecessarily restrictive “avoidance” approach in respect of mineral extraction – no particular details provided	○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.146	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Aggregate and Quarry Association	00015.001	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to recognise that quarrying is both a functionally and operationally constrained activity and that quarry resources need to be protected.	§ Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.006	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Sewhoy, Tony	00108.001	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Recognise in the PORPS the Importance of the value of the Extractive Industry		Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Sewhoy, Tony	00108.002	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Incorporate into RPS Land Deemed for Mineral Exploration, Extraction processing		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Straterra	00019.001	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to allow for extractive activities to access the Effects Management Hierarchy and offer biodiversity offsetting and compensation as a consenting pathway for development to occur.	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.002 S Oceana Gold FS00115.010	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Straterra	00019.003	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to recognise that mineral extraction, like infrastructure, is locally constrained.	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.003 S Oceana Gold FS00115.011	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Straterra	00019.004	GEN – General Submission	Mineral extraction	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to allow for mineral extraction, as a functionally constrained industry, to access the effects management hierarchy – whether in an SNA or not.	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.004 S Oceana Gold FS00115.012	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.002	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Not stated/unclear	[Specific changes not identified] Food production, food supply and food security must be issues that are promoted and considered alongside other uses for essential human health, when making trade-offs that will inevitably be required to meet natural environmental limits. This is particularly relevant in peri – urban areas where there is competition for resources from urban growth.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.029 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.104	Reject	
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.003	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Not stated/unclear	[Specific changes not indicated] - The proposed Otago Regional Policy statement has a part to play with respect to strategic policy directions for enabling food production in the transition to a low	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.029 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.105		This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>emissions economy within Otago.</p> <p>It is important to not create barriers to climate change adaptation and/or mitigation and enable long-term climate change adaptation and/or mitigation, though projects such as water storage and provisions which enable growing areas to move between water catchments, zones, districts and regions.</p>			
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.004	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Not stated/unclear	<p>[Specific changes not identified]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - That urban development and productive land are considered together to provide a planned approach so new urban areas are designed in a manner that maintains the overall productive capacity of highly productive land. - Need to ensure economic and environmental sustainability of primary production are taken into account when protecting HPL. - It is important that the definition of highly productive land includes the key natural and physical resources that contribute to the land's productivity. We also recognise that some of these natural and physical factors can be modified with policy and investment, and that all of these factors contribute to the 	<p>§ Oceana Gold FS00115.035</p> <p>§ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.030</p>	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>productive capacity of land.</p> <p>- HortNZ seek that the outcome related to the protection of HPL is focused on protecting the productive capacity of highly productive land from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</p>			
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.001	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	<p>That land use and ancillary discharges objectives policies and methods recognise and provide for drystock sector farming operations including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. diversity of systems, soil, geology, and climate; ii. recognising that resilience for agriculture and therefore communities and natural resources they manage comes from flexibility; iii. provide flexibility for land and resource users to adopt land use and farming operations to adapt to and meet markets, technology, and environmental constraints such as climate. iv. provide for adaptation and changes in farm systems and management approaches to respond to technology, climate change and markets; <p>that regulatory methods are tailored to address the environmental issues specific to a sub catchment</p>	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.031	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					or watershed and the land use.			
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.197	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	Amend to provide significantly greater recognition of the importance of the primary sector in general, and food production in particular throughout the RPS from the introduction across remaining provisions.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.032 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.080	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Herlihy, Gavan James	00104.003	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	Include greater recognition of the importance of the primary sector within Otago.		Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.005	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	HortNZ seek that the outcome related to the protection of HPL is focused on protecting the productive capacity of highly productive land from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and seeks an amendment so that the Act promotes the use of highly productive land for food production, both for domestic and export.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.033	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Mouere Station	00026.001	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	The RPS21 does not take into account the positive impact of agriculture in Central Otago nor mitigate the negative impact that the adaptation of the RPS21 will have on agriculture in Central Otago.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Mouere Station	00026.002	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	The RPS21 identifies agriculture as a significant contributor to the Otago region, however it fails to acknowledge this positive economic contribution in any of the domains or topics.		Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
OWRUG	00235.004	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	Supports the recognition and prioritisation of using highly productive land for primary production in the pRPS but seeks that this recognition should extend to all land used for food and fibre production.	O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.152	Reject	Particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report as to prioritisation issues
OWRUG	00235.005	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	Seeks that the pRPS provisions, and in particular the Land and Freshwater chapter, are amended to provide stronger recognition of the importance of primary production land and the economic uses of soil.	S DairyNZ Limited FS00601.004	Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Parcell, Edgar	00032.001	GEN – General Submission	Primary production	Amend	Articulate more clearly throughout the RPS21 the importance of the primary sector.		Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, particularly for the reasons outlined in the Legal section and the IM chapter of the main Recommendations report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.192	GEN – General Submission	Te reo	Amend	Adopt a comprehensive glossary of all te reo terms utilised in the RPS.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.081 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.034 S Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.055 S Waitaki District Council FS00140.010	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 317
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.111	GEN – General Submission	Te reo	Amend	Include a glossary of all te reo terms and phrases.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.035 S Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.069 S Waitaki District Council FS00140.009	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 317

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.024	GEN – General Submission	Te reo	Amend	Amend the entire PORPS document to ensure correct and consistent use of te reo Māori regarding: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Use of Kāi Tahu dialect, unless using a proper noun or name in a different dialect (para. 3.2(a)); 2. Use of tohutō and capitalisation words in accordance with accepted orthographic conventions (para. 3.2(b)); 3. Use of Kāi Tahu spelling of Māori place names (para. 3.2(c)). 	<p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.036</p> <p>S Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku FS00223.006</p>	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.085b	GEN – General Submission	Te reo	Amend	Macrons are needed on the first two ‘ā’s in ‘Tāwhirimātea’ in all instances where Tāwhirimātea is mentioned in the document.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 317
Waitaki District Council	00140.003	GEN – General Submission	Te reo	Amend	Amend to include new glossary of te reo terms	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.037	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 317
Yellow-eyed Penguin Trust	00120.008	GEN – General Submission	Te reo	Amend	Amend as follows: Incorrect spelling: Maori Correct to Māori throughout the document.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wilson, Terry	00419.001	GEN – General Submission	Te Tiriti o Waitangi	Amend	Amend whole RPS by replacing all occurrences of “Principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi” (and similar) with “The Treaty Of Waitangi”, so that references are to The Treaty itself, not the principles of The Treaty.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, particularly at paragraph 354.
Wilson, Terry	00419.003	GEN – General Submission	Te Tiriti o Waitangi	Amend	Recognise that the “Treaty Partnership” is fraudulent and remove all mention of	O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.013	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, particularly at paragraph 354.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					this from all parts of this RPS.			
Sole Matthew	00508.004	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Oppose	‘sustain’, ‘encourage’, ‘promote’, ‘practicable’ or ‘wherever possible’ do not provide clear direction to the decision – maker and undermines the effectiveness of the provision.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.181 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.038	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Business South Inc	00408.008	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Clarify use of “avoid” throughout document - avoid means avoid, will affect businesses starting or continuing activities		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.112	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	There are places where the pRPS seeks to ‘avoid impacts on significant values and features identified in this RPS’ (e.g., UFD – O4 (UFD – P4 (5) UFD – P7 (1)). Ensure that there is clarity about what significant values and features identified in this RPS are to be considered for specific activities.		Accept in part	The main recommendations report addresses these issues with appropriate amendments at various places.
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.005	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Amend provisions to remove ambiguous and unclear wording and replace with consistent, directive terms [specific relief not stated]	S Mercury FS00605.022 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.042 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.042 (neutral)	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
OWRUG	00235.035	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Amend all references to ‘agriculture’ to ‘food and fibre sector’.	S Matakanui Gold Limited FS00021.009	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reports to utilise primary production in some locations
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of	00230.002	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	The RPS should be amended throughout to remove the term environmental limits and replace it with the outcome sought (e.g. ‘to	S The Fuel Companies FS00510.017 S Port Otago LTD FS00301.027	Accept in part	The main recommendations report addresses these issues with appropriate amendments at various places.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
New Zealand Incorporated					maintain and restore ecosystem health and indigenous biodiversity') unless it is clear that there is a specific environmental limit which cannot be breached for that particular objective policy or method.	S Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.008 S Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.002		
Sole Matthew	00508.005	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Replace 'improve', 'maintain' or 'enhance' with 'protect and restore' along with a definition for 'restoration'.	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.039	Reject	The main recommendations report addresses these issues and makes appropriate amendments in relevant provisions
Sole Matthew	00508.006	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Replace 'bottom lines' and 'environmental constraints' with 'environmental limits' for consistency.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.044 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.044 (neutral)	Reject	The main recommendations report addresses these issues and makes appropriate amendments in relevant provisions
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.004	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Replace the following words with other words which have a practical or clearer/explicit meaning: 'Significant', 'Sustainable' / 'sustainable development' / 'sustained', 'Environmental limit', 'Bottom line', 'Environments', and Statements including or like "important features and values identified by this RPS"	S Port Otago LTD FS00301.035+ S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.195 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.040	Reject	The main recommendations report addresses these issues and makes appropriate amendments in relevant provisions
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.005	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Insert "natural" before landscape every time there is reference to "outstanding natural features or landscapes" and "highly valued natural features or landscapes".		Reject	Unnecessary
Trustpower Limited	00311.001	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Amend as follows Throughout the document replace the word 'energy' with the word ' electricity ' wherever there are references to renewables.	S Meridian Energy Limited FS00306.001 O Mercury FS00605.059	Accept	The amendment sought is logical.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Waitaki Whitestone Geopark Trust	00117.001	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Amend as follows: Delete the word “Historic” from the term “Historic Heritage” and replace with “Cultural & Natural Heritage” throughout the document		Reject	The panel accepts the s.42A HCV chapter report reasons and recommendations
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.008	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Amend entire RPS by replacing numerous vague terms in the pRPS, for example as listed below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant • Sustainable / sustainable development / sustained • Environmental limit • Bottom line • Environments • Statements including or like “important features and values identified by this RPS” Replace these words with other words which have a practical or clearer/explicit meaning.	○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.041	Reject	The s.42A reports and the main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments relevant to the provisions involved and their settings.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.009	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Delete term “possible” from the pRPS. Replace with clearer achievable or more practicable direction, or alternatively replace with “practicable”.		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments relevant to the provisions involved and their settings.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.010	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Amend whole RPS by interesting “natural” before “landscapes” in every mention of “outstanding natural features or <u>natural</u> landscapes” and “highly valued natural features or <u>natural</u> landscapes”.		Reject	Unnecessary
Yellow-eyed Penguin Trust	00120.007	GEN – General Submission	Terminology	Amend	Amend as follows:		Accept	Minor spelling correction

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Incorrect spelling: Otago Harbor. Correct to Otago Harbour throughout the document.			
Alluvium Ltd and Stoney Creek Mining Ltd	00016.025	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Include the following policy in the PRSP: <u>Recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue.
Danny Walker, Peter Hall, Cold Clutha Ltd and Awa Koura Mining Ltd	00017.023	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Include the following policy in the pRSP: <u>Recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Duncan, Brent & Kelly	00006.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective: Objective X.X <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>	S Fonterra FS00233.001 S Oceana Gold FS00115.013 O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.016	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Duncan, Brent & Kelly	00006.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.1 <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region's economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration,</u>	S Fonterra FS00233.002 S Oceana Gold FS00115.013 O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.017	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>extraction and processing;</u>			
Duncan, Brent & Kelly	00006.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.013 O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.018	Accept in part	
Duncan, Brent & Kelly	00006.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.3 <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> <u>a) Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> <u>i. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iii. Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.013 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.019	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u></p> <p><u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u></p> <p><u>oid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain</u></p> <p><u>outstanding or significant nature,</u></p> <p><u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u></p> <p><u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u></p> <p><u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u></p> <p><u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Foothills Mining Ltd	00008.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective: Objective X.X <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Foothills Mining Ltd	00008.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.1 <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region's economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u>	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.011	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Foothills Mining Ltd	00008.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.012	Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Foothills Mining Ltd	00008.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.3 <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> i. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation</u>	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.013 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.175	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iii. Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain</u> <u>outstanding or significant nature.</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Gerber, Daniel	00004.01	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective:</p> <p><u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u></p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Gerber, Daniel	00004.02	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u> 		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Gerber, Daniel	00004.03	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.2</u></p>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>			
Gerber, Daniel	00004.04	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in</u> <u>coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> iv. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> viii. <u>Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>i. Avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain the outstanding or significant nature,</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Graymont (NZ) Limited	00022.030	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend the RPS21 to include the following Objective:</p> <p><u>Recognise the benefits derived from mineral extraction and processing activities, particularly their</u></p>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>contribution towards social, cultural and economic wellbeing.</u>			
Graymont (NZ) Limited	00022.032	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend the RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Manage the adverse effects of mineral extraction and processing activities while having regard to:</u></p> <p><u>(1) the functional and operational need to locate mineral processing activities where resources are available;</u></p> <p><u>(2) the extent and magnitude of adverse effects on the environment and the degree to which unavoidable adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated, or residual adverse effects are offset or compensated for;</u></p> <p><u>(3) requiring consideration of alternative sites, methods and designs, and offsetting or compensation measures (in accordance with any specific requirements for their use in this RPS), where adverse effects are potentially significant or irreversible.</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Graymont (NZ) Limited	00022.031	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend the RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Activities that may result in reverse sensitivity effects or compromise the operation or maintenance of mineral extraction and processing activities are, managed so that reverse sensitivity effects are minimised.</u></p>		Accept in part	We accept parts of this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report on reverse sensitivity issues

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Greaves, Paul George	00105.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend PRPS21 to include the following Objective: <u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Greaves, Paul George	00105.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend PRPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Greaves, Paul George	00105.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend PRPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.2</u> <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Greaves, Paul George	00105.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend PRPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>i. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u></p> <p><u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u></p> <p><u>v. Outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u></p> <p><u>vi. Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u></p> <p><u>vii. Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u></p> <p><u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u></p> <p><u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u></p> <p><u>i. Avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain the outstanding or significant nature,</u></p> <p><u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</p> <p>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</p> <p>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</p>			
Harriss, Gavin	00107.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend PRPS21 to include the following Objective:</p> <p>Objective X.X Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</p>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Harriss, Gavin	00107.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend PRPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p>Policy X.X.1 <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u></p> <p>b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u></p>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Harriss, Gavin	00107.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend PRPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p>Policy X.X.2</p>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>			
Harriss, Gavin	00107.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend PRPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> i. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> ii. <u>Outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> iii. <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> iv. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> v. <u>Outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> vi. <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> vii. <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> viii. <u>Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the</u></p>	S Oceana Gold FS00115.014	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>i. Avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain the outstanding or significant nature,</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Hattrill, Richard	00009.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective:</p> <p><u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Hattrill, Richard	00009.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region's economy and communities, by:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u> 			activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Hattrill, Richard	00009.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.2</u> <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u></p>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Hattrill, Richard	00009.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> iii. <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> 		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>oid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain</u> <u>outstanding or significant nature,</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u>			
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.096	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Include a new chapter RU – Rural Areas. - Move the following provisions from UFD to the RU chapter: UFD – O4, UFD – P7, UFD – P8 	<p>S NZ Pork FS00240.001</p> <p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.001</p> <p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.045</p>	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and the LF & UFD chapters of the main report in Appendix One.
Kok, Robert Matthew	00106.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Include the following Objective:</p> <p>Objective X.X Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Kok, Robert Matthew	00106.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Include the following Policy:</p> <p>Policy X.X.1 <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Kok, Robert Matthew	00106.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Include the following Policy:</p> <p>Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and</u></p>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>			
Kok, Robert Matthew	00106.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Include the following Policy:</p> <p>Policy X.X.3 <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> i. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> ii. <u>Outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> iii. <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> iv. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> v. <u>Outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> vi. <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> vii. <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> viii. <u>Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u> b) <u>Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u></p> <p><u>i. Avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain the outstanding or significant nature,</u></p> <p><u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u></p> <p><u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u></p> <p><u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u></p> <p><u>i. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
LAC Properties Trustees Limited	00211.054	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Include new provisions recognising appropriate diversification of the rural land resource beyond primary production	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.046	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Lane Hocking	00210.054	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Include new provisions recognising appropriate diversification of the rural land resource beyond primary production	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.047	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Liddicoat, Stuart	00012.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective: Objective X.X <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Liddicoat, Stuart	00012.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.1 <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Liddicoat, Stuart	00012.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Liddicoat, Stuart	00012.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.3 <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>i. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iii. Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain</u> <u>outstanding or significant nature,</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Mokihinui Gold Ltd	00002.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective:</p> <p><u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Mokihinui Gold Ltd	00002.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u> 	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.008	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Mokihinui Gold Ltd	00002.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.009	Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Mokihinui Gold Ltd	00002.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.3 <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> <u>a) Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> <u>areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in</u> <u>coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal</u> <u>environment;</u> <u>areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna</u> <u>beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or</u>	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.010	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain</u> <u>outstanding or significant nature,</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.033	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Add new standalone topic on Rural Areas including relevant content from UFD – O4, UFD – P7, UFD – P8, UFD	S NZ Pork FS00240.002 S Federated Farmers FS00239.001 O	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and the LF & UFD chapters of the main report in Appendix One.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					– E1, UFD – PR1 and UFD – AER11.	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.142		
OWRUG	00235.008	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Insert a new provision for the Food and Fibre Sector: <u>includes the primary sector production industries (excluding mining), the related processing industries and services industries along the value chain from producer to final consumer including transporters, storage, distribution marketing and sales.</u>	S Matakauui Gold Limited FS00021.010 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.153	Accept in part	For reasons contained in the Reply report and in the UFD and LF sections of the main report some aspects of this relief have been included in the LF-LS provisions.
Pritchard, Christopher	00003.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective: Objective X.X <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>	O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.161	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Pritchard, Christopher	00003.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.1 <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region's economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u>	O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.162	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Pritchard, Christopher	00003.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>			
Pritchard, Christopher	00003.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in</u> <u>coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal</u> <u>environment;</u> iv. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> viii. <u>Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall: avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain outstanding or significant nature.</u></p> <p><u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u></p> <p><u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u></p> <p><u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u></p> <p><u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Rayonier Matariki Forests	00020.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to include provisions to other tree plantings not just to plantation forests.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.006 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.172	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Stephens, Sam	00001.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective:		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>			activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Stephens, Sam	00001.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Stephens, Sam	00001.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.2</u> <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Stephens, Sam	00001.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> <u>areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>habitats of indigenous fauna in coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> <u>areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>i. Avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain the outstanding or significant nature,</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity,</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Sycamore, Darryl	00018.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend the RPS21 to include the following objective:</p> <p><u>Objective X.1</u> <u>The use of water and land is recognised as an enabler of mineral extraction and the economic, social and cultural well – being of the region.</u></p>	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.440	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Sycamore, Darryl	00018.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend the RPS21 to include the following policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u></p> <p>a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing.</u></p>	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.441	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Sycamore, Darryl	00018.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to include the following policy: Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.442 ○ Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.014	Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Sycamore, Darryl	00018.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend the RPS21 to include the following policy: Policy X.X.3 <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> i. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation;</u> ii. <u>Outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> iii. <u>Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes;</u> iv. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</u> v. <u>Outstanding natural character</u> vi. <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes;</u> vii. <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> viii. <u>Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u> b) <u>Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas</u>	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.443 ○ Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.015	Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u></p> <p><u>i. Avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, to minimise adverse effects on values in order to maintain the outstanding or significant nature,</u></p> <p><u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u></p> <p><u>iii. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where practicable.</u></p>			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Insert new provisions which explicitly recognise, protect and promote the benefits of and provide for people’s well – being, including the use of and access to the natural environment for transport, the visitor industry inclusive of commercial recreation, and ancillary commercial and industry services. This relief should flow through each level or place in the plan architecture	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.049 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.196	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Universal Developments Hawea Limited	00209.054	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Include new provisions recognising appropriate diversification of the rural land resource beyond primary production	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.048	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
van der Zwet, David	00011.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective: <u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
van der Zwet, David	00011.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
van der Zwet, David	00011.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.2</u> <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
van der Zwet, David	00011.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>i. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iii. Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u> <u>avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain</u> <u>outstanding or significant nature,</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Vergeer, Bligh	00005.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective:</p> <p><u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u></p>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Vergeer, Bligh	00005.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	<p>Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy:</p> <p><u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u> 		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Vergeer, Bligh	00005.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.2 <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Vergeer, Bligh	00005.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: Policy X.X.3 <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> <u>a) Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> <u>i. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iii. Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u></p> <p><u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall:</u></p> <p><u>avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain</u></p> <p><u>outstanding or significant nature,</u></p> <p><u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u></p> <p><u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u></p> <p><u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u></p> <p><u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Vergeer, Marius	0007.001	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Objective:		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<u>Objective X.X</u> <u>Sufficient land is managed and protected for economic production</u>			activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Vergeer, Marius	00007.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.1</u> <u>Manage activities in rural areas and support the region’s economy and communities, by:</u> a) <u>Enabling primary production and other rural activities that support that production;</u> b) <u>Providing for mineral exploration, extraction and processing;</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated management.
Vergeer, Marius	00007.003	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.2</u> <u>To recognise the functional needs of mineral exploration, extraction and processing activities to locate where the resource exists.</u>		Accept in part	The main recommendation report and some of the chapter reports have applied differing amendments to address this issue
Vergeer, Marius	00007.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend RPS21 to include the following Policy: <u>Policy X.X.3</u> <u>Manage adverse effects from the exploration, extraction and processing of minerals, by:</u> a) <u>Giving preference to avoiding their location in the following:</u> i. <u>Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of</u>		Reject	For reasons explained in the Legal section and individual chapters of Appendix One prioritisation of activities or controls and enabling activities without appropriate controls is not seen as being in accord with integrated

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>indigenous fauna in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iii. Outstanding natural features and natural landscapes, including seascapes, in the coastal environment;</u> <u>iv. Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>outstanding natural character in areas beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding natural features and landscapes beyond the coastal environment;</u> <u>Outstanding water bodies or wetlands;</u> <u>viii. Places or areas containing historic heritage of regional or national significance where the effects on that historic heritage cannot be avoided;</u> <u>b) Where it is not practicable to avoid locating in the areas listed in a) above due to the functional needs of that activity, the activity shall avoid, remedy or mitigate, as necessary, adverse effects on values in order to maintain outstanding or significant nature.</u> <u>ii. Consider first biological diversity offsetting, and then biological diversity compensation for residual adverse effects,</u> <u>iii. Consider environmental compensation if adverse effects on indigenous biological diversity, cannot practically be</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p><u>avoided, remedied or mitigated;</u> <u>iv. Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on other values including highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes in order to maintain their high values;</u> <u>v. Reducing unavoidable adverse effects by staging development for longer term activities and progressively rehabilitating the site, where possible.</u></p>			
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.002	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend whole RPS by inserting New – Provisions which explicitly recognise, protect and promote the benefits of and provide for people’s well-being, including the use of and access to the natural environment for transport, the visitor industry inclusive of commercial recreation, and ancillary commercial and industry services. This relief should flow through each level or place in the plan architecture.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.050	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.004	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend whole RPS by inserting New – Provisions or amend the current provisions to provide clearer policy direction about how competing interests for water take and use will be addressed.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.205 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.051 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.051 (neutral)	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.005	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend whole RPS by inserting New – Provisions or amend the current provisions to provide clear policy direction that provides for the ability of people to clear debris/slip movements out of waterbodies or adjoining land.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.206 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.052	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.006	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	Amend whole RPS by inserting New – Provisions or amend the current provisions to provide clear policy direction about pest control (namely rabbits and possums [i.e. browsing mammalian pest species]) to maintain and enhance vegetation cover	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.207 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.052	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.012	GEN – General Submission	New provision	Amend	All policy will uphold Te Oranga o te Taiao where it incorporates– (a) the health of the natural environment; and (b) the intrinsic relationship between iwi and hapū and te taiao; and (c) the interconnectedness of all parts of the natural environment; and (d) the essential relationship between the health of the natural environment and its capacity to sustain all life.	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.053	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.001	NOT – Not on RPS or otherwise out of scope	Freshwater planning process	Oppose	It is not lawful to put the entire RPS through the freshwater planning process.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.007 S Rayonier Matariki Forests FS00020.033 S Oceana Gold FS00115.015 S Waitaki District Council FS00140.003	Reject	The High Court has ruled on this issue

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Boxer Hills Trust	00025.001	NOT – Not on RPS or otherwise out of scope	Freshwater planning process	Amend	ORC should adopt a Schedule 1, Part 1 or Schedule 1, Part 5 process for the parts of the RPS21 that do not relate to freshwater.	S Waitaki District Council FS00140.002	Reject	The High Court has ruled on this issue
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.193	NOT – Not on RPS or otherwise out of scope	Freshwater planning process	Amend	Panel recommendations give due consideration to and address the risks to the hearing process, in particular as to non-water matters with the RPS proceeding through the freshwater planning process.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Waterfall Park Developments Limited	00023.001	NOT – Not on RPS or otherwise out of scope	Freshwater planning process	Amend	ORC should adopt a Schedule 1, Part 1 or Schedule 1, Part 5 process for the parts of the RPS21 that do not relate to freshwater.	S Waitaki District Council FS00140.001	Reject	The High Court has ruled on this issue
Queenstown Airport Corporation	00313.042	RPS	General	Oppose	That a conventional or streamlined planning process is used for those parts of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement that do not genuinely relate to freshwater, in accordance with section 80A(3) of the Act	S Federated Farmers FS00239.003 S Waitaki District Council FS00140.004	Reject	The High Court has ruled on this issue
Ernslaw One	00412.006	S32 – Section 32 Report		Oppose	The Section 32 Document fails to show that the incumbent rule framework (the NES-PF), is not working		Reject	This is a general observation which does not give precise details of any amendment requested within the Panel's jurisdiction
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.015	S32 – Section 32 Report		Not stated/unclear	Any s.32 analysis of proposed controls on the coastal marine area must consider the FA as the most obvious and practical alternative for implementing controls on fishing to achieve the purpose of the RMA and the objectives of the RPS. If Council needed to do s.32 RMA assessment on the		Reject	The FA and RMA address differing resources

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					<p>benefits and costs of other proposals to control fishing, it would need to commission specialist advice to evaluate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The economic impacts of any proposal on fishing activity, export earnings, quota value (including the value of settlement quota), and flow – on effects to local communities (employment, supporting maritime industries etc); and <p>The sustainability impacts of displacement of fishing activity.</p>			
Waitaki District Council	00140.006	S32 – Section 32 Report		Not stated/unclear	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A revised section 32 analysis to assess the benefits, costs and risks of the proposed policies in MW – P1, MW – P2 and MW – P3. This includes providing the opportunity for further discussion with local authorities and their communities around the underlying principles of the mana whenua chapter. Note concerns and clarify ORC’s understanding of local authority Treaty partner status; WDC is concerned around the assumption that local authorities are a treaty partner. 	-	- Reject	There was ample opportunity to address s.32 and other issues related to MW provisions in this submission and hearing process.
Business South Inc	00408.002	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	Make clear where information gaps are for layperson		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of any amendment requested within the Panel’s jurisdiction

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Ernslaw One	00412.003	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	No analysis of how plantation forestry activities have been regulated since the NESPF came into effect in 2018, no summary of Councils compliance monitoring and enforcement of the plantation forestry sector, and no justification for imposing further regulation over and above that already imposed via gazettal of the NES-PF in 2017. Further there is no supporting cost benefit analysis. Council should carefully incorporate the findings and recommendations of the MPI / Te Uru Rākau year one review of the NESPF before creating policy that would require District Councils in the Otago region to propose more stringent regulation of the plantation forestry sector under Regulation 6 of the NESPF		Reject	This is a general observation which does not give precise details of any amendment requested within the Panel's jurisdiction
Ernslaw One	00412.008	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	Para 315 – The impacts of forestry on the coastal environment, and the impacts of development, are two very separate issues and should be treated as such	○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.076	Reject	This is a general observation which does not give precise details of any amendment requested within the Panel's jurisdiction
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.033	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	The section 32 analysis is poor, and does not meet the expectations of the RMA, particularly in terms of its almost total failure to evaluate the costs of implementing the provisions and its failure to recognise that in many cases (such as at Macraes) simply avoiding effects on significant biodiversity values will not protect them;	§ Federated Farmers FS00239.004	Reject	There was ample opportunity to address s.32 and other issues related to mining and avoidance provisions in this submission and hearing process.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.001	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	Include Central South Island Sports Fish and Game Management Plan 2012 – 2022 as a reference document.		Reject	No precise provisions are referred to in this request to warrant reference in the PORPS
Straterra	00019.002	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	The s32 report does not contain a cost benefit analysis of the change in direction for the mineral and mining sector in the Otago Region under the RPS21.		Reject	There was ample opportunity to address s.32 and other issues related to mining and avoidance provisions in this submission and hearing process.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.054	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	The Section 32 Evaluation report does not meet the requirements of section 32 of the RMA, particularly in terms of whether the objectives meet the purpose of the RMA and in respect of the level of detailed analysis of options.		Reject	This is a general observation which does not give precise details of any amendment requested within the Panel's jurisdiction
Waitaki District Council	00140.007	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	A revised section 32 analysis to assess the benefits, costs and risks of the proposed methods in MW – M2. This includes providing the opportunity for further discussion with local authorities and their communities around the underlying principles of the mana whenua chapter.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.005	Reject	There was ample opportunity to address s.32 and other issues related to MW provisions in this submission and hearing process.
Waitaki District Council	00140.009	S32 – Section 32 Report		Amend	A revised section 32 analysis to assess the benefits, costs and risks of the proposed methods in MW – M4. This includes providing the opportunity for further discussion with local authorities and their communities around the underlying principles of the mana whenua chapter.		Reject	There was ample opportunity to address s.32 and other issues related to MW provisions in this submission and hearing process.

How the policy statement works

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S – Support O – Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Director-General of Conservation	00137.005	General	Amend	Add recognition of government agencies. Otherwise retain as notified, including recognition of the Minister of Conservation.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.051	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.009	General	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “resources that cross local authority boundaries which must be managed in a uniform manner, such as <u>water</u>, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural landscapes and significant natural areas; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> duplicated effort for local authorities, <u>provide administrative or operational constraints for activities</u>, and increased cost for people <u>seeking where</u> consents <u>might be required</u> for activities that occur across local authority boundaries or require resource consent from two or more consent authorities. “ 	S Federated Farmers FS00239.014	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku	00223.012	General	Amend	Amend the second paragraph, as follows: “...”ki uta ki tai” — <u>often translated as “from the mountains to the sea”.</u> ”		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.002	General	Amend	Amend as follows: (Page 11 first para) “... Cross–boundary issues can arise in several ways, and generally manifest in issues for either plan preparation and review, or plan administration and the processing of applications for resource consents. Otago’s cross – boundary matters include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> adverse effects in one jurisdiction due to the activities in another, particularly <u>including</u> where territorial authority boundaries do not match catchment boundaries, as with the Clutha Mata – au, or the Waitaki River catchment over which Otago and Canterbury Regional Councils share jurisdiction, or Otago’s coastal environment, which covers three territorial authorities’ jurisdictions, and may be affected by land uses in the other two (through 		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and some amendments have been accepted as requested.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S – Support O – Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>sediment flowing down the Clutha Mata – au, for instance);</p> <p>...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> resources that cross local authority boundaries which must be managed in a uniform manner, such as <u>regionally significant infrastructure</u>, <u>nationally significant infrastructure</u>, outstanding natural features, outstanding natural landscapes and significant natural areas; local, <u>regionally significant infrastructure</u> or nationally significant infrastructure <u>being developed and operated</u> operating across local authority boundaries, as with transport and electricity supply networks, and potentially shared services such as waste disposal; and ... <p>...</p> <p>Cooperation at a national level Cross – boundary issues may arise that are significant at a national level. This is particularly likely when addressing nationally important <u>significant</u> infrastructure such as the <u>National Grid</u> electricity transmission grid or land transport infrastructure. ...”.</p>			
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00213.004	Resource Management Act 1991	Amend	Clarify the intent of Figure 1 and reconfigure as necessary.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the figure title has been amended
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.004	Resource Management Act 1991	Amend	Amend as follows or similar: “The regional policy statement must give effect to higher order national direction instruments, including National Environmental Standards (NES) , National Policy Statements (NPS), and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS), and <u>should</u> be written to comply with the National Planning Standards <u>and to not duplicate or conflict with National Environmental Standards (NES).</u> ”	<p>S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.009</p> <p>S Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.013</p> <p>S Horticulture NZ FS00236.004</p> <p>S Oceana Gold FS00115.017</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and some amendments have been accepted as requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.004	Resource Management Act 1991	Amend	Amend as follows or similar: “The regional policy statement must give effect to higher order national direction instruments,		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S – Support O – Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				including National Environmental Standards (NES), National Policy Statements (NPS), and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS), and <u>should</u> be written to comply with the National Planning Standards and to <u>not duplicate or conflict with National Environmental Standards (NES).</u> ”			
Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku	00223.011	Partnership, Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Kāi Tahu	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Second to last bullet: “...including papakāika housing; and ...” - The last two sentences of Footnote 5: “The preference in Otago is to use a ‘k’ so southern Māori are known as Kāi Tahu, <u>such that in this document Kāi Tahu is a reference to the seven Papatipu Rūnanga with interests in the Otago region.</u> In this RPS, the ‘ng’ is used for iwi in general <u>or when there is reference to Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku (Southland), when referencing statutory instruments or documents, and when specifically differentiating between the groupings of Papatipu Rūnanga represented by Aukaha Limited (Kāi Tahu ki Otago) and Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku Incorporated (Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku)</u>”. 		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku	00223.011	Partnership, Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Kāi Tahu	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Second to last bullet: “...including papakāika housing; and ...” - The last two sentences of Footnote 5: “The preference in Otago is to use a ‘k’ so southern Māori are known as Kāi Tahu, <u>such that in this document Kāi Tahu is a reference to the seven Papatipu Rūnanga with interests in the Otago region.</u> In this RPS, the ‘ng’ is used for iwi in general <u>or when there is reference to Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku (Southland), when referencing statutory instruments or documents, and when specifically differentiating between the groupings of Papatipu Rūnanga represented by Aukaha Limited (Kāi Tahu ki Otago) and Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku Incorporated (Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku)</u>”. 			
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	00013.001	Cross boundary matters	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • adverse <i>effects</i> in one jurisdiction due to the activities in another, particularly where <i>territorial authority</i> boundaries 	○ Contact Energy Limited FS00318.004	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and some amendments have been made as requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S – Support O – Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				do not match catchment boundaries, as with the Clutha Mata – au, or the Waitaki River catchment over which Otago and Canterbury Regional Councils share jurisdiction, or Otago’s coastal environment, which covers three <i>territorial authorities’</i> jurisdictions, and may be affected by <i>land uses</i> in the other two (through sediment flowing down the Clutha Mata-Au, for instance) <u>and which may also have adverse effects on the Canterbury coastal environment;</u> ...			
Dunedin City Council	00139.003	Cross boundary matters	Amend	(page 11, 1 st bullet point) Amend to include acknowledgement of the impacts of dams on the distribution of larger – sized sediment.	○ Contact Energy Limited FS00318.006	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and some amendments have been accepted as requested
Dunedin City Council	00139.004	Cross boundary matters	Amend	(page 11, 5 th bullet point) Amend as follows: and potentially shared services such as waste disposal <u>waste management and minimisation</u> ; and...		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.005	Cooperation and partnerships with stakeholders	Amend	- Amend the introductory sentence as follows: “Stakeholders, from industry representatives to <u>landowners, catchment groups and</u> community – based volunteer groups, provide valuable strategic input to planning and decision – making. Inter – agency groups, such as Te Roopu Taiao, can assist with managing cross – boundary issues and issues affecting people across Otago strategically and collaboratively. ORC will seek to establish and build upon working relationships with other resource management stakeholders. This will help ensure that the processes it undertakes are efficient and, wherever possible, reduce duplication of effort. As new issues emerge in the region and work on existing issues continues, they are best managed through collaboration, which will improve effectiveness and deliver better outcomes. This is particularly important for enhancing and managing <u>processes that relate to important region – wide matters such as</u>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and some amendments have been accepted as requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S – Support O – Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				regionally significant infrastructure and significant natural areas. “ - Insert a new non – regulatory method committing to the establishment of a Stakeholder Advisory Group (or similar)			
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.010	Cooperation and partnerships with stakeholders	Amend	Translate statement into a method that commits to the formation of a rural advisory panel.	S NZ Pork FS00240.005 S Federated Farmers FS00239.015	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.007	Cooperation and partnerships with stakeholders	Amend	Translate statement into a method that commits to the formation of a rural advisory panel.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.016	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	00013.002	Cooperation and partnerships with other local authorities	Support	Retain as notified or preserve the original intent.	O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.175	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.003	Cooperation and partnerships with other local authorities	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku	00223.013	Cooperation and partnerships with other local authorities	Amend	Amend the second sentence of the second bullet point, as follows: “This allows all effects of new activities ...”	O Federated Farmers FS00239.017	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku	00223.014	Cooperation at a national level	Amend	Amend the first sentence of the final paragraph, as follows: “..., the Minister of Conservation in the coastal marine area ...”		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report at paragraph 105.
Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku	00223.015	Transferring and delegating functions,	Amend	Amend as follows: - The first sentence of the first paragraph to reflect Section 33 of the RMA and include		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S – Support O – Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
		powers and duties to other authorities		<p>reference to 'an iwi authority' in the listed entities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The first sentence of the second paragraph, as follows: <u>"...public authorities, and to enable a Treaty partnership approach to resource management."</u> - The second sentence as follows: <u>"...and enable iwi partners and important stakeholders to have an active role ..."</u> 			
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.013	Transferring and delegating functions, powers and duties to other authorities	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>The first paragraph is a misstatement of law.</p> <p>Suggest reword as: <u>"The RMA 1991 enables ORC to transfer its powers, functions and duties to another public authority, iwi authority or other statutory body. It may also delegate these to community boards, commissioners or employees. ORC can also enter joint management agreements with other statutory bodies and iwi authorities (such as Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu)."</u></p>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report at paragraph 107.
Ngai Tahu ki KMurihiku	00223.016	Helping to build capacity for, and improve, takata whenua involvement	Amend	<p>Add the following additional sentences at the end of the paragraph: <u>"Establishing and implementing relationship agreements such as Mana Whakahono a Rohe agreements, protocols and charters can provide a framework for the council to provide necessary support. Increasing skills and capacity within council staff and decision-makers through training in Te Tiriti o Waitangi, locally relevant Treaty Settlement mechanisms and tikanga Māori, and developing familiarity with Kāi Tahu documents, are also important means of improving takata whenua involvement in council processes."</u></p>	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.025	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Interpretation

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.003	General	Amend	Retain as notified except where specific amendments are sought by the submitter		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s.42A Introduction & general themes Interpretation No.3 Report and amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Maryhill Limited	00118.004	General	Amend	Amend, delete, or include new definitions required to give effect to the reasons outlined in the submissions below.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Mt Cardrona Station	00114.004	General	Amend	Amend, delete, or include new definitions required to give effect to the reasons outlined in the submissions below.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.010	General	Amend	Amend as follows: Replace all instances of the term “electricity transmission network” with “ <u>electricity distribution network</u> ”.	O - Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.030	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 23
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.002	General	Amend	Amend as follows: Rationalise the definitions that relate to the electricity infrastructure and make consequential changes to the wording in the relevant provisions for the following definitions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional infrastructure • Distribution network • Electricity sub – transmission infrastructure • Specified infrastructure • Other infrastructure 	S - Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.029	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT 42A Report
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.106	General	Amend	Amend as follows: Guidance on the definition of nationally significant infrastructure should be provided with reference to the Te Waihangā 30 Year Infrastructure Strategy which is due to be published in March 2022. AND	S - Fonterra FS00233.003 S - Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.007 S - Contact Energy Limited FS00318.007 S - Federated Farmers FS00239.018 S - Oceana Gold FS00115.018	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				Nationally consistent guidance on the “Regionally Significant” infrastructure would be beneficial. This should include infrastructure that is interdependent (ie one is of little value without the other) or interconnected (part of the same network without which the network as a whole fails) with existing nationally or regionally significant infrastructure. AND There should specifically reference to economic infrastructure without which the economies of Otago cannot function. including for example those highlighted by the submitters submission as unique to the Otago region and unable to locate outside of the areas listed in EIT-INF-P13 such as ski field infrastructure.	O - Director-General of Conservation FS00137.017, O - Dunedin International Airport Limited FS00316.009 O - Port Otago LTD FS00301.024 O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.021		
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.007	General	Amend	Amend to define the term natural environment, which is used at critical points in the PORPS 2021, so it can be meaningful in a modern Otago context [specific relief not stated]		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.009	General	Amend	Amend to remove references to ‘environmental bottom lines’ and ‘environmental constraints’ and instead use the term ‘environmental limits’ consistently	S - Horticulture NZ FS00236.009 O - Port Otago LTD FS00301.025	Reject	We adopt the conclusions reached in the Legal section of Appendix One.
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.098	General	Amend	The definitions section be refined so that it is smaller and easier to use, within the bounds of what is possible under the NPF 2019 and national planning standard. A marked up copy of relief is not provided as the action requested to be taken is self-evident.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the 42A Report
OWRUG	00235.020	General	Amend	Include te reo terms in the interpretation section (including, in particular, terms used in MW – AER2).	S - Federated Farmers FS00239.019 S - Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.100 S - Waitaki District Council FS00140.008		We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the MW 42A Report
PowerNet Ltd	00511.002	General	Amend	Amend as follows:	S - Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.034	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>Rationalise the definitions that relate to the electricity infrastructure and make consequential changes to the wording in the relevant provisions with respect the following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional infrastructure • Distribution network • Electricity sub – transmission infrastructure • Specified infrastructure • Other infrastructure <p>Further details are provided in the Submitter’s full submission.</p>			
PowerNet Ltd	00511.010	General	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: Replace all instances of the term “electricity transmission network” with “electricity distribution network”.</p>	O - Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.035	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 23
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.016	General	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.004	General	Support	<p>Retain as notified</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operational need • Infrastructure 		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.014	General	Support	Retain, subject to other relief sought		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions
OWRUG	00235.010	General	Support	Retain as notified.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
LAC Properties Trustees Limited	00211.003	General	Amend	Amend, delete, or include new definitions required to give effect to the reasons outlined in the submissions below.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Lane Hocking	00210.003	General	Amend	Amend, delete, or include new definitions required to give effect to the reasons outlined in the submissions below.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Universal Developments Hawea Limited	00209.003	General	Amend	Amend, delete, or include new definitions required to give effect to the reasons outlined in the submissions below.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.016	New definition – Adaptation	Amend	Add a new definition: <u>Adaptation</u> as it applies to climate change involves adapting to life in a changing climate and involves adjusting to actual or expected future climate while deliberately husbanding our remaining resource base. (https://climate.nasa.gov/solutions/adaptation – mitigation/)		Reject	A new definition was not seen as being necessary but a description of climate change adaptation and climate change mitigation measures has been recommended in IM-O4.
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.205	New definition – Affordability	Amend	Amend to add a definition for ‘affordability’ as follows: “Affordability: where a low – or moderate – income household spends no more than 35% of their gross annual income on rent or mortgage (principal and interest) repayments.”	S - Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.003 O - Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.165	Reject	A new definition was not seen as being necessary
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.006	New definition – Agricultural intensification	Amend	Amend to include a definition of ‘agricultural intensification’ following consultation with the relevant agricultural sector representatives.	S - Federated Farmers FS00239.020 S - Waitaki District Council FS00140.012 O - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.022 O - Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.034	Reject	A new definition was not seen as being necessary
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.012	New definition – Ambient air	Amend	Amend to add new definition as follows: <u>“Ambient air is air outside buildings and structures. It does not include indoor air, air in a workplace or contaminated air discharged from a source.”</u>	S - Silver Fern Farms FS00221.001 S - Federated Farmers FS00239.021	Reject	A new definition was not seen as being necessary

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.028	New definition – Biodiversity offsetting	Amend	Amend to add a definition of ‘biodiversity offsetting’ from the proposed NPS for Indigenous Biodiversity, as follows: <u>“Means a measurable conservation outcome resulting from actions designed to compensate for residual, adverse biodiversity effects arising from activities after appropriate avoidance, remediation, and mitigation measures have been applied. The goal of a biodiversity offset is to achieve no – net – loss, and preferably a net – gain, of indigenous biodiversity values.”</u>	S - Federated Farmers FS00239.022 S - Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.004 O - Contact Energy Limited FS00318.008 O - Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.166 O - Oceana Gold FS00115.019	Reject	Consistency was recommended with the NPS IB definition
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.019	New definition – Biophysical capacity	Amend	Add a new definition: Biophysical capacity Reference needs to be made to the fundamental ecological principles of scale, interaction and complexity, biogeochemical cycles, and specificity of place, and the negative trends of disturbance, modification and fragmentation; contaminant accumulation and accumulated physical change; and biodiversity decline. There are a range of indicators associated with these trends that exist or can be developed to identify biophysical capacity locally, regionally, nationally and globally (Harker et al 2012:336 – 343; Almond et al 2020). The capacity of a system is influenced by the extent to which biophysical boundaries have been exceeded, or in contrast where human activity is currently within boundaries. One approach is downscaling the planetary boundaries analysis to the New Zealand conditions (Andersen et al 2020).		Reject	A new definition was not seen as being necessary
Waitaki District Council	00140.002	New definition – Carbon forestry	Amend	Amend to add new definition of ‘carbon forestry’ as follows: Carbon forestry “The practice of planting and growing trees to sequester atmospheric carbon into the soil, wood, leaves and roots.”	New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.003 (neutral) S - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.541 S - Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.201 S - Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.035	Accept in part	A new definition for ‘carbon forestry’ was not seen as being necessary as the distinction between plantation forestry for harvesting and permanent forestry for carbon or enhancement purposes is well-known. LF-LS provisions are recommended to address permanent forestry issues.
Dunedin City Council	00139.113	New definition – Community drinking water supply	Amend	Add a definition of ‘community drinking water supply.’	S - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.071	Reject	No wording was advanced by the submitter

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					S - Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.053		
Dunedin City Council	00139.127	New definition – Constructed wetland	Amend	Provide definition of ‘constructed wetland’ e.g. does it mean or include ponds/wetlands created for stormwater management purposes?	S - Federated Farmers FS00239.023 S - Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.054 O - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.075	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the LF s42A Report at paragraph 1646 in particular and note no wording was suggested in the submission.
Central Otago Heritage Trust	00212.009	New definition – Cultural Heritage Values	Amend	Bring the definition of cultural Heritage Values closer aligned to the UNESCO definition: Cultural heritage value/s (UNESCO and ICOMOS NZ) means possessing aesthetic, archaeological, architectural, commemorative, functional, historical, landscape, monumental, scientific, spiritual, symbolic, technological, traditional, or other tangible or intangible values, associated with human activities. In addition, make reference to Tangible and Intangible value: Tangible value (ICOMOS) means the physically observable cultural heritage value of a place including archaeological, architectural, landscape, monumental, scientific or technological values. Intangible value (ICOMOS) means the abstract cultural heritage value of the meanings or associations of a place*including commemorative, historical, social, spiritual, symbolic, or traditional values. *In practice also applies to historic artifacts, items, documents, photos, recorded stories and memories.	O - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.039	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the HCV s42A Report at paragraphs 38 &39 in particular.
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.027	New definition – Ecological district	Amend	Amend to add a definition of ‘Ecological district’ as follows: “Means the ecological districts as shown in <u>McEwen, W Medium (ed), 1987. Ecological regions and districts of New Zealand. Wellington: Department of Conservation.</u> ”	Federated Farmers FS00239.024 (neutral) Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.167	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO s42A Report at paragraphs 15 &16 in particular as to a footnote reference approach only.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.020	New definition – Ecological processes	Amend	Add a new definition: Ecological processes References the earlier observation that ecosystems create patterns that become apparent at a systems level but defeat absolute levels of quantification at a component level. Investing in ecological processes (for example through green		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 3 - Interpretations s42A Report at paragraphs 83 & 84 in particular.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				infrastructure) generates appreciating assets that become better at delivering services.			
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.014	New definition – Effects management hierarchy (Other Matters)	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: Add a new definition for “effects management hierarchy (Other Matters)” as follows:</p> <p><u>“Effects Management Hierarchy (other matters) means:</u></p> <p><u>An approach to managing the adverse effects (including cumulative effects and loss of potential value) of an activity on the extent or values of a significant natural area, outstanding natural feature or landscape, outstanding water bodies (excluding rivers and natural wetlands), area of high or outstanding natural character, area or place of significant or outstanding historic heritage, wāhi tapu, wāhi taoka, areas with protected customary rights, and areas of high recreational and high amenity value that requires that:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Adverse effects are avoided where practicable,</u> <u>(b) Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised where practicable,</u> <u>(c) Where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied where practicable,</u> <u>(d) Where adverse effects cannot be remedied, they are mitigated to the extent practicable,</u> <u>(e) Where more than minor adverse effects cannot be avoided, minimised, remedied or mitigated offsetting and/or environmental compensation must be considered, where appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>AND</u> Any further other or consequential relief to provide an appropriate effects management regime for infrastructure in sensitive locations, including such locations set out in ss 6 and 7 RMA.</p>	<p>Contact Energy Limited FS00318.009 Oceana Gold FS00115.020 Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.011</p> <p>Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.003 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.024 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.022</p>	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.012	New definition – Effects management hierarchy (Other Matters)	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: Add a new definition for “effects management hierarchy (Other Matters)” as follows:</p> <p><u>Effects Management Hierarchy (other matters) means</u></p>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.010	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>An approach to managing the adverse effects (including cumulative effects and loss of potential value) of an activity on the extent or values of a significant natural area, outstanding natural feature or landscape, outstanding water bodies (excluding rivers and natural wetlands), area of high or outstanding natural character, area or place of significant or outstanding historic heritage, wahi tapu, wahi taoka, areas with protected customary rights, and areas of high recreational and high amenity value that requires that:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Adverse effects are avoided where practicable,</u> (b) <u>Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised where practicable,</u> (c) <u>Where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied where practicable,</u> (d) <u>Where adverse effects cannot be remedied, they are mitigated to the extent practicable,</u> (e) <u>Where more than minor adverse effects cannot be avoided, minimised, remedied or mitigated offsetting and/or environmental compensation must be considered, where appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>If offsetting and/or environmental compensation is not appropriate the activity itself is to be avoided.</u></p>			
OWRUG	00235.125	New definition – Effects Management Hierarchy (other matters)	Amend	<p>Add new definition of “Effects Management Hierarchy (other matters) means an approach to managing the adverse effects (including cumulative effects and loss of potential value) of an activity on the extent or values of a, outstanding natural feature or landscape, outstanding water bodies (excluding natural wetlands), area of high or outstanding natural character, area or place of significant or outstanding historic heritage, wāhi tapu, wāhi taoka, areas with protected customary rights, and areas of high recreational and high amenity value that requires that:</p> <p>(f) Adverse effects are avoided where practicable, (g) Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised where practicable, (h) Where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied where practicable, (i) Where adverse effects cannot be remedied, they are mitigated to the extent practicable,</p> <p>Where more than minor adverse effects cannot be avoided, minimised, remedied or mitigated offsetting and/or environmental compensation must be considered, where appropriate.</p>	Federated Farmers FS00239.025 Horticulture NZ FS00236.007 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.341	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
PowerNet Ltd	00511.012	New definition – Effects management	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: Add a new definition for “effects management hierarchy (Other Matters)” as follows:</p>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.012	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
		hierarchy (Other Matters)		<p>“Effects management hierarchy (Other Matters)”<u>Effects Management Hierarchy (other matters) means</u></p> <p><u>An approach to managing the adverse effects (including cumulative effects and loss of potential value) of an activity on the extent or values of a significant natural area, outstanding natural feature or landscape, outstanding water bodies (excluding rivers and natural wetlands), area of high or outstanding natural character, area or place of significant or outstanding historic heritage, wahi tapu, wahi taoka, areas with protected customary rights, and areas of high recreational and high amenity value that requires that:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Adverse effects are avoided where practicable,</u> (b) <u>Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised where practicable,</u> (c) <u>Where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied where practicable,</u> (d) <u>Where adverse effects cannot be remedied, they are mitigated to the extent practicable,</u> (e) <u>Where more than minor adverse effects cannot be avoided, minimised, remedied or mitigated offsetting and/or environmental compensation must be considered, where appropriate.</u></p> <p><u>If offsetting and/or environmental compensation is not appropriate the activity itself is to be avoided.”</u></p>			and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
AWA	00502.006	New definition – Efficiency	Amend	<p><u>Efficiency</u></p> <p><u>Efficiency in relation to the use of water includes economic, technical, and dynamic efficiency, where ‘economic efficiency’ means maximizing the value (including non – monetary value) to communities from the use of water, including reduced GHG emissions.</u></p>	<p>Contact Energy Limited FS00318.022 Greenpeace FS00407.005</p> <p>Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.030 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.074</p>	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 88-90 in particular.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.021	New definition – Enhancement	Amend	<p>Add a new definition:</p> <p>Enhancement To facilitate species recruitment, co – existence and succession processes by stabilising ecological functioning through time (Ulrich, 2021).</p>	<p>Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.585 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.072 Waitaki District Council FS00140.013</p>	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO Report at paragraphs 20-21 in particular.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.022	New definition – Environment	Amend	Add a new definition: Environment Ecological processes and biotic and abiotic complexes.	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.586 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.073	Reject	The RMA already contains such a definition which is used in the RPS
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.029	New definition – Environmental compensation	Amend	Amend to add a definition of ‘environmental compensation’	Federated Farmers FS00239.026 (neutral) Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.008 Contact Energy Limited FS00318.010 Federated Farmers FS00239.0 Oceana Gold FS00115.021	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraph 93 in particular.
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.011	New definition – Essential human health	Amend	Amend to add new definition as follows: <u>“Essential human health: means the physiological needs of humans, it includes safe drinking water and sanitation, nutritious food, adequate shelter and warmth.”</u>	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.075 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.200 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.106 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.023	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraph 94 in particular.
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.013	New definition – Highly productive land	Amend	Amend to add new definition as follows: Highly productive land – <u>“(a) Land that has been identified as highly productive land using LF – LS – P19; OR (b) where identification has not occurred as in a), land in the rural area that is classified as LUC1,2 or 3 as mapped by the NZ Land Resource Inventory or by more detailed site mapping”</u>	Federated Farmers FS00239.027	Reject	The definition is now set by the NPSHPL which has been adopted in the PORPS
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.025	New definition – Highly productive land	Amend	Amend to add definition: Highly productive land	Silver Fern Farms FS00221.005 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.143	Reject	No wording was suggested as relief and the definition is now set by the NPSHPL which has been adopted in the PORPS

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
OWRUG	00235.009	New definition – Highly productive land	Amend	Include a definition for highly productive land as follows: a) <u>Land that has been identified as highly productive land using LF – LS – P19; OR</u> <u>where identification has not occurred as in a), land in the rural area that is classified as LUC 1,2 3 or 4 as mapped by the NZ Land Resource Inventory or by more detailed site mapping.</u>	Matakanui Gold Limited FS00021.011	Reject	The definition is now set by the NPSHPL which has been adopted in the PORPS
Director-General of Conservation	00137.011	New definition – Indigenous fauna	Amend	Indigenous fauna Insert a new definition of “indigenous fauna” as follows or words to like effect: <u>“means animals, including fish and invertebrates, that, in relation to a particular area, are native to the ecological district in which that area is located”</u>	Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.122 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.053	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO Report at paragraph 24 in particular.
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.009	New definition – Indigenous species	Amend	No definition of indigenous species. Add definition.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO Report at paragraphs 30-31 in particular.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.014	New definition – Integrated Resource Management	Amend	Add a new definition: <u>“Integrated Resource Management is a process of managing natural and physical resource use in a way that is efficient and sustainable, and optimises overall benefit from a set of defined objectives, while minimising adverse effects and risks. This is facilitated by ensuring that all plans and policies are vertically and horizontally compatible and do not conflict with each other within the region and as far as possible, between regions. It brings together the likes of natural heritage management, land use planning, water management, bio – diversity conservation, and the future sustainability of industries like agriculture, mining, tourism, fisheries and forestry.”</u>	Oceana Gold FS00115.026 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.076	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the IM Report at paragraph 70 in particular.
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.051	New definition – Kawa	Amend	Amend to include a definition for ‘kawa’	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.390	Accept in part	No definition is necessary but the term has been recommended to be added to tikaka in the Mana whenua chapter where it is explained in appropriate terms.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.038	New definition – Mahika kai	Amend	Add new definition as follows: <u>Mahika kai means gathering of food and natural materials by Kāi Tahu whānui in accordance with tikaka, the places where</u>	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.026	Accept	Kāi Tahu’s definition is considered a helpful addition as the term is widely used in the RPS

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>those resources are gathered, and the work, methods and cultural activities involved in obtaining them.</u>	Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.007 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.077		
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.011	New definition – Major hazard facility	Amend	Include a definition of MHF as defined in the Health and Safety at Work (Major Hazard Facilities) Regulations 2016: <u>Major hazard facility means a facility that WorkSafe has designated as a lower tier major hazard facility or an upper tier major hazard facility under regulation 19 or 20 of the Health and Safety at Work (Major Hazard Facilities) Regulations 2016</u>	Contact Energy Limited FS00318.023	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the HAZ Report at paragraphs 20-22 in particular.
Hopkins, Jim	00420.007	New definition – Mātauraka	Amend	Add a definition of <i>mātauraka</i> , including some means by which its precepts may be evaluated.	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.193 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.078	Accept in part	No definition is necessary but the term has been recommended to be added in the Mana whenua chapter where it is explained in appropriate terms.
Blackthorn Lodge Glenorchy Limited	00119.035	New definition – Minimise	Amend	“Minimise” to be defined as follows: <u>Minimise – reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning.</u>	Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.002 (neutral) Oceana Gold FS00115.022 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.079	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 100-103 in particular.
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.017	New definition – Minimise	Amend	Amend as follows: Insert definition: Minimise means to reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning.	Fonterra FS00233.005 Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.010 (neutral) Greenpeace FS00407.039	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 100-103 in particular.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.007 Contact Energy Limited FS00318.011 Federated Farmers FS00239.028 Oceana Gold FS00115.023 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.080		
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.015	New definition – Minimise	Amend	Insert a new definition, “minimise”, as follows: <u>Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable.</u> <u>Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning.</u> Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.563 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.081	Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.032 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 100-103 in particular.
Matakanui Gold Limited	00021.004	New definition – Mining	Amend	Add a definition on mining. <u>Mining</u> <u>Has the same meaning as the Crown Minerals Act as set out in the box below:</u> <u>(a) means to take, win, or extract, by whatever means,–</u> <u>a mineral existing in its natural state in land; or</u> <u>a chemical substance from a mineral existing in its natural state in land; and</u> <u>(b) includes–</u> <u>the injection of petroleum into an underground gas storage facility; and</u> <u>the extraction of petroleum from an underground gas storage facility;</u> <u>(c) does not include prospecting or exploration for a mineral or chemical substance referred to in paragraph (a)</u>	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.120 Oceana Gold FS00115.032 Oceana Gold FS00115.024	Reject	We adopt some of the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 01 at paragraphs 205-211 as far as they do not see a need for a specific mining chapter or definition. Other recommendations have been made in relation to other submissions to enable a consent pathway for mining activities amongst others.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.015	New definition – Mitigation	Amend	Add a new definition: <u>Mitigation as it applies to climate change, involves reducing the flow of heat – trapping greenhouse gases into the atmosphere, either by reducing sources of these gases (for example, the burning of fossil fuels for electricity, heat or transport) or enhancing the “sinks” that accumulate and store these gases (such as the oceans, forests and soil).</u>	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.091	Reject	A new definition was not seen as being necessary but a description of climate change adaptation and climate change mitigation measures has been recommended in IM-04.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.100	New definition – Natural Capital	Amend	Add a definition for “Natural Capital”	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.208 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.082	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 106-109 in particular.
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.016	New definition – Natural environment	Amend	Amend as follows: Insert definition: Natural environment means: (a) land, water, air, soil, minerals, energy, and all forms of plants, animals and other living organisms, whether native to New Zealand or introduced, and their habitats, (b) ecosystems, their constituent parts and the natural processes that sustain these, (c) the natural landscape and landforms that are formed by the interactions between (a) and (b), and (d) excludes pests and domestic and farmed animals. Plus, consequential changes as referenced in the reasoning section.	Fonterra FS00233.004 Federated Farmers FS00239.029 (neutral) Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.320 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.092 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.024	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the IM Report at paragraphs 63-66 in particular.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.016	New definition – Natural environment	Amend	Insert a new definition, “ <u>natural environment</u> ”, as follows: <u>Means (a) land, water, air, soil, minerals, energy, and all forms of plants, animals, and other living organisms (whether native to New Zealand or introduced) and their habitats; and (b) ecosystems and their constituent parts.</u>	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.210 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.564 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.093	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the IM Report at paragraphs 63-66 in particular.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.018	New definition – Net ecological gain	Amend	Add a new definition: Net ecological gain is a significant improvement in an <u>ecological function that might be expressed in one or more of the following attributes: scale, type, resilience, diversity, redundancy, variability.</u> The term is introduced in this policy statement primarily as an alternative approach to development with “minor adverse effect”.	Contact Energy Limited FS00318.012 Oceana Gold FS00115.025 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.097	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO Report at paragraph 41 in particular.
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.037	New definition – New infrastructure	Amend	Amend to include a definition to distinguish between activities that have differing policy tests in the RPS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • operation and maintenance of infrastructure • upgrades and development of existing infrastructure • new infrastructure 	Dunedin City Council FS00139.002 The Fuel Companies FS00510.004 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.375	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT Report at paragraph 555 in particular.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.098		
Dunedin City Council	00139.114	New definition – Off-stream storage of surface water	Amend	Add a definition of ‘off-stream storage of surface water.’	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.055 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.36 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.098	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the LF Report at paragraph 1272 in particular.
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.038	New definition – Operation and maintenance of infrastructure	Amend	Amend to include a definition to distinguish between activities that have differing policy tests in the RPS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • operation and maintenance of infrastructure • upgrades and development of existing infrastructure • new infrastructure 	The Fuel Companies FS00510.005 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.36 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.098	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT Report at paragraph 555 in particular.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.007b	New definition – Pest	Amend	Include the definition of “Pest” from the Biosecurity Act		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General 03 Interpretation report at paragraph 113 in particular.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.017	New definition – Pests	Amend	Insert a new definition, “ <u>pests</u> ”, as described in the Regional Pest Management Plan. <i>ORC Note: the definition of “Pest” in the glossary (p92) of the Otago Pest Management Plan 2019 – 2029 has the following definition:</i> <i><u>Pest: has the same meaning as in the Biosecurity Act 1993: “an organism specified as a pest in a pest management plan.”</u></i>	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.209	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General 03 Interpretation report at paragraph 113 in particular
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.018	New definition – Precautionary approach	Amend	Amend as follows: Insert definition: Precautionary approach means an approach that: (a) avoids not acting due to uncertainty about the quality of quantity of the information available, and (b) interprets uncertain information in a way that best supports the health, wellbeing and resilience of the natural environment	Greenpeace FS00407.040 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.024 Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.142 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.065 Fonterra FS00233.006	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General 03 Interpretation report at paragraphs 117-121 in particular

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Contact Energy Limited FS00318.013 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.321 Oceana Gold FS00115.027 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.101		
Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited	00213.013	New definition – Precautionary approach	Amend	Provide a definition in the interpretation section that aligns with the wording of the draft Natural and Built Environments Bill as follows: Precautionary approach means an approach that, in order to protect the natural environment if there are threats of serious or irreversible harm to the environment, favours taking action to prevent those adverse effects rather than postponing action on the ground that there is a lack of full scientific certainty.	Horticulture NZ FS00236.006 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.543 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.102	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General 03 Interpretation report at paragraphs 117-121 in particular
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.001	New definition – Quarrying Activities	Amend	Amend as follows: Include the definition of Quarrying Activities included in Chapter 14 of the National Planning Standards. <u>“Quarrying Activities means the extraction, processing (including crushing, screening, washing, and blending), transport, storage, sale and recycling of aggregates (clay, silt, rock, sand), the deposition of overburden material, rehabilitation, landscaping and cleanfilling of the quarry, and the use of land and accessory buildings for offices, workshops and car parking areas associated with the operation of the quarry.”</u>	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.093	Reject	There is no need for a definition of such a well-known activity
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.010	New definition – Rakatirataka	Amend	Add definition of rakatirataka.	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.595 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.083	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the MW Report at paragraph 21 in particular where a preference is expressed for explanations as in the Mana whenua chapter to be used rather than a definition.
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.006	New definition – Regionally significant industry	Amend	Insert new definition of Regionally significant industry as follows: <u>means an economic activity based on the use of natural and physical resources in the region which has been shown to</u>	AgResearch Limited FS00208.001 Federated Farmers FS00239.030	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>have benefits that are significant at a regional or national scale. These may include social, economic or cultural benefits.</u>	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.103 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.145 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.087 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.023		Themes Report 01 at paragraph 120 in particular
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.023	New definition – Restoration	Amend	Add a new definition: Restoration Re – establish species or habitat by direct action (Ulrich, 2021).	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.091	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General Themes Report 03 Interpretation at paragraph 125 in particular but note that in response to other submissions a new definition of ‘restoration in relation to indigenous biodiversity’ has been recommended to be adopted.
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.019	New definition – Restore	Amend	Amend as follows: Insert definition: Restore means to return to a state of good health, well-being and resilience.	Federated Farmers FS00239.031 (neutral) Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.008	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General Themes Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 130 -131 in particular but note that in response to other submissions a new definition of ‘restoration in relation to indigenous biodiversity’ has been recommended to be adopted.
Dunedin City Council	00139.005b	New definition – Reticulated system	Amend	Amend by defining ‘reticulated system’.	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.056 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.115	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the LF Report at paragraph 1192 in particular which states “I am not convinced that definitions of the terms

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.066 Fonterra FS00233.007 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.322 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.114		“reticulated system”, “wastewater system operator” or “stormwater system operator” are necessary. “
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00213.005	New definition – Reverse sensitivity	Amend	Insert new definition of Reverse sensitivity as follows, or words to similar effect: <u>means the potential for the operation of an existing lawfully established activity to be compromised, constrained or curtailed by the more recent establishment of other activities which are sensitive to the adverse environmental effects being generated by the pre – existing activity.</u>	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.026 The Fuel Companies FS00510.018 Federated Farmers FS00239.0 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.104	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General 03 Interpretation report at paragraphs 136-143 in particular
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	00305.005	New definition – Reverse Sensitivity	Amend	Amend as follows: Include a definition of Reverse Sensitivity, and we suggest the following, or similar, definition, which is taken from the Partially Operative Otago RPS 2018 as follows: <u>“The potential for the operation of an existing lawfully established activity to be constrained or curtailed by the more recent establishment or intensification of other activities which are sensitive to the established activity.”</u>	AgResearch Limited FS00208.002 Silver Fern Farms FS00221.006 Fulton Hogan Limited FS00322.005 The Fuel Companies FS00510.019 New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.011 Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.017 Contact Energy Limited FS00318.014 Horticulture NZ FS00236.008 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.204 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.105 Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.011 Meridian Energy Limited FS00306.005	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General 03 Interpretation report at paragraphs 136-143 in particular

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.007	New definition – Rural Industry	Amend	Insert a new definition of Rural Industry as follows: <u>... has the same meaning as in Standard 14 of the National Planning Standards 2019 (as set out in the box below)</u> <u>means an industry or business undertaken in a rural environment that directly supports, services, or is dependent on primary production.</u>	Silver Fern Farms FS00221.007 Federated Farmers FS00239.033 Horticulture NZ FS00236.009 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.106	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the UFD report at paragraphs 86-92 in particular
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.019	New definition – Rural Industry	Amend	Insert a new definition, “ <u>Rural Industry</u> ”, as follows: EITHER: (Source: QLDC PDP) <u>Means the use of land and buildings for the purpose of manufacturing, fabricating, processing, packing and/or storage of goods and materials grown or sourced outside the urban environment and the storage of goods, materials and machinery associated with commercial contracting undertaken outside the urban environment.</u> OR: (Source: National Planning Standards) <u>Means an industry or business undertaken in a rural environment that directly supports, services, or is dependent on primary production.</u>	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.107	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the UFD report at paragraphs 86-92 in particular
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.013	New definition – Significant electricity distribution infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: Add a new definition for significant electricity distribution infrastructure as follows: “ <u>Significant Electricity Distribution Infrastructure means electricity distribution infrastructure which supplies:</u> 1. <u>Essential and emergency services(such as hospitals and lifeline facilities);</u> 2. <u>Other 139 infrastructure or individual consumers requiring supply of 1MW or more;</u> 3. <u>700 or more consumers; or</u> <u>Communities that are isolated and which do not have an alternative supply in the event the line or cable is compromised and where the assets are difficult to replace in the event of failure.”</u>	Federated Farmers FS00239.034 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.025	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter) and the supplementary evidence by Mr Langman on EIT at paragraphs 30-34.
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.011	New definition – Significant electricity distribution Infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: Add a new definition for significant electricity distribution infrastructure as follows: “ <u>Significant Electricity Distribution Infrastructure means electricity distribution infrastructure which supplies:</u>	Horticulture NZ FS00236.014	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter) and the supplementary evidence by

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>1. <u>Essential and emergency services (such as hospitals and lifeline facilities);</u></p> <p>2. <u>Other regionally significant infrastructure or individual consumers requiring supply of 1MW or more;</u></p> <p>3. <u>700 or more consumers; or</u></p> <p><u>Communities that are isolated and which do not have an alternative supply in the event the line or cable is compromised and where the assets are difficult to replace in the event of failure."</u></p>			Mr Langman on EIT at paragraphs 30-34.
PowerNet Ltd	00511.011	New definition – Significant electricity distribution infrastructure	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Add a new definition for “significant electricity distribution infrastructure” as follows:</p> <p><u>“Significant Electricity Distribution Infrastructure means electricity distribution infrastructure which supplies:</u></p> <p>(1) <u>Essential and emergency services (such as hospitals and lifeline facilities);</u></p> <p>(2) <u>Other regionally significant infrastructure or individual consumers requiring supply of 1MW or more;</u></p> <p>(3) <u>700 or more consumers; or</u></p> <p><u>Communities that are isolated and which do not have an alternative supply in the event the line or cable is compromised and where the assets are difficult to replace in the event of failure</u></p>	Horticulture NZ FS00236.030	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter) and the supplementary evidence by Mr Langman on EIT at paragraphs 30-34 .
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.013	New definition – Ski Area Infrastructure	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Insert a new definition</p> <p>Ski Area Infrastructure Means infrastructure associated with the construction, operation, maintenance, upgrading, or expansion of the following existing ski field areas:</p> <p>(a) Cardrona Alpine Resort</p> <p>(b) Coronet Peak</p> <p>(c) Remarkables</p> <p>(d) Treble Cone</p>	O - Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.197 O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.037	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter) and in the supplementary evidence by Mr Langman on EIT.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.020	New definition – Ski Area Infrastructure	Amend	<p>Insert a new definition, “Ski Area Infrastructure”, as follows:</p> <p><u>Means infrastructure associated with the construction, operation, maintenance, upgrading, or expansion of the following existing ski areas:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Cardrona Alpine Resort</u></p> <p><u>(b) Coronet Peak</u></p> <p><u>(c) Remarkables</u></p> <p><u>(d) Treble Cone</u></p>	O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.036	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter) and in the supplementary evidence by Mr Langman on EIT.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Dunedin City Council	00139.110	New definition – Stormwater system operator	Amend	If amendments sought by submitter to LF – FW – P15 (2)(b) and (b) are retained, add definition of “stormwater system operator”. The definition of ‘stormwater network operator’ provided in the Water Services Bill could provide guidance.	Fonterra FS00233.009	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.057	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the LF Report at paragraph 1192 in particular which states “I am not convinced that definitions of the terms “reticulated system”, “wastewater system operator” or “stormwater system operator” are necessary. “
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.030	New definition – Taoka	Amend	Amend to add a definition of ‘taoka’	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.391 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.084	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the MW Report at paragraph 21 in particular where a preference is expressed for explanations as in the Mana whenua chapter to be used rather than a definition.
Hopkins, Jim	00420.006	New definition – Te Tiriti o Waitangi	Amend	Add a definition of <i>Te Tiriti o Waitangi</i> which is identical to that included in the ‘exposure draft’ of the proposed Natural and Built Environments Bill. This definition says the words Te Tiriti o Waitangi have the same meaning as The Treaty of Waitangi.	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.194	Reject	No definition is needed
Chorus, New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited and Vodafone New Zealand	00310.002	New definition – Telecommunication and Radiocommunication Facilities	Amend	Amend as follows: Add a new definition of “Telecommunication and Radiocommunication Facilities” that encompasses all lines and wireless networks OR Amend the definition of Regionally Significant Infrastructure by changing the listed term “Telecommunication and Radiocommunication Facilities” to “Telecommunication and Radiocommunication <u>Networks</u> ”.	O - Director-General of Conservation FS00137.014	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT report at paragraphs 77-79 in particular
Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited	00213.015	New definition – Threshold	Amend	Provide a definition for the term “threshold” and provide guidance for those preparing district and regional plans as to how they are to be implemented, and how they differ from limits.	Federated Farmers FS00239.035 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.202 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.108	Reject	We adopt relevant parts of the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General themes 01 report at paragraphs 131-147 in particular and in the Legal section of Appendix One on the “Terminology of ‘limits’,

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
							<i>'environmental limits', 'tipping points' and 'thresholds'.</i>
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.050	New definition – Tikaka	Amend	Amend to include a definition for 'tikaka'	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.392 Oceana Gold FS00115.028 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.085	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the MW Report at paragraph 21 in particular where a preference is expressed for explanations as in the Mana whenua chapter to be used rather than a definition.
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.008	New definition – Tipping point	Amend	Amend to include a definition of 'tipping point'.	Federated Farmers FS00239.036 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.109	Reject	We adopt relevant parts of the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General themes 01 report at paragraphs 131-147 in particular and in the Legal section of Appendix One on the "Terminology of 'limits', 'environmental limits', 'tipping points' and 'thresholds'".
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.012	New definition – Upgrade	Amend	Amend as follows: <u>"Upgrade means activities to bring existing structures up to current standards or to improve the functional characteristics of structures, provided that the effects of the activity are the same or similar in character, intensity and scale as the existing structure and activity.</u> <u>Within the footprint of authorised renewable electricity generation activities, upgrade also means increasing the generation or transmission capacity, or the efficiency or security of regionally significant infrastructure; and replacing ancillary structures"</u>	Chorus NZ, Spark NZ and Vodafone NZ FS00310.001 Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.012 Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.020 (neutral) Contact Energy Limited FS00318.15 Horticulture NZ FS00236.031 Mercury FS00605.029 Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.020 Horticulture NZ FS00236.016	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report in particular at paragraph 37

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.259 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.115		
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.036	New definition – Upgrades and development of existing infrastructure	Amend	Amend to include a definition to distinguish between activities that have differing policy tests in the RPS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • operation and maintenance of infrastructure • upgrades and development of existing infrastructure • new infrastructure 	The Fuel Companies FS00510.003 Queenstown Airport Corporation Ltd FS00313.001 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.377 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.099	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT Report at paragraph 555 in particular.
Dunedin City Council	00139.109	New definition – Wastewater system operator	Amend	If amendments sought by submitter to LF – FW – P15 (2)(b) and (b) are retained, add definition of “wastewater system operator”. The definition of ‘wastewater network operator’ provided in the Water Services Bill could provide guidance.	Fonterra FS00233.008 Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.058	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the LF Report at paragraph 1192 in particular which states “I am not convinced that definitions of the terms “reticulated system”, “wastewater system operator” or “stormwater system operator” are necessary. “
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.089	New definition – Water sensitive design	Amend	Clarify or define what is meant by “water sensitive design” in clause 3(d) of UFD – M2		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the UFD s42A Report at paragraph 456 in particular and in the LF report at paragraph 1203.
Dunedin City Council	00139.111	New definition – Water sensitive urban design	Amend	Include a definition of ‘water sensitive urban design’ within the RPS to promote greater clarity.	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.059	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the UFD s42A Report at paragraph 456 in particular and in the LF report at paragraph 1203
Dunedin City Council	00139.005a	New definition – Waterways	Amend	Amend by defining ‘waterways’ (or use alternative consistent terminology)	Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.060	Reject	We adopt relevant parts of the recommendations and reasons set out in the

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.115		Introduction & General themes 03 report at paragraphs 157-158 in particular
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.006	1990 mean sea level (Otago Metric Datum)	Amend	Consider whether the NZ Vertical Datum 2016 should instead be used, as this is the official vertical datum for New Zealand		Accept in part	We adopt relevant parts of the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction & General themes 03 report at paragraphs 160-162 in particular
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	00102.002	Additional infrastructure	Support	Retain the definition of “Additional Infrastructure”		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and General Themes 03 s42A Report
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.001	Additional Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and General Themes 03 s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.007a	Afforestation	Amend	Amend definition term to: “Afforestation for plantation forestry”	Rayonier Matariki Forests FS00020.014	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in paragraph 22 of the Introduction and General Themes 03 s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.025	Aquaculture activities	Amend	Amend as follows: (d) does not include an activity specified in paragraph (a) or (b) if: <i>i.</i> the activity is carried out solely for the purpose of monitoring the environment, <u>or</u> <u>the activity involves customary food culturing on structures undertaken by mana whenua for non – commercial purposes.</u>	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.027 Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.008	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in paragraph 27 of the Introduction and General Themes 03 s42A Report
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	0123.006	Archaeological site	Amend	Amend Definition [Archaeological site] as follows: <u>Archaeological site has the same meaning as in section 6 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014(as set out in the box below) means, subject to section 42(3),–</u>	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.036	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in paragraphs 10-18 of the supplementary

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>(a) <u>any place in New Zealand, including any building or structure (or part of a building or structure), that-</u></p> <p>(i) <u>was associated with human activity that occurred before 1900 or is the site of the wreck of any vessel where the wreck occurred before 1900; and</u></p> <p>(ii) <u>provides or may provide, through investigation by archaeological methods, evidence relating to the history of New Zealand; and</u></p> <p>(b) <u>includes a site for which a declaration is made under section 43(1)</u></p>			evidence of A.M. Fenemor to the HCV s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.007	Commercial Port Activity	Amend	<p>Correct reference from “AO” to “A0” (i.e. A zero).</p> <p>Add definition of “A0”, either by a description or by reference to MAP2 and/or applicable consents.</p>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 473
Ravensdown Limited	00121.004	Commercial port activity	Amend	<p>Amend the definition of ‘Commercial port activity’ as follows: means commercial shipping operations associated with the Otago Harbour and the activities carried out at the ports at Port Chalmers, Ravensbourne and Dunedin, which include:</p> <p>(a) Operation of commercial ships in Otago Harbour;</p> <p>(b) ...</p>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the supplementary evidence of M.H. Langman to the EIT s42A Report at paragraphs 40 & 41
Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku	00223.017	Commercial port activity	Amend	Clarify the meaning of ‘AO’ as a location in (g)		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 473
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.007	Commercial port activity	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>d. <u>Provision, maintenance and development of buildings, installations, other structures or equipment at or adjacent to a port and used in connection with the port’s operation or maintenance.</u></p> <p>e. <u>Provision, maintenance and development of S-structures, facilities and pipelines for fuel storage, and refuelling of ships;</u></p> <p>Clarify that commercial port activities are not included in the undefined term ‘transport system’.</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 475

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				Otherwise retain the definition as notified.			
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.004	Commercial port activity	Oppose	Delete		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 474
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.002	Commercial port activity	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 468
Director-General of Conservation	00137.008	Degraded	Amend	Either amend the definition or amend the use of the term within the LF chapter, to ensure it is not applied outside the specific NPSFM 2020 context.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.116	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the LF s42A Report at paragraphs 77-79
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.002	Distribution Network	Amend	Amend as follows: “has the same meaning as in regulation 3 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 (as set out in the box below) <u>Means</u> (a) means lines, cables and associated equipment that are used for conveying electricity and are operated by a business engaged in the distribution of electricity; but (b) does not include lines and associated equipment that are part of the national grid” AND Add the following text as a note below the definition to assist RPS21 readers: “Note: Includes electricity sub – transmission infrastructure and significant electricity distribution infrastructure.”	Horticulture NZ FS00236.017	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraphs 30-34
Director-General of Conservation	00137.009	Effects management hierarchy	Amend	Amend the definition of “effects management hierarchy” and/or Policy ECO – P6 to ensure consistency.	Federated Farmers FS00239.037 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral)	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.009 Port Otago LTD FS00301.010 Meridian Energy Limited FS00306.004		
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.001	Effects management hierarchy	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: “has the same meaning as in clause 3.21 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (as set out in the box below) and in this RPS also applies to natural wetlands</p> <p><u>(1) in relation to natural inland wetlands, rivers, means an approach to managing the adverse effects of an activity on the extent or values of a natural wetland, or river or lake (including cumulative effects and loss of potential value) that requires means that:</u></p> <p>(a) adverse effects are avoided where practicable, and</p> <p>(b) where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised where practicable, and</p> <p>(c) where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied where practicable, and</p> <p>(d) where more than minor residual adverse effects cannot be avoided, minimised, or remedied, aquatic offsetting is provided, and</p> <p>(e) <u>if aquatic offsetting of more than minor residual adverse effects is not practicable, aquatic compensation is provided; and</u></p> <p>(ef) if aquatic compensation is not appropriate, the activity itself is avoided.</p> <p><u>(2) in relation to managing the adverse effects of renewable electricity generation activities on the extent or values of a natural wetland, river or lake (including cumulative effects and loss of potential value) means that:</u></p> <p><u>(a) adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated where practicable, and</u></p> <p><u>(b) where the adverse effects cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated, decision-makers shall have regard to offsetting measures or environmental compensation including measures or compensation which benefit the local environment and community affected.”</u></p>	Fonterra FS00233.010 Contact Energy Limited FS00318.016 Mercury FS00605.025 Oceana Gold FS00115.029 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral) Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.260 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.002	Effects management hierarchy	Amend	Amend as follows: The term “mitigate” should be reintroduced because it means “to make less severe”, as opposed to minimise or “remedy”, which means to repair or fix or make good	Silver Fern Farms FS00221.002 Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.009 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral) Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.132	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.005	Effects management hierarchy	Amend	- Amend as follows: “has the same meaning as in clause 3.21 of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (as set out in the box below) and in this RPS also applies to natural <u>inland</u> wetlands and <u>rivers</u> in the circumstances set out in the NPSFM.” - Make other consequential amendments to ensure that the effects management hierarchy is applied only for those activities specified in the NPSFM and amendments to ensure that the RPS would not conflict with the NES for Freshwater by directing plan provisions that would be more lenient or duplicate those of the NES. - Make other amendments to resolve any confusion in terminology with the approach set out in ECO – P6. Amend the RPS as necessary to ensure that the effects management hierarchies are not applied within the coastal environment.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.004	Effects Management Hierarchy	Support	Retain as notified	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral)	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.001	Effects management hierarchy	Support	Retain as notified.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral)	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku	00223.018	Effects management hierarchy	Support	Retain the definition of ‘Effects management hierarchy’ and its application to natural wetlands.	Oceana Gold FS00115.030	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.117 (neutral)		reasons set out in the Intro and General 01 s42A Reply Report at paragraphs 145-147.
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.002	Electricity sub – transmission infrastructure	Amend	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 63
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.003	Electricity sub – transmission infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: “means electricity infrastructure <u>that is not the National Grid and that which conveys electricity between:</u> (a) energy generation sources <u>and zone substations;</u> (b) the National Grid and zone substations; <u>or and</u> (c) between zone substations.”	Horticulture NZ FS00236.018	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 64
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.003	Electricity sub – transmission Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the EIT s42A Report at paragraph 63
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.003	Functional need	Amend	Amend as follows: “has the same meaning as in Standard 14 of the National Planning Standards 2019 (as set out in the box below). means the need for a proposal or activity to traverse, locate or operate in a particular environment because the activity can only occur in that environment, <u>taking into account the efficiency of the proposal or activity.</u> ” OR Other relief to give effect to this submission point.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.118 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.005	Functional need	Amend	Amend definition as follows: means the need for a proposal or activity to traverse, locate or operate in a particular environment because the activity can only occur in that environment, <u>taking into account the efficiency of the proposal or activity.</u> Or other relief to give effect to this submission point.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.118 (neutral) Horticulture NZ FS00236.019 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.294	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.003	Functional need	Amend	Amend as follows: Include a criterion of feasibility, practicality and cost-effectiveness, noting this is to an extent already covered by “operational need” for infrastructure	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.118 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42
PowerNet Ltd	00511.005	Functional need	Amend	Amend as follows: “means the need for a proposal or activity to traverse, locate or operate in a particular environment because the activity can only occur in that environment, <u>taking into account the efficiency of the proposal or activity.</u> ” OR Other relief to give effect to this submission point.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.118 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.005	Functional Need	Support	Retain as notified	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.118 (neutral)	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	00305.001	Functional need	Support	Retain as notified.	Sanford Limited FS00122.003 Silver Fern Farms FS00221.003 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.118 (neutral)	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.006	Hard protection structure	Amend	Amend the definition as it applies beyond the coastal environment as follows: “...outside the coastal environment, means any dam, weir, stopbank, carriageway, groyne, or reservoir, and any structure or appliance of any kind which is specifically established for <u>that has the primary purpose or effect of protecting an activity from or mitigating effects of natural hazard flooding risk mitigation.</u> ”	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.005	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the HAZ s42A Report at paragraphs 16-19 & Reply report at 168-171
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	00305.002	Hard protection structure	Amend	Amend as follows: The definition for Hard Protection Structure to include the following:		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				“...and, Outside the coastal environment, means any dam, weir, stop bank, carriageway, groyne, reservoir, <u>rip rap</u> , and any structure or appliance of any kind which is specifically established for the purpose of natural hazard mitigation”			Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.026	Hard protection structure	Support	Retain as notified	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.028	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Introduction and general Themes – Interpretation 03 s42A Report at paragraphs 39-42
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.003	Highly valued natural features and landscapes	Amend	Amend as follows: Definition to refer to the correct appendix. “Highly valued natural features and landscapes highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes are areas which contain attributes and values of significance under Sections 7(c) and 7(f) of the RMA 1991, which have been identified in accordance with APP79.”		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main recommendations NFL chapter on this issue
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.027	Highly valued natural features and landscapes	Amend	Amend as follows: highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes are areas which contain attributes and values of significance under Sections 7(c) and 7(f) of the RMA 1991, which have been identified in accordance with APP7 APP9		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main recommendations NFL chapter on this issue
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.003	Highly valued natural features and landscapes	Amend	Amend definition or APP9 to provide suitable guidance on what the threshold is for highly valued landscapes and natural features.	Sanford Limited FS00122.005	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main recommendations NFL chapter on this issue
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.007	Highly valued natural features and landscapes	Amend	Amend the definition as follows: “highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes are areas which contain attributes and values of significance under Sections 7(c) and 7(f) of the RMA 1991, which have been identified in accordance with APP9, <u>and which are considered are amenity landscapes for the purpose of implementing the NES for Plantation Forestry.</u> ”		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main recommendations NFL chapter on this issue
Director-General of Conservation	00137.010	Highly valued natural features and landscapes	Oppose	Replace “APP7” with “APP 9”.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main recommendations NFL chapter on this issue which recommended deletion of all reference to highly valued

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
							natural features and landscapes
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.003	Highly valued natural features and landscapes	Oppose	Delete	Sanford Limited FS00122.006 Contact Energy Limited FS00318.017 Oceana Gold FS00115.031 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.119	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main recommendations NFL chapter on this issue which recommended deletion of all reference to highly valued natural features and landscapes
Ravensdown Limited	00121.005	Highly valued natural features and landscapes	Oppose	Delete and as a consequential amendment, remove and/or amend all references to 'highly valued natural features and landscapes'.	Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.010	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main recommendations NFL chapter on this issue which recommended deletion of all reference to highly valued natural features and landscapes
Carter, Gerald	00416.001	Historic Heritage	Amend	Amend to Delete the word "Historic" from the term "Historic Heritage" and replace with "Cultural & Natural Heritage" throughout the document		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the HCV s42A Report at paragraph 26 in particular.
Central Otago Heritage Trust	00212.001	Historic Heritage	Amend	following wording to paragraph (b) of the definition of "Historic Heritage": "(b) Includes – ... heritage values associated with natural and physical resources"		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the HCV s42A Report at paragraph 26 in particular.
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.026	Indigenous biodiversity	Amend	Amend as follows: "means vascular and non – vascular plants that, in relation to a particular area, are native to the ecological district in which that area is located. <u>Means vegetation that occurs naturally in New Zealand or arrived in New Zealand without human assistance including both vascular and non – vascular plants. "</u>	Federated Farmers FS00239.040 (neutral)	Reject	The NPSIB definition is appropriate
Director-General of Conservation	00137.012	Indigenous flora	Amend	Either: Replace references to "indigenous flora" with "indigenous vegetation"; or Insert a new definition of "indigenous flora" as follows or words to like effect:	Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.123	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>“has the same meaning as indigenous vegetation”</u>			s42A Report at paragraph 27 in particular.
Director-General of Conservation	00137.013	Indigenous vegetation	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend as follows or words to like effect: “means vascular and non – vascular plants that, in relation to a particular area, are native to the ecological district in which that area is located <u>and freshwater and marine plants and seaweed.</u> “ - Review to ensure consistency with district plan provisions relating to indigenous vegetation. 	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.054	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO s42A Report at paragraph 27 in particular.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.008	Indigenous vegetation	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the ECO s42A Report at paragraph 27 in particular.
Dunedin City Council	00139.006	Infrastructure	Amend	Amend to include ‘(m) landfills.’	Big Stone Forest Limited FS00603.007, Dunedin International Airport Limited FS00316.010 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.073	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 483-487
New Zealand Defence Force	00304.003	Infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: “has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (as set out in the box below), <u>and also includes nationally significant infrastructure and regionally significant infrastructure”</u>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.025	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 483-487
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.004	Infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adding defense, corrections, health and educational facilities to this definition Rationalising infrastructure definitions for clarity, and/or adding further explanation as to the different contexts in which they are used (i.e. some subset definitions are used in the Urban Form and Development policies in terms of regulating when other development can occur, while others are used in relation to providing direction as to how the effects of infrastructure itself are to be managed).	New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.001 Ministry of Education FS00421.001 Horticulture NZ FS00236.020 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.300	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 483-487
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.004	Infrastructure	Amend	Amend to add to the beginning as follows:	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.378	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>"Is the same meaning as in Section 2 of the RMA 1991 (as set out in the box below) together with all facilities required for "commercial port activity".</u>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.026		reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 483-487
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.006	Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified	New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.002	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 483-487
PowerNet Ltd	00511.009	Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified.	New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.003	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 483-487
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.028	Kāika	Support	Retain as notified	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.029 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.086	Accept	The definition is appropriate
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.029	Kaitiakitanga or kaitiakitaka	Support	Retain as notified	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.030 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.087	Accept	The definition is appropriate and accords with the RMA definition
Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku	00223.019	Key civic public spaces	Amend	Clarify the relationship of the 'Key civic public spaces' definition with the provisions of the pORPS.		Accept	Amended by deletion as not needed as set out in para 96 of the s.42A UFD report.
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.005	Lifeline utilities	Amend	Amend as follows: "means utilities provided by those entities listed in Schedule 1 of the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002, <u>and for the avoidance of doubt includes all commercial port activity"</u>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.027	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introductions & general Themes 03 Interpretation Report at paragraph 47
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.007	Lifeline Utilities	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
							Introductions & general Themes 03 Interpretation Report at paragraph 47
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	00305.003	Lifeline utilities	Support	Retain as notified.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introductions & general Themes 03 Interpretation Report at paragraph 47
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.008	Lifeline utilities	Support	Retain as notified.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introductions & general Themes 03 Interpretation Report at paragraph 47
Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	00013.003	Local authority	Support	Retain as notified or preserve the original intent.		Accept	The definition is appropriate and accords with the Local Government Act 2002
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.010	Loss of values	Amend	Ensure the RPS provides direction for the protection of wetlands as defined in the RMA and to achieve s6(a). Add consideration for natural character of the coastal environment under (b)	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.094	Accept in part	This is a general request but the definition as amended as a result of other submissions will refer to the NPSFM definition
Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku	00223.020	Loss of values	Support	Retain as notified	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.095	Accept	
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.030	Mana whenua	Support	Retain as notified	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.031 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.088	Accept	The definition is appropriate and accords with the RMA

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Graymont (NZ) Limited	00022.001	Mineral	Support	Retain as notified.		Accept	The definition is appropriate and accords with the Crown Minerals Act 1991
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.009	Minimise	Amend	Amend as follows: Insert definition for "minimise", as below: <i>"Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning."</i>	Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.003 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 100-103 in particular.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.004	National Grid	Amend	Amend as follows: "has the same meaning as in the Interpretation section of the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 for Renewable Electricity Generation 2011 as follows "means the assets lines and associated equipment used or owned by Transpower New Zealand Limited to convey electricity"	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.484	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraph 72
Business South Inc	00408.006	Nationally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	The definition of nationally significant infrastructure has been taken from the NPSUD but should be amended to be relevant to Otago ie. why list North Island infrastructure.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
Business South Inc	00408.007	Nationally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	Clarify new or expanded infrastructure, for example, Lake Onslow, would meet the definition of renewable generation under the nationally significant infrastructure definition	Federated Farmers FS00239.041 (neutral) Dunedin International Airport Limited FS00316.021 Federated Farmers FS00239.041 (neutral) Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.028	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
Business South Inc	00408.005	Nationally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	Amend to clarify how new or expanded infrastructure get included in the definition(s) without having to do a plan change	Dunedin International Airport Limited FS00316.022	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Chorus, New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited and Vodafone New Zealand	00310.001	Nationally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: Add the following: “... (k) International and inter – regional telecommunications links.”	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.039	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
New Zealand Defence Force	00304.002	Nationally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: The definition of ‘nationally significant infrastructure’ to include defense facilities. For example, either: “(a) adopt the definition of ‘Nationally significant infrastructure’ in the UDA; OR (b) amend the proposed definition as follows (addition is underlined): “has, to the extent applicable to the Otago Region, the same meaning as in clause 1.4(1) of the National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020 (as set out in the box below), and also includes defence facilities”	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.299	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.005	Nationally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: Include telecommunications (or a subset of telecommunications that are nationally significant e.g. key links between regions), and defence and corrections infrastructure, for the same region. These sets of services benefit all New Zealanders, regardless of where they are located	New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.004 Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.301 Royal Forest and Bird Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.301 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.029 Protection Society FS00230.029	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.006	Nationally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend to replace (j) as follows: Replace (j) in the definition as follows: “(j) the port facilities (but not the facilities of any ancillary commercial activities) of each port company referred to in item 6 of Part A of Schedule 1 of the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002 <u>(j) commercial port activity”</u>	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.379 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.030	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.005	Nationally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: “has, to the extent applicable to the Otago Region, the same meaning as in clause 1.4(1) of the National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020 (as set out in the box below)</p> <p>means all of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. State highways b. the National Grid electricity transmission network c. renewable electricity generation facilities that connect with the National Grid d. the high – pressure gas transmission pipeline network operating in the North Island e. the refinery pipeline between Marsden Point and Wiri <u>d</u>. f. the New Zealand rail network (including light rail) <u>e</u>. g. rapid transit services (as defined in this clause) <u>f</u>. h. any airport (but not its ancillary commercial activities) used for regular air transport services by aeroplanes capable of carrying more than 30 passengers <u>g</u>. i. the port facilities (but not the facilities of any ancillary commercial activities) of each port company referred to in item 6 of Part A of Schedule 1 of the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002 	Horticulture NZ FS00236.021	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited, Mobil Oil NZ Limited	00510.009	Nationally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend the definition to clarify that terminals and ancillary pipelines are nationally significant infrastructure and that the definition applies to both Port Chalmers and Dunedin.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509.
Contact Energy Limited	00318.002	Nationally significant infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified.	O - New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.005	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
Dunedin International Airport Limited	00316.001	Nationally Significant Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified.	O - New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.006	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Queenstown Airport Corporation	00313.002	Nationally Significant infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified.	O - New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.007	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
Trustpower Limited	00311.002	Nationally significant infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified (particularly Clause (3))	O - New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.008	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A EIT Report at paragraphs 500-509
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.081	Natural Capital	Amend	Define Natural Capital.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Intro and General Report 03 Interpretation at paragraphs 106-109 in particular.
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.010	Natural environment	Amend	Amend as follows: Insert definition of “Natural Environment” , as follows: <u>Means (a) land, water, air, soil, minerals, energy, and all forms of plants, animals, and other living organisms (whether native to New Zealand or introduced) and their habitats; and (b) ecosystems and their constituent parts.</u>	S - Federated Farmers FS00239.042	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the IM Report at paragraphs 63-66 in particular.
Ravensdown Limited	00121.006	Natural hazard works	Oppose	Delete and make consequential amendments arising from this submission point.		Reject	This definition accords with the definition in the NES for Freshwater2020
Ballance Agri-Nutrients	00409.012	Natural Wetland	Amend	Amend the definition of <i>natural wetland</i> to align with the Ministry for the Environment final version of guidance on the definition of a <i>natural wetland</i> , once released.	Contact Energy Limited FS00318.021 Federated Farmers FS00239.043 Oceana Gold FS00115.044 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.120	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the main FPI recommendations report on the issue of the definition of wetlands.
Director-General of Conservation	00137.014	Naturally rare	Amend	Amend definition to ensure that it is appropriate whenever used throughout the pORPS.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.096	Reject	The phrase is now only used in the CE chapter after its removal from APP 4 consequent upon other submissions.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.031	Nohoaka or nohoanga	Amend	Amend as follows: means a site occupied by Kāi Tahu on a seasonal and temporary basis for mahika kai or other customary purposes	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.089	Accept	Minor correction to spelling.
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.008	Operational Need	Support	Retain as notified	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.110	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introduction & general themes 03 report
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.006	Operational need	Support	Retain as notified: AND Ensure it is also used in all objectives and policies that relate to the constraints on infrastructure's ability to manage adverse effects	Queenstown Airport Corporation Ltd FS00313.002 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.111	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introduction & general themes 03 report
PowerNet Ltd	00511.007	Operational need	Support	Retain as notified.	Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.112	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introduction & general themes 03 report
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	00305.004	Operational need	Support	Retain as notified.	Sanford Limited FS00122.004 Silver Fern Farms FS00221.004 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.113	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introduction & general themes 03 report
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.007	Other infrastructure	Amend	Retain as notified: Defence facilities are nationally significant and should be moved into that category.		Reject	The provision that formerly contained this phrase 'other infrastructure' being LF-FW-P9 has been recommended to be deleted and replaced with a provision which no longer contains that phrase, so it is redundant. It was also recommended to be deleted at para 412 of the FPI report.
Ravensdown Limited	00121.007	Other infrastructure	Oppose	Delete and make consequential amendments arising from this submission point.		Accept	The provision that formerly contained this phrase being LF-FW-P9 has been recommended to be deleted and replaced with a provision

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
							which no longer contains that phrase so it is redundant. It was also recommended to be deleted at para 412 of the FPI report.
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.009	Other Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	The provision that formerly contained this phrase being LF-FW-P9 has been recommended to be deleted and replaced with a provision which no longer contains that phrase so it is redundant. It was also recommended to be deleted at para 412 of the FPI report.
Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku	00223.021	Over-allocation	Amend	Clarify the meaning of 'Over-allocation' as it relates to the definition of 'Degraded' when a limit has not been set in an FMU or part of an FMU	Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.444 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.020 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.121	Accept in part	Amendments were suggested in the FPI process to meet these concerns at paragraphs 415-420 of the FPI report.
Cain whānau	00010.003	Papakāika	Amend	Retain and amend definition of Papakāika or papakāinga as follows: <i>Papakāika or papakāinga means <u>subdivision, use and development by mana whenua or others as allowed by mana whenua, of ancestral or tribal lands and resources to provide for sustain themselves and others in general accordance with tikanga Māori, which may include residential activities and non – residential activities for cultural, social, recreational, environmental or limited commercial purposes.</u></i>	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.022 Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.117 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.021	Accept in part	The Legal section of the main recommendations report in Appendix One addresses this definition.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.032	Papakāika or papakāinga	Amend	Amend as follows: means use and development by mana whenua of ancestral or tribal lands to sustain themselves in accordance with tikanga Māori, which may include residential activities and non – residential activities for cultural, social, <u>educational</u> , recreational, environmental or limited commercial purposes.	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.032 Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.009	Accept in part	The Legal section of the main recommendations report in Appendix One addresses this definition.
Ravensdown Limited	00121.008	Polluted airshed	Oppose	Delete and make consequential amendments arising from this submission point.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
							reasons set out in the s42A Air report at paragraph 27.
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.004	Primary Production	Amend	Amend the definition of primary production to specifically exclude forestry or the purposes of carbon sequestration.	Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.008 New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.014 (neutral) Federated Farmers FS00239.045 (neutral) Waitaki District Council FS00140.014 Rayonier Matariki Forests FS00020.001 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.122	Reject	The definition of primary production is a mandatory definition in the National Planning Standards 2019. Its use is discussed in the LF chapter report.
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.002	Primary Production	Amend	Amend as follows: Include the definition of Primary Production included in Chapter 14 of the National Planning Standards. <u>“Primary Production means:</u> <u>(a) any aquaculture, agricultural, pastoral, horticultural, mining, quarrying or forestry activities; and</u> <u>(b) includes initial processing, as an ancillary activity, of commodities that result from the listed activities in a);</u> <u>(c) includes any land and buildings used for the production of the commodities from a) and used for the initial processing of the commodities in b); but excludes further processing of those commodities into a different product.</u>	Matakanui Gold Limited FS00021.005 Federated Farmers FS00239.046 Horticulture NZ FS00236.010 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.122 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.037	Reject	The definition of primary production is a mandatory definition in the National Planning Standards 2019. Its use is discussed in the LF chapter report.
Matakanui Gold Limited	00021.002	Primary production	Amend	Amend the definition of “primary production” to recognise that mineral extraction is not a suitable component of primary production as it relates to LFLS – P19 High and UFD – P7 – Rural Area which seeks to enable primary production (including mining) on land or soils identified as highly product	Oceana Gold FS00115.033 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.038	Reject	The definition of primary production is a mandatory definition in the National Planning Standards 2019. Its use is discussed in the LF chapter report.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.033	Primary production	Oppose	Delete definition and replace with a term that is clearly limited to outdoor agricultural, pastoral and horticultural activities.	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.044 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.033	Reject	The definition of primary production is a mandatory definition in the National Planning Standards 2019. Its use is discussed in the LF chapter report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					Ngāi Tahu ki murihiku FS00223.010 Fulton Hogan Limited FS00322.001 Federated Farmers FS00239.053 Horticulture NZ FS00236.011 Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.122		
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.001	Primary production	Support	Primary Production Retain the definition but also make changes to objectives and policies (LS – LF Land and Soil Chapter) to better recognise that mining is a valuable form of primary production that needs access to the key land that hosts valuable minerals.	Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.015	Accept in part	The definition of primary production is a mandatory definition in the National Planning Standards 2019. It use is discussed in the LF chapter report.
Sanford Ltd.	00122.003	Primary production	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	The definition of primary production is a mandatory definition in the National Planning Standards 2019. It use is discussed in the LF chapter report.
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.125	Public transport	Amend	Amend definition of ‘public transport’ to provide more certainty as to what constitutes a planned public transport service. We suggest that a planned Public Transport service should only include services that have a high degree of certainty that they will be delivered and be provided on an ongoing basis.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introduction & General Themes –Interpretation 03 Report at paragraph 51
Ravensdown Limited	00121.009	Receiving environment	Oppose	Delete and make consequential amendments arising from this submission point.	O - Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.123	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Introduction & General Themes –Interpretation 03 Report at paragraph 55
Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	00102.001	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	Regionally significant infrastructure should also include essential social infrastructure. 1. Add Otago Corrections Facility and community corrections activity to the list of activities in the definition of “Regionally Significant Infrastructure” as follows:	O - Horticulture NZ FS00236.023 O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.031	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><i>means:</i></p> <p><u>13. Otago Corrections Facility and community corrections activity.</u></p> <p>2. Any consequential amendments required to give effect to this relief.</p>			
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.010	Regionally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	<p>Amend as follows</p> <p>Sub – clause (2):</p> <p>“means:</p> <p>....</p> <p>(2) electricity sub – transmission infrastructure <u>and significant electricity distribution infrastructure.</u></p> <p>....”</p>	O - Horticulture NZ FS00236.024	Accept	We accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
Business South Inc	00408.016	Regionally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	Amend to clarify how new or expanded infrastructure get included in the definition(s) without having to do a plan change	O - Dunedin International Airport Limited FS00316.023	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report
Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	00307.001	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	<p>Amend as follows</p> <p>Drafting is amended as follows:</p> <p>“Means Includes”</p> <p>.....</p> <p><u>(6) airports and aerodromes and their ancillary infrastructure, including the following airports: Dunedin, Queenstown, Wanaka, Alexandra, Balclutha, Cromwell, Oamaru, Taieri</u></p> <p>.....”</p>	<p>S - Horticulture NZ FS00236.022</p> <p>O - Queenstown Airport Corporation Ltd FS00313.003</p> <p>O - Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.043</p> <p>O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.032</p>	Reject	We do not accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
Director-General of Conservation	00137.015	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Insert the following or words to like effect in Clause 10: “community stormwater infrastructure <u>servicing no fewer than 25 households</u>” - Delete Clause 12. “Otago Regional Council’s hazard mitigation works including flood protection infrastructure and drainage schemes-” 		Reject	We do not accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Dunedin City Council	00139.007	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clause (1) Replace 'One Network Road Classification' with 'One Network Framework'. - Clauses (9) – (11) and/or provide additional definitions (e.g. a definition of 'community drinking water supply') to give greater clarity. - Include: (13) landfills 	S - Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.006 O - Big Stone Forest Limited FS00603.008 O - Dunedin International Airport Limited FS00316.011 O - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.074	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.008	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows or similar: "Regionally Significant infrastructure means: (1) roads classified as being of regional importance in accordance with the One Network Road Classification, ⁷ (2) electricity sub – transmission infrastructure, (3) renewable electricity generation facilities that connect with the local distribution network but not including renewable electricity generation facilities designed and operated principally for supplying a single premise or facility, (4) telecommunication and radiocommunication facilities, (5) facilities for public transport hubs, including terminals and stations, (6) the following airports: Dunedin, Queenstown, Wanaka, Alexandra, Balclutha, Cromwell, Oamaru, Taieri. (7) navigation infrastructure associated with airports and commercial ports which are nationally or regionally significant, (8) defence facilities, (9) community potable water systems drinking water abstraction, supply treatment and distribution infrastructure that provides no fewer than 25 households with drinking water for not less than 90 days each calendar year, and community water supply abstraction, treatment and distribution infrastructure (excluding delivery systems or infrastructure primarily deployed for the delivery of water for irrigation of land or rural agricultural drinking – water supplies) (10) community stormwater and land drainage infrastructure, (11) wastewater and sewage collection, treatment and disposal infrastructure serving no fewer than 25 households, and (12) Otago Regional Council's hazard mitigation works including flood protection infrastructure and drainage schemes and (13) <u>Established community – scale irrigation and stockwater infrastructure.</u> "	S - Horticulture NZ FS00236.012 S - Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited FS00213.001 O - Director-General of Conservation FS00137.001 O - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.094 O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.033 O - Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.039	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.008	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	Add new (13) to the Definition – Regionally significant infrastructure as follows: <u>(13) infrastructure necessary to enable the operation of regionally significant industry.</u>	S - Oceana Gold FS00115.040 S - Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.124 O - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.146 O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.034	Reject	We do not accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.034	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: Reword clause 5 to restrict the public transport facilities included in the definition to facilities that serve a regionally significant function and that are not readily relocatable.	S - Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.034	Accept in part	We accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
Network Waitaki Limited	00320.001	Regionally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: “... (2) electricity sub – transmission infrastructure <u>and significant electricity distribution infrastructure</u> ...”	O - Horticulture NZ FS00236.025	Accept	We accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.007	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	- Amend as follows: “means: <u>all infrastructure identified as nationally significance infrastructure,</u> (2) roads classified as being of regional importance in accordance with the One Network Road Classification...” As a consequential change, assuming other changes to definitions requested in these submissions are adopted, delete (7)	S - Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.016	Accept in part	We accept this submission point in part, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
PowerNet Ltd	00511.001	Regionally Significant Infrastructure	Amend	Amend as follows: Retain definition subject to amending clause (2) as follows: “... (2) electricity sub- transmission infrastructure <u>and significant electricity distribution infrastructure</u> ...”	O - Horticulture NZ FS00236.026	Accept	We accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.106	Regionally significant infrastructure	Amend	Amend to add as follows: <u>“(13) Municipal landfills and associated solid waste sorting and transfer facilities.”</u>	S - Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.041 O - Big Stone Forest Limited FS00603.009	Accept	We accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the main Recommendations report (EIT Chapter).

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					O - Dunedin International Airport Limited FS00316.019 O - Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.393 O - Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.035		

INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL PROVISIONS

Introduction

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
OWRUG	00235.006	General	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Appropriately record the significant role of the Food and Fibre Sector</u> • <u>Identify that the region is nationally recognised for its unique productive capacity and place in the national food and fibre supply network.</u> • <u>Identify that the unique climatic conditions create unique opportunities for the food and fibre sector, particularly horticulture and fine wool production.</u> <p><u>Recognise the importance of efficient irrigation and water storage to the production of food and fibre</u></p>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.007	Accept in Oupart	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Te Ao Mārama	00223.009	General	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Incorporate reference to Te Ākau Tai Toka, the Catlins area, with the Coast and Natural Character and Landscapes descriptions as there is no mention of this significant part of the region. - Amend second paragraph, final sentence as follows: “...Pomēahaka catchment ...”, and “...Wāānaka ...” with a macron, and all instances where Wānaka is mentioned in the document should be so amended. 		Accept in part	Some aspects of the requests here have been adopted in various amendments recommended to be made in the PORPS.
Director-General of Conservation	00137.004	New provision	Amend	Insert a new section headed “Land” which incorporates key information on terrestrial ecosystems from the Wildlands reports 2020a and 2020b (good summary information is included in the Executive Summaries).	S Federated Farmers FS00239.008 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.054	Accept in part	The request made is too general but aspects of it have been recommended by the s.42A report which is accepted.
Hopkins, Jim	00420.001	Foreword or mihi	Amend	Amend the Forward to include the concept of the human ecosystem as part of the wider environment and acknowledge that use of the environment for human benefit is legitimate and should be enabled within parameters that allow development, modification, enhancement and reinstatement.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.055	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Hopkins, Jim	00420.004	Foreword or mihi	Amend	Amend phrase “Mana whenua and ORC have faced this planning challenge together” to include and acknowledge the other people, communities and organisations who have been involved.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island	00231.013	Foreword or mihi	Amend	Reword and correct the focus of the Foreword to cover the totality of the natural and built environments [specific relief not stated]	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.057	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Fish & Game Council							
Te Ao Marama	00223.007	Foreword or mihi	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Remove the phrase “in Otago and Southland” from the fourth line of the first paragraph - Amend the long term vision wording, as follows: “...and supporting...” - Amend the last line of the second to last paragraph, as follows: “... habitat <u>in a way</u> that ...” - Space required in first line of the first paragraph – “...identify_our...” Space required in first line of the second paragraph – “...is_hardly...”		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Herlihy, Gavan James	00104.001	Foreword or mihi	Support	Retain “to create a future of opportunity and security for all of us.”		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and amendments have been made in response to other submissions
WAI Wanaka	00222.002	Foreword or mihi	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.003	Purpose	Amend	Retain the acknowledgement of the need to for the PORPS to enable a community (social, economic and cultural) growth and development. Extend the section as necessary to reflect the philosophy and approach of the PORPS as sought in this submission.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.058 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.086	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but note that amendments have been made in response to other submissions which may address parts of this general request
Hopkins, Jim	00420.002	Purpose	Amend	Amend Paragraph 2 of the Purpose to read: The Otago Regional Policy Statement (ORPS) provides policy framework that aims to achieve long-term environmental <u>and social</u> sustainability by integrating the protection, restoration, enhancement and use of Otago’s natural and resources <u>with the sustaining of communities and their well-being</u> .	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.056	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but note that amendments have been made in response to other submissions which may address parts of this general request
Hopkins, Jim	00420.005	Purpose	Amend	Amend phrase “Regional and district plans must give effect to the ORPS” to allow this to be exercised in a measured and moderate way which allows TLAs a reasonable discretion so that the requirement “to give effect” is achieved in a way best suited to the particular circumstances of the any particular Council. Provide more flexibility and nuance with the requirements set out in the ORPS.		Reject	The RMA sets the requirements in this regard.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.007	Purpose	Amend	Amend as follows: “The ORPS responds to identified significant regional values and resource management issues relating to Otago’s environment, historic heritage, economy, <u>food production</u> , recreational opportunities and communities.”	S NZ Pork FS00240.003 S Federated Farmers FS00239.009 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.059	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.001	Purpose	Amend	Amend to identify an additional regionally significant value and resource management issue relating to Otago’s food production capacity.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.010 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.001	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Te Ao Mārama	00223.008	Purpose	Amend	Amend second to last sentence, as follows: “..., as well as relevant national direction instruments and , and is informed by iwi authority planning documents.”		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.008	Purpose	Amend	Amend as follows: Change the tenor of the foreword and purpose to truly reflect the process of ecological breakdown that is unfolding around us on a planetary scale and which NZ is part of and hence, the profound nature of the transformation required by all to avert catastrophic harm and suffering within the lifetime of those already living. To set the scene it would be appropriate to list the premises accepted (effectively a positioning statement) as the basis for this RPS. We recommend the following be adopted <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>That there is a fundamental contradiction between economic growth and sustainability that must be resolved if sustainable management of natural and physical resources is to be achieved.</u> • <u>The ecological core of sustainability dictates that resolution relies in changing the nature of our economy’s largely exploitative relationship with the environment to one of conservation and cycling resources under a “fair share” rather than “more” philosophy.</u> • <u>There are myriad signs that safe environmental limits are already met or overshoot and that we have limited time to reverse the growing damage and looming climate catastrophe.</u> • <u>While climate change is a pressing issue that needs resolution, it is never – the – less, just a</u> 	S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.008 O Federated Farmers FS00239.011 O Horticulture NZ FS00236.002 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.061	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 31 of the Chapter 2 Introduction and general provisions report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>symptom of a socio – economic system that has got too far out of step with the biophysical processes and ecological systems</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>A level of climate change is already locked in, so adaptation to and mitigation are necessary. However, unless we actually address its cause – in large part due to our excessive use of fossil fuels – then our efforts to secure wellbeing for our environment and citizenry will ultimately come to naught as conditions overwhelm our capacity to adapt.</u> • <u>As the way we do things is responsible for climate change the way we do things will need to change if we are to fix it. Logically, this involves better managing ourselves to accommodate the environment, rather than better managing the environment to accommodate us.</u> • <u>The climate threat level is such now that incremental change is no long sufficient – systems – level interventions will be required. Such transformation cannot be expected to occur without a significant shift in our current modes and enterprise.</u> • <u>Communities will need to identify and work within the biophysical capacity of a district and region, and account for planetary boundaries, in a way that also supports the well – being of present generations without compromising the wellbeing of future generations</u> • <u>This set of circumstances requires a new kind of RPS – one that is more directive in its outcomes, removes barriers to magnifying action, shifts the focus from revenue to resilience and which demands all of us examine to what extent our lives and activities help or hinder the transformational change required, and act accordingly.</u> • <u>Encouraging is that the actions necessary to reduce carbon emissions are, in large part, the same as those required anyway for a more sustainable, secure and respectful life going forward.</u> 			
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.001	Purpose	Oppose	<p>- Delete the following two sentences: “As a community, we in Otago are moving into an age that requires solutions to both entrenched legacy issues and significant emerging issues in order to promote positive sustainable change while also enabling the Otago community to</p>	<p>S Contact Energy Limited FS00318.003 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.003 S Oceana Gold FS00115.016</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 30 of the Chapter 2 Introduction and general provisions report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>flourish, and to enjoy all that the region has to offer. The ORPS responds to identified significant regional values and resource management issues relating to Otago's environment, historic heritage, economy, recreational opportunities and communities “</p> <p>- Reinstating the following two paragraphs from the Overview section of the partially operative RPS 2019: <u>“Continued prosperity and wellbeing is essential to ensuring the community is equipped to face the environmental, economic, cultural and social changes of the 21st century, and to provide opportunities for all people to realise their aspirations.</u> <u>A thriving and healthy natural environment is vital to sustaining our wellbeing. The RPS is a high level policy framework for the sustainable integrated management of resources, identifying regionally significant issues, the objectives and policies that direct how natural and physical resources are to be managed and setting out how this will be implemented by the region's local authorities. “</u></p>	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.060		
Herlihy, Gavan James	00104.002	Purpose	Support	Retain “ The Otago Regional Policy Statement (ORPS) provides a policy framework that aims to achieve long – term environmental sustainability by integrating the protection, restoration, enhancement, and use of Otago's natural and physical resources.”		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.002	Description of the Region	Amend	Amend as follows (or similar): “Otago's economy centres around agriculture, tourism, mineral mining, and education. <u>Agriculture is the basis of Otago's economy, and the primary production sector continues to be a major source of revenue, employment and vibrancy for the districts and wider region. Otago's 3300 farms are a key contributor towards Otago's GDP. The University of Otago enrolls approximately 20,000 students each year from around New Zealand and internationally, contributing to annual population spikes in Dunedin and significantly boosting the local economy. Tourism has also had a significant impact on the regional economy, contributing about a quarter of the region's total gross domestic product. This is the highest of any region in New Zealand, and primarily concentrated in the Queenstown Lakes District.</u> ”		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.008	Description of the Region	Amend	Amend as follows: “ <u>The region is nationally recognised for its unique productive capacity and place in the national food supply network.</u> ”	S NZ Pork FS00240.004 S Federated Farmers FS00239.012	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.002	Description of the Region	Amend	Amend to describe Otago’s food production capacity.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.013	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.006	Description of the Region	Amend	Amend as follows: ... Tourism has also hasd a significant impact on the regional economy, contributing about a quarter of the region’s total gross domestic product...		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Waitaki District Council	00140.001	Description of the Region	Amend	- Amend Para 5 to use generic descriptor “primary production” to replace agriculture and mining references – horticulture and viticulture are included within the generic primary production definition. Include reference to North Otago alongside South Otago and Central Otago, or use a generic reference to “rural Otago”	S Oceana Gold FS00115.045	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.011	Description of the Region	Amend	Amend paragraph 5 of the description of the region as follows: ... Tourism <u>has</u> also hasd a significant impact on the regional economy, contributing about a quarter of the region’s total gross domestic product...		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.003	Coast	Amend	Amend as follows or similar: “The Otago coastline stretches for 480 km and is extremely diverse, encompassing pebble and sandy beaches, basalt formations, dune systems, eelgrass and saltmarshes, estuaries, rolling downlands and striking cliff heads, <u>alongside working farms.</u> ”		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	00123.008	Coast	Amend	Amend Description of the Region – Coast as follows: Coastal erosion and the decline of the regional coastline is well documented, posing a long – term threat to residential and commercial coastal developments <u>and historic heritage, particularly wāhi tūpuna.</u>		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Marama	00223.010	Coast	Amend	First paragraph under Coast heading, second to last sentence, amend each instance of “harbor” to “harbour”, and all instances where this is mentioned in the document.		Accept	Minor correction to spelling.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.012	Coast	Amend	Amend paragraph 1 of the Coast section of description of the region as follows: ... Otago Harbor Harbour is the region's only commercial freight handling harbor harbour , however commercial fishing ramps fleet are present in <u>Careys Bay</u> , Oamaru, Moeraki, Karitāne, and Taieri Mouth.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.012	Coast	Not stated/unclear	There do not appear to be any policies relating to commercial fishing ramps (or any other) industry infrastructure. There are other important fishing areas along the Otago Coast, such as where harvesters dive for pāua – certain areas are important for commercial fishers, but also for recreational and customary fishers. We request that the Council involve the fishing industry and FNZ when policies are developed that affect industry infrastructure and fishing areas. There are also recreational fishing bodies (such as the Tautuku Club based on the Otago Peninsula) who should be consulted.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.011	Coast	Support	Retain reference to commercial fishing ramps present in Oamaru, Moeraki, Karitāne, and Taieri Mouth (p7).		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but note that amendments have been made in response to other submissions.
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.007	Waterbodies	Amend	Amend as follows: Otago's landscapes are diverse. Moving inland from Otago's diverse and varied coastline, the landscapes change dramatically. Rolling plains separated by mountain ranges, steep hillsides of tussock, and deep gorges make up a lot of South and Central Otago. This <i>land</i> is dissected by flowing bodies of water, towering mountainscapes, and fascinating geological formations. Modified landscapes encompassing farmland and remnants of the region's early gold mining activity are ever – present, creating a rich sense of heritage and regional identity. <u>There is a tremendous amount of unmodified land in our National Parks and Conservation Parks.</u>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 64.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.013	Natural character and landscapes	Amend	Amend paragraph 1 of the Natural character and landscapes section of description of the region as follows: ... creating a rich sense of heritage and regional identity. <u>There is a tremendous amount of unmodified</u>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 64.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S- Support O- Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>land in our National Parks and other Public Conservation Land Parks.</u>			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.008	Urban Form	Amend	Amend as follows: Urbanised areas in Otago occupy only about 1% of total <i>land</i> area, however 87% of people live in urban settlements. Dunedin is Otago's largest urban area, surrounded by hills and harbor, and has a large suburban area and commuter catchment especially to the south, with more recent expansion moving out to connect with an expanding Mosgiel. The Queenstown Lakes District population is approximately 91% urban. Its outstanding landscape has historically determined , and will continue to <u>influence urban growth</u> determine, how urban form develops.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 68.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.014	Urban Form	Amend	Amend paragraph 1 of the Urban Form section of description of the region as follows: ..., surrounded by hills and harbor <u>harbour</u> , and has a large suburban area and commuter catchment especially to the south, with more recent expansion moving out to connect with an expanding Mosgiel. The Queenstown Lakes District population is approximately 91% urban. Its outstanding landscape has historically determined , and will continue to <u>influence urban growth</u> determine, how urban form develops.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report but note that amendments have been made in response to other submissions

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Central Otago Heritage Trust	00212.003	General	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
Director-General of Conservation	00137.018	General	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.010	General	Support	Integration for those involved in resource management need to coordinate their policies, plans and actions	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.303	Accept in part	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.015b	General	Amend	Set up a workstream between primary sector representatives and Kāi Tahu to develop understandings and practical ways to improve and ensure appropriate access.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.146	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
Te Ao Mārama	00223.023	General	Amend	Retain the content of this chapter, subject to the amendments outlined below.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.003	General	Amend	Retain with amendments as sought below.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
Hopkins, Jim	00420.009	New – Provision	Amend	Clarify how potential conflict either between mana whenua/rūnaka groups or the various roles and responsibilities of <i>mana whenua</i> may be managed in relation to planning decision making processes.	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.195	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report and consider conflict resolution within mana whenua groups is for them to resolve applying their own tikanga.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.006	New – Provision	Amend	Amend as follows: Following from the Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998 (NTCSA 1998) section, insert the following: <u>“Māori Commercial Aquaculture Claims Settlement Act 2004</u>	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.153	Accept	We have recommended relevant amendments in this chapter and in the CE chapter to that effect

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>The Māori Commercial Aquaculture Claims Settlement Act 2004 provides full and final settlement of Māori commercial aquaculture claims since 21 September 1992. Settlement is delivered via Regional Aquaculture Agreements (RAA) which may describe areas to be provided to iwi for the purposes of commercial aquaculture. Any future Settlement outcomes will need to be provided for in Regional and District plans.</u>			
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.009	Recognition of hapū and iwi	Amend	Delete reference to external webpages from the RPS as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • https://www.terunangaomoeraki.org/... • http://www.puketeraki.nz/... • http://www.otakourunaka.co.nz/... • https://www.hokonuirunanga.org.nz/ ... 		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons in the s.42A report.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.039	Recognition of hapū and iwi	Amend	Amend as follows: Kāi Tahu <u>whānui</u> are takata whenua of the Otago region... ... resource use and ahikāroa (the long burning fires of occupation). Te Rūnaka <u>Rūnanga</u> o Ngāi Tahu... ... Four Three Papatipu Rūnaka <u>papatipu rūnaka</u> are based in Otago... Three Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku Rūnaka <u>Four further papatipu rūnaka</u> ...	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.045	Accept	Nomenclature for mana whenua should accord with their usages.
Te Ao Mārama	00223.024	Recognition of hapū and iwi	Amend	- Amend the second paragraph as follows: “ Four Three Kāi Tahu ki Otago Papatipu Rūnaka have marae based in Otago. These are Te Rūnanga o Moeraki, Kāti Huirapa Rūnaka ki Puketeraki, <u>and</u> Te Rūnanga o Ōtākou, and whilst the fourth, Hokonui Rūnanga, <u>is based in neighbouring Southland.</u> ” Consider deleting Footnote 8.	-	- Accept in part	Nomenclature for mana whenua should accord with their usage
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.011	Environmental management perspectives and values of Kāi Tahu	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.012	Environmental management perspectives and values of Kāi Tahu	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.040	Environmental management perspectives and values of Kāi Tahu	Amend	Amend as follows: ... <u>In the spirit of this partnership and the Under the articles and principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi, Treaty</u>	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.046	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>principles the ORPS seeks to facilitate Kāi Tahu engagement in resource management <u>processes and decision – making</u> in Otago, as a Treaty partner.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Kāi Tahu do not see their existence as separate from Te Ao Tūroa <u>te ao tūroa</u>, the natural world... Whakapapa is central to Te Ao <u>te ao</u> Māori (a Māori worldview), ... It is through whakapapa that all things are intricately linked...</p> <p>...</p> <p>... The nurturing of all taoka and protection of their mauri is a prime concern and a kaitiaki <u>significant</u> obligation for Kāi Tahu <u>whānui as mana whenua and mana moana</u>, and as an expression of rakatirataka.</p> <p>... This pPolitical and operational authority over an area is undertaken by Kāi Tahu <u>mana whenua and encompasses kaitiakitaka and rakatirataka as an expression of rakatirataka, mana whenua, and mana moana</u>. The exercise of these powers in te taiao is <u>through the action of kaitiakitaka</u>. <u>An integral element of recognising kaitiakitaka and Recognition of the rakatirataka and mana of Kāi Tahu as kaitiaki whenua can in part, be achieved by is the recognition that Kāi Tahu have their own traditional means of enabling Kāi Tahu to identify and exercise their preferred means of managing and maintaining resources and the environment te taiao.</u></p> <p>Rakatirataka is about having <u>refers to the exercise of mana or authority to give effect to Kāi Tahu culture and traditions across all spheres in their takiwā, including the management of the natural world te taiao.</u></p> <p>The resources in any given area are a <u>taoka; they are a source of prestige for mana whenua of that area and are a statement of their identity...</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>Kaitiakitaka means <u>refers to</u> the exercise of guardianship over natural and physical resources and includes. <u>It is an expression of rakatirataka and mana</u>, and includes the ethic of stewardship...</p> <p>... kaitiakitaka is not passive custodianship, nor is it simply the exercise of traditional <u>customary</u> property rights, but it entails an active exercise of responsibility <u>and rakatirataka in a manner beneficial to the resource to ensure long-term sustainability of resources as taoka, and for the benefit to future generations – mō tātou, ā, mō kā uri a muri ake nei.</u></p> <p>... Taoka are treasured resources that are highly valued by Kāi Tahu, derived from the atua (gods), <u>linked to the</u></p>	<p>S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.012 <input type="radio"/></p> <p>Federated Farmers FS00239.054 <input type="radio"/> Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.147</p>		<p>reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.</p>

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>people through whakapapa, and left by the tūpuna (ancestors) to provide for and sustain life...</p> <p>.. Maintaining mahika kai sites, gathering resources, and continuing to practice the tikaka that governs each resource, is an important means of <u>maintaining and honouring whakapapa connections to land, taoka and tūpuna, and passing on cultural values and mātauraka to the next generation.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>... Pollution in the air and atmosphere adversely affects and <u>degrades the mauri of this taoka, of te taiao, and of other taoka such as plants and animals. Poor air quality damages and degrades ancestral lands, mahika kai sites, and other sites such as rock art, adversely affecting the mauri of the landscape and the mana of the people.</u></p> <p>...</p> <p><u>The tūpuna of Kāi Tahu were great ocean travellers. Like many other Pacific peoples, Kāi Tahu are connected by whakapapa to those people who spread across Te Moana Nui a Kiwa, the Pacific Ocean. Takaroa is the atua who is central to these beliefs, which influence the way Kāi Tahu relate to and manage marine resources associated with the oceans and seas, and their ecosystems. The marine environment is a moving force, a reminder of the power of Takaroa. As one of the children of Rakinui and Papatūānuku, Kāi Tahu are connected to Takaroa by whakapapa, affording rights and responsibilities in relation to te takutai moana.</u></p> <p><u>The tūpuna of Kāi Tahu were great ocean travelers, having navigated by waka across Te Moana – nui – a – Kiwa, the Pacific Ocean for generations before settling in Te Wai Pounamu. Knowledge and practices brought with these were adapted to meet the challenges and opportunities of the new environment. Over time, Kāi Tahu whānui developed the tikaka and mātauraka of takutai moana and mahika kaimoana that is used today.</u></p>			
Te Ao Mārama	00223.025	Environmental management perspectives and values of Kāi Tahu	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: In the final sentence of the first paragraph include a comma: “...and the Treaty principles, the ORPS ...”</p>		Accept	A minor error corrected.
Te Ao Mārama	00223.026	Environmental management perspectives and values of Kāi Tahu	Amend	<p>Amend the first sentence under the heading ‘Rakatirataka’, as follows: “Rakatirataka is about having the mana and authority to give effect to that <u>enable</u> Kāi Tahu cultural and traditions <u>to be given effect to</u> in the management of the natural world.”</p>	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.449	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.013	Resources of Significance to Kai Tahu	Amend	Amend PORPS to recognise Kai Tahu's fishing interests and rights beyond just customary ones – they encompass customary, commercial and recreational fishing.	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.043	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report and note that the FA and the RMA address differing resources.
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.004	Resources of significance to Kāi Tahu	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.041	Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998	Amend	Amend spelling as follows: Waipori <u>Waipōuri</u> Taiari <u>Taiari</u> Wakatipu <u>Whakatipu – wai – māori</u> Waikouaiti <u>Waikōuaiti</u> Ōtakou <u>Ōtākou</u> Purakaunui <u>Pūrākaunui</u> Karitane <u>Karitāne</u> urupā <u>urupā</u>		Accept	Nomenclature for locations named by mana whenua should accord with their usage
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.005	Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998	Amend	Amend as follows: Nohoaka: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'Waitaki River (two sites) • Waianakarua River • Taieri River (three sites) • Lake Hāwea (three sites) • Hāwea River • Lake Wānaka (two sites) • Lake Wakatipu • Shotover River (two sites) • Mata – au Clutha River (<u>four sites</u>)" Amend list of Native Reserves to add: <u>"Hawea and Wānaka (Wanaka Plantation Reserve), known as Sticky Forest SILNA"</u>	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.466	Accept in part	Nomenclature for locations named by mana whenua or relevant directly to them should accord with their usage. The s.42A reports adopted that approach but some minor wording changes particularly as to reserve descriptions were recommended which we accepted.
Cain whānau	00010.002	Māori Land Reserves	Amend	Retain the list of Māori Land Reserves and amend to include land subject to be returned to landowners under ancillary claim provisions.	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.118	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.042	Mana whenua – local authority relationships	Amend	Amend spelling as follows: Hapu <u>Hapū</u> and iwi planning documents		Accept	A minor correction as to use of a tohutō or macron.
Te Ao Mārama	00223.027	Mana whenua – local authority relationships	Amend	- Amend bullet point three as follows: “ <u>He Huarahi mō Ngā Uri Whakatupu – Charter of Understanding signed with 2016 between Te Ao Mārama Inc. Incorporated, representing Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku, and Southland Rūnanga councils,</u> - Amend the final sentence, as follows: “...and Hokonui Rūnanga) and the local authorities, including Otago Regional Council, and Queenstown Lakes District Council and Clutha District Council. are signatories to Huarahi mō Ngā Uri Whakatupu as it applies in their areas of jurisdiction. ”	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.445	Accept	Correction for accuracy sake
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.043	Involvement and participation with mana whenua	Amend	Amend spelling as follows: Papatipu Rūnaka <u>papatipu rūnaka</u> Ōamaru <u>Ōamaru</u>		Accept	Correction for accuracy
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.024	Involvement and participation with mana whenua	Amend	You cannot delegate under s33 to an iwi, you can only transfer. Needs to refer to s 34A for delegation as well as s33 (for transfer). Correct phraseology		Accept	Correction for accuracy
Director-General of Conservation	00137.019	MW – O1	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report
Dunedin City Council	00139.009	MW – O1	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.010	MW – O1	Amend	Amend as follows: “The principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are given effect taken into account in resource management processes and decisions, utilising a partnership approach between councils and Papatipu Rūnaka to ensure that what is valued by mana whenua is actively protected in the region <u>Kāi Tahu values, interests and customary resources are recognised and provided for</u> ”	S Rayonier Matariki Forests FS00020.015 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.095	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.011	MW – O1	Amend	Substitute the words “give effect to” with “ <u>take into account</u> ”.	S Silver Fern Farms FS00221.010 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.147	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Hopkins, Jim	00420.008	MW – O1	Amend	Amend as follows The principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are given effect in resource management processes and decisions, utilising a <u>collaborative or partnership approach</u> between councils and Papatipu Rūnaka <u>mana whenua</u> to ensure that <u>agreed what is valued by mana whenua values are</u> actively protected in the region.	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.196	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.044	MW – O1	Amend	Amend as follows: MW – O1 – Principles <u>and articles</u> of Te Tiriti o Waitangi The principles <u>and articles</u> of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are given effect in resource management processes and decisions, utilising a partnership approach between councils and Papatipu Rūnaka <u>papatipu rūnaka</u> to ensure that what is valued by mana whenua is actively protected in the region.	○ Federated Farmers FS00239.055	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.02	MW – O1	Amend	Retain and clarify whether the PORPS 2021 is practically able to give effect to the Treaty of Waitangi.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and recognise the Treaty principle of active protection
OWRUG	00235.015	MW – O1	Amend	Amend as follows; The principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are <u>taken into account by Local Authorities</u> in resource management processes and decisions, utilising a partnership approach between with Papatipu Rūnaka to <u>support Kai Tahu Values and Resources of significance.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.056 ○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.342	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.028	MW – O1	Amend	Amend as follows: “The principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are <u>given effect to applied</u> in resource management processes and decisions, utilising a partnership approach between councils and Papatipu Rūnaka to ensure that what is valued by mana whenua, <u>taoka tuku iho</u> , is actively protected in the region.”		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.007	MW – O1	Amend	Amend as follows: “Promote awareness and understanding of the obligations of local authorities in regard to the principles <u>and articles</u> of Te Tiriti o Waitangi, tikaka Māori and kaupapa Māori.”	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.467	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.023	MW – O1	Amend	Amend as follows: The principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are given effect in resource management processes and decisions, utilising a partnership approach between councils and Papatipu Rūnaka to ensure that what is valued by mana whenua is	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.566	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				actively protected considered in <u>decision – making the region.</u>			
Wilson, Terry	00419.002	MW – O1	Amend	Amend all mentions of the requirement to “give effect to the principles of the Treaty”. Instead require that The Treaty is “considered” in determining resource management decisions.	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.582	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wilson, Terry	00419.004	MW – O1	Amend	Restrict the areas of influence of Kai Tahu and the other tribes to the Māori land reserves and property that they own.	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.583	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.020	MW – P1	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Dunedin City Council	00139.010	MW – P1	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.045	MW – P1	Amend	Amend as follows: Promote awareness and understanding of the obligations of local authorities in regard to the principles <u>and articles</u> of Te Tiriti o Waitangi, tikaka Māori and kaupapa Māori.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.021	MW – P2	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Dunedin City Council	00139.011	MW – P2	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wilson, Terry	00419.005	MW – P2	Oppose	Delete	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.584	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.011	MW – P2	Amend	- Delete as proposed or - Replace it with Policy 2.1.2 (Treaty principles) of the partially operative Otago RPS (and a new ‘j’) as follows: “ <u>Ensure that local authorities exercise their functions and powers, by:</u>	S Silver Fern Farms FS00221.011 ○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.096	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>a) <u>Recognising Kāi Tahu’s status as a Treaty partner; and</u> b) <u>Involving Kāi Tahu in resource management processes implementation;</u> c) <u>Taking into account Kāi Tahu values in resource management decision – making processes and implementation;</u> d) <u>Recognising and providing for the relationship of Kāi Tahu’s culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taoka;</u> e) <u>Ensuring Kāi Tahu have the ability to:</u> i. <u>Identify their relationship with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taoka;</u> ii. <u>Determine how best to express that relationship;</u> f) <u>Having particular regard to the exercise of Kāitiakitaka;</u> g) <u>Ensuring that district and regional plans:</u> i. <u>Give effect to the Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998;</u> ii. <u>Recognise and provide for statutory acknowledgement areas in Schedule 2;</u> iii. <u>Provide for other areas in Otago that are recognised as significant to Kāi Tahu;</u> h) <u>Taking into account iwi management plans; and</u> i) <u>Involve Kāi Tahu in freshwater management in line with requirements in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020, section 3.4. “</u></p>			
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.013	MW – P2	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: Local authorities exercise their functions and powers in accordance with <u>taking into account</u> Treaty principles by: ...</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Hopkins, Jim	00420.011	MW – P2	Amend	Clarify the obligations set out in this policy, particularly the role of councils and how conflicting interests or concerns can be addressed in relation to the ‘give effect to’ principles.	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.197	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.046	MW – P2	Amend	Amend as follows: MW – P2 – Treaty principles <u>and articles</u>	○ Te Ao Mārama FS00223.013	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>Local authorities exercise their functions and powers in accordance with Treaty principles <u>the articles and principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi</u>, by:</p> <p>(1) recognising the status of Kāi Tahu <u>as mana whenua and mana moana</u> and facilitating Kāi Tahu involvement in decision – making as a Treaty <u>partner under Te Tiriti o Waitangi</u>,</p> <p>(2) including Kāi Tahu in resource management processes, and implementation and decision – making <u>to the extent desired by mana whenua</u>,</p> <p>(3) ...</p> <p>(4) recognising and providing for the relationship of Kāi Tahu culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, <u>wai encompassing wai māori and wai tai, significant sites, wāhi tūpuna, wāhi tapu and wāhi taoka</u>, and other taoka by ensuring that Kāi Tahu have the ability to identify these relationships and determine how best to express them,</p> <p>(5) ...</p> <p>(6) having particular regard to <u>the responsibility of ability of Kāi Tahu to exercise their role as kaitiakitaka as an expression of mana and rakatirataka</u>,</p> <p>(7) actively pursuing opportunities for:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) delegation of transfer of function to Kāi Tahu, and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) partnership or joint management <u>under Section 33 of the Resource Management Act or any successor legislation</u>, and taking into account <u>having particular regard to iwi management plans when making resource management decisions.</u></p>			
Te Ao Mārama	00223.029	MW – P2	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: “... (3) recognising and providing for Kai Tahu values, and <u>addressing resource management issues of significance to Kāi Tahu</u>, as identified by mana whenua, in resource management processes and plan implementation, ...”</p>	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.446	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.008	MW – P2	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: MW – P2 – Treaty principles Local authorities exercise their functions and powers in accordance with Treaty principles <u>and articles</u>, by:</p> <p>(1) recognising the status of Kāi Tahu and facilitating Kāi Tahu involvement in decision – making as a Treaty partner,</p>	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.468	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and Reply Report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>(2) including Kāi Tahu in resource management processes and implementation to the extent desired by mana whenua,</p> <p>(3) recognising and providing for Kāi Tahu values and resource management issues, as identified by mana whenua, in resource management decision – making processes and plan implementation,</p> <p>(4) recognising and providing for the relationship of Kāi Tahu culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taoka by ensuring that Kāi Tahu have the ability to identify these relationships and determine how best to express them,</p> <p>(5) ensuring that regional and district plans recognise and provide for Kāi Tahu relationships with Statutory Acknowledgement Areas, tōpuni, nohoaka and customary fisheries identified in the NTCSA 1998, including by actively protecting the mauri of these areas,</p> <p>(6) <u>ensuring that regional and district plans recognise and provide for aquaculture Settlement outcomes identified under the Māori Commercial Aquaculture Claims Settlement Act 2004</u></p> <p>(6) (7) having particular regard to the ability of Kāi Tahu to exercise kaitiakitaka,</p> <p>(7) (8) actively pursuing opportunities for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. delegation or transfer of functions to Kāi Tahu, and b. partnership or joint management arrangements, and <p>(8) (9) taking into account iwi management plans when making resource management decisions and</p> <p>(9) (10) <u>recognising and providing for mātauraka Tahu and tikaka Tahu in environmental and resource management.</u></p>			
Director-General of Conservation	00137.022	MW – P3	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Dunedin City Council	00139.012	MW – P3	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.012	MW – P3	Amend	Adopt MW – P3(2) and (3). Amend MW – P3(1) to align with Policy 2.2.1 of the partially operative Otago RPS as follows: “(1) <u>Recognise and provide for Kāi Tahu’s customary uses and cultural values</u> “	S Silver Fern Farms FS00221.012 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.097	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.047	MW – P3	Amend	Amend as follows: MW – P3 – Supporting <u>the hauora of Kāi Tahu well-being</u> The natural environment is managed to support <u>the hauora of Kāi Tahu well-being</u> by: (1) protecting customary uses, Kāi Tahu values and relationships of Kāi Tahu <u>as identified by Kāi Tahu</u> . to resources and areas of significance, and restoring these uses and values where they have been degraded by human activities, (2) safeguarding the mauri and life-supporting capacity of natural resources, <u>recognising the whakapapa connections of Kāi Tahu with these resources as taoka, and the connections to practices such as mahika kai</u> , and working with Kāi Tahu to incorporate mātauraka in resource management <u>processes and decision – making</u> .	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.047 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.014	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.016	MW – P3	Amend	Amend as follows; <u>Natural and Physical resources</u> are managed to support Kāi Tahu well-being by: 1. protecting customary uses, Kāi Tahu values and relationships of Kāi Tahu to resources and areas of significance, and <u>enhancing</u> these uses and values where they have been degraded by human activities, <u>safeguarding health and well-being of natural resources so as to provide for the mauri of these resources</u> , and;	S Federated Farmers FS00239.057 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.343	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.030	MW – P3	Amend	Consider amending to substitute the word ‘in’ with ‘into’ in sub – clause (3)		Accept	A minor correction in language
Director-General of Conservation	00137.023	MW – P4	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Cain whānau	00010.004	MW – P4	Amend	Retain and amend MW – P4 as follows: <u>MW–P4 – Sustainable Protection, development and use of Māori land and resources</u> <u>Kāi Tahu are able to protect, subdivide, occupy, develop, and utilise protect, develop and use land and resources</u>	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.048 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.119 O Queenstown Lakes	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the Reply Report as well as the legal section of the Introduction to this

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>within native reserves and land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 <u>for the benefit of its owners, their whānau, and their hapū in a way consistent with their culture and traditions and economic, cultural and social aspirations, including for papakāika, marae and marae-related activities, while:</u></p> <p><u>(1) recognising and providing for the primacy of ahi kā, reconnection with the whenua and continuation of mahinga kai</u></p> <p><u>(2) avoiding significant adverse effects on the health and safety of people,</u></p> <p>(3) avoiding significant <u>minimising</u> adverse effects on matters of national importance, and</p> <p><u>(4) avoiding, remedying, or mitigating other adverse effects.</u></p> <p><u>MW – P4 shall be given primacy over any other provision in this RPS.</u></p> <p>Or as an alternative to inserting the term “MW – P4 shall be given primacy over any other provision in this RPS”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include a provision which gives primacy to all MW provisions of other non – MW provisions in the RPS; or • Amend any provision necessary to ensure the owners can protect, occupy, subdivide, develop, and use their resources (inclusive of land, freshwater, coastal water and coastal marine area) to their benefit. 	District Council FS00138.033		recommendation report, but particularly note primacy or prioritisation as sought does not accord with Supreme Court decisions, and conflicts with the IM chapter objectives of seeking integrated application of all relevant policies.
Dunedin City Council	00139.013	MW – P4	Amend	Amend as follows: Clauses (1) & (3) to allow for some adverse effects while providing for the sustainable use of Māori land.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the Reply report.
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.014	MW – P4	Amend	Amend as follows: <u>(4) giving effect to Te Mana o te Wai</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.058	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note the FPI provisions of the PORPS achieve this anyway.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.048	MW – P4	Amend	Amend as follows: Kāi Tahu are able to protect, develop and use land and resources within native reserves, and land held under the Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993, <u>and land with a particular ancestral connection</u> , in a way consistent with their culture and traditions and economic, cultural and		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the Reply Report and the legal section of the Introduction to this recommendation report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				social aspirations, including for papakāika, marae and marae related activities, while: (1) avoiding adverse effects on the health and safety of people, (2) avoiding significant adverse effects on matters of national importance, and, Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating other adverse effects.			
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.009	MW – P4	Amend	Amend as follows: “Kāi Tahu whānui are able to protect, develop and use land and resources within native reserves, and land held under the Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993, <u>and land with an ancestral connection, in accordance with matauraka and tikaka, and providing for their economic, cultural and social aspirations, including for papakāika, marae and marae related activities, while:</u> (3) avoiding adverse effects on the health and safety of people, (4) avoiding significant adverse effects on matters of national importance, and (5) Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating other adverse effects.” Consequential amendments may be required elsewhere in the plan.	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.154	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the Reply Report and the Legal section of the Introduction to this recommendation report.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.008	MW – P4	Amend	Amend as follows: “Kāi Tahu are able to protect, develop and use land and resources within native reserves and land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 in a way consistent with their culture and traditions and economic, cultural and social aspirations, including for papakāika, marae and marae related activities, while: 1. avoiding adverse effects on the health and safety of people, 2. avoiding significant adverse effects on matters of national importance, <u>x. avoiding adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects, on the National Grid;</u> and 3. avoiding, remedying, or mitigating other adverse effects.”	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.485	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the Reply Report and the Legal section of the Introduction to this recommendation report but also note that other provisions have been recommended particularly in the EIT chapter which more appropriately address such issues.
Director-General of Conservation	00137.024	MW – M1	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Highton, John	00014.001	MW – M1	Support	Retain collaboration with Ngai Tahu on environmental matters.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Cain whānau	00010.005	MW – M1	Amend	Retain and amend as follows: <i>MW–M1 – Collaboration with Kāi Tahu</i> <i>Local authorities must collaborate with Kāi Tahu to:</i> <i>(1) identify and map record places, areas or landscapes of cultural, spiritual or traditional significance to them, using methods and tools meaningful to mana whenua,</i> <i>(2) protect assess such places, areas, or landscapes, and the values and tikanga that contribute to their significance and management approach,</i> <i>(3) require Te Ao Kāi Tahu paradigms and mātauraka to be included the landscape assessment and the ‘appropriate’ test</i> <i>(4) identify indigenous species and ecosystems that are taoka in accordance with ECO – M3, and</i> <i>(5) identify and map outstanding natural features, outstanding natural landscapes and seascapes, and highly valued natural features, outstanding landscapes and seascapes and record their values.</i>	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.120	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note many of the submitter’s points are addressed by amendments made at the request of other mana whenua submitters.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.013	MW – M1	Amend	Amend as follows: “Local authorities must collaborate with Kāi Tahu to: 1. identify and map places, areas or landscapes of cultural, spiritual or traditional significance to them, 2. protect such places, areas, or landscapes, and the values that contribute to their significance, 3. identify indigenous species and ecosystems that are taoka in accordance with ECO – M23, and 4. identify and map outstanding natural features, landscapes and seascapes, and highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes and record their values.”		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Highton, John	00014.002	MW – M1	Amend	Amend to provide for the substantial recognition and environmental improvement for sites identified in MW – M1.	S Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited FS00213.004	Accept in part	We adopt most of the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report with the difference that we consider some aspects of this submission request are met by amendments adopted.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.049	MW – M1	Amend	Amend as follows: MW – M1 – Collaboration with Kāi Tahu	Federated Farmers FS00239.049 (neutral)	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>Local authorities must collaborate with Kāi Tahu to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify, and map <u>and protect</u> places, areas, or landscapes, <u>waters, taoka and other elements</u> of cultural, spiritual or traditional significance to them <u>mana whenua</u>, 2. <u>determine appropriate naming for places of significance in Otago, and</u> 3. <u>share information relevant to Kāi Tahu interests.</u> 4. protect such places, areas, or landscapes, and the values that contribute to their significance, <p>identify and map outstanding natural features, landscapes and seascapes, and highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes and record their values.</p>	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.049 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.148		reasons set out in the s42A Report
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.013	MW – M1	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>“.....</p> <p>(4) identify and map outstanding natural features, landscapes and seascapes, and highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes and record their values</p> <p>....”</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Ravensdown Limited	00121.014	MW – M1	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Local authorities must collaborate with Kāi Tahu to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) identify and map places, areas or landscapes of cultural, spiritual or traditional significance to them, (2) protect such places, areas, or landscapes, and the values that contribute to their significance, <u>and</u> (3) identify indigenous species and ecosystems that are taoka in accordance with ECO – M3, <u>and</u> (4) identify and map outstanding natural features, landscapes and seascapes, and highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes and record their values. 	O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.044	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.031	MW – M1	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>“... (1) identify and map, <u>including mapping</u>, places, areas or landscapes ...</p> <p>(3) identify and map, <u>including mapping</u>, particular indigenous species and <u>associated</u> ecosystems that are taoka in accordance with ECO – M3, <u>and</u></p> <p>(4) identify and map outstanding natural features, landscapes and seascapes, and highly valued natural features, landscapes and seascapes and record their values.”</p>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Director-General of Conservation	00137.025	MW – M2	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Hopkins, Jim	00420.012	MW – M2	Amend	Amend MW – M2 (3) to read as follows: 3. develop research and monitoring programmes that incorporate mātauraka and <u>the means by which it is assessed that are jointly led by mana whenua with agreed funding</u>	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.198	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note that in the Legal section of the Introduction to this recommendation report the legal difficulties as to funding commitments are addressed.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.050	MW – M2	Amend	Amend as follows: MW – M2 – Work with Kāi Tahu Mātauraka Māori Local authorities must <u>work in partnership</u> consult with Kāi Tahu to: 1. <u>incorporate mātauraka into resource management processes,</u> 2. <u>enable use of mātauraka in decision – making where appropriate, and</u> 3. 3- develop research and monitoring programmes that incorporate mātauraka and are led by mana whenua. 1. determine appropriate naming for places of significance in Otago, and share information relevant to Kāi Tahu interests.	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.050 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.015	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.032	MW – M2	Amend	Amend as follows: “Local authorities must consult <u>work</u> with Kāi Tahu to: ...”		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.026	MW – M3	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.014	MW – M3	Amend	Amend as follows: “Involve Kāi Tahu at an early stage and throughout of <u>freshwater resource</u> management processes and implementation”	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.098	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.051	MW – M3	Amend	Amend as follows: Local authorities must develop processes to:	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.016	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				(1) ... (2) Involve Kāi Tahu at an early stage and throughout resource management processes, <u>decision – making</u> and implementation, ...			reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.033	MW – M3	Amend	Amend as follows: “...(3) facilitate efficient and effective processes for <u>prepare</u> applicants to consult with Kāi Tahu on ...”	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.447 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.149	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.027	MW – M4	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report and the Legal section of the Introduction to this recommendation report which identified the legal problems with funding commitments through an RPS or RMA plan.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.052	MW – M4	Amend	Amend title as follows: MW – M4 – Kāi Tahu involvement in resource management <u>rakatirataka</u>	Federated Farmers FS00239.060 (neutral) S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.017	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.034	MW – M4	Amend	- Amend as follows: “Local authorities must facilitate Kāi Tahu involvement in resource management (including decision – making) <u>to the extent desired by mana whenua, including by: ...</u> ” - Amend sub – clause (1) to remove the ‘s’ at the end of ‘requirement’	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.448 O Silver Fern Farms FS00221.013	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Waitaki District Council	00140.008	MW – M4	Amend	Expectations around resourcing requirements to give effect to the RPS are proportionate to the size of the local authority.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report and the Legal section of the Introduction to this recommendation report which identified the legal problems with funding commitments through an RPS or RMA plan.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Director-General of Conservation	00137.028	MW – M5	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Reply Report and the Legal section of the Introduction to this recommendation report which identified the legal problems with funding commitments through an RPS or RMA plan.
Cain whānau	00010.006	MW – M5	Amend	Retain and amend as follows: MW–M5 – Regional and district plans Local authorities must amend their regional and district plans to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Take Iwi Management Plans and resource management issues of significance to Kāi Tahu (RMIA) into account, (2) <u>Recognise Ancillary Claims in the Otago Region</u> <p>(2) provide for the <u>occupation, development and utilisation use</u> of native reserves and land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 in accordance with MW–P4, and (3) incorporate active protection of areas and resources recognised in the NTCSA 1998, <u>and act in accordance with the purpose of the redress provisions-</u> <u>When preparing plans or making decisions on applications under those plans (if applicable) MW – P4 shall be given primacy over any other provision in this RPS.</u></p>	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.121	Accept in part (as to parts retained)	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A report and the Reply Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.053	MW – M5	Amend	Amend as follows: Local authorities must amend their regional and district plans to: <i>(1) ...</i> Provide for the use of native reserves, and land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 and <u>land with a particular ancestral connection</u> in accordance with MW – P4, ...		Reject	We do not entirely accept this submission point, for the reasons outlined in the legal section of the Introduction to this Recommendation report
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.035	MW – M5	Amend	Amend as follows: “... (1) take <u>into account</u> Iwi M management Pplans and <u>address</u> resource management issues of significance to Kāi Tahu (RMIA) into account , ...		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	00234.010	MW – M5	Amend	Amend as follows: “MW – M5 – Regional and district plans	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.155	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>Local authorities must amend their regional and district plans to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) take Iwi Management Plans and resource management issues of significance to Kāi Tahu (RMIA) into account, (2) provide for the use of native reserves and land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 in accordance with MW – P4, and (3) incorporate active protection of areas and resources recognised in the NTCSA 1998-and (4) <u>set aside areas to achieve Settlement outcomes identified under the Māori Commercial Claims Aquaculture Settlement Act 2004.</u> 			reasons set out in the s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.029	MW – M6	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.054	MW – M6	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Local authorities are encouraged to ... promoting awareness and improving knowledge of tikaka and the principles <u>and articles</u> of Te Tiriti o Waitangi among staff and stakeholders, ...</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.030	MW – M7	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.055	MW – M7	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.015a	MW – M7	Oppose	Delete MW – M7		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.016	MW – E1	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>“The policies in this section are designed to achieve MW – O1 by setting out the actions that must be undertaken by local authorities to ensure the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are <u>taken into account</u> given effect in resource management processes and decisions.”</p>	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.099	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.012	MW – E1	Amend	Substitute the words “give effect to” with “ <u>take into account</u> ”.	S Silver Fern Farms FS00221.014	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.017	MW – E1	Amend	Amend as follows; ... to ensure the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are <u>taken into account</u> in resource management processes and decisions. The policies also require the development and implementation of planning tools which recognise the role of Kāi Tahu in resource management and ensure their engagement with and participation in resource management <u>that arises from a partnership approach with Local authorities.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.061 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.344	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Marama	00223.036	MW – E1	Amend	Amend as follows: “...the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi are given effect in resource management processes and decisions, <u>and what is valued by mana whenua, taoka tuku iho, is actively protected in the region.</u> The policies <u>and methods</u> also require the development and implementation of planning tools <u>and other mechanisms which that:</u> recognise the role of Kāi Tahu in resource management and ensure mana whenua engagement with and participation in resource management; <u>and achieve outcomes that provide for Kāi Tahu values and support Kāi Tahu well-being.</u> ”	O Otago Water Users Resource FS00235.150	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.017	MW – PR1	Amend	Amend as follows: “Local authorities need to incorporate Treaty principles into their decision making and ensure they are properly applied, to account for the effects of resource management decisions on Kāi Tahu values, including those described in iwi resource management plans. Deliberate measures need to be taken to ensure the principles are <u>well clearly articulated and readily understood.</u> The principles are broadly expressed, so a measure of flexibility is needed in applying them. “	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.100	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.018	MW – PR1	Amend	Amend as follows; Deliberate measures need to be taken <u>by Local Authorities</u> to ensure the principles are well understood. The principles are broadly expressed <u>which can make it difficult for people to understand their implications and</u> a measure of flexibility is needed in applying them. <u>Local authorities have an important role in facilitating and providing clarity about the implementation of the principles at a practical level.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.062 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.345	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Te Ao Mārama	00223.037	MW – PR1	Amend	Amend as follows: “Te Tiriti o Waitangi creates a special relationship between takata whenua and the Crown, <u>which the Crown expresses to an extent in the provisions of the RMA 1991 and national instruments created in accordance with the Act, including requirements of local authorities.</u> Providing for cultural well-being is a feature of the sustainable management purpose of the Act. Section 8 of the RMA 1991 Act requires, and enables Treaty principles to be taken into account applied in an appropriate way. ... Implementation of the provisions in this chapter will occur primarily, <u>but not exclusively</u> , through regional and district plan provisions. However, Local authorities may also adopt additional <u>a range of methods, utilising statutory mechanisms and non – regulatory methods,</u> to implement the policies and support achievement of the objective.”	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.450 S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.051 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.150	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.018	MW – AER1	Amend	Amend as follows: “Resource management processes and decisions reflect the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi. <u>In relation to managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources, shall take into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi)”</u>	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.101	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.056	MW – AER1	Amend	Amend as follows: Resource management processed and decisions reflect the principles <u>and articles</u> of Te Tiriti o Waitangi.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.019	MW – AER1	Amend	Amend as follows; Resource management processes and decisions <u>take into account</u> the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.063 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.346	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Hopkins, Jim	00420.010	MW – AER2	Amend	Clarify the meaning of rakatirataka and <i>kaitiakataka</i> , particularly in relation to the extent of decision-making authority in relation to taoka tuku iho (ie beyond land and resources listed in the RPS, or more widely), the role of councils, and how conflicting interests or concerns can be addressed.	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.199	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

RMIA – Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.028	RMIA – General Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.144	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.048	RMIA –General Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Retain the content of this chapter, subject to the amendments outlined below.			We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, and note amendments have been made in response to this and other submissions
Highton, John	00014.016	RMIA –General Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to include a separate section recognising the importance of being able to gather food from a healthy environment for the general community. In particular, the gathering of whitebait, trout, salmon and hunting.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.100 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.187	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.058	RMIA –General Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.059	RMIA –WAI-Wai Maori Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.060	RMIA –WAI-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.029	RMIA – Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Oppose	Delete RMIA – WAI – I1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.102 ○ Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.044 	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.061	RMIA –WAI-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Highton, John	00014.014	RMIA –WAI-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to include separate recognition regarding the current water management not meeting other cultural expectations within the community.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report, and note the issues he raises do not relate to issues of significance to iwi authorities.
Highton, John	00014.015	RMIA – WAI-I3 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Oceana Gold FS00115.061 ○ Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited FS00213.05 ○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.188 	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.062	RMIA –WAI-I3 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.049	RMIA – Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: “It represents a <u>significant loss for mana whenua and a diminishing of rakatirataka and of mana.</u> ”	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.451 ○ Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.064 	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.131	RMIA – Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend by adding “overfishing” and “pollution” as issues or reasons for loss of access.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Highton, John	00014.017	RMIA –WAI-I4 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.063	RMIA –WAI-I4 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited	00213.005	RMIA – WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified.	S New Zealand Defence Force FS00304.010 S Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.004	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Manuherehia Catchment Group	00116.005	RMIA – WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Oppose	Amend the vision, timeframe or policy so as not to completely exclude the cross mixing of water from different catchments in Otago.	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.229	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Dunedin City Council	00139.020	RMIA – WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend for consistency with other requested changes in this submission.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.030	RMIA – WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: “• Water allocation concerns: ... ○ ... continuation of inefficient <u>poor</u> methods of water use.” “• Concerns about channel modification and river works: ... ○ ...indigenous vegetation and <u>planting of exotic</u> afforestation <u>(the wrong tree in the wrong</u>	O Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.021	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>place for the wrong reason),</u>”			
Highton, John	00014.018	RMIA – WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to include the need to greatly improve the coordination between other agencies such as DOC, the Access Commission, LINZ and others.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note the issue is addressed at IM-M2.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.064	RMIA –WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: The effects of long duration of water take consents which lock in a pattern of resource use for a long time, limiting the ability for <u>of</u> Kāi Tahu to exercise kaitiakitaka responsibilities <u>their role as kaitiaki as an expression of mana and rakatirataka.</u>	§ Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.065	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.009	RMIA –WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: Delete reference to water quality being adversely impacted by mining activities. Where poor land management practices associated with mining (as with all other land uses) causes a deterioration in water quality this is already addressed in the first bullet point under this heading.	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.310 ○ Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.045	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
van der Zwet, David	00011.005	RMIA –WAI-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns about channel modification <i>river</i> works: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The <i>effects</i> of bed disturbance, including suction dredging and gravel extraction, on stream morphology and habitats. 	○ Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.540	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.021	RMIA –MKB Resource management issues of significance to iwi	Amend	There are other ways of safeguarding mahika kai and biodiversity in Otago, and a ki uta ki tai approach would		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
		authorities in the region		promote an integrated solution to this problem AND Apply the effects management hierarchy to this issue			
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.065	RMIA –MKB Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.037	RMIA –MKB-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend the 6 th bullet point as follows, or words to like effect: “Loss of indigenous fish <u>freshwater</u> species, many of which are taoka and mahika kai, through displacement and predation.”	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.055	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Highton, John	00014.019	RMIA –MKB-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to include that together with other agencies, the commercial exploitation of native species, such as eels, whitebait and freshwater crayfish are regulated. And that together with other agencies the breeding environment for smelt species are improved through investigation and monitoring.	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.189	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.066	RMIA –MKB-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: Specific concerns include: ... <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>A persistent lack of recognition of Kāi Tahu perspectives, values and mātauraka in indigenous species and habitat management, planning, and decision – making, and</u> • <u>The loss of cultural knowledge, mātauraka, and tikaka that has accompanied the loss of mahika kai, and indigenous biodiversity.</u> 	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.066 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.018	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Waitaki District Council	00140.015	RMIA –MKB-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend last bullet point to include reference to carbon forestry as follows: “The impact of inappropriate forestry developments <u>including carbon forestry</u> , conversion of tussock lands and other intensification of farming on indigenous flora and fauna values, including ecological disturbance and displacement of species.”	New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.007	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.017	RMIA –MKB-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to provide for Farm Plans to be used as a tool to determine protocol of accessing mahika kai sites between iwi and landowners.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.067	RMIA –MKB-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: ... Obstacles include lack of physical access, <u>habitat and species loss</u> , and the sites no longer being safe to access due to the site becoming polluted, or a change in flow velocity and/or depth.	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.067 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.019	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report that this issue is already addressed in RMIA-MKB-I1
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.068	RMIA –MKB-I3 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: Climate change is now affecting and will continue to affect habitat availability and suitability for species in Otago. ... Where possible, these effects should be planned for in environmental management, <u>including regard the impacts on Kāi Tahu and mana whenua values</u> .	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.068 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.020	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report to reword relief sought.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.069	RMIA –MKB-I4 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.031	RMIA –MKB-I4 Resource management issues	Oppose	Delete RMIA – MKB – 14	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.103	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
		of significance to iwi authorities in the region					
Lauder Creek Farming	00406.003	RMIA –MKB-I4 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to identify methods which can increase biodiversity in both rural and urban landscapes and communities		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.070	RMIA –MKB-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.132	RMIA –MKB-I5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend by noting the Department of Conservation also has obligations under the Wildlife Act and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement, not just the Conservation Act		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.071	RMIA –MKB-I6 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.072	RMIA –WTU-Wāhi tūpuna Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend context as follows: Areas of significance that form part of wāhi tūpuna include, but are not limited to: • ... Mauka (mountains), awa (rivers), roto (lakes), tai (coasts), and moana (seas)	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.021	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.032	RMIA –WTU-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi	Oppose	Delete RMIA – WTU – I1	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.104	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
		authorities in the region					
Ngāi Tahu ki Murihiku	00223.050	RMIA –WTU-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend as follows: “Land, <u>freshwater and coastal</u> management regimes have failed to ...” - Amend to recognise that management of wāhi tūpuna extends beyond land use matters. - Amend to recognise that wāhi tapu and wāhi taoka include nohoaka sites and therefore freshwater management as well as land use matters and matters of access are significant issues. 	<p>S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.452</p> <p>S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.069</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note the amended wording sought is accepted by the Panel as an accurate description of mana whenua views.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.073	RMIA –WTA Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>... This is reflected in Te-<u>Reo</u> te reo Māori, as the word... For Kāi Tahu, <u>the terms</u> wāhi tapu and wāhi taoka refers to places <u>with elevated mana and tapu due to their close association with atua and tūpuna. For example: that hold the respect of the people in accordance with tikaka or history including:</u></p> <p><u>Mauka (mountains), awa (rivers), roto (lakes), tai (coasts), and moana (seas),</u></p> <p>...</p>	<p>S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.070</p> <p>S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.022</p>	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.008	RMIA –WAI- Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Delete the generalised statement to mining activities being ‘culturally inappropriate’.</p>	O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.309	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report at paragraph 663.
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.074	RMIA –WTA-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Specific concerns include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ... <p>The resurfacing of kōiwi takata (human remains) through natural and human –</p>	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.071	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				induced process, such as climate change, and ensuring...			
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.075	RMIA –WTA-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified	Federated Farmers FS00239.292 (neutral)	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.051	RMIA –WTA-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to recognise that wāhi tapu and wāhi taoka include nohoaka sites and therefore freshwater management as well as land use matters and matters of access are significant issues.	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.453 S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.072	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.076	RMIA –AA Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend context as follows: ... Pollution of the atmosphere adversely affects the mauri of air as a taoka, and other taoka such as plants and animals that rely on the life-supporting properties of air.	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.073 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.023	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Dunedin City Council	00139.021	RMIA –AA-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend for consistency with other requested changes in this submission.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.033	RMIA –AA-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: “The cultural impacts of air pollution and discharges to air are poorly understood and seldom recognised. <u>Achieving these outcomes requires careful collaboration with surrounding landowners.</u> ”		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.077	RMIA –AA-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: Specific concerns include: Potential impacts of climate change which could potentially negatively affect <u>taoka such as wai māori māori and wai tai</u> , mahika kai and	Federated Farmers FS00239.294 (neutral) S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.074	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				biodiversity, wāhi tūpuna, wāhi tapu, and wāhi taoka, coastal environment and the well-being of all people, and the environment as an integrated system.			
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.078	RMIA –CE Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Change title as follows: RMIA – CE – Coastal environment (<u>te takutai Takutai</u> moana me te wai tai)	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.024	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.079	RMIA –CE-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified	Federated Farmers FS00239.293 (neutral)	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.133	RMIA – Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend by adding “overfishing” and “pollution” as specific issues.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report as this issue is dealt with in the CE chapter
Harbour Fish, Southern Fantastic and Fantastic Holdings	00126.002	RMIA – CE-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: Change “both land development and marine vessels” to “activities that occur on land and in the marine environment”.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.080	RMIA – CE-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Add as follows: <u>Discharge of liquid human waste from mortuaries and funeral homes into stormwater systems and coastal waters.</u>	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.075 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.025	Accept in part	The panel accepts the amendment sought as reworded in the reply version dated 10 October,2023 appropriately addresses this issue
Southern Inshore Fisheries Management Company Limited	00124.002	RMIA – CE-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: Change “both land development and marine vessels” to “activities that occur on land and in the marine environment”.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.134	RMIA – CE-I2 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend by amending the issue “Proliferation of rubbish in the coastal environment, including materials such as lengths of rope from boats and moorings, plastic packaging strips, discarded and lost fishing gear, glass and plastic bottles as well as other dumped material” to include “ <u>in lakes and rivers</u> ”	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.567	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.081	RMIA –CE-I3 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.082	RMIA –CE-I4 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept	
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.083	RMIA –CE-i5 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend as follows: ... the coastal waters of Te Tai-o-Ara Te Uru-Tai – o – Araiteuru ... Specific concerns include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Damage to and disturbance of wāhi tapu resulting from coastal erosion <u>and the impacts of climate change</u>, earthworks... • ... Failure to recognise and provide for the effects <u>of climate change, and of</u> changing sea levels on coastal landscapes.	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.076 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.026	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.084	RMIA –PO & PO-I1 Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the Reply report and the supplementary evidence of James Henry Adams dated 11 October 2022

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Chapter	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions Support S- Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Te Ao Mārama	00223.052	RMIA – Resource management issues of significance to iwi authorities in the region	Amend	Amend to recognise that protecting pounamu is about integrated management of lands and waters rather than just a concern associated with land use, and to recognise the work that has been done within the tribe to improve pounamu management.	S Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.454 S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.077	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and the reply report and the supplementary evidence of James Henry Adams dated 11 October 2022

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW

SRMR – Significant resource management issues for the region

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.002	General	Amend	Include underlying causes of significant issues such as inappropriate land use and management.	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.151	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Director-General of Conservation	00137.031	General	Amend	Retain as notified, except where specific amendments are sought below.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Dunedin City Council	00139.014	General	Amend	Amend as follows: - to identify damming of the Clutha River/Mata – Au as a regionally significant issue and legacy effect. - to include relevant objectives and policies to address this issue.	S Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.018 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.061	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the main recommendations report as to recognition of renewable electricity generation provision as an issue particularly in the discussion of SRMR-I2
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.004	General	Amend	Amend as follows: Include a new statement in Part 2 of the RPS as follows. <u>“Aggregates are a vital component of everyday life including as a key construction material for regionally and nationally significant infrastructure. While district and regional plans need to address the potential adverse effects of quarrying activities, it is important that district and regional plans also recognise the importance of aggregates and the constraints that can be placed on quarrying activities and river based aggregate extraction. These constraints include:</u> a) <u>A lack of appropriate emphasis being placed on the importance of aggregate to wellbeing.</u> b) <u>Land use planning provisions that either fail to appropriately facilitate aggregate extraction opportunities or are unreasonably restrictive to aggregate extraction activities, and</u>	S Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.060 S Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.013 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.094 O Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.039	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-I10a

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>Establishment of incompatible land use activities on or adjacent to resources leading to reverse sensitivity effects or resource sterilisation.”</u>			
Minister for the Environment	00136.002	General	Amend	There is not sufficient recognition of over – allocation as a significant issue for the region. Recommend adding in a discussion around the over – allocation and the historic context of deemed mining permits.	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.058 (neutral) Federated Farmers FS00239.064 (neutral) S Central Otago Environmental Society FS00202.127 S Oceana Gold FS00115.047 S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.052 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.152	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report in particular at para 281
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.006	General	Amend	This chapter of the PORPS also needs to better recognise and provide for mining which is a significant issue for the region because of the economic benefits it brings.	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.016 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.147 O Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.040 O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.053	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-110a
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.021	General	Amend	All the relief sought by Fish & Game is generally reflected as solutions within the SRMR chapter, to be redrafted in its entirety.	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.152	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
OWRUG	00235.022	General	Amend	Replace ‘tipping point’ with ‘threshold’ throughout the SRMR.	S Contact Energy Limited FS00318.026 S Federated Farmers FS00239.066	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 530 and in the Legal section to Appendix One on this issue.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
					S Oceana Gold FS00115.046		
Te Ao Mārama	00223.038	General	Amend	Improve consistency of references to mana whenua values, cultural values and cultural well-being.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Te Ao Mārama	00223.039	General	Amend	Amend the second sentence of the first paragraph, and consider similar amendments wherever the phrase 'water quantity and water quality' or 'water quality and water quantity' is used, as follows: " ... pest species, water quantity and <u>water quality and the habitat of aquatic species</u> , and biodiversity loss ..."		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 40
Transpower New Zealand Limited	00314.009	General	Amend	Amend as follows: Introductory text (including figure 2) to also address the use, development and protection of physical resources; and AND SRMR to include a new issue that addresses need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the National Grid as regionally important – whilst acknowledging that the new issue does not need to be exclusive to the National Grid, but may also relate to other important infrastructure and should recognise the National Grid's critical role in achieving New Zealand's carbon zero future.		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-110a
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.078	General	Amend	Insert new section to identify and discuss, in a positive frame, the benefits to people and the environment from subdivision, use and development of natural and physical resources. This section should also identify and discuss the wellbeing benefits (and need) of ensuring people can access and use the rural and natural environment.	S Oceana Gold FS00115.048 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.153 O Federated Farmers FS00239.067 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.198	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-110a

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.079	General	Amend	Amend each "Impact Snapshot" to say "Adverse Impact Snapshot".		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 37
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.098	General	Amend	Amend each "Impact Snapshot" to say "Adverse Impact Snapshot".		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 37
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.014	General	Amend	Ensure that the context and definitions of the impacts are clear, well defined and effectively cover all of the potential issues.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Aurora Energy Limited	00315.015	New – Provision	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: Add a new significant resource management issue addressing the extent to which the aspirations, challenges and resource management issues are supported by a well – functioning electricity distribution network, suggested drafting as follows:</p> <p><u>SRMR – IX</u> Resilient electricity supply is critical to the health, wellbeing and prosperity of Otago, particularly in adapting to climate change.</p> <p><u>Statement</u> Electricity supply is essential to our way of life. It supports community wellbeing, health, safety and economic prosperity. It also has a critical role to play in adapting to climate change by supporting communities to become less reliant on fossil fuels for heating and transport. As such there will be a need for electricity network providers to undertake significant development and upgrades to support the communities needs in the future.</p> <p><u>Context</u> Otago’s electricity supply comprises electricity generation (primarily from hydro – electricity generation); transmission</p>	<p>S Network Waitaki Limited FS00320.013 S Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.027 S Mercury FS00605.097 O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.026</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the main recommendations report as to recognition of renewable electricity generation provision as an issue particularly in the discussion of SRMR-I2

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>through the National Grid; distribution from grid – exit points to zone substations, electricity sub – transmission infrastructure and finally through the distribution network to consumers.</u></p> <p><u>The electricity distribution network connects Otago to electricity supply. As such, faults in the network can have a direct impact on the health and safety and wellbeing of people and communities. The importance of electricity distribution to the community is reinforced by its identification as a lifeline utility. Electricity distribution providers have obligations to plan and prepare for significant natural hazard events to ensure that supply is able to be maintained and/or reinstated as soon as practicable.</u></p> <p><u>Climate change will have adverse effects on these network providers by increasing the risks to the infrastructure due to increasing storm intensity, increasing temperatures etc. This will occur in conjunction with increasing demands on the network due to population growth and greater reliance on electricity. Providers will need to adapt to other changes including more small – scale community electricity generation (such as in home solar). and should be avoided by providing a framework for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of that infrastructure.</u></p> <p><u>Impact Snapshot</u> <u>Environmental</u></p> <p><u>The distribution network has adverse effects on the environment which need to be appropriately managed. However, the management of the distribution network is limited by its functional and operational</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>needs which often dictate where it can be located in the environment.</u></p> <p><u>The development, operation, maintenance and upgrade of the distribution network can be constrained or adversely affected by the establishment of incompatible activities around the network which can give rise to reverse sensitivity effects.</u></p> <p><u>Economic</u></p> <p><u>The distribution network is critical to the economic wellbeing of people and communities. Faults in the distribution network arising from natural hazards; adverse effects from climate change and incompatible activities increase the risk of network faults.</u></p> <p><u>Failing to proactive manage incompatible activities in proximity to the distribution network may require those activities to be dis – established and cause unintended economic loss.</u></p> <p><u>A lack of integrated management and long – term strategic planning for land – use activities can delay urban growth and land use changes reliant on an electricity supply.</u></p> <p><u>Social</u></p> <p><u>Incompatible activities can have adverse effects on the distribution network and may give rise to reverse sensitivity effects. This is particularly the case where urban expansion and intensification seeks to locate near the distribution network to a degree that can create risks to the health and safety and wellbeing of people. To avoid those risks, it is appropriate to manage incompatible activities near the distribution network,</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>including primarily electricity sub – transmission infrastructure and significant electricity distribution infrastructure.</u></p> <p><u>Where the electricity network is not resilient enough it can exacerbate the adverse effects and consequences of adverse weather events and natural hazards which can impact on communities already affected by these events.</u></p> <p>OR</p> <p><u>Amend the SRMR to include a new issue that addresses the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade regionally significant infrastructure, noting Aurora Energy welcomes the opportunity to work with the Council and other infrastructure providers to develop this text and in doing so, acknowledges that the new issue does not need to be exclusive to the distribution network, but may also relate to other important infrastructure and should recognise the critical role of electricity supply in achieving New Zealand’s carbon zero future.</u></p>			
Chorus, New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited and Vodafone New Zealand	00310.003	New – Provision	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Add a new Significant Resource Management Issue that addresses the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade infrastructure. Initial drafting to include:</p> <p><u>“The operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of infrastructure is essential to enabling people and communities to provide for their social, cultural and economic well – being and necessary to support safe, responsive and resilient communities. Infrastructure are often also lifeline utilities and must be able to function</u></p>	<p>S Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.024</p> <p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.154</p> <p>O Director-General of Conservation FS00137.015</p> <p>O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.046</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-110a

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>to the fullest possible extent in emergencies.”</u>			
Cosy Homes Charitable Trust	00242.001	New – Provision	Amend	Add an SRMR Issue related to poor air quality: “Poor ambient air quality is negatively impacting human health”	<p>O Silver Fern Farms FS00221.015</p> <p>O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.050</p>	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraphs 550 & 551
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.018	New – Provision	Amend	<p>Include a further “significant resource management issue” focusing on the dependence of regional communities’ social and economic well-being on:</p> <p>(a) resource use and the need to provide for that use within sustainable limits, and</p> <p>(b) the importance of regionally significant industry and infrastructure to economic and social well-being and the need to protect it from the effects of reverse sensitivity.</p>	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.068</p> <p>S Oceana Gold FS00115.049</p> <p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.155</p> <p>O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.088</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-I10a
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.029	New – Provision	Amend	Add new issue statement for Food Production, Food Security and Food Supply that includes consideration of biosecurity matters.	<p>S NZ Pork FS00240.009</p> <p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.069</p> <p>O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.107</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-I10a
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.033	New – Provision	Amend	<p>Add new Significant Resource Management Issue as follows:</p> <p><u>“SRME – IX Food production systems are coming under increased pressure from population growth, competing resource use, climate change, and the need to improve environmental outcomes.</u></p> <p><u>Statement</u></p> <p><u>The production of fruit and vegetables in Otago operates as part of a national system that produces healthy food to support the essential health needs of people and provides jobs and export earnings which</u></p>	<p>S Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.042</p> <p>S NZ Pork FS00240.010</p> <p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.070</p> <p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.156</p> <p>S Waitaki District Council FS00140.016</p> <p>O Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.108</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-I10a

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>support the social, economic, and cultural wellbeing of our population. Those systems are under increased pressure from population growth to produce and supply food and to maintain food security. Competing demands are reducing the availability of land for primary production (particularly highly productive land), including reverse sensitivity impacts on primary production, and freshwater resources needed to produce and process food.</u></p> <p><u>Context</u> <u>Otago has nationally recognised and regionally significant food production systems critical for the essential human health of current and future generations. Currently the highest concentrations of growers are in the Central Otago and Waitaki Districts. However, there are growers located outside these areas.</u> <u>The combination of soil and climate (including high diurnal range) means that Central Otago is especially suited to growing high quality crops. Central Otago is one of the main commercial growing areas in New Zealand for stonefruit. Whereas, in the Waitaki District area, a wide variety of fruit and vegetable crops are grown.</u> <u>The production of fruit and vegetables (both outdoor growing and covered crops) in New Zealand operates as part of a national system, The regions supply markets at different times of the year; a sustainable, year – round supply of produce for New Zealand is only possible if the different growing regions work in conjunction to ensure that seasonality and other variables, such as diseases and weather, do not interrupt that supply.</u> <u>Food security is a nationally important issue which needs to be addressed at a strategic</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>level. While New Zealand is a net food exporter, many of the vegetables and some of the fruit that we grow are only for domestic food supply.</u></p> <p><u>Diversification to horticulture presents an opportunity to reduce emissions while increasing food production. The transition to developing indoor growing and outdoor food systems that have lesser emissions, will require an integrated approach, that include behaviour change, investment in research, infrastructure, and technology as well as regulatory signals. However, they require significant investment and as such regulatory certainty, particularly with respect to matters such as water access.</u></p> <p><u>Impact snapshot</u></p> <p><u>Environmental</u></p> <p><u>People are part of the natural environment, and the social, economic, and cultural wellbeing of all people must be provided for within natural environmental limits.</u></p> <p><u>For future generations, it is critical that Highly Productive Land (HPL) is protected from the continual trend of cumulative loss and loss of productive capacity due to reverse sensitivity and competition for natural resources. Any protection of HPL from inappropriate subdivision, must also recognise its value for current and future generations for food production and enable its use for food production.</u></p> <p><u>There needs to be flexibility to develop highly productive land in some places. What is important, is that urban development and productive land are considered together to provide a planned approach so new urban areas are designed in a manner that maintains the overall productive capacity of highly productive land.</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>In the context of greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets, the Paris Agreement highlights the importance of food production and food security, recognising the “fundamental priority of safeguarding food security ...” and noting the need to adapt and foster resilience and lower emissions, in a manner that does not threaten food production.</u></p> <p><u>‘Ināia tonu nei: a low emissions future for Aotearoa’ includes the assumption (in the Demonstration Path) that 2,000 ha of land will be converted to horticulture per year from 2025 and notes that the Commission expect this could increase if “barriers – such as water availability, labour, supply chains and path to market – are addressed”.</u></p> <p><u>Opening up more opportunities for conversion to lower emissions production systems and land uses, including horticulture’ is listed as a critical outcome.</u></p> <p><u>The advice also notes that further land use change from livestock agriculture into horticulture and forestry (from 2021, additional 3,500 ha per year converted from dairy) would be required to meet the more ambitious end of the 2050 methane target if new technology does not come through.</u></p> <p><u>It is important to not create barriers to climate change adaptation and/or mitigation and enable long-term climate change adaptation and/or mitigation, though projects such as water storage and provisions which enable growing areas to move between regions. Climate change will also compound the impacts of existing pests and provide opportunities for new pests to establish themselves due to changed conditions potentially threatening food production systems and food supply.</u></p> <p><u>The regional value of food production is expressed through the vision of four the five Freshwater Management Units:</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Clutha Mata – au FMU</u> • <u>North Otago FMU</u> • <u>Taieri FMU</u> • <u>Catlins FMU</u> <p><u>Water is necessary for food production. This is linked to population growth food demand and an essential human health.</u></p> <p><u>Economic</u> <u>For most vegetable crops, the domestic market is the primary market, but many growers produce export crops within their rotations for practical (soil health) and economic reasons. For example, onions which are predominately grown for export are grown with other vegetables crops in rotation. Onions grown in rotation with non – alliaceae crops promote soil health. Export income provides greater economic resilience.</u> <u>We need to ensure economic and environmental sustainability of primary production are taken into account when protecting HPL. Otherwise, we risk stranded assets being sold off as poorly performing lifestyle blocks.</u></p> <p><u>Social</u> <u>It is not just the economic benefits associated with primary production that are important. The rural economy supports rural communities and rural production defines much of the rural landscape. Food production values provide a platform for long term sustainability of communities, through the provision of food security.</u> <u>New Zealand also has an important role in exporting fresh vegetables to the Pacific Islands. For example, in 2016 76% of total exported potatoes went to Fiji, 87% of exported Kumara and 82% of exported cauliflower, 75% of exported cabbage went</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>to the Pacific Islands. NZ has an important role in the food security of Pacific Islands. New Zealand and our Pacific Island neighbours are too remote to import many fresh vegetables from elsewhere in the world. Most vegetables that New Zealand imports are processed.</u></p> <p><u>While some fruit crops grown in New Zealand have a predominately export focus. Many fruit crops are grown mainly for the domestic supply.</u></p> <p><u>Ministry of Health data indicates that only 33.5% of adults and 44.1% of children are meeting fruit and vegetable intake guidelines.</u></p> <p><u>Despite, on the whole, New Zealand producing more food than we can consume (noting this is not true of all crops), many New Zealanders live in food insecurity. A 2019 Ministry of Health study analysed household food insecurity among children in New Zealand, it estimated that 174,000 (19%) of all children in New Zealand live in food – insecure households.</u></p> <p><u>There is an extensive body of research indicating that children experiencing household food insecurity have lower fruit and vegetable intake, diets higher in fat, and are at an increased risk of obesity.</u></p> <p><u>In New Zealand, for families living in deprived areas, increases in fruit and vegetable prices, especially around their off – season, compel them to substitute the purchase of healthier whole fruit and vegetables with cheap energy – dense and nutrient – poor products.</u></p> <p><u>Just as maintaining our environmental brand is of value to our high value export products, so too is ensuring that all New Zealanders have access to the healthy food, that we built our export reputation on.</u></p> <p><u>There are complex social and economic reasons that people struggle to meet their</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>nutritional needs. Growers are passionate about providing healthy produce; however, it is still a business and for them to continue to grow the healthy food we rely on, it has to be economically viable.</u></p> <p><u>Regulatory pressure is preventing the expansion of vegetable growing from keeping up with population growth. This is predicted to result in increased cost for consumers, with tangible health consequences.”</u></p>			
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.022	New – Provision	Amend	<p>Insert an additional issue to the SRMR chapter “ Interacting with water bodies” discussing the positive issue of the ways in which people interact with the environment in Otago for recreation and amenity, including but not limited to how resource management decisions impact upon this capability.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Meridian Energy Limited FS00306.009 ○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.159 	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraphs 550 & 551
OWRUG	00235.058	New – Provision	Amend	<p><u>The Food and Fibre Sector is facing a significant period of change due to climate change and the need to improve environmental outcomes.</u></p> <p><u>Statement:</u></p> <p><u>The Food and Fibre sector is essential to the New Zealand and Otago economy. New Zealand is renowned for producing high quality products capable of achieving a premium price and providing food and materials for domestic consumption. This generates significant benefits for our economy and communities. However, the sector faces some significant challenges in the short, medium and long-term driven by climate change, requirements to improve environmental outcomes and global consumer trends. Otago is uniquely exposed to these challenges because of the profile of</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ NZ Pork FS00240.008 ○ Federated Farmers FS00239.071 ○ Horticulture NZ FS00236.032 ○ Waitaki District Council FS00140.020 ○ New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.013 ○ Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.154 	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-110a

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>its economy. As such, particular attention needs to be paid to how change is managed.</u></p> <p><u>Context</u> <u>The food and fibre sector accounts for a significant proportion of the Otago economy. For example, in Central Otago District 14.7% of GDP, compared to the national average of 6.2%. In the Clutha District, Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing make up 32.1% of GDP, and in the Waitaki District Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing make up 32.5% of GDP. This presents risks and opportunities for the region. It means that if change is managed poorly the region will feel the impacts of this disproportionately, but the reverse is also true. Regardless, careful management of change within the sector is important so that communities are not unnecessarily impacted in a negative way. This includes the potential downstream impacts of a successful transition that have the potential to create other challenges such as pressure for urban growth and associated infrastructure.</u></p> <p><u>Impact snapshot</u> <u>Environmental</u> <u>The Food and Fibre sector cannot operate on a business as usual basis. Even in locations where water availability and water quality issues are not of concern adaptations will be necessary so that the sector is contributing to New Zealand's carbon zero 2050 commitments. However, demand for the products produced by the Food and Fibre Sector are likely to continue to increase and the ability of the sector to meet this demand will be critical to the wellbeing of the community, particularly with respect to the provision of nutrient dense food. It will be necessary for the sector to innovate to ensure it can meet the demands of the community while working within</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>environmental limits. There needs to be a considered and integrated approach to land – use change so that it does not give rise to unintended consequences. For example, widespread establishment of carbon forestry may adversely affect water availability, and irreversibly remove land from food production.</u></p> <p><u>Economic</u> <u>As highlighted above the Food and Fibre sector has a critical role in the national and regional economy. Ultimately a well – managed transition to more efficient production methods is likely to result in higher wages through demand for more highly skilled staff etc. In the interim though change has the potential to come at significant cost to the sector. Individual producers will have varying capacity to implement changes due to their current capital structure etc. Regulatory changes that significantly compromise productivity are likely to impact on land value which will affect the equity position of some businesses and hamper their ability to implement further changes. These issues reinforce the need for a well – managed transition that allows a degree of flexibility, particularly in the medium term.</u></p> <p><u>Social</u> <u>It is not just the economic benefits associated with primary production that are important. A thriving Food and Fibre Sector supports thriving rural communities ensuring these communities continue to have viable populations that support wider community activities including schools, recreational clubs, businesses etc.</u> <u>In recent years the rural community has faced significant pressure due to widespread concern about the effects of</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>rural activities on the natural environment. These issues combined with the significant regulatory uncertainty arising as a result is having an adverse effect on the health and wellbeing of people within the rural sector.</u></p> <p><u>At its worst these pressures can result in suicide with suicide rates in rural communities significantly higher than in urban areas. This is another reason for ensuring that the transition required within sector is carefully managed with consideration given to the capacity of the community to sustain change.</u></p>			
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.097	New – Provision	Amend	<p>Insert new section to identify and discuss, in a positive frame, the benefits to people and the environment from subdivision, use and development of natural and physical resources.</p> <p>This section should also identify and discuss the wellbeing benefits (and need) of ensuring people can access and use the rural and natural environment.</p>	<p>S Oceana Gold FS00115.050 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.157 O Federated Farmers FS00239.072</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-I10a
Director-General of Conservation	00137.032	Introduction	Amend	Amend the first two paragraphs to recognise the value of the environment in its own right.	S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.124	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.019	Introduction	Amend	<p>Make the following amendments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend the provisions to acknowledge and reflect the fact Otago’s natural resource assets enable the people and communities within Otago to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing. - Amend sentence two of the first paragraph as follows: “Natural resources include freshwater (i.e., surface and groundwater, wetlands, estuaries), land, terrestrial, <u>soil</u> and freshwater ecosystems, coastal and marine ecosystems, and air, 	<p>S Oceana Gold FS00115.051 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.158</p>	Accept in part	<p>As to the first point we adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-I10a</p> <p>As to the second we adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report</p>

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				landscapes, vegetation and natural landforms”			
Highton, John	00014.003	Introduction	Amend	Amend to include ongoing loss of wetlands and tussocks uplands.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraphs 64, 550 & 551
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.021	Introduction	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Figure 2: amend to show food production, food supply and food security as a human health need and benefit along with housing.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>“...Natural resources include freshwater (i.e. surface and groundwater, wetlands, estuaries), land <u>and soil</u>, terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems, coastal and marine ecosystems, and air, landscapes, vegetation and natural landforms.</p> <p>...</p> <p>From a social and cultural perspective natural resources support and are impacted by <u>food production</u>, recreation, housing, and cultural activities (Refer Figure 2). <u>Food production, food supply and food security relate to essential human health needs which are to be provided for through sustainable resource management.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p>This RPS identifies the eleven most significant issues impacting the Otago region. Issues firstly considered include natural hazards, climate change, <u>food production</u>, pest species, water quantity and quality, and biodiversity loss, collectively the “natural asset-based issues”.</p>	<p>S Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.038</p> <p>S NZ Pork FS00240.007</p> <p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.073</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Kāi Tahu ki Otago / Aukaha	00226.057	Introduction	Amend	Amend to emphasise the hauora and the mana of te taiao as the central focus of the	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.160	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				ORPS, and the overarching issue in resource management in Otago.			
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.004	Introduction	Amend	Amend to describe Otago's food production values and in the relation between natural resources, resource use and strategies (Figure 2). AND Amend to identify an additional regionally significant value and resource management issue relating to Otago's food production capacity.	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.033	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-110a
Oceana Gold (New Zealand) Ltd	00115.005	Introduction	Amend	Amend as follows: Include "minerals" as a natural resource on Figure 2.	O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.055	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraphs 61 and 65(b)
OWRUG	00235.021	Introduction	Amend	Amend as follows: Otago's people and communities rely on the natural resources that Otago's environment provides to enable their social, economic, and cultural well-being. Natural resources include freshwater (i.e. surface and groundwater, wetlands, estuaries), land <u>and soil</u> , terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems, coastal and marine ecosystems, and air, landscapes, vegetation and natural landforms. From an economic perspective natural resources support, and are impacted by, <u>food and fibre production</u> , urban development, industrial development, infrastructure, energy generation, transport, marine industries (fishing and aquaculture), tourism and mineral extraction. From a social and cultural perspective natural resources support and are impacted by <u>food and fibre sector production</u> , recreation, housing, and cultural activities (Refer Figure 2). <u>Food and fibre sector production, in particular secure food supply and security are essential to human health</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.074 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.034	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report and the main recommendations report as to this issue which has been addressed in recommended SRMR-110a

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>needs which are to be provided for through sustainable resource management.</u></p> <p>This RPS identifies the eleven most significant issues impacting the Otago region. Issues firstly considered include natural hazards, climate change, <u>food and fibre sector production</u>, pest species, water quantity and quality, and biodiversity loss, collectively the “natural asset – based issues”.</p>			
OWRUG	00235.023	Introduction	Amend	Amend Figure 2 to refer to food and fibre production.	<p>S Matakauui Gold Limited FS00021.012</p> <p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.075</p> <p>S Horticulture NZ FS00236.035</p>	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 61
Rayonier Matariki Forests	00020.003	Introduction	Amend	Include plantation forestry in SRMR introduction and figure 2 as it is part of the primary production activities in the Otago region.	<p>S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.010</p> <p>S Ngai Tahu Forestry FS00600.002</p> <p>Federated Farmers FS00239.076 (neutral)</p>	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraphs 61 and 65(b)
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.002	Introduction	Amend	Amend so that the leading paragraphs better reflect the interrelation between natural resources and cultural or wellbeing values, including the natural system of biosphere elements, human and wildlife populations, the social system, the economic system, the political system, the cultural system etc		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.003	Introduction	Amend	Amend to give greater weight to activities that affect and disturb soil as a resource management issue.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.004	Introduction	Amend	Amend to include a "High country" place – based issue that acknowledges the significance of the inherent values in Otago's high country and that this land area has low resilience and is therefore at	Federated Farmers FS00239.077 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 59

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				significant risk of economic and ecological failure in the face of climate change.			
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.005	Introduction	Amend	Amend as follows: Amend by moving the final paragraph of the introduction to the beginning. Making it clearer from the start that all the issues are interrelated.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 58
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.012	Introduction	Amend	Amend as follows: Include health benefits (as well as enabling social, economic and cultural well – being) Add “health” benefits		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report particularly at paragraph 57
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.013	Introduction	Amend	Add background information on biodiversity values and services.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Matakanui Gold Limited	00021.005	SRMR – Introduction	Amend	Amend Figure 2 to clarify the relationship between ‘benefit’s and ‘impacts’ with regard to mining.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Director-General of Conservation	00137.033	SRMR – I1	Amend	Add the following, or words to like effect: <u>“The risk resulting from natural hazards is not just due to the hazards themselves, but also whether human activities are located and operated in ways which make them vulnerable to those hazards.”</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.078	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Dunedin City Council	00139.015	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend wording in statement to align with all issues in the rest of this section.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Dunedin City Council	00139.016	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend to clearly identify the communities/areas most likely to be impacted.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.079	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.020	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ as follows or similar: <u>“Otago is prone to a range of natural hazards that pose a risk to Otago communities, property, infrastructure, and the wider environment. A major event could cause significant damage and may isolate Otago communities for</u>	S NZ Pork FS00240.011 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.036	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>an extended time. For example, particular areas of Otago are prone to flooding (e.g: South Otago and the Taieri Plains). Also, an earthquake on the Alpine fault could potentially cause catastrophic impacts on the entire region. Other natural hazard risks include; tsunami, sea level rise, coastal erosion, wild fires, and extreme weather events such as hail storms.”</u></p> <p>Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “... <u>business disruption and can significantly impact agricultural and other food production businesses and housing agriculture can be disrupted</u> in Otago’s floodplains (<u>including lower Clutha and Taieri</u>).”</p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Economic’ as follows: “... <u>social safety net mechanisms and institutions, including access to health care via rural roading networks.</u> For industry, ... financial resilience of businesses <u>and their ability to access a skilled workforce</u>, which is a function of their existing loan commitments, credit worthiness and insurance cover. Food security can also be affected. <u>Whilst the primary industry has substantial resilience to severe weather events and supply chain disruptions, the cumulative impact of repeated events must be acknowledged.”</u></p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Social’ as follows: “... resilience of a community. <u>The cumulative impact of events on physical and mental health must be acknowledged and planned for, along with the potential for there to be a rural and urban disparity in</u></p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>the severity of physical and mental health challenges.</u> Social impacts of events can result in immediate impacts on livelihoods for individuals and families, particularly for lower socio – economic groups <u>and on small rural communities.</u>”</p>			
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.022	SRMR – I1	Amend	<p>Amend ‘Statement’ as follows: “A major hazard event could isolate all or parts of Otago for an extended time. <u>Natural hazards pose a risk to essential human health needs including regionally and nationally significant food production and events can disrupt food supply.</u>”</p> <p>Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “The Otago region is exposed to a wide variety of natural hazards that impact on people <u>including essential human health needs of housing, food and water,</u> property, infrastructure, historic heritage and the wider environment... ... business disruption, and a <u>Agriculture and food production and food supply</u> can be disrupted in Otago's floodplains (lower Clutha and Taieri) <u>and elsewhere in heavy rain events.</u>”</p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Economic’ as follows: ...<u>Food security production systems</u> can also be affected <u>impacting on the regional economy with immediate effect on jobs and longer – term effects on production value and domestic and export returns.</u>”</p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Social’ as follows: “... There can be short and long terms <u>impacts on the regional and nationally significant Otago food production system.</u>”</p>	<p>S Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.039 S NZ Pork FS00240.014 S Federated Farmers FS00239.080</p>	Accept in part	We adopt some of the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report but particularly adopt the main recommendations report as to food related issues which has been addressed in amended wording for recommended SRMR-I1

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>The food supply chain can be disrupted and the security of an essential human health need compromised.”</u>			
Lauder Creek Farming	00406.001	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend to include Fire, from either human or natural causes, as a natural hazard, and undertake consequential changes as required elsewhere in the RPS	S Federated Farmers FS00239.081	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.014	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend as follows: (a) inserting the following statement at the end of the Economic impact paragraphs on page 66, <u>“The economic impacts of natural hazards within the Otago region can extend beyond the region’s boundary, particularly if renewable electricity generation activities are disrupted”</u> , or words of the same effect; and (b) inserting the following statement at the end of the Social impact (on page 66), <u>“The social impacts of natural hazards within the Otago region can extend beyond the region’s boundary, particularly if renewable electricity generation activities are disrupted”</u> , or words of the same effect.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report & Reply Report
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.005	SRMR – I1	Amend	‘Statement’ to note that Natural hazards pose a risk to food production and supply. Amend ‘Context’ to note that Natural hazards pose a risk to food production and supply. Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Economic’ to identify an additional regionally significant value and resource management issue relating to Otago’s food production capacity and that the effects of natural hazards on food security extend beyond economic impacts.		Accept in part	We adopt some of the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report but particularly adopt the main recommendations report as to food related issues which has been addressed in amended wording for recommended SRMR-I1
OWRUG	00235.024	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend as follows: A major hazard event could isolate all or parts of Otago for an extended time.	S NZ Pork FS00240.012 S Federated Farmers FS00239.082	Accept in part	We adopt some of the reasons and recommendations in the Reply report but particularly adopt the main recommendations report as to food related issues which has been addressed in amended wording for recommended SRMR-I1

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>Natural hazards pose a risk to the food and fibre sector, both in terms of short term provision of food, but also longer term productivity. The role of local food production will be essential in the event of a significant natural hazard. The resilience of the sector is reliant in part on the infrastructure that serves it, such as transport, electricity and communications networks.</u>	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.037		
OWRUG	00235.025	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend as follows: The Otago region is exposed to a wide variety of natural hazards that impact on people <u>including housing, food and water, property, infrastructure, historic heritage and the wider environment...</u> ... For example, flooding can affect Otago's main urban centres causing damage to housing and business disruption. <u>It can disrupt food and fibre production which can compromise food supply chains. It also creates animal welfare issues and damages productive land resulting in the likes of crop and infrastructure damage which takes considerable time and effort to reinstate. Recovering from these events can take a number of years.</u>	S NZ Pork FS00240.013 S Federated Farmers FS00239.083 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.038	Accept in part	We adopt some of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A and Reply report but particularly adopt the main recommendations report as to food related issues which has been addressed in amended wording for recommended SRMR-I1
OWRUG	00235.026	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend as follows: Economic ... is a function of their existing loan commitments, credit worthiness insurance cover <u>and/or the speed at which normal service can resume (if at all). This will often depend on the ability for lifeline utilities to maintain or re – establish normal levels of service quickly.</u> <u>Food security and fibre sector production systems can also be affected impacting on the regional economy with immediate effect on jobs and longer – term effects on</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.084 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.039	Accept in part	We adopt some of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A and Reply report but particularly adopt the main recommendations report as to food related issues which has been addressed in amended wording for recommended SRMR-I1

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>production value and domestic and export returns.</u>			
OWRUG	00235.027	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend as follows: <u>Social</u> <u>... There can be short and long terms impacts on the regional and nationally significant Otago food production system. The food supply chain can be disrupted, the extent of which will be influenced by the nature of the event and the ability of lifeline utilities and essential service providers to maintain or re – establish normal levels of service quickly.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.085 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.040	Accept in part	We adopt some of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A and Reply report but particularly adopt the main recommendations report as to food related issues which has been addressed in amended wording for recommended SRMR-I1
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.002	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend 'Context' as follows: - That fire hazard be referenced in the first paragraph. - That a more definitive statement is provided within the fifth paragraph with respect to the impact of climate change on natural hazards within Otago. Attention should also be drawn to the body of evidence that has been produced, and will continue to be developed, with regard to the impacts of climate change on natural hazards. Amend 'Impact snapshot – Social' as follows: (page 66) include reference the transient nature of the Queenstown Lakes District population and their unique set of social characteristics and associated impacts.		Accept in part	We adopt some of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A and Reply report but particularly adopt the main recommendations report as to food related issues which has been addressed in amended wording for recommended SRMR-I1
Te Ao Marama	00223.040	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend as follows: Impact snapshot; Environmental: “... Seismic events result in liquefaction of land and associated soil disturbance, elevated sea levels and associated flooding, potential permanent inundation and coastal erosion.”		Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Toitū Te Whenua, Land	00101.006	SRMR – I1	Amend	Don't refer to destruction of communities and the negative impacts on people as		Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Information New Zealand				"secondary". Prioritise these ahead of other "social impacts"			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.080	SRMR – I1	Amend	Context The Otago region is exposed to a wide variety of <i>natural hazards</i> that impact on people, property, <i>infrastructure</i> , historic heritage and the wider <i>environment</i> . When a <u>major</u> <i>natural hazard</i> event occurs, it is usually difficult and costly for a community to recover. ..		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report writer's 5A supplementary evidence
Waitaki District Council	00140.010	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend as follows: - Replace 'risk' with 'issue' - Remove "potentially" Amend 'Statement' as follows: "Coastal erosion is an risk issue in Waitaki District, Dunedin City and along the Clutha River Delta, potentially affecting communities and infrastructure near the coast." Amend 'Context' as follows: - Paragraph 2 – Replace agriculture with "primary production" - Include Lower Waitaki in the bracketed naming of specific rivers	S Matakanui Gold Limited FS00021.014	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.099	SRMR – I1	Amend	Amend first paragraph under Context as follows: The Otago region is exposed to a wide variety of <i>natural hazards</i> that impact on people, property, <i>infrastructure</i> , historic heritage and the wider <i>environment</i> . When a <u>major</u> <i>natural hazard</i> event occurs, it is usually difficult and costly for a community to recover. ..		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report writer's 5A supplementary evidence
Christchurch International	00307.002	SRMR – I2	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt various of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the following supplementary evidence and Reply report as well as changes in the main recommendations report which accept changes requested in other

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Airport Limited (CIAL)							submissions.
Contact Energy Limited	00318.003	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend as follows: Amend the issue statement to recognise the critical role renewable energy facilities have to play in achieving New Zealand’s climate change and decarbonisation requirements		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the main recommendations report
Director-General of Conservation	00137.034	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend para 5 as follows or words to like effect: “...have been identified as being at risk, such as South Dunedin and the Taieri Plains.”		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.021	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ – add an additional sentence as follows or similar: “ <u>Climate change brings an increased risk of wildfire. With changing landscape use (increased forestry and afforestation) the risk of fire is increased. Another potential impact comes from increased pests and diseases associated with changing or warming climates, risking the health of livestock, vegetation and biodiversity</u> ”. Amend ‘Context’ to include the following or similar: “ <u>Rainfall and temperature change may result in drier soils and changes to river flow (low flow and floods), as well as increased occurrence of slips/landslides.</u> <u>Sea level rise will have impacts on coastal communities, infrastructure and habitats, while the risk of wildfire will also increase.</u> <u>Changing climate also risks increased biosecurity issues of increased plant, fungal and animal pests and diseases (e.g facial eczema), as well as disease vectors (e.g Mosquitos).</u> “	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.041 S Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.043 S Waitaki District Council FS00140.019 O New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.015 O Rayonier Matariki Forests FS00020.016	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economy – Regional Industry'; add the following or similar: <u>"Some of the responses to mitigate climate change such as increased afforestation for carbon offsetting, lead to other risks, such as further drying out of catchments, increased risk of wildfire, fragmentation of pastoral systems, increased pest numbers, and a resultant decline in rural communities. "</u></p> <p>Amend 'Impact snapshot – Social'; add the following or similar: <u>"There is also the potential for inequality between rural and urban dwellers, as responses to climate change may focus on the areas with greatest population density, and climate change mitigation strategies such as increased afforestation for carbon offsetting may directly impact rural communities. "</u></p>			
Highton, John	00014.004	SRMR – I2	Amend	Specify reduced river flows as reduced water reliability is too vague.		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Highton, John	00014.005	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend to include increased algal growth and algal blooms as recognised hazards.		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.023	SRMR – I2	Amend	<p>Amend title as follows: <u>"SRMR – I2 – Climate change is likely to will impact our economy and environment"</u></p> <p>Amend 'Statement' as follows: <u>"... land can sustain. Food production systems will need to change to respond to food supply and food security needs.</u> ... The impact of other climate change threats is unpredictable. <u>It is important to not create barriers to climate change adaptation and/or mitigation and</u></p>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.086	Accept in part	We adopt various of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the following supplementary evidence and Reply report as well as the main recommendations report which accept some changes requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>enable long-term climate change adaptation and/or mitigation.”</u></p> <p>Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “The rate of future climate change depends on how fast greenhouse gas concentrations increase. <u>The region has a critical role to play to reduce emissions including through land use change.”</u></p> <p>A mend ‘Impact snapshot – Economy, Regional Industry’ as follows: “... <u>Diversification to horticulture presents an opportunity to reduce emissions and support the transition to a low emissions economy. It is important that decision makers can assess the benefits of land use change.</u> ... or water harvesting <u>and storage practices.”</u></p>			
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.015	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend as follows: “...This will be compounded by stronger winds, increased temperatures and longer dry periods, which may affect the number and types of crops and animals that the land can sustain, <u>and the potential for renewable electricity generation...”</u>		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the main recommendations report
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.006	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Economic’ as follows: - Identify an additional regionally significant value and resource management issue relating to Otago’s food production capacity and that the effects of climate change on primary production activities extend beyond economic impacts. - Indoor and outdoor pig farming is another key primary production activity in the region to be acknowledged.		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the main recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.023	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend as follows: Remove the word ‘native’ throughout the passage, except where referring to interactions between native and introduced species in the first paragraph of the Environment sub–section.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Contact Energy Limited FS00318.027 <input type="radio"/> Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.161 	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.024	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend as follows: Insert additional sentence into the Environment section which reads: <u>Human adaptation to climate change, such as building or expanding dams or flood protection schemes, may impose adverse impacts upon ecosystems in addition to those imposed by climate change itself.</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Greenpeace FS00407.041 <input type="radio"/> Contact Energy Limited FS00318.028 <input type="radio"/> Federated Farmers FS00239.087 <input type="radio"/> Meridian Energy Limited FS00306.006 <input type="radio"/> Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.162 	Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report
OWRUG	00235.028	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend as follows: SRMR – I2 – Climate change is likely to <u>will</u> impact our economy and environment Statement ...may affect the number and types of crops and animals that the land can sustain. <u>Food and fibre production systems will need to change in response and to maintain food supply and important fibre sources for the community.</u> ... The impact of other climate change threats is unpredictable. <u>It is important to not create barriers to climate change adaptation and/or mitigation and for long-term climate change adaptation and/or mitigation to be actively facilitated to speed up the transition to a lower emissions economy.</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Federated Farmers FS00239.088 <input type="radio"/> Horticulture NZ FS00236.042 <input type="radio"/> Greenpeace FS00407.053 	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the main recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
OWRUG	00235.029	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend SRMR – I2 Context as follows: The rate of future climate change depends on how fast greenhouse gas concentrations increase. <u>The region has an important role to play to reduce emissions including through land use production system adaptation and change.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.089 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.043	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
OWRUG	00235.030	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend SRMR – I2 Impact Statement / Economy / Regional Industry as follows: ... potentially enable resources previously unviable to come into production. <u>Diversification to different farm systems and transition to lower emission production systems presents an opportunity to reduce emissions and support the transition to a low emissions economy. It is important that decision makers can assess the benefits of land use change and that the resource management framework facilitates these transitions by providing certainty to enable investment. This includes the utilisation of water to support low emission production systems.</u> or through changes in crop intensification, or water harvesting <u>and storage practices.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.090 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.044	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
OWRUG	00235.031	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend SRMR – I2 to recognise the risk on water resources due to afforestation of plantation forests for carbon sequestration.	New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.012 (neutral) S Federated Farmers FS00239.091 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.045 S Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited FS00213.009	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.003	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend 'Impact snapshot – Environmental' as follows:		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (page 66/68) include reference to the effects of climate change on the visual appearance and recreational enjoyment of Otago's highly valued landscapes. <p>Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economic' as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (page 68/69) include reference to the effects of climate change on Otago's significant tourism industry. (page 68/69) include reference to impacts to built environment from the range of natural hazards that are likely to be exacerbated by the effects of climate change, such as fire, wind, and mass movement (ie debris flow and landsliding). 			
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.023a	SRMR – I2	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: “SRMR – I2 – Climate change is likely to <u>will</u> impact our economy and environment”</p> <p>Amend the second paragraph of SRMR – I2 to recognise the impact which hard protection structures can have in terms of restricting coastal habitats and preventing coastal migration of coastal habitats and ecosystems.</p>	S Greenpeace FS00407.032	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.041	SRMR – I2	Amend	<p>Amend as follows: “SRMR – I2 – Climate change is likely to impact our economy environment and environment economy well-being”</p>		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.007	SRMR – I2	Amend	<p>Amend the statement to focus more on the regional contributions to climate change and areas for change, not just the natural hazards that result from a changing climate. As with how the economic section speaks about impacts and opportunities, the</p>	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.163	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				context should cover the causal and coincidental factors.			
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.008	SRMR – I2	Amend	Provide more context surrounding the need to future proof regional industries and that traditional and current practices are unlikely to hold up to climate change.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.163	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.009	SRMR – I2	Amend	Comment on the potential of increased climate induced urban drift.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.092 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.164	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.082	SRMR – I2	Amend	Climate change is likely to impact our economy and environment – Economy For <u>Some</u> tourism activities may be affected. For example, the amount of natural snowfall is expected to reduce meaning ski fields will be more reliant on snowmaking. , there will be negative impacts on skiing where the number of snow days experienced annually could decrease by as much as 30 – 40 days in some parts of the region. The duration of snow cover is also likely to decrease, particularly at lower elevations. This will also lead to reduced summer waterflows		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Trustpower Limited	00311.005	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend as follows Add the following paragraph under the heading of ‘Regional Industry’. <u>“A number of hydroelectric power schemes are located within the Otago Region. The current Government has set a target for increasing renewable electricity to 100% by 2030. Alongside that sits New Zealand’s commitment to the Paris Climate Change Agreement – to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 30% below the 2005 levels, and a domestic ‘net zero’ commitment of all greenhouse gas emissions (except methane) by 2050. For these commitments to be achieved, rapid electrification of the economy will be required, and this wil</u>	S Contact Energy Limited FS00318.029 S Meridian Energy Limited FS00306.007	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the main recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>require a significant increase in the installed capacity of emissions free renewable electricity generation.”</u>			
WAI Wanaka	00222.006	SRMR – I2	Amend	Acknowledge the Government’s Climate Emergency declared in December 2020		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Waitaki District Council	00140.011	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ to include Hampden Beach. Amend to include reference to carbon forestry as a resource management issue for Otago (p.67).	S Federated Farmers FS00239.093 O New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.004	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.101	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend third paragraph under Economy as follows: For Some tourism <u>activities may be affected. For example, the amount of natural snowfall is expected to reduce; meaning ski fields will be more reliant on snowmaking. ,there will be negative impacts on skiing where the number of snow days experienced annually could decrease by as much as 30 – 40 days in some parts of the region. The duration of snow cover is also likely to decrease, particularly at lower elevations. This will also lead to reduced summer waterflows</u>		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.025	SRMR – I2	Amend	Amend as follows: Remove text that suggests there are some wins.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.015	SRMR – I2	Amend	Ensure that the gravity of climate impacts and increased pests and diseases are understood (particularly for already declining endemic species).		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.025	SRMR – I3	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt various of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the Reply report as well as the main recommendations report which accept some changes requested in other submissions
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.009	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend to recognise and support work already being implemented by private landowners.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.094	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.022	SRMR – I3	Amend	<p>Amend ‘Statement’ as follows or similar: “Pest species can be found throughout Otago, from alpine to marine environments. <u>In Otago, pest species include organisms from terrestrial species, diseases, to freshwater and marine aquatic pest species. For example, Rabbits ... impacting on primary production, soil quality, recreational values, hydrological and conservation values. “</u></p> <p>Amend ‘Context’ as follows or similar: “Otago’s landscape, <u>water,</u> and climate support many <u>organisms</u> plants and animals considered to be pests. This includes weeds, vertebrate pests (e.g. rabbits), invertebrate pests, <u>and diseases</u> ... Strategy priorities ...l production <u>and rural communities and economies. “</u></p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Environmental’ as follows or similar: “Pests can also adversely impact natural features, <u>waterways,</u> and landscapes. ... <u>As a result,</u> severe erosion can have adverse effects on water quality. ... Possums <u>can spread disease (viral and bacterial),</u> such as bovine tuberculosis, which can have severe impacts on stock <u>welfare and production. ...</u></p>	S NZ Pork FS00240.015 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.046 S Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.041	Accept in part	We adopt various of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the Reply report as well as the main recommendations report which accepted some changes requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>Nationally, weeds are conservatively estimated to cost New Zealand’s pastoral, arable and forestry sectors over \$1.6b. Also, weeds will were estimated to potentially affect 7% of the conservation estate within a decade, corresponding to a loss of native biodiversity equivalent to \$1.3 billion.</u></p> <p>For example, ... <u>impact soil nutrient cycling</u>, change the landscape and negatively impact recreational, hydrological and conservation values. Pest species destabilise aquatic habitats and negatively modify water flow with consequences for drainage, irrigation, power generation and recreational activities. The introduction of the freshwater diatom didymo (<i>Didymosphenia geminata</i>) in South Island streams is an example.”</p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Economic’ as follows: “Pests ... <u>crop or animal</u> production, higher water requirements and reductions in animal health. Weeds can affect wool quality, <u>impact the quality of leather</u>, taint meat... ... Biosecurity failure ... bag), <u>and to our primary sector export markets</u>... Weeds, for example, are conservatively estimated to cost the New Zealand primary (<u>pastoral, arable, and forestry</u>) sector economy ...</p> <p>Amend as follows or similar: “Recreation values can be impacted through loss of amenity, access or landscape values. Pests can also cause human health problems <u>and have a related economic cost</u>. For example, some weed</p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				pollens can induce asthma and cause allergies (e.g. hay fever). 20 Zoonoses (bacterium, viruses, parasites, prions) can result in diseases being transferred from animals to humans and include, for example, leptospirosis and campylobacter. <u>These diseases also have costs in terms of employee absence from work and necessary disease treatment.</u> “			
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.024	SRMR – I3	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend title as follows: " SRMR – I3 – Pest species pose an ongoing threat to indigenous biodiversity, <u>food production and food security</u>, economic activities and landscapes” - Amend ‘Statement’ as follows: “... health and recreation activities. <u>Climate change will compound the impacts of existing pests and providing opportunities for new pests to establish themselves due to changed conditions potentially threatening food production systems and food supply.</u> - Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Social’ as follows: “... and campylobacter. <u>Pests and biosecurity incursions can affect food production, food supply and food security matters that are also essential to human health needs.</u>” 	<p>S NZ Pork FS00240.016 S Federated Farmers FS00239.095</p>	Accept in part	We adopt various of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the Reply report as well as the main recommendations report which accepted some changes requested
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.016	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend as follows: The third paragraph of SRMR-I3 Impact snapshot, Economic be amended to “Weeds, for example, are conservatively estimated to cost the New Zealand economy \$1.6 billion per annum ¹⁹ in terms of loss of economic production, management and control costs. They also affect landscape amenity value and tourism experiences relied upon by the tourism sector. Weeds can also adversely impact	S Contact Energy Limited FS00318.031	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				infrastructure, (for example, water systems including irrigation, dams, and levies); power systems (e.g., generation penstock, gates, valves, surge tanks, transmission lines) <u>renewable electricity generation activities</u> ; and transportation systems (e.g. road beds, lake and river transportation, airstrips).”			
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.013	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend as follow: Infrastructure access to the effects management hierarchy can help resource pest control, and this should be recognised as part of the solution to the problem	S Oceana Gold FS00115.052	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.007	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ to note that Pest Species pose a risk to biosecurity and food production. Amend ‘Context’ to ensure a clear linkage through the ORPS, the statement above should be amended to note that Pest Species pose a risk to biosecurity and food production. Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Economic’ to Identify an additional regionally significant value and resource management issue relating to Otago’s food production capacity and that the effects of pests and biosecurity on primary production activities extend beyond economic impacts.	S NZ Pork FS00240.006 S Federated Farmers FS00239.096	Accept in part	We adopt various of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the Reply report as well as the main recommendations report which accepted some changes requested
OWRUG	00235.032	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend SRMR – I3 as follows: Include reference to Wallabies throughout SRMR – I3 SRMR – I3 – Pest species pose an ongoing threat to indigenous biodiversity, <u>food and fibre production and food security</u> , economic activities and landscapes.	S NZ Pork FS00240.017 S Federated Farmers FS00239.097 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.047	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
OWRUG	00235.033	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend SRMR – I3 Statement as follows: ... and affecting agriculture. <u>Wallabies are an increasing risk with incursion beyond their containment zone and illegal liberations resulting in an expanding range within Otago, particularly Waitaki, Central Otago and Queenstown Lakes.</u> ... <u>Climate change will compound the impacts of existing pests and provide opportunities for new pests to establish themselves. This will potentially threaten food and fibre production systems and food supply and undermine community wellbeing.</u>	S NZ Pork FS00240.018 S Federated Farmers FS00239.098 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.048 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.049	Accept in part	We adopt various of the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the Reply report as well as the main recommendations report which accepted some changes requested
OWRUG	00235.034	SRMR – I3	Amend	Snapshot – Economic Amend the whole of the plan as follows; <u>Refer to Food and Fibre Sector instead of agriculture. This should be picked up throughout the plan.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.099	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
OWRUG	00235.036	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend SRMR – I3 Impact snapshot / Social as follows: ... for example, leptospirosis and campylobacter. <u>Pests and biosecurity incursions can affect food and fibre production, food supply and food security matters.</u>	S NZ Pork FS00240.019 S Federated Farmers FS00239.100 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.050	Accept in part	We adopt the main recommendations report which accepted some changes requested
Port Blakely NZ Ltd	00033.002	SRMR – I3	Amend	Change reference from ‘Wilding Pines’ to ‘Wilding Conifers’.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.011	Accept	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.042	SRMR – I3	Amend	Recognise that wild goats are impacting on culturally significant lands and taoka species in parts of the region in a similar manner to deer and wallabies, here and at the top of page 80.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.101	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.010	SRMR – I3	Amend	Acknowledge how historically poor practice and mistakes have heightened the prevalence of pest species in Otago. Acknowledge how human – mediated land		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				use change can drive pest plant trait variation and therefore their prevalence and perseverance in modified and natural environments. Note that land use change and agricultural intensification have contributed to the prevalence of invasive pest species across the region; land use change associated with urbanisation and agricultural practices is a leading cause of environmental degradation; and non – native invasive species can and have transformed ecosystems at the landscape level by altering disturbance regimes, nutrient cycling as well as above and below ground ecosystem properties.			
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.011	SRMR – I3	Amend	In some cases pest species can be native and that the economic and social values may also be adversely affected by undesirable native species such as <i>Coriaria arborea</i> or <i>Wiseana cervinata</i> . Furthermore, this section lacks commentary on how pest species may affect the abiotic environment (the non – living part of the ecosystem).	S Federated Farmers FS00239.102	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested.
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.012	SRMR – I3	Amend	Give more weight to landscape values in relation to how pest species modify landscapes.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Waitaki District Council	00140.012	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend to include reference to carbon forestry as a resource management issue for Otago (p.70, 71).	S Oceana Gold FS00115.054 S Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society FS00230.042 S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.056 O New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.005	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.102	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend as follows: Replace all references to “ wilding conifers ” with “ <u>Wilding Tree Species</u> ”.		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.103	SRMR – I3	Amend	Amend third sentence of third paragraph under Economic as follows: Weeds, <u>including didymo and lake snow</u> can also adversely impact <i>infrastructure</i> , for example, <i>water</i> systems including irrigation, dams, and levies; power systems (e.g. generation penstock, gates, valves, surge tanks, transmission lines); and transportation systems (e.g. <i>road</i> beds, <i>lake</i> and <i>river</i> transportation, airstrips).		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.026	SRMR – I3	Amend	Include coastal marine pests in the issue		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.016	SRMR – I3	Amend	Ensure that the gravity of climate impacts and increased pests and diseases are understood (particularly for already declining endemic species).		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
AgResearch Limited	00208.004	SRMR – I4	Amend	Snapshot – Environmental Amend the first sentence of the second paragraph in the “Environmental” subsection of the “Impact Snapshot” section of SRMS – 14 as follows: <i>Urban development can also lead to reverse – sensitivity effects on existing or potential whereby traditional methods of pest management or the undertaking of rural primary production activities in rural areas or supporting activities that have an operational need to locate in these areas (e.g. rural research, rural industry) cannot be deployed due to the proximity of new urban populations and the potential for adverse impacts on those populations.</i>	<p> S Silver Fern Farms FS00221.016 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.051 O Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.002 </p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.010	SRMR – I4	Amend	<p>Amend to provide for the following:</p> <p>Context</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognise that the growth of urban areas has an impact on the rural population. <p>Environmental</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explicitly identify that the loss of productive soil to urban growth is irreversible and that productive land is a finite resource that needs protecting. Highlight the link of loss of productive soil with the associated loss of biodiversity, ecosystem services, natural landscapes, and amenity values. Identify rural functions as essential and highly beneficial aspects of the regional environment. Change the perspective of describing the issue of reverse – sensitivity and ensure the onerous is put on urban development. <p>Economic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State that urban expansion onto productive land can result in reverse sensitivity issues when inadequately managed and compromise the existing rural function and that this should be avoided. <p>Social</p> <p>Describe the economic implications of loss of productive soil on rural communities and the wider region.</p>	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.038</p> <p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.103</p> <p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.165</p> <p>S Waitaki District Council FS00140.015</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.
Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	00307.003	SRMR – I4	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Include discussion of the risk that incompatible urban growth can pose for significant infrastructure. Urban growth and infrastructure provision and planning should be donehand – in – hand, whilst supporting the recognition in SRMR – I4 that urban</p>	<p>S The Fuel Companies FS00510.036</p> <p>S Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.023</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				growth is a significant resource management issue, in particular the recognition that urban development can lead to reverse sensitivity effects and impacts on infrastructure if not appropriately managed and located.			
Dunedin City Council	00139.017	SRMR – I4	Amend	Social – amend to refer to deaths and serious injuries on the transport network.	S Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency FS00305.015	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.023	SRMR – I4	Amend	Include an additional sentence as follows or similar: <u>“It is better to regenerate existing urban areas than it is to unnecessarily expand into rural areas”</u> Adopt as proposed and ensure reverse sensitivity issues are adequately reflected throughout relevant RPS provisions.	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.052 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.166	Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.015	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend the heading as follows: Poorly managed urban and residential growth affects productive land, treasured natural assets, infrastructure, <u>industry</u> and community well-being	S AgResearch Limited FS00208.004 S Federated Farmers FS00239.104 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.167	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.016	SRMR – I4	Amend	Add to the list of bullet points under the “Economic” heading on p 73 the following additional matter: <u>Conflict arising from the location of incompatible activities within proximity of each other, including the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on the continued operation and growth of regionally significant industry.</u>	S AgResearch Limited FS00208.005 S Silver Fern Farms FS00221.017 S Federated Farmers FS00239.105 S Oceana Gold FS00115.055 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.167	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.005	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend as follows: “Context [...]	S Federated Farmers FS00239.106	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>Where Urban growth, especially if it exceeds infrastructure capacity (either through sheer pace and scale or by lack of planning) or if it occurs in a way or at a rate that mean that appropriate infrastructure is not provided, is lagging or is inefficient, <u>or encroaches on lawfully established activities or land valued for primary production</u>, can result in adverse impacts (including reverse sensitivity effects) on the environment, existing residents, business and wider society. Quality urban environments are those that maximise the positive aspects of urban areas and minimise the negative.”</p> <p>AND Sub – section ‘Impact snapshot’ uses the term ‘rural production activities’, and ‘productive land’ when referring to reverse sensitivity effects and other issues associated with urban growth. Substitute these terms for the defined term ‘Primary Production’ throughout the pRPS to make it clear what activities the issues relate to.</p>	<p>S Horticulture NZ FS00236.053 S Oceana Gold FS00115.056</p>		
Fulton Hogan Limited	00322.006	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend as follows: Retain reference to the direct and indirect (through reverse sensitivity effects) impact on land used for Primary Production within the economic ‘Impact snapshot’.	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.107 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.054</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.
Highton, John	00014.006	SRMR – I4	Amend	Include altered chemical composition of lakes due to the melting of glaciers and permanent snow as an effect of climate change in the pre – amble of the SRMR chapter.		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report that this type of issue is addressed in SRMR-I6
Highton, John	00014.007	SRMR – I4	Amend	The protection and enhancement of urban waterways should feature more strongly in the RPS21.		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.025	SRMR – I4	Amend	<p>Amend 'Statement' as follows by adding after the sentence regarding Mosgiel: <u>"Cromwell's growth is threatening the ability to use productive land for high value horticulture. Towns like Arrowtown, Clyde, Cromwell and Milton experience poor air quality in winter, while experiencing pressure to grow."</u></p> <p>Amend 'Context' as follows: <u>"The productive land in Otago contributes to the social and economic wellbeing of the community through production of food and other rural production based products. Otago has areas of highly productive land which are particularly valuable for food production. The rural character of the rural area is also an attribute that contributes to the importance of the rural area. However where development occurs in a place or manner that removes or reduces the potential to use productive land, including through reverse sensitivity effects, the productive capacity of the land is compromised and not available for the benefit of society."</u></p> <p>Amend 'Impact snapshot – Environmental' as follows: <u>"Urban or rural lifestyle expansion onto highly productive land removes the land resource from production, including the production of food.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Identify that water is another resource that can be adversely affected by poorly managed urban growth and development. <p>- Support 'Impact snapshot – Economic' bullet point 1.</p>	<p>S Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.040</p> <p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.108</p> <p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.168</p>	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				Amend 'Impact snapshot – Social' by adding as follows: <u>"The loss of productive land (either directly though building on it, or indirectly though reverse sensitivity effects) affects the production of food and food security and hence the health needs of people."</u>			
OWRUG	00235.037	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend SRMR – I4 Impact snapshot/Economic as follows; <u>Include the loss of productive land (either directly though building on it, or indirectly though reverse sensitivity effects) as a social impact on food production and food security.</u> <u>Identify that water is another resource that can be adversely affected by poorly managed urban growth and development.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.109 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.055	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.004	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economic' as follows: - (page 73) be amended so that it more accurately describes the long established and growing housing affordability challenges that are present in the Queenstown Lakes District, such as constrained supply and diversity of housing, and the use of housing for non – residential activities within the Queenstown Lakes District such as short term visitor accommodation. - (page 73/74) be amended so that it more accurately describes the long established and growing housing affordability challenges that are present in the Queenstown Lakes District and the adverse effects this has had on its community.		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.
Rural Contractors NZ	00410.002	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend the first sentence of the second paragraph in the "Environmental"	S Federated Farmers FS00239.110	Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				subsection of the “Impact Snapshot” section of SRMS-14 as follows: Urban development can also lead to reverse-sensitivity effects <u>on existing or potential</u> whereby traditional methods of pest management or the undertaking of rural primary production activities in rural areas or supporting activities that have an operational need to locate in these areas (e.g. rural industry (such as rural contractor depots)) cannot be deployed due to the proximity of <u>new</u> urban populations and the potential for adverse impacts on those populations.			
Te Ao Marama	00223.043	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend to remove second parenthesis, as follows: “...The attraction of urban areas results from the benefits of proximity and access to a variety of other people, experiences, goods, services (e.g. shopping, education, specialist service providers, recreation and leisure facilities and infrastructure (usually described as agglomeration effect)). ...”		Reject	The words in parentheses are effective.
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.013	SRMR – I4	Amend	Give greater context around urban and residential development specifically suburban sprawl of seasonal homes (baches) in amenity areas near mountains, lakes, and other recreation – oriented settings. Also note that those high recreational value areas contain many of the region’s most important natural resource values.		Reject	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.014	SRMR – I4	Amend	Further detail of other potential reverse sensitivity effects should be noted		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report and the main recommendations report.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.104	SRMR – I4	Amend	Amend as follows: ... The growth of Wanaka and Queenstown is changing the natural landscape.		Reject	The deletion proposed would inappropriately change the nature of the issue being described.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.105	SRMR – I4	Amend	<p>Amend Context, as follows: ... The open space and landscapes provided in rural <u>and unmodified natural areas additionally</u> also drives demand for rural residential/<u>lifestyle</u> living, particularly in areas with these qualities that are <u>similarly</u> also in relative proximity to urban services.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Urban growth, especially if it exceeds <i>infrastructure</i> capacity (either through sheer pace and scale or by lack of planning) or if it occurs in a way or at a rate that mean that appropriate <i>infrastructure</i> is not provided, is lagging or is inefficient, can result in adverse impacts on the <i>environment</i>, existing residents, business and wider society. Quality urban environments are those that maximise the positive aspects of urban areas and minimise the negative.</p>		Accept in part	We adopt the reasons and recommendations in the s.42A report .
Fire and Emergency New Zealand – Te Kei Region Otago Southland	00219.014	SRMR – I5	Support	Social (p75) – appropriate freshwater supply being available for firefighting as part of planned urban growth	S Federated Farmers FS00239.111	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.024	SRMR – I5	Support	Retain as notified		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
AWA	00502.001	SRMR – I5	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>...irrigation and other economic uses. <u>Some of these uses largely beneficial effects on the environment and communities; in contrast, other uses of water can have</u></p>	<p>S Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.003</p> <p>O Federated Farmers FS00239.112</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>unacceptable adverse effects.</u> <i>Freshwater</i> resources in some places are reaching, or are beyond, their sustainable abstraction limits. However, there continues to be debate in the community about how historical freshwater allocations can be adjusted to achieve a balance of economic, environmental, social and cultural needs. <u>The RMA enables regional councils to add rules to their plans to allocate water amongst competing activities. This approach will be adopted in the Regional Water Plan.</u>			
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.011	SRMR – I5	Amend	Remove reference to goal of improving freshwater quality within 5 years.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.113 O Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.023	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.003	SRMR – I5	Amend	Redraft ‘Freshwater demand exceeds capacity in some places’ paragraph to read to following: “Freshwater demand exceeds ecological capacity in some places In water – short catchments ecological capacity may not allow demand for consumptive uses to be met.”	O Federated Farmers FS00239.114 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.169	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Dunedin City Council	00139.018	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend ‘Context’ to clearly identify where ‘deemed permits’ are a key problem in Otago.	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.019 (neutral)	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Ernslaw One	00412.005	SRMR – I5	Amend	Make clear that the provisions of the NES Plantation Forestry 2017 take precedence over the NES Freshwater 2020. The regional council can only set rules that are more stringent than the NESPF 2017 if there is evidence that the controls in the NESPF 2017 are not sufficiently stringent to meet the Councils objectives for freshwater.	O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.057	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.017	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend the text under the heading “Economic” to read: Freshwater in the Otago region is a factor of production that directly contributes to human needs (urban water supply), agriculture, <u>industry, including rural industry</u> , hydro – electric power supply, and mineral extraction.	S Silver Fern Farms FS00221.018 S Federated Farmers FS00239.115 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.170 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.153	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Highton, John	00014.008	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend to include more detail on “deemed permits” and the permissive water resource management regime.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.026	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ as follows: “Many of these catchments are also experiencing urban growth, changes in rural land uses <u>to meet food supply demands of growing urban populations and will continue to change to respond to climate change</u> , and increased demand for hydro-electric generation.” Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “Population growth, <u>food production</u> and land-use intensification ...” Amend ‘Impact snapshot’ to specifically the health and safety issues associated with water demand including drinking, sanitation and food production. Link the impact snapshot to the FMU vision statements seeking outcomes whereby innovative and sustainable land and water management practices support food production and improve resilience to the effects of climate change.	S NZ Pork FS00240.020 S Federated Farmers FS00239.116 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.171	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Lauder Creek Farming	00406.002	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend to provide clear definitions on natural flow regimes	S Federated Farmers FS00239.117	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.008	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend ‘Context’ to note that population growth also increases food demand.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.118	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economic' to note that freshwater in the Otago region is a factor of production that directly contributes to food production as a human need.	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.172		
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.026	SRMR – I5	Amend	Add sentence to the Environmental section: "... between species and their habitat. <u>The sum of these impacts affects the overall health, well-being and resilience of the water body.</u> How much an ecosystem ..."		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.038	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend SRMR – I5 Statement as follows: ... the health and well-being needs of the environment <u>freshwater</u> , the health and well-being needs of people, and the ability of people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being. Many of these catchments are also experiencing urban growth, changes in rural land uses <u>to meet food and fibre supply demands from growing populations and the need to implement change to respond to climate change, including increased demand for hydro – electricity.</u>	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.056	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.039	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend SRMR – I5 Context as follows: Population growth, <u>food and fibre production</u> and land – use intensification in urban and rural environments can create increased demand for freshwater for human consumption, irrigation and other economic uses. Freshwater resources in some places are reaching, or are beyond, their sustainable abstraction limits. However, there continues to be debate in the community about how historical freshwater allocations can be adjusted to achieve a balance of economic, environmental, social and cultural needs. <u>Whatever the outcome of those debates there will need to be significant change</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.119 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.057	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>implemented over appropriate timeframes to adjust to the new allocation regime. Managing this transition carefully will be necessary to manage the impacts that will affect the social, economic and cultural wellbeing, including mental health of the community.</u></p>			
OWRUG	00235.040	SRMR – I5	Amend	<p>Amend SRMR – I5 Impact Snapshot as follows:</p> <p>... taking into account magnitude, frequency, timing, duration and rate of change, <u>species composition</u> and ecosystem capacity to recover.</p> <p>Freshwater in the Otago region is a factor of production that directly contributes to human needs (urban water supply <u>and food production</u>), <u>Food and fibre production</u> (including irrigation and irrigation storage), hydro – electric power supply, and mineral extraction...</p>	<p>S NZ Pork FS00240.021 S Federated Farmers FS00239.120 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.058</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.041	SRMR – I5	Amend	<p>Amend the Social Impact Snapshot by adding the following: <u>Many communities in Otago are heavily reliant on the food and fibre sector which generates significant economic activity, as well as providing product to both the domestic and export market. Reduction in water allocation will adversely impact on the productive capacity of the food and fibre sector with significant downstream economic consequences. These economic consequences will manifest as reduced social cohesion in small communities as people move away to find other sources of employment, or the availability of locally grown food diminishes. However, there are also opportunities for increased employment associated with the transition to new land use types that may be</u></p>	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.121 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.059</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>precipitated by changes to allocation regimes and/or climate change adaptation. Managing this transition carefully will be necessary to manage the impacts that will arise for the social, economic and cultural wellbeing, including mental health of the community and seeking out opportunities that will improve these well-beings. In order to address these issues, providing certainty to resource users, including the food and fibre sector and a clear and integrated transition framework is necessary.</u></p>			
OWRUG	00235.042	SRMR – I5	Amend	<p>Amend SRMR – I5 Impact Snapshot as follows: ...How much an ecosystem is affected by taking of freshwater is typically determined by departure from natural flow regimes, taking into account the magnitude, frequency, timing duration and rate of change and ecosystem capacity to recover. <u>However, in parts of Otago the flow regime that exists has been significantly altered due to the establishment of dams for water storage and hydro – electricity generation. In many cases these structures have been in place for many years (i.e. 80 to 100 years) and have values (including environmental, social and economic values) associated with them. These factors will in some instances affect the degree to which natural flow regimes can or should be restored. Further, exotic freshwater species, particularly salmonids are widespread with Otago’s waterbodies. They are valued by the community as a source of food and for their sports fishing values. However, they also can have adverse effects on indigenous species. In some cases flow regimes induced by abstractions have protected indigenous species from predation. Changes to flow regimes will need to be carefully managed to ensure that these interactions do not</u></p>	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.122 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.060</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>give rise to significant loss of vulnerable indigenous species. These factors will in some instances affect the degree to which natural flow regimes can or should be restored.</u>			
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.005	SRMR – 15	Amend	Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “Population growth and land – use intensification in urban and rural environments can create increased demand for freshwater for human consumption, irrigation and other economic uses. <u>Some of these uses are more efficient and have greater beneficial effects on the environment and communities than others.</u> Freshwater resources in some places are reaching, or are beyond, their sustainable abstraction limits. <u>The RMA enables the allocation of water amongst competing activities.</u> However, there continues to be debate in the community about how historical freshwater allocations can be adjusted to achieve a balance of economic, environmental, social and cultural needs.”		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Rayonier Matariki Forests	00020.006	SRMR – 15	Amend	Insert new reference to the NESPF and the effect of its regulations and explain where plan provisions may be more stringent and refer to research which justifies any greater restrictions.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.012 O Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.058	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Stewart, Lynne	00030.001	SRMR – 15	Amend	Amend as follows: Include the following under the Statement header for SRMR – 15: <u>In water – short catchments ecological capacity may not allow demand for consumptive uses to be met.</u>		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Te Ao Marama	00223.044	SRMR – 15	Amend	Include discussion of over-allocation of water resources in Otago	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.059	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				Amend the final sentence of the second paragraph under the heading Context, as follows: “ ... freshwater allocations can be adjusted to achieve a balance of <u>prioritise protection of the mauri of water bodies, meet the health needs of people, and provide for economic, environmental, social and cultural needs-well-being.</u> ”	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.175		
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.015	SRMR – I5	Amend	Give more context around the arid and semi – arid environments within Otago that have and are being modified because of water allocation		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.016	SRMR – I5	Amend	Consideration should be given to how land use change is impacting the quality of the limited freshwater available in some areas.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.083	SRMR – I5	Amend	Snapshot – Economic <i>Freshwater</i> in the Otago region is a factor of production that directly contributes to human needs (urban <i>water</i> supply), agriculture (including irrigation), hydro – electric power supply, <u>tourism (for example <i>water</i> supply for visitor destinations and <i>snowmaking</i>),</u> and mineral extraction. <i>Freshwater</i> also indirectly contributes to the tourism industry through maintenance of <i>freshwater</i> assets for aesthetic and commercial recreational purposes. Lack of <i>freshwater</i> can negatively impact economic output of those industries that rely on <i>water</i> in the production process. To varying degrees these impacts can be mitigated through <i>water</i> efficiency measures and innovation. At the same time other industries, such as tourism <u>activities</u> that rely on the aesthetic characteristic of <i>rivers</i> and <i>lakes</i> , do not have such opportunities available to them and instead rely on management regimes that sustain flows and <i>water</i> levels suitable for their activities.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.084	SRMR – I5	Amend	Snapshot – Social Ensuring appropriate <i>freshwater</i> supply for human <i>use</i> is available <u>is essential, including</u> as part of planned urban growth is essential . It is possible this may require consideration of additional <i>freshwater</i> storage in the future. The region’s <i>freshwater</i> assets also support a range of recreation uses, for example camping, fishing, <i>water</i> sports, and swimming. These values are strongly linked to environmental values and as such, reduced environmental flows have a corresponding negative impact on social and cultural values <u>(including people’s wellbeing)</u> .		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Upper Clutha Angling Club	00220.001	SRMR – I5	Amend	Pages 75 and 76 Quantified and measurable definition for ‘healthy state’		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Waitaki District Council	00140.013	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend to provide definition of ‘water – short catchments’	S Federated Farmers FS00239.123	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited	00213.003	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend the economic impact snapshot: “...and innovation. <u>New and additional freshwater storage may also be required in the future.</u> ”	S Federated Farmers FS00239.124 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.173	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.106	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend Context, first two paragraphs as follows: <i>Freshwater</i> , including <i>rivers</i> and streams, <i>lakes</i> , <i>groundwater</i> systems, and <i>wetlands</i> , is a finite <u>non – exclusive</u> resource, critical to the <u>natural</u> environment, society and the economy. ... <i>Freshwater</i> resources in some places are reaching, or are beyond, their sustainable abstraction limits. However, there continues to be debate in the community about how historical <i>freshwater</i> allocations can be adjusted to achieve a <u>sustainable outcome</u> balance of	O Federated Farmers FS00239.125	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				economic, environmental, social and cultural needs.			
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.107	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend Economic, as follows: <i>Freshwater</i> in the Otago region is a factor of production that directly contributes to human needs (urban <i>water</i> supply), agriculture (including irrigation), hydro – electric power supply, <u>tourism (for example water supply for visitor destinations and snowmaking)</u> , and mineral extraction. ... At the same time other industries, such as tourism <u>activities</u> that rely on the aesthetic characteristic of <i>rivers</i> and <i>lakes</i> , do not have such opportunities available to them and instead rely on management regimes that sustain flows and <i>water</i> levels <u>suitable</u> for their activities.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.109	SRMR – I5	Amend	Amend Social as follows: Ensuring appropriate <i>freshwater</i> supply for human <i>use</i> is available <u>is essential</u> , <u>including</u> as part of planned urban growth is essential . It is possible this may require consideration of additional <i>freshwater</i> storage in the future. The region's <i>freshwater</i> assets also support a range of recreation uses, for example camping, fishing, <i>water</i> sports, and swimming. These values are strongly linked to environmental values and as such, reduced environmental flows have a corresponding negative impact on social and cultural values <u>(including people's wellbeing)</u> .	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.211	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.024	SRMR – I5	Amend	- Amend 'Context' as follows or similar: "Freshwater, including rivers and streams, lakes, groundwater systems, and wetlands, is a finite resource critical	Horticulture NZ FS00236.061	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>to the <u>region's</u> environment, society, and the economy.</p> <p>In Otago, access to, allocation, and use of freshwater reflects current demands and historical development <u>and associated demands "deemed permits" (water permits under the RMA 1991) and a permissive water resource management regime. The deemed permits originated from mining licences issued under historic mining legislation and which enable water to continue to be used for a range of uses until October 2021.</u></p> <p>Population growth and land – use intensification in urban and rural environments can create increased demand for freshwater for human consumption, <u>recreation, other social and cultural uses, irrigation and other economic uses.</u> Freshwater resources in some places are reaching, or are beyond, their sustainable abstraction <u>replenishment</u> limits. However, there continues to be debate in the community about how historical freshwater allocations can be adjusted to achieve a balance of economic, environmental, social and cultural needs, <u>and critical to that is the need to provide for sufficient transitioning for any required change in resource use.</u></p> <p>On 3 September 2020 ... reversing past damage <u>degradation</u> ... (such as drinking water); and finally then, the ability of people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being, now and in the future.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend 'Impact snapshot – Environmental' as follows or similar: "This can negatively impact <u>freshwater ecosystems</u> by affecting freshwater habitat, water quality, water quantity, and ecological processes. <u>size and the shape and condition of the water body, including bed, banks, margin, riparian vegetation, connections to groundwater, water chemistry (for example by increasing concentrations</u> 			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>of pollutants), and interaction between species and their habitat. “</p> <p>- Amend the following sentence as follows or similar: “Ensuring appropriate freshwater supply for human use is available as part of planned urban growth <u>and to support rural communities and households is essential</u> “</p>			
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.025	SRMR – I6	Support	Retain as notified	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.126</p> <p>S Greenpeace FS00407.033</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.012	SRMR – I6	Amend	<p>Delete specific reference to stock access and winter grazing from the Environmental Impact Snapshot.</p> <p>If not deleted in its entirety, specifically recognise that these are regulated under the Resource Management Stock Exclusion Regulations 2020 and National Environment Standard for Freshwater as part of the wider Essential Freshwater Package 2020.</p> <p>If not deleted in its entirety, describe and distinguish effects of urban development to the same extent as agricultural land uses.</p>	<p>O Greenpeace FS00407.010</p> <p>O Queenstown Lakes District Council FS00138.024</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.004	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend statement paragraph to show that extraction for irrigation results in lack of flushing and dilution of discharge and thus adversely impacts water quality.	<p>O Federated Farmers FS00239.127</p> <p>O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.176</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.005	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend context paragraph to show that extraction for irrigation results in lack of flushing and dilution of discharge and thus adversely impacts water quality.	<p>O Federated Farmers FS00239.128</p> <p>O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.176</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.006	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend impact snapshot paragraph (2) to show that over allocation and rapid intensive land development has rapidly deteriorated water quality indicators in the Manuherekia.	<p>O Federated Farmers FS00239.129</p> <p>O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.177</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.007	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend impact snapshot paragraph (7) to show that sedimentation from intensive agricultural activities is a major contributor to the sedimentation of lakes and rivers.	<p>S Greenpeace FS00407.014</p> <p>O Federated Farmers FS00239.130</p> <p>O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.177</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
City Forests Limited	00024.001	SRMR – I6	Amend	Provide more nuanced and conditional statements, e.g. that “poorly managed forestry harvesting or earthworks activity may contribute to sediment input”.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.013	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.025	SRMR – I6	Amend	<p>Amend ‘Statement’ as follows or similar: “... trends in water quality which can <u>often</u> be attributed to discharges from land use intensification (both rural and urban) and land management practices. <u>Some areas are seeing the beginnings of a turnaround with some improving trends, but there is still much work to be done.</u>”</p> <p>Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “... reverse past damage <u>degradation as soon as practicable</u>, and bring New Zealand’s freshwater resources, waterways and ecosystems to a healthy state within a generation. “</p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Environmental’ as follows or similar: “... for concern in <u>specific areas</u> about water quality and its trends with consequent potential impact on ecosystems and people. Water quality across Otago is variable <u>with some</u></p>	<p>S Horticulture NZ FS00236.062</p> <p>S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.180</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>areas such as the Upper Clutha and the Taieri having excellent water quality, with other areas, such as urban streams in the Dunedin locale, intensified catchments in North Otago and some tributaries of the Pomahaka having poorer water quality. River water quality is best at river and stream reaches located at high or mountainous elevations under predominantly native vegetation cover, and mostly good in the upper areas of large river catchment and outlets from large lakes. These sites tend to be associated with the upper catchments of larger rivers (e.g. Clutha River/Matau-Au, Taieri River and Lindis River) and the outlets from large lakes (e.g. Hawea, Wakatipu and Wanaka).</p> <p>Water quality is generally poorer in smaller low – elevation streams and coastal shallow lakes where they receive water from upstream pastoral areas or urban catchments. For example, catchments such as the Waiareka Creek (North Otago), Kāikorai Stream (Dunedin), and sub – catchments within the lower Clutha catchment, have some of the worst poorest water quality in the region. The Waikouaiti River has the best water quality of the lowland sites.</p> <p>Stock entering water bodies can lead to pugging and destruction of riparian compaction of soils and beds that play an important role in filtering contaminants, as well as excreting directly in waterways. The growing practice of wintering cattle in Otago can exacerbate leaching effects, which may not connect to surface water until spring, creating spikes in nutrient loads.”</p>			

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economic' as follows or similar: "Water pollution (from <u>contaminants</u>, nutrients, chemicals, pathogens and sediment) can have far – reaching effects potentially impacting <u>the primary sector</u>, tourism, property values, commercial fishing, recreational businesses, and many other sectors that depend on clean water. " - Amend as follows or similar: "... rivers and lakes are swimmable, <u>which is very high on a nationwide comparison</u>. <u>However</u>, where ... " 			
Highton, John	00014.009	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend the statement on declining water quality to acknowledge ORC's management policies as a contributor to the deterioration of water quality.	S Greenpeace FS00407.051	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.027	SRMR – I6	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend 'Context' as follows: "...Water quality affects a wide range of environmental health factors, human <u>health and</u> survival needs, and cultural, social, recreational, and economic uses." - Amend 'Impact snapshot' to specifically the health and safety issues associated with water quality including drinking, sanitation, and food production. - Link the impact snapshot to the FMU vision statements seeking outcomes whereby innovative and sustainable land and water management practices support food production and improve resilience to the effects of climate change. - Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economic' as follows: "Water pollution (from nutrients, chemicals, pathogens and sediment) can have far – reaching effects potentially impacting <u>food production</u>, tourism, ..." 	S Federated Farmers FS00239.131	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
McCall, Lloyd	00319.001	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend as follows Page 76, Snapshot, Environmental – Use the most up to date water testing results to inform the areas base water quality for the RPS. This includes both physiochemical and physical assessments		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.043	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend SRMR – I6 Statement as follows: “...which can be attributed to discharges from land use activities (both rural and urban), land management practices <u>and aquatic pest species.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.132	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.044	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend SRMR – I6 Context as follows: ... Population growth and <u>poorly managed</u> land – use in urban and rural environments has impacted the quality of water, increasing contamination from nutrients and sediment. Water quality affects a wide range of environmental health factors, human <u>health and</u> survival needs, and cultural, social, recreational, and economic uses. Add the following to the 3 rd paragraph: <u>“The direction in this higher order document is significant and will precipitate changes within the Otago Region. The direction of travel required by these documents has broad community support, however the detail regarding the degree of change and over what timeframe remain as points of contention within some communities. Whatever the outcome affected communities face a period of significant change which will be very challenging and may have adverse consequences for people and communities. This transition requires careful management in order to maintain social, cultural and economic wellbeing, including mental wellbeing.</u>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.133 S Horticulture NZ FS00236.063	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
OWRUG	00235.045	SRMR – I6	Amend	<p>Amend SRMR – I6 Environmental Snapshot as follows:</p> <p>Despite the region's lakes and rivers being highly valued by Otago communities, reports indicate there are reasons for concern about water quality and its trends in <u>some areas</u> with consequent potential impact on ecosystems and people.</p> <p>... Otago's central lakes are impacted by increased population, urban development, <u>aquatic pests</u> and tourism demand;</p> <p>Activities such as agricultural <u>land use</u>, mining, and forestry also contribute. <u>Poorly managed agricultural land – use</u> also contributes to nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) leaching into underlying groundwater or running off into surface water bodies, and can also increase the risk of E.coli contamination from animal waste.</p>	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.134</p> <p>S Horticulture NZ FS00236.064</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.046	SRMR – I6	Amend	<p>Amend Economic snapshot</p> <p>... Water pollution (from nutrients, chemicals, pathogens and sediment) can have far – reaching effects potentially impacting tourism, property values, commercial fishing, recreational businesses, <u>human health, food production</u> and many other sectors that depend on clean water.</p>	<p>S Horticulture NZ FS00236.065</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.047	SRMR – I6	Amend	<p>Amend Social snapshot</p> <p>For the wider community, wWater is a source of kai <u>for harvesting and food production.</u> and</p> <p><u>Water is also a source of recreation</u>, including swimming, fishing and water sports. Otago's rivers, lakes, estuaries and bays are important destinations for recreational use including swimming, fishing and water sports. Eighty – two per cent of Otago's rivers and lakes are swimmable.³³ Where water quality cannot</p>	<p>S Federated Farmers FS00239.135</p> <p>S Horticulture NZ FS00236.066</p>	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				support these activities, the lifestyle of those living in Otago is impacted. <u>Thriving rural communities are also supported by the use of good quality water for food and fibre production. Where water quality is degraded quality the taoka habitats and species supported by the water may be adversely affected reduces and the mauri of the water reduced. and the habitats and species it supports, therefore also negatively affecting mahika kai and taoka species and places. This Loss of mahika kai and taoka species constitutes is a loss of Kāi Tahu culture, affecting the intergenerational transfer of knowledge handed down from tūpuna over hundreds of years; and it culminates in a loss of rakatirataka and mana.</u>			
Pomahaka Water Care Group	00207.001	SRMR – I6	Amend	<i>Use the most up to date water testing results to inform the areas base water quality for the RPS</i> This includes both physiochemical and physical assessments		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Rayonier Matariki Forests	00020.004	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend as follows: Activities such as <u>pastureland or farming</u> , agricultural intensification, mining, and forestry also contribute.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.014	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Rayonier Matariki Forests	00020.007	SRMR – I6	Amend	Insert new reference to the NESPF and the effect of its regulations and explain where plan provisions may be more stringent and refer to research which justifies any greater restrictions.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.015 S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.060	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Stewart, Lynne	00030.002	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend as follows: While the pristine areas of Otago generally maintain good <i>water</i> quality, some areas of Otago demonstrate poorer quality and declining trends in <i>water</i> quality which can		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				be attributed to <i>discharges from land use intensification (both rural and urban) and, land management practices, and extraction for irrigation purposes.</i>			
Stewart, Lynne	00030.003	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend as follows: The negative impacts on water quality from the extraction of water for the purposes of irrigation needs to be acknowledge in this section as well as the importance of monitoring the effects of this activity.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Stewart, Lynne	00030.004	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend as follows: The impact on the Manuherekia’s water quality from the combination of over allocation and rapid intensive land development should be mentioned in the second paragraph under ‘Impact snapshot’ as well as the effects on water quality caused by sediment runoff from intensive agriculture.	O Greenpeace FS00407.061	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Te Ao Marama	00223.045	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend the final sentence of the second paragraph, as follows: “...culminates in loss <u>diminishing</u> of rakirataka and mana.”	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.061	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.017	SRMR – I6	Amend	Greater context should be given to the specific agricultural activities in Otago that are driving the degradation of water quality	O Federated Farmers FS00239.136 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.179	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.018	SRMR – I6	Amend	Consideration could be given to the value which water polluting activities contribute to the Otago economy.	Federated Farmers FS00239.137 (neutral) S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.174	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Trojan Holdings	00206.085	SRMR – I6	Amend	Heading		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Limited (Trojan)				Declining <i>water</i> quality has adverse <i>effects</i> on the <u>natural environment</u> , our communities, and the economy			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.086	SRMR – I6	Amend	Statement While the pristine areas of Otago generally maintain <u>very good water</u> quality, some areas of Otago demonstrate poorer quality and declining trends in <i>water</i> quality which can be attributed to <i>discharges</i> from <i>land use</i> intensification (both rural and urban) and <i>land</i> management practices. Erosion, run – off and soil loss can lead to sediment and nutrients being deposited into <i>freshwater</i> bodies resulting in declining <i>water</i> quality.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.110	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend Heading as follows: Declining <i>water</i> quality has adverse <i>effects</i> on the <u>natural environment</u> , our communities, and the Economy		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.111	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend Statement, as follows: While the pristine areas of Otago generally maintain <u>very good water</u> quality, some areas of Otago demonstrate poorer quality and declining trends in <i>water</i> quality which can be attributed to <i>discharges</i> from <i>land use</i> intensification (both rural and urban) and <i>land</i> management practices.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.112	SRMR – I6	Amend	Amend, Context, first 2 paragraphs, as follows: The health of <i>water</i> is vital for the health of the <u>natural environment</u> , people and the economy. ... Population growth and land – use intensification in urban and <u>non – urban rural</u> environments has impacted the quality of <i>water</i> , increasing contamination from nutrients and sediment.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				... Some of the biggest <u>adverse</u> impacts on <i>water</i> quality in Otago are considered to come from agriculture and urbanisation, through diffuse <i>discharges</i> and point source <i>discharges</i> .			
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.017	SRMR – I6	Amend	Add wider effects on the coastal and marine environment		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.024	SRMR – I7	Support	Retain as notified Retain references to <i>the extent of impacts on marine species and environments is not well understood and threats to [Otago’s coast] are not always understood and not always well managed</i>	S Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated FS00125.001	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.013	SRMR – I7	Amend	Delete reference to ‘modified region’.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.026	SRMR – I7	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ as follows or similar: “ Biodiversity mapping indicates Otago is one of the most modified regions in New Zealand. ... lacking, <u>along with incentives, support and advice to assist landowners to protect and/or restore biodiversity where it remains or where it has been lost.</u> ” Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “... introduced species <u>and diseases</u> , <u>urban growth, human activities</u> , <u>pollution, physical changes to habitat from climate, landscape changes</u> , <u>environment</u> and harvesting of wild species. Almost 4,000 native species are currently threatened with, or at risk of, extinction. <u>Around 1,065 native species across New Zealand are currently</u>	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.067	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>threatened with extinction. A further 3,589 are in a second tier of risk, with 3,009 considered ‘naturally uncommon’.</u> The information available indicates Otago’s biodiversity faces the same challenges. “</p> <p>Amend the following sentence as follows: “Biodiversity and ecosystem services underpin agriculture the <u>primary sector</u> (ecosystem services such as water, soil biodiversity, pest protection, pollination) and tourism (the “clean green” image of “pure New Zealand” is related to a public/<u>consumer</u> perception of Otago’s healthy environment and biodiversity). “</p> <p>Adopt the following definition of ‘Natural Capital’ for clarity: “<u>Natural capital refers to all aspects of the natural environment. It includes individual assets such as minerals, energy resources, land, soil, water, trees, plants and wildlife, and also includes broader ecosystems and their services – i.e., the joint functioning of, or interactions among, different environmental assets, as seen in forests, soil, aquatic environments and the atmosphere.</u>”</p>			
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.028	SRMR – 17	Amend	<p>The issue statement addresses issues associated with pests and predators on biodiversity but fails to sufficiently address issues associated with pests on biosecurity and risks to the regional food production system, food supply and food security.</p> <p>Add new issue statement for Food Production, Food Security and Food Supply</p>	S Federated Farmers FS00239.138	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				that includes consideration of biosecurity matters.			
New Zealand Infrastructure Commission	00321.014	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend as follow: Text is needed on the benefits that infrastructure can provide to the environment, to provide appropriate context		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.009	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economic' to identify an additional regionally significant value and resource management issue relating to Otago's food production capacity and that the effects of pests and biosecurity on primary production activities extend beyond economic impacts.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Otago Fish & Game Council and the Central South Island Fish & Game Council	00231.027	SRMR – 17	Amend	Insert a sentence to place focus on a more holistic gambit of issues facing native freshwater species: "degraded native fish communities, due to <u>anthropogenic alteration of waterways, such as damming, abstraction, bed manipulation, draining wetlands and the discharge of contaminants, the presence of the Clutha dams and their effects on eel populations</u> and trout predation on native galaxiids."	S Contact Energy Limited FS00318.032 S Greenpeace FS00407.042 S Te Ao Mārama FS00223.143 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.181	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.023	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend as follows: Delete the references to <i>overfishing</i> and <i>downward trends in fish and crayfish catches</i> There is credible evidence to suggest otherwise – at least for certain species		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.048	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend as follows: Environmental Add the following paragraph	S Federated Farmers FS00239.139	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<u>Despite the above, in some cases land management or water use practices are enabling indigenous species to persist. It is therefore important to carefully manage significant changes in such practices where they might give rise to unintended consequences.</u>			
OWRUG	00235.049	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend ‘agriculture’ to ‘the food and fibre sector’.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.006	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Environmental’ (page 79) to acknowledge the contribution that biodiversity, including restored habitats, makes to climate change adaptation and mitigation.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.026	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend as follows: “Impact snapshot Environmental ... There are 62 ecosystems <u>types</u> in the Otago region. ... Inland Otago has degraded native fish communities, due to <u>degraded water quality from pollution from land use change and discharges, over abstracted water bodies</u> , the presence of the Clutha dams and their effects on eel populations and trout predation on native galaxiids. ... Social ... Some introduced species such as trout, deer and pigs have social and recreation values but may also have impacts on native ecosystems and species.”	S Greenpeace FS00407.034 O Federated Farmers FS00239.140 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.182	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.046	SRMR – 17	Amend	The word ‘kōura’ should have a macron on the ‘ō’ [p.79] and in all instances where this word occurs.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Tini a Tangaroa – Fisheries New Zealand	00303.001	SRMR – I7	Amend	Amend as follows: This section (P. 79) could be rephrased to say: “In addition to sedimentation, other human impacts on kelp forests in the Otago region may include land-based nutrient inputs, rising sea surface temperatures associated with climate change, the introduction of invasive species like <i>Undaria pinnatifida</i> (Suárez -Jiménez et al. 2017) and fishing. There has also been a 70% decline in the abundance of hoiho (yellow -eyed penguin) on the Otago coast since 2008 ³⁷ although the factors causing this are not fully understood. The effects of climate change will add significantly to risks of continuing biodiversity decline.” Suárez-Jiménez, R., et al. (2017). "The invasive kelp <i>Undaria pinnatifida</i> hosts an epifaunal assemblage similar to native seaweeds with comparable morphologies." <i>Marine Ecology Progress Series</i> 582: 45-55.”		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Toitū Te Whenua, Land Information New Zealand	00101.019	SRMR – I7	Amend	Greater context surrounding the leading causes of biodiversity loss in the Otago region.	Federated Farmers FS00239.142 (neutral) Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.183 (neutral)	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.087	SRMR – I7	Amend	Insert statement/discussion in this section about the need to enhance and restore biodiversity, not just maintain or protect what’s left.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
WAI Wanaka	00222.021	SRMR – I7	Amend	Refer to the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity	S Contact Energy Limited FS00318.034 S Oceana Gold FS00115.057 O Transpower New Zealand Limited FS00314.006	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.113	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend by inserting statement/discussion about the need to restore biodiversity, not just maintain or protect what's left.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.114	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend by inserting statement / discussion in this section to identify/acknowledge the effects Hydro dams are having on coastal erosion for example in respect of lack of sediment coming down Clutha River affecting beaches north of Clutha River outlet. (source H)	○ Contact Energy Limited FS00318.034	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.115	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend Environmental, Second paragraph, as follows: There are 62 ecosystems <u>units</u> in the Otago region.	Federated Farmers FS00239.141 (neutral)	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.018	SRMR – 17	Amend	Use MFEs Our Marine Environment Report 2019 as a reference.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.019	SRMR – 17	Amend	SRMR – 13 already covers the specific risks from pest species and so there is significant overlap. Suggestion that SMR – 17 focuses on the many other human activities affecting the environment (excluding pests).		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.020	SRMR – 17	Amend	Amend as follows: Hoiho (yellow – eyed penguins) are not only found on the Otago Peninsula, but also in the Catlins and North Otago Amend to include other areas.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.021	SRMR – 17	Amend	Explain which six ecosystems have less than 10 hectares remaining. Provide further explanation.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated	00125.025	SRMR – I8	Support	Retain as notified Retain references to <i>the extent of impacts on marine species and environments is not well understood and threats to [Otago's coast] are not always understood and not always well managed</i>	S Otago Rock Lobster Industry Association Inc and Pauamac 5 Incorporated FS00125.002	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Sanford Ltd.	00122.004	SRMR – I8	Support	Retain as notified		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Director-General of Conservation	00137.035	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend the first sentence as follows or words to like effect: "Otago's coastal environment is generally considered to extend from the land that forms the first significant ridgeline <u>includes land adjoining the coast where coastal factors apply (as outlined in NZCPS Policy 1), and the coastal marine area</u> out to the twelve nautical mile seaward limit."		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Ernslaw One	00412.004	SRMR – I8	Amend	Unsupported assertion that sediment from forestry is having significant adverse effects on the coastal environment. Ernslaw contends that cannot be occurring since the NESPF took effect in 2018 and if it is, it represents a failure by the Regional Council to enforce NES-PF Regulation 97 (Discharges, disturbances, and diversions).		Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.027	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend 'Context' as follows: "Activities occurring ... plantation and <u>carbon</u> forestry, "	S Waitaki District Council FS00140.017 S Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited FS00213.002 O New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.016	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.030	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend as follows: " Otago's coast is a rich natural, cultural and economic resource that is under threat from a range of terrestrial and		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>marine activities, the context identifies that the activities occurring within or affecting the coastal environment include land and marine based (e.g aquaculture) <u>food production and other farming industries.</u>”</p> <p>Retain reference in ‘Context’ that activities occurring within or affecting the coastal environment include land and marine based (e.g., aquaculture) food production industries.</p>			
OWRUG	00235.050	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend as follows: ... the coastal environment include land and marine based (e.g. aquaculture) <u>food and fibre production...</u>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Te Ao Mārama	00223.047	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend the first sentence under the heading Impact Snapshot, as follows: “...pests, <u>fresh</u> water, and biodiversity loss.”	S Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.062	Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.116	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend, Statement, as follows: Otago’s coast provides habitat for rare species (including toroa and hoiho), comprises some of the region’s outstanding <u>natural</u> landscapes, is a rich food source, provides many recreation opportunities, is the location for some industries, and has potential for further economic use (aquaculture).		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.117	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend, Context, Second paragraph, as follows: ... Such activities are can be important contributors to the existing and future health and well – being of <u>people and</u> communities, when they are located and managed appropriately.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.212	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Yellow – eyed Penguin Trust	00120.022	SRMR – I8	Amend	Amend as follows:		Accept in part	00120.022

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				Beach erosion does not only occur at St. Clair in Dunedin. Suggest this is re – worded as an example (e.g. St Clair)			
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.014	SRMR – I9	Support	Retain as notified	S Federated Farmers FS00239.143	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Contact Energy Limited	00318.004	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend as follows: Balances the issues more accurately as follows: “Natural features and landscape values are also <u>can be</u> adversely impacted by tourism and urban growth, and energy production. <u>A number of hydroelectric power schemes are located within the Otago Region. Some of these have directly influenced the surrounding environment in which they operate. These assets are significant to the region in providing renewable electricity generation, contributing to economic development and also attracting visitors to the area.”</u>	S Meridian Energy Limited FS00306.008 S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.184	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Highton, John	00014.010	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend to include hydroelectricity as a current and major future effect on lakes.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
OWRUG	00235.051	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend ‘agriculture’ to “ the Primary Sector’ throughout this Issue.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.088	SRMR – I9	Amend	Snapshot – Environmental ...However, <i>water</i> quality is being adversely impacted by increased population <u>and</u> , urbandevelopment and tourism demand which is straining existing waste management infrastructure. In addition, localised degradation of some areas is occurring due to overuse and unregulated use (e.g. freedom camping). The amenity of		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>these areas is being compromised in some places by over – crowding. Recreation <i>use</i> impacts on the <i>environment</i> can be a <i>risk</i>, for example the distribution of pest species can be accelerated as has occurred for lake snow and <i>Lagarosiphon</i> weeds being spread by recreation boating movements. Natural features and landscape values <u>can</u> are also <u>be</u> adversely impacted by tourism <u>development</u>, and urban growth, and energy production.</p>			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.089	SRMR – 19	Amend	<p>Snapshot – Economic</p> <p>The economic benefits of urban development, tourism, agriculture, energy production and <i>water</i> supply can be positive for the Otago – Lakes’ communities and visitors. It also impacts on the region’s natural assets with a growing cost to the region that puts at <i>risk</i> the <i>environment</i> highly prized by residents and visitors. There are also impacts between industry sectors.</p> <p>For example, the clean green image of New Zealand, of which the Otago Lakes area is symbolic, is at <i>risk</i> of being compromised because of over – crowding <u>if the quality of lakes becomes degraded or visitor numbers exceed the servicing capacity of the district in peak tourism seasons.</u> This has the potential to adversely affect the existing regional economy and future economic development; and the tourism industry’s social licence to operate. At the same time tourism can negatively impact on how agriculture can operate, potentially limiting its contribution to the regional economy.</p> <p>Urban development brings economic development and improved opportunities and standards of living to the Otago lakes</p>		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				area but can adversely impact on both the <i>environment</i> and how agriculture can operate.			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.090	SRMR – I9	Amend	Snapshot – Social <u>Poorly managed activities and Over</u> – crowding impacts can adversely affect recreation experiences of both tourists and residents, particularly outdoor recreation, such as fishing and water sports, and urban amenity. Infrastructure capacity limits can, for example, result in an increased number of wastewater overflows into the environment when demand on the network exceeds capacity. These can have significant adverse impacts on human health including recreation opportunities as well as recreational amenity.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.118	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend, Heading, as follows: <u>Central</u> Otago lakes are subject to pressures from tourism and population growth		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.119	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend, Statement, as follows: ... This influx brings economic <u>benefit through urban growth and tourism opportunities</u> , but the activities and services created to take advantage of it can degrade the <u>natural environment</u> and undermine the experience that underpins their attractiveness.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.120	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend Context, first paragraph, as follows: Healthy <i>lakes</i> are one of Otago's most valued natural resources and for the most part <i>water</i> quality is <u>very good</u> . The Values assigned to <i>lakes</i> include the natural features and <u>natural landscapes</u> , the quality and quantity of <i>water</i> accessible to the Otago communities, the accessibility of these resources for recreation <u>and transport</u> , the health of native flora and		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				fauna associated with Otago's rivers and lakes, and renewable energy production.			
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.121	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend SRMR – I9, Environmental, second and third paragraphs, as follows: However, <i>water</i> quality is being adversely impacted by increased population <u>and</u> , urban development and tourism demand which is straining existing waste management infrastructure. In addition, localised degradation of some areas is occurring due to overuse and unregulated use (e.g. freedom camping). The amenity of these areas is being compromised in some places by over – crowding. Natural features and landscape values <u>can</u> are also <u>be</u> adversely impacted by tourism <u>development</u> , and urban growth, and energy production.		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.122	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend, Economic, second paragraph, as follows: For example, the clean green image of New Zealand, of which the Otago Lakes area is symbolic, is at <i>risk</i> of being compromised because of over – crowding <u>if the quality of lakes becomes degraded or visitor numbers exceed the servicing capacity of the district in peak tourism seasons. This has the potential to adversely affect the existing regional economy and future economic development; and the tourism industry's social licence to operate. At the same time tourism can negatively impact on how agriculture can operate, potentially limiting its contribution to the regional economy.</u> Urban development brings economic development and improved		Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				opportunities and standards of living to the Otago lakes area but can adversely impact on both the <i>environment</i> and how agriculture can operate.			
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.123	SRMR – I9	Amend	Amend Social, second paragraph, as follows: <u>Poorly managed activities and Over – crowding impacts can adversely affect recreation experiences of both tourists and residents, particularly outdoor recreation, such as fishing and water sports, and urban amenity. Infrastructure capacity limits can, for example, result in an increased number of wastewater overflows into the environment when demand on the network exceeds capacity. These can have significant adverse impacts on human health including recreation opportunities as well as recreational amenity.</u>	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.213	Reject	Submission of no effect as made prior to re-notification of FPI.
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.015	SRMR – I10	Support	Retain as notified.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.144	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and note amendments have been made in response to other submissions
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.008	SRMR – I10	Amend	Statement Where reference to ‘water abstraction’ is made, the statement should be amended to read <i>water abstraction particularly for irrigation</i>	O Federated Farmers FS00239.145 O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.178	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.009	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend economic paragraph to include the adverse economic impact from degraded natural environments such as rivers, lakes and high-country landscapes and the effect this has on tourism	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.179	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
City Forests Limited	00024.002	SRMR – I10	Amend	Provide more nuanced and conditional statements, e.g. that “poorly managed forestry harvesting or earthworks activity may contribute to sediment input”.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.016	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Panel’s main recommendation report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Dunedin City Council	00139.019	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ to the following (or similar): Water abstraction and wastewater and stormwater discharges <u>can</u> adversely affect the natural environment, cultural and amenity values, and recreation <u>if not well managed</u> .	S Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.020	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Ernslaw One	00412.007	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend as follows <i>“sediment from <u>poorly managed development and forestry may flows into streams and builds up in the coastal environment.</u>”</i>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Panel’s main recommendation report
Ernslaw One	00412.018	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend as follows <i>“sediment from <u>poorly managed development and forestry may flows into streams and builds up in the coastal environment.</u>”</i> See also Point 00412.007		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Panel’s main recommendation report
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.019	SRMR – I10	Amend	Either amend to more fully acknowledge that current generation’s well-being is dependent on continued access to resources; and/or include an additional issue to provide balance to the description of the Region’s issues as sought in relation to SRMR – I6	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.170	Reject	We apply the same approach as for other similar requests contained in the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Harbour Fish, Southern Fantastic and Fantastic Holdings	00126.001	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend as follows: Delete “Fishing” from the sentence. Combining fishing with the impact of land – based activities is not correct. It needs to be treated independently and with separate evidence of impact [Under “statement” – “Agriculture, fishing and minerals extraction support employment and economic well-being but		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				also change landscapes and habitats” – admin].			
Highton, John	00014.012	SRMR – I10	Amend	Include a provision requiring hydro – electricity generation to have increased mitigation measures put in place to manage their adverse effects on the region’s environment.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.031	SRMR – I10	Amend	Delete the issue statement and replace with the following: “SRMR – I10 – The planning framework in Otago has failed to manage and protect Otago’s natural and physical resources, resulting in environmental stresses and unknown future impacts.”	S Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd FS00237.041	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.010	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend ‘Statement’ to identify the value and contribution of food production in Otago for domestic food supply. Amend ‘Context’ to identify the value and contribution of food production in Otago for domestic food supply.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.052	SRMR – I10	Amend	Delete the issue statement and replace with the following: <u>SRMR – I10 – The planning framework in Otago has at times and in some locations failed to manage and protect Otago’s natural and physical resources, resulting in environmental stresses and unknown future impacts.</u> <u>Change reference to ‘economic activities’ to refer to ‘activities’</u>	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.068	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.053	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend Context paragraph 2 as follows: <u>Delete first sentence and replace with “Activities that rely on natural and physical resources can adversely impact those resources if not appropriately managed or controlled. If these impacts are not managed</u>	S Contact Energy Limited FS00318.035 S Oceana Gold FS00115.058	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>or controlled the sustainability of the regions natural resources can be threatened. Equally long-term economic, social and cultural values can be compromised or threatened.</u></p> <p><u>Amend 'tipping point' to 'thresholds'</u></p>			
OWRUG	00235.054	SRMR – I10	Amend	Delete 'Business' from Impact Snapshot Social.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Port Blakely NZ Ltd	00033.003	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend the opening statement to acknowledge that this does not refer to compliant forestry activities nor to the majority of the forest rotation.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.017	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Port of Otago Ltd.	00301.009	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend 'Statement' as follows: "Otago's port moves freight to and from Otago and Southland, but operates alongside sensitive environments, including the Aramoana saltmarsh <u>meaning the necessity for the port to operate safely and efficiently may have adverse environmental effects</u> . Tourism, which relies on the environment, can also..."		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Queenstown Lakes District Council	00138.007	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend 'Context' as follows: - (page 83) This issue suggests that tourism 'partially relies on the natural values of the region' – more accurately presents that significant reliance that tourism has on the natural values of the region, and in particular, those present within the Queenstown Lakes District. Amend 'Impact snapshot – Economic' as follows: (page 84) for this issue more accurately draws attention to the impact that adverse effects on the characteristics of highly valued landscapes can have on the economy of the Otago region, and in particular the Queenstown Lakes District.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Rayonier Matariki Forests	00020.005	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend as follows: Sediment from development and forestry <u>primary production activities</u> flow into streams and build up in the coastal environment, smothering kelp forests and affecting rich underwater habitats.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.018 Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu FS00234.063	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Southern Inshore Fisheries Management Company Limited	00124.001	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend as follows: “Fishing” is removed from the sentence. [Under “statement” – “Agriculture, fishing and minerals extraction support employment and economic well – being but also change landscapes and habitats” – admin].		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Stewart, Lynne	00030.005	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend as follows: Water abstraction and , particularly for <u>irrigation</u> , and wastewater and stormwater discharges adversely affect the natural environment, cultural and amenity values, and recreation.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Stewart, Lynne	00030.006	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend SRMR – I10 by incorporating the following under the ‘Economic’ heading: Mention should be made of the adverse economic impact from degraded natural environments such as rivers, lakes and high – country landscapes and the effect this has on tourism and ability to attract skilled personal to live in the region.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.091	SRMR – I10	Amend	Context ... However, economic activity needs to more effectively account for and manage its impacts on the region’s natural resources. ⁴⁴ Where business and social activity does not account for its impacts on natural resources in the long term, not only is the sustainability of the region’s natural resources		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				threatened, but equally the associated long term and economic, social and cultural values are also threatened.			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.092	SRMR – I10	Amend	Snapshot – Environmental Economic activities can lead to, for example, biodiversity loss, poor <i>water</i> quality, coastal marine degradation, and loss of natural features and <u>natural</u> landscapes. These and other matters are considered in further detail elsewhere in this chapter. Negative impacts on the <u>natural environment</u> can also compromise the ecosystems and the services economic activities depend on (ecosystem services), for example loss of <i>wetlands</i> which provide flood attenuation services, loss of biodiversity which provide pest control and pollination services, and <u>loss of</u> soil biodiversity. Economic activity also has the potential to compromise or destroy natural <u>features and natural</u> landscapes. Such impacts are both immediate and cumulative. Cumulative impacts that are not addressed have the potential to lead to tipping points beyond which systems can no longer properly function.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.093	SRMR – I10	Amend	Snapshot – Social Damage to or loss of natural features and <u>natural</u> landscapes <u>can</u> compromise amenity values. Failure of business to sustainably manage <u>their impact on</u> natural resources can <u>compromise</u> the social licence of a business sector to operate. This <u>can</u> adversely impact social capital (trust) and can create community division. In extreme cases it can lead to calls for reduced access to resources.		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Panel's main recommendation report
Waitaki District Council	00140.014	SRMR – I10	Amend	- Amend to include reference to carbon forestry as a resource management issue for Otago (p.83).	S Graymont (NZ) Limited FS00022.001	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Include a greater recognition of mining operations in the Otago region and their contribution towards social and economic wellbeing. Recognise the Macraes Mine special zoning under the Waitaki District Plan within the PRPS.	S Matakanui Gold Limited FS00021.015 S Oceana Gold FS00115.053 O New Zealand Carbon Farming FS00602.006		
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.124	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend Context, second paragraph as follows: However, economic activity needs to more effectively account for and manage its impacts on the region’s natural resources. Where business and social activity does not account for its impacts on natural resources in the long term, not only is the sustainability of the region’s natural resources threatened, but equally the associated long term and economic, social and cultural values are also threatened.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.125	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend Environmental, as follows: Economic activities can lead to, for example, biodiversity loss, poor <i>water</i> quality, coastal and marine degradation, and loss of natural features and <u>natural</u> landscapes. These and other matters are considered in further detail elsewhere in this chapter. Negative impacts on the <u>natural environment</u> can also compromise the ecosystems and the services economic activities depend on (ecosystem services), for example loss of <i>wetlands</i> which provide flood attenuation services, loss of biodiversity which provide pest control and pollination services, and loss of soil biodiversity. Economic activity also has the potential to compromise or destroy natural features and <u>natural</u> landscapes.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.126	SRMR – I10	Amend	Amend, Social, as follows: Damage to or loss of natural features and <u>natural</u> landscapes <u>can</u> compromises amenity values. Failure of business to <u>sustainably</u> manage <u>their impact on</u> natural resources <u>can</u> compromises the social licence of a business sector to operate. This <u>can</u> adversely impacts social capital (trust) and can create community division. In extreme cases it can lead to calls for reduced access to resources.	S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.214	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the Panel’s main recommendation report
Beef & Lamb NZ and Deer Industry NZ	00237.016	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend and include focus on acquiring knowledge to inform decision making. Amend to provide for flexible and innovative management responses to ensure resilience.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.146	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Central Otago Environmental Society	00202.010	SRMR – I11	Amend	Environmental paragraph: A statement should be included that states <i>faced with insufficient knowledge and understanding a precautionary approach should be taken when making decisions or setting policy around natural resource management.</i>	O Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.186	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	00239.028	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend title as follows: “SRMR – I11 – Cumulative impacts <u>effects</u> and resilience – the environmental costs of our activities in Otago are adding up with tipping points <u>thresholds</u> potentially being reached” Amend ‘Statement’ as follows: “How and/or where we currently live is likely to change significantly in coming years. To respond to all the issues identified in this RPS, it is essential to <u>we may need to consider changes to</u> how ... “ Amend ‘Context’ as follows:	S Horticulture NZ FS00236.069 O Kāi Tahu ki Otago FS00226.118	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and in the Legal section of the main recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>“The long term environmental, economic, and social well-being of the Otago region requires anticipating and minimising cumulative environmental impacts <u>effects</u> before they reach <u>thresholds</u> a tipping point, beyond which systems can no longer properly function. “</p> <p>Amend ‘Impact snapshot – Environmental’ to add: <u>“There is much that remains unknown about the functioning of the Otago environment at a holistic and integrated level. “</u></p>			
Fonterra Co – operative Group Limited	00233.020	SRMR – I11	Amend	Either delete; and/or include an additional issue to provide balance the description of the Region’s issues as sought in relation to SRMR – I6		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Highton, John	00014.013	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend to include improving monitoring and testing and adopting a proactive approach to responding to feedback from the community.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Horticulture New Zealand	00236.032	SRMR – I11	Amend	<p>Amend ‘Statement’ as follows: “<u>How and/or where</u> we currently live is likely to change significantly in coming years. To respond to all the issues identified in this RPS, it is essential to consider changes to how we travel, the industries our economy relies on, the use we currently make of the natural and physical resources of the region, and how we provide for <u>essential human health</u>, personal and community well-being, all while protecting our natural environment.”</p> <p>Amend ‘Context’ as follows: “The long term environmental, economic, and social well-being of the Otago region</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and in the Legal section of the main recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p><u>and the health and safety of people and communities</u> requires anticipating and minimising cumulative environmental impacts before they reach a <u>tipping point threshold</u>, beyond which systems can no longer properly function.”</p>			
Meridian Energy Limited	00306.017	SRMR – I11	Amend	<p>Amend as follows (or with words of similar effect): “Impact snapshot Environmental While many ecosystems have a degree of resilience, increasing pressures on the environment, typically as a result of human activities (for example economic development), can have an adverse cumulative effect. <u>A key tipping point is the pending effects of climate change that are resulting from greenhouse gas emissions. Some of these effects Climate change also has are already being experienced in the Otago region, and further climate change has the potential to seriously challenge ecosystem adaptive capacity and the location and functioning of business and communities in the region. Decarbonising our economy is a priority for mitigating the scale of climate change and the associated economic and social disruption that can result. Key to reducing greenhouse gas emissions is increasing renewable electricity generation. Much work is being undertaken to address this challenge, but it is still possible that permanent changes may occur (tipping point).</u> The first and best response to <u>possible tipping points</u> is to ensure sustainable management of our natural resources and avoid immediate and long-term cumulative effects that degrade the environment. At the same time a resilience approach is needed that identifies thresholds and sets limits on the use of natural resources to</p>	S Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.185	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and in the Legal section of the main recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				avoid permanent and potentially catastrophic changes occurring, as would occur if a tipping point is reached.”:			
New Zealand Pork Industry Board	00240.011	SRMR – I11	Amend	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend ‘Statement’ to provide explicit recognition of food production values and the relationship to human health as a necessary consideration for decision making that responds to ORPS issues. - Amend ‘Context’ to provide explicit recognition of food production values and the relationship to human health as a necessary consideration for decision making that responds to ORPS issues. 	-	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.055	SRMR – I11	Amend	<p>Amend Statement as follows:</p> <p>How and/or where we currently live is likely to change significantly over the long-term (2050). To respond to all the issues identified in this RPS, it is essential to consider changes to how we travel, the industries our economy relies on, the use we currently make of the natural and physical resources of the region, and how we provide for human health needs, personal and community well-being, all while protecting our natural environment.</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
OWRUG	00235.056	SRMR – I11	Amend	The Impact Snapshot – Social be redrafted so that’s intent is clear and consistent language is used so as to avoid uncertainty.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.147	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested
OWRUG	00235.057	SRMR – I11	Amend	The Impact Snapshot –Economic be redrafted so that’s intent is clear and consistent language is used so as to avoid uncertainty.	S Federated Farmers FS00239.148	Reject	This is a general request which does not give precise details of amendment requested

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	00230.027	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend the 'Context' discussion to recognise and include the need and ability for environmental restoration Amend the "Impact snapshot" for Environment needs to be clearer in terms of thresholds and limits that retain and improve ecosystem function and indigenous biodiversity at a healthy rich and diverse state. Rather than working towards a tipping point we should be working towards restoring and improving ecosystem health.	S Ernslaw One Ltd FS00412.020	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and in the Legal section of the main recommendations report
Stewart, Lynne	00030.007	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend as follows: Include the following statement under the 'Environmental' header: <u>Faced with insufficient knowledge and understanding a precautionary approach should be taken when making decisions or setting policy around natural resource management.</u>	S Greenpeace FS00407.062 S Otago Fish and Game Council FS00609.174	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and in the Legal section of the main recommendations report
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.094	SRMR – I11	Amend	Heading SRMR–11 – Cumulative impacts and <i>resilience</i> – the <u>natural</u> environmental costs of our activities in Otago are adding up with tipping points potentially being reached		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.095	SRMR – I11	Amend	Context The long term environmental, economic, and social well – being of the Otago region requires anticipating and minimising cumulative environmental impacts before they reach a tipping point, beyond which systems can no longer properly function. This requires <i>resilient</i> frameworks that take account of the dynamic relationship between the <u>natural environment</u> , economy and people while acknowledging that the future is always uncertain, and knowledge is imperfect. Should a tipping point be		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				reached a <i>resilient</i> Otago society will have the ability to absorb, respond to, adapt to, and recover from disruptive events.			
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.096	SRMR – I11	Amend	<p>Snapshot – Environmental</p> <p>While many ecosystems have a degree of <i>resilience</i>, increasing pressures on the <u>natural environment</u>, typically as a result of human activities (for example economic development), can have an adverse cumulative <i>effect</i>. ...</p> <p>The first and best response is to ensure sustainable management of our natural resources and <u>Avoiding</u> immediate and long – term cumulative <i>effects</i> that degrade the <u>of environmental values which are already degraded</u> is required to achieve <u>sustainable management of our natural resources</u>. At the same time a <i>resilience</i> approach is needed that identifies thresholds and sets limits on the use of natural resources to avoid permanent and potentially catastrophic changes occurring, as would occur if a tipping point is reached.</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Trojan Holdings Limited (Trojan)	00206.097	SRMR – I11	Amend	<p>Snapshot - Environmental, Social, Economic</p> <p>The well – being of Otago’s people and communities in the long term will be sustained <u>protected</u> by the enduring ecological health and <i>resilience</i> of the <u>natural environment</u> and by human activity providing for the <u>natural environment</u> in equal or greater measure than is taken from it (in other words, net impact determines net well – being). It will also be sustained <u>protected</u> through community <i>resilience</i> so that it can adapt and nimbly respond to future challenges.</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Waitaki Irrigators Collective Limited	00213.004	SRMR – I11	Amend	SRMR – I11 – Cumulative impacts and resilience – the environmental costs of our activities are <u>accumulating</u> adding up with		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and in the Legal section of the main recommendations report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				tipping points <u>limits</u> potentially being reached “...before they reach <u>limits</u> beyond which <u>some ecological and other</u> systems can no longer properly function...”			
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.127	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend, Heading, as follows: Cumulative impacts and resilience – the <u>natural</u> environmental costs of our activities in Otago are adding up with tipping points potentially being reached.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.128	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend Context, as follows: ... This requires <i>resilient</i> frameworks that take account of the dynamic relationship between the <u>natural environment</u> , economy and people while acknowledging that the future is always uncertain, and knowledge is imperfect.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.129	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend Environmental, as follows: While many ecosystems have a degree of <i>resilience</i> , increasing pressures on the <u>natural environment</u> , typically as a result of human activities (for example economic development), can have an adverse cumulative <i>effect</i> The first and best response is to ensure sustainable management of our natural resources and a <u>Avoiding immediate and long – term cumulative effects that degrade the on environmental values which are already degraded is required to achieve sustainable management of our natural resources.</u>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report
Wayfare Group Ltd	00411.130	SRMR – I11	Amend	Amend Social and Environmental, as follows:		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Further Submissions S-Support O-Oppose	Recommendation	Reason
				<p>The well – being of Otago’s people and communities in the long term will be sustained <u>protected</u> by the enduring ecological health and <i>resilience</i> of the <u>natural environment</u> and by human activity providing for the <u>natural environment</u> in equal or greater measure than is taken from it (in other words, net impact determines net well – being). It will also be sustained <u>protected</u> through community <i>resilience</i> so that it can adapt and nimbly respond to future challenges.</p>			
Wise Response Society Inc	00509.027	SRMR – I11	Amend	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Given recognition of the difficulty of identifying reliably where thresholds lie, it could usefully state that <u>buffers are needed to allow for misidentification of thresholds.</u></p>	<p>○ Otago Water Resource Users FS00235.187</p>	Reject	<p>We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the s42A Report and in the Legal section of the main recommendations report</p>